MPC Commision

March 2, 2018

New Subdivision at Fruit and Berry Patch

We the residents of Bethany Springs Condo and Homeowners are opposing this dense development. There are many

traffic issues that must be addressed prior to allowing this development on McCloud Rd. With 200 homes being planned on 40 acres - lots will be very small and overcrowded. This will possibly add at least 400 more cars traveling on McCloud Rd.

Issues:

- 1. The road is narrow and winding and the entry/exit for the entire frontage is on a hilly curve.
- 2. Due to excessive school traffic on Andersonville Pike some residents now exit through Temple Acres.Nuisance!
- 3. Very dangerous for school bus pickup and drop off.
- 4. This number of new residences on 40 acres will be the end of our quiet neighborhood and most likely will promote

over population - which in time causes trespassing and more problems.

Please address.

Mittall 7845 Billy Builou Way Kurkville, TX Linke Sanders 7845 Builey Bridge Way - Knoxville, To Haren Tindell 1903 Briley Skideliky Knox, TN.
Bourney Moranbu 7907 Bailon Bindy Way Knox, TN. Janier Jones - 7911 Bailey Bridge Way, Knoy. 37938 Rob Payton 4512 ME Cloud Springs LN KnoxVilla TH 37938 Put Dridges 7823 Delhoung HelloRd, Knv/237938 Marely Henrich, 1942 Bouley Bridge Ware, "" Minute Stewnerly 7949 Bailey Bridge Way Dusan Lamb 78/1 Bethany Hille Rd John Mystell 4532 BATTANY 11 Way Sandy Mynutt 4532 Briting Wills Way Jein Maso 4520 Brittany Hills Way Lennie Nam 4521 Brittony Hills Way Ju Syle 7821 BAILEY BriDGE WAY

Maryl. Lagle 7821 Bailey Bridge Way Anny M. Vaeris 7825 Bailey Bridge Way. KJTN 31938 Edith 7. Moseley 7829 Bailey Bridge Way Joan Brandon 7828 Bailey Bridge Way Shy M12 7836 Bailey Bridgeway 37938 algue Brown 7837 Bailey Bridge Way 7837 Bailey Bridge Way Land Melyer, 7841 Bailey Bridge Way



[MPC Comment] Re: 3-C-18-RZ

1 message

Mon, Mar 5, 2018 at 3:14 PM

To: Michael Brusseau <mike.brusseau@knoxmpc.org>, commission@knoxmpc.org

Mike.

Thank you for the information. I understand you have had some folks from the Bethany Springs subdivision express opposition to our development. The Bethany Springs Subdivision is made up of two subdivisions (Which I believe should be noted in the MPC Rezoning Report) 11.2 acres dedicated to 55 condos with a du/ac of 4.9 units! Note: Only one lot and a portion of another of the single-family portion of Bethany Springs adjoin our proposed development.

The two closest subdivisions on McCloud Rd to our proposed development are the adjacent Peterson Place at 3.6 du/ac & Bethany Springs Condo Subdivision at 4.9 du/ac. Both subdivisions were approved for 5 du/ac. How is it fair to only recommend 3 du/ac for our project? How is it fair to the man who owns this farm to devalue his property considering his next door neighbors have an approved 5 du/ac? Due to topography and powerline issues, we must have a density of 3.5 - 4 units per acre to have an economically viable project. I feel this is a fair compromise since we applied for 5 units per ac. and our closest neighbors developed out at 3.6 & 4.9 du/ac respectively. As you can see on the topo map, a significant amount of the property will obviously not be developed due to topography and a large power line easement that will create a large natural buffer between our development, and the Buckhaven and Hiddenview subdivisions. With this buffer, their impact will be little to none.

This seems to be a classic case of "we got our zoning and density, and you can't have yours"

I will be attending a neighborhood meeting at the Halls library this evening to listen and address their concerns to the best of my ability.

The site distance concern will also be certified prior to the MPC meeting.

I humbly ask that the staff reconsider the recommendation of 3 du/ac.

Very best regard,

Bryan Petett

On Fri, Mar 2, 2018 at 1:59 PM, Michael Brusseau <mike.brusseau@knoxmpc.org> wrote:

Not mandatory, but you are welcome to. You may not get a chance to speak, unless called on by an MPC member. It is a public meeting, but not a public hearing. Starts at 11:45 in small assembly room at CCB.

On Fri, Mar 2, 2018 at 1:38 PM, R. Bryan Petett bpetett@gmail.com wrote: do I need to attend the review on Tues?

R. Brvan Petett

Investment & Development Specialist Coldwell Banker Commercial Wallace & Wallace, Realtors 124 N. Winston RD. Knoxville, TN 37919 tel 865-690-1111 cell 865-604-9936 fax 865-694-1423

bpetett@gmail.com www.cbcww.com On Fri, Mar 2, 2018 at 1:36 PM, Michael Brusseau <mike.brusseau@knoxmpc.org> wrote: Bryan,

Your numbers for Peterson Place are correct. I revised the report for next week. Revised copy attached. Have a good weekend and see you next week.

Mike

On Fri, Mar 2, 2018 at 12:45 PM, Michael Brusseau <mike.brusseau@knoxmpc.org> wrote:

I will double check the numbers on Peterson Place, but I do not anticipate changing the density recommendation. It is based on the average of all surrounding developments, not just Peterson Place.

Mike

On Fri, Mar 2, 2018 at 11:18 AM, R. Bryan Petett bpetett@gmail.com wrote: | Mike,

Thank you for reaching out to me regarding the Staff recommendation. I would appreciate it you would take a second look at Page 2, #2 - "Peterson Place directly east of the subject property has 52 lots on about 15.4 acres, for a density of 3.37 du/ac"

Peterson Place has 54 lots as depicted on your attached exhibits. I am also measuring the developments acreage at 15 acres even, which would make the Peterson Place density 3.6 units per acre.

I request that staff consider recommending a density on my project to be equal to the adjoining Peterson Place development at 3.6 units per acre.

Sincerely,

R. Bryan Petett

www.cbcww.com

Investment & Development Specialist Coldwell Banker Commercial Wallace & Wallace, Realtors 124 N. Winston RD. Knoxville, TN 37919 tel 865-690-1111 cell 865-604-9936 fax 865-694-1423 bpetett@gmail.com

On Fri, Mar 2, 2018 at 10:13 AM, Michael Brusseau <mike.brusseau@knoxmpc.org> wrote: | Bryan,

Just touching base to let you know that the staff is recommending PR at a density of 3 du/ac for better compatibility with surrounding developments. We have had a significant number of calls from folks opposed to the requested 5 du/ac. I suspect some of those will be OK with the staff recommended density. Most calls were concerning losing the berry patch or traffic on McCloud Rd/Andersonville Pike. It might be a good idea for you to have the sight distance certified from the proposed entrance by a licensed surveyor or engineer prior to the meeting, as this appears to be questionable. Below is a link to the staff recommendation. This item will definitely be discussed at both agenda review on Tues and MPC on Thurs.

https://agenda.knoxmpc.org/2018/mar2018/3-C-18-RZ.pdf

Mike

--

Michael A. Brusseau, AICP, Senior Planner Knoxville-Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission City-County Building, Suite 403 400 Main St.