

February 6, 2008

Dear MPC Commissioners,

This letter is regarding file number 2-6-08-UR, much 81 on your agends, which is a use on review for a cluck on this property.

a you know our neighborhood Das on NC-I Neighbord Conservation overly with R. I have going. While churches are permitted by a use on review

in R-1 love you, we feel a church located on

"the" property is not in the best interest of preserved the claracter of our neighborhood the best interest.

of the Conservation Ormlay. The versa, of our conclusion is that the elunch would have to be located behind the existing structure well existing residence, which is a contributing structure well

ones 10 years old.

according to MPC staffin Historia Form areas in addition to being book behind the residence, the church buildy world have to have the "appearance of an accessory building" and as such could only have 750 squa feet of space.

additionally, the parket area, heards contributy to extreme ruroff problems in our area, would dain

to an on-site sinkhole, and a parky let in the back yard of this property certainly is ant consistent with the NO. I overly purpose. as you know, once a property gets a use on property. That is a tremordous concern to an neighborhold Traffic entering Toyand Pike from this drivering to very problematic. The intersection of Jagrati Pike of Sharrondok Goad is approximately 300 flot from the propert. There is a considerable gradient rise that would present a sift distance issue to traffic turning either north or south bound out? Jazevell Pike les a reighbordord, we realize us should assimilate our short frommenty serving amerities and as churchen We already have two lovely, established chucles (one with 50 + years of service and one with 100+ years of service) within 4 blocks of one another in our 6 block long district This additional church wheel would be located within the 4 blocks and behind a lovely contribute residence and the addition of a parky let in the backyand, will

to protect and presence - very old and picturesque neighborhood on a street that Please help no passerve this jend of a neighborror in froxille. For all the above reasons, we ask MPC to dery this use on river. James Rome, President TP-Bereily Stater- Neighborhood Coaliti Donna Pryor Vice Presto T. P. - Bereit States Menthod Jim Jennings - Block Captain Terry Cunningham Block Captain Linda Chatham Block Captain

From: "J. C. Tumblin, OD, DOS" <jctchs44@nxs.net>

To: <contactknoxmpc.org>, <Mark.Donaldson@knoxmpc.org>

Date: 2/12/2008 12:17:36 PM

Subject: Agenda Item 2-G-D8-UR (Northeast of Villa Road)

Dear Commisioners:

Regarding Agenda Item 2-G-D8-UR (Northeast of Villa Road)

Northwest Side of Tazewell Pike, Northeast of Villa Road. Proposed use: Church in R-1 (Low Density Residential) NC-1 (Neighborhood Conservation Overlay District)

Fountain City Town Hall requests that the Metropolitan Planning Commission (MPC) deny this request.

Even though the church is permitted in an R-1 zone in Fountain City, Town Hall feels that this particular request would not be in the best interest of the neighborhood for the following reasons:

- -The church would have to be located behind an existing structure.
- -In the MC-1 (Neighborhood Conservatioan Overlay) the church would have to have "the appearance of an accessory building" and in this case that means only 750 square feet.
- -The parking area would drain into an on-site sink hole.
- -A parking lot in the back yard of the structure would not be consistent with the NC-1 designation.
- -Vehicles entering from Tazewell Pike or exiting onto Tazewell Pike will have a definite sight problem.

Thank you for your consideration of denying this request.

Sincerely,

Mark Campen, Chair Fountain City Town Hall

CC: "Michael Kane" <makane1@bellsouth.net>, ombroligo@aol.com <jhitch08@comcast.net>