

# SUBDIVISION REPORT -CONCEPT/DEVELOPMENT PLAN

FILE #: 11-SA-22-C	AGENDA ITEM #: 2
11-A-22-DP	AGENDA DATE: 11/10/202
SUBDIVISION:	FAIRVIEW ROAD SUBDIVISION
APPLICANT/DEVELOPER:	MESANA INVESTMENTS, LLC
OWNER(S):	Danny Kirby Springbrook Properties, LLC
TAX IDENTIFICATION:	21 04603, 020MJ054 & 026 View map on KGI
JURISDICTION:	County Commission District 8
STREET ADDRESS:	0 FAIRVIEW RD(7802 & 7946 Beeler Farms Lane)
LOCATION:	West side of Fairview Road, eastern terminus of Beeler Farms Ln
SECTOR PLAN:	Northeast County
GROWTH POLICY PLAN:	Planned Growth Area
WATERSHED:	Beaver Creek
APPROXIMATE ACREAGE:	95.83 acres
ZONING:	PR (Planned Residential), F (Floodway)
EXISTING LAND USE:	Agriculture/Forestry/Vacant Land
PROPOSED USE:	Detached residential subdivision
SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:	North: Agicultural/Forestry/Vacant Land A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) South: Agicultural/Forestry/Vacant Land A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential), F (Floodway) East: Agicultural/Forestry/Vacant Land, Single Family Residential PR (Planned Residential), F (Floodway) West: Single Family Residential, Agicultural/Forestry/Vacant Land A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential)
NUMBER OF LOTS:	126
SURVEYOR/ENGINEER:	Chris Sharp, P.E. Urban Engineering, Inc.
ACCESSIBILITY:	Access is via Beeler Farms Lane, a local street with a pavement width of 26- ft within 50-ft of right-of-way, and via Fairview Road, a minor arterial with 19- ft of pavement width within 50-ft of right-of-way.
<ul> <li>SUBDIVISION VARIANCES REQUIRED:</li> </ul>	VARIANCES 1. Reduce the minimum vertical curve tangent K value from K=25 to K=18.5 at STA 1+57.63, Road D
	ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING KNOXVILLE-KNOX COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL 1. Reduce the minimum horizontal curve from 250' to 200' on Road 'A' between STA 10+24.38 and 10+58.76 2. Reduce the minimum horizontal curve from 250' to 175' on Road' B'

# ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING KNOX COUNTY ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS APPROVAL \*\* See the Requested Variances and Alternative Design Standards memo attached to the staff report

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Deny the concept plan because the proposal is not harmonious with the existing development, as outlined below.

1) The Beeler Farms Subdivision ("Beeler Farms") was approved with a single cul-de-sac street that was not designed or intended to provide access to a significant amount of additional dwellings and the associated vehicular traffic.

2) A road stub-out was not proposed by the developer of the Beeler Farms Subdivision developer or required by the Planning Commission.

3) The houses at the end of the existing cul-de-sac (7942 & 7943 Beeler Farms Lane) were not sited in anticipation of the road being extended along their side lot lines.

\*\*\* Additional details are provided in the staff comments below \*\*\*

#### Deny the development plan based on the denial recommendation of the concept plan.

#### COMMENTS:

1) The Beeler Farms Subdivision ("Beeler Farms") was approved with a single cul-de-sac street that was not designed or intended to provide access to a significant amount of additional dwellings and the associated vehicular traffic.

a) The Transportation Impact Study for the Fairview Road Subdivision (AJAX Engineering, August 2022) noted the long-standing unwritten design policy requiring a second entrance or a boulevard entrance road design when a subdivision has more than 150 lots (see Exhibit C). The purpose of this policy is primarily to address access for emergency services, but could also have the secondary benefit of increasing connectivity. If the proposed subdivision is approved as requested, there will be 179 lots utilizing Beeler Farms Lane as a single access point, which has a standard 26-ft wide pavement width with the potential of vehicles parked on both sides of the street.

b) A sidewalk was not required along Beeler Farms Lane because the development did not meet the criteria according to the Knox County sidewalk ordinance (Chapter 54, Article IV of the Knox County Code). One of the criteria for requiring a sidewalk on new internal streets is when there is an estimated average vehicle trips per day (ADT) of 1,000 or more on a particular road segment. The assumption is that on streets with low traffic volumes, pedestrians and vehicles can safely co-mingle. The street acts as a multimodal facility. Streets with higher traffic volumes need a sidewalk because it is no longer a safe condition for pedestrians and vehicles to co-mingle. The ADT for the 53 homes in Beeler Farms is 580, with the highest travel volume only being between the entrance to the subdivision and the first house. The vehicle trips decrease towards the end of Beeler Farms Lane. The ADT for the proposed 126-lot Fairview Road subdivision is 1,248, which must all travel on the existing Beeler Farms Lane. Now that the Beeler Farms houses are constructed, it will be difficult to add a sidewalk on one side of Beeler Farms Lane. If the Fairview Road Subdivision is approved, a sidewalk will be required along the full length of Road 'A' (Beeler Farms Lane extension), from the edge of the existing cul-de-sac to the eastern terminus of Road 'A'. The residents of Beeler Farms will not have a sidewalk unless installed by the County as a capital improvement project or the applicant agrees to install as part of the infrastructure improvements for the Fairview Road Subdivision.

2) When a stub-out road is proposed by the developer or required by the Planning Commission, Section 3.04.C.2.b of the Subdivision Regulations states the impact of future street connections should be evaluated to identify any impact from the connections, the end of the new street shall be posted with a sign designating the street end as a future street connection, and the Concept Plan and Final Plat for the subdivision shall also clearly identify that the street end is designed for future connection.

a) The intent of this is to ensure adequate infrastructure is installed while the subdivision is being constructed and that future property owners have notice that the road is intended to be extended in the future.

b) During the review phase for Beeler Farms, the staff comments memorandum (dated 4/17/2022) stated:
 "Staff is recommending a stub out street connection to at least one of the larger tracts to the south (or east)."
 The development team responded that "with alignment changes and fewer lots, this isn't a desirable condition."
 c) During the public hearing for Beeler Farms, the applicant stated that the original subdivision design had a

cul-de-sac that extended to the east property line (adjoining the subject property), but the road was shortened because of the presence of a blue line stream. The applicant did not propose a road stub-out for a future connection. A public comment made during the meeting requested that a road stub-out be provided for future connectivity.

d) Planning staff did not recommend a road stub-out to the east property line as a condition of the concept plan approval because requiring a stub-out that crosses a blueline stream was not a logical extension of the road.

e) The Planning Commission did not require a road stub-out as an outcome of the deliberations.

3) The siting of the houses at the end of the cul-de-sac (7942 & 7943 Beeler Farms Lane) indicates that the extension of the road was not anticipated.

a) The two houses referenced above were sited without respect to, and presumably knowledge of, a road being extended between them. The front façade of the houses are parallel to the radius of the cul-de-sac, as would be expected (see Exhibit A & B). If the road is extended, the remnant of the cul-de-sac on both sides will result in something similar to an "eyebrow", unless the cul-de-sac is removed. In either case, a house on a lot like this is typically sited with the front facade parallel to the transition, or point of intersect, of the eyebrow and main roadway or parallel to the main road. Because of how the existing houses are sited, the side elevations are closer to the extended roadway than would normally be required if a stub-out right-of-way was established when the subdivision was platted.

b) In Beeler Farms, the property to the east of the stream was approved and platted as a common area and one house lot, each with a 25 ft strip of land to the cul-de-sac and an access easement straddling the common lot line for shared access across the blueline stream. During the public hearing, the applicant stated he intended to leave the common area untouched and serene and that the homeowners should have input on any amenities placed on the site. The Fairview Road Subdivision concept plan proposes to allocate 20 ft from both the common area lot and the house lot to create the 40-ft right-of-way of the Beeler Farms Lane extension (Road 'A'). If the proposal is approved, the road extension will improve access to the common area, but it is unknown whether an amenity use has been discussed with the Beeler Farms residents and whether the road extension will be complimentary.

c) The applicant is requesting a reduction of the required 50-ft right-of-way to 40-ft for the portion of Road 'A' in Beeler Farms. This will result in a 5-ft strip of land between the two lots at the end of the existing cul-de-sac and the new public right-of-way. The strips of land will remain part of the common area lot and the house lot located on the east side of the stream. Even though the existing lots are near Road 'A', they will not have the benefit of using that frontage to access their rear yards. The purpose of the requested right-of-way reduction and the 5-ft strips of land is so the side lot lines of the two adjacent lots do not touch the new public right-of-way and become front lot lines, which would make the existing houses non-conforming to the front setback standards and restrict the placement of accessory structures. Knox County Engineering and Public Works (EPW) does not oppose the right-of-way reduction. EPW is recommending a reduction of the pavement width to 20-ft between the existing cul-de-sac and the intersection of Road 'A' and Road' B'. This is to constrict the travel lanes as a traffic calming measure. This segment of roadway will be required to have a sidewalk.

#### SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

The proposed subdivision has 126 lots with a single access via Beeler Farms Ln, a recently constructed cul-desac road in the Beeler Farms subdivision. The developer is only purchasing 33 acres of a 93-acre property on the northwest side of Beaver Creek and the unnamed tributary that comes from the Thompson School Road area to the north. The remaining 60 acres has access to Fairview Road and will be retained by the current property owner.

#### PREVIOUS ZONING AND CONCEPT PLAN APPROVALS

The property was rezoned from A (Agricultural) to PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac in 2007 (6-N-07-RZ). This rezoning and subsequent concept plan included the large property to the east owned by a family member of the owner of the subject parcel (190 acres total). Later in 2007, a concept plan was approved for the 190-acre property with 271 detached houses and 47 attached houses at a density of 1.68 du/ac (10-SD-07-C). This proposal had a boulevard entrance road with access to Fairview Drive, a stub-out road for secondary access to a property with frontage on Beeler Road, and walking trails along Beaver Creek.

#### ACCESS

The proposed access is via Beeler Farms Lane, a local street with 26-ft of pavement width. The new road will connect to the terminus of the existing cul-de-sac. The only proposed or required improvement to the existing Beeler Farms Lane is to remove the cul-de-sac and install new a curb inline with the existing curbing. If this is required during permitting, the existing driveways that access the cul-de-sac must be extended.

AGENDA ITEM #: 27 FILE #: 11-SA-22-C 11/4/2022 04:21 PM MIKE REYNOLD	S PAGE #: 27-3
--	----------------

# INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

When the B&B Builders – Beeler Road Subdivision (7-SA-22-C / 7-A-22-UR) was approved, there was a condition that a right turn lane be installed on Beeler Road at the E. Emory Road intersection. If the Fairview Road Subdivision is approved, the installation of the turn lane will also be required. Knox County has agreed to share the cost of this improvement. The developers of these two subdivisions can work out a cost-sharing agreement to fund their portion of the turn lane improvement. If they cannot come to an agreement, the turn lane installation may be a requirement of the first project to move forward with construction.

## AMENITIES / COMMON AREA

There is a usable common area lot between lots 75 & 76, which appears to be the proposed location for the centralized mail facility. The other common areas contain stormwater facilities.

The Knox County Greenway Corridor Study proposes a greenway along the unnamed tributary on the east side of the development and Beaver Creek on the south side. During the permitting stage, a determination will be made if a greenway easement will be required. This will depend on the external boundary of the subdivision and which side of the creek the greenway will likely be located.

If the subdivision is approved as requested, Knox County will require a sidewalk along the full length of Road 'A' (extension of Beeler Farms Lane) and a connection to the proposed greenway from the Road 'A' cul-de-sac.

## VARIANCES AND ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS

The applicant is requesting several road design variances and alternative design standards ("variances"). The 33 acres where the subject development is located has 17.87 acres within the Hillside Protection (HP) area. Section 4.01 of the Subdivision Regulations allows alternative road design standards in the HP area if the Planning Commission finds that the proposed development preserves and protects undisturbed land consistent with the intent of the land disturbance recommendations of the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Plan. This proposal will disturb all 17.87 acres in the HP area, so in the staff's opinion, the provisions of this section are not applicable.

If the Planning Commission approves the subdivision as requested, Knox County Engineering and Public Works recommends approval of the vertical curve and horizontal curve variances as requested. The reductions comply with AASHTO road standards for the design speed of 25 MPH. By default, residential streets in Knox County are posted with a speed limit of 25 MPH.

# DENSITY

The Fairview Road Subdivision is located on a parcel that is approximately 93 acres, zoned PR up to 2 du/ac. This allows approximately 186 dwelling units total. The proposed subdivision is on only 33 acres and is proposed with 126 lots, which is a density of 3.82 du/ac. This will effectively transfer the development rights of 60 dwelling units from the remaining 60 acres to the Fairview Road Subdivision. If this is approved as requested, the remaining portion of the property (parcel 021-04603) will only be allowed approximately 60 dwelling units (this depends on the actual acreage from a survey).

# ESTIMATED TRAFFIC IMPACT: 1248 (average daily vehicle trips)

Average Daily Vehicle Trips are computed using national average trip rates reported in the latest edition of "Trip Generation," published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Average Daily Vehicle Trips represent the total number of trips that a particular land use can be expected to generate during a 24-hour day (Monday through Friday), with a "trip" counted each time a vehicle enters or exits a proposed development.

ESTIMATED STUDENT YIELD: 53 (public school children, grades K-12)

Schools affected by this proposal: Gibbs Elementary, Gibbs Middle, and Gibbs High.

• Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.

• Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.

• Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.

• Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this concept plan request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knox County Chancery Court. The date of the Knox County Chancery Court hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this development plan request is final, unless the action is appealed to the Court of Competent Jurisdiction. The date of the Court of Competent Jurisdiction hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.



#### Staff - Slope Analysis Case: 11-SA-22-C/11-A-22-DP

CATEGORY	ACRES	RECOMMENDED DISTURBANCE BUDGET (Percent)	DISTURBANCE AREA (Acres)
Total Area of Site	94.87		
Non-Hillside	43.20	N/A	
0-15% Slope	12.92	100%	12.92
15-25% Slope	13.81	50%	6.91
25-40% Slope	14.99	20%	3.00
Greater than 40% Slope	9.94	10%	0.99
Ridgetops			
Hillside Protection (HP) Area	51.67	Recommended disturbance budget within HP Area (acres)	23.8
		Percent of HP Area	0.5



# Requested Variances & Alternative Design Standards

# 11-SA-22-C / 11-A-22-DP- FAIRVIEW ROAD SUBDIVISION

## VARIANCES

1. Reduce the minimum vertical curve tangent K value from K=25 to K=18.5 at STA 1+57.63, Road D

# ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING KNOXVILLE-KNOX COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL

- Reduce the minimum horizontal curve from 250' to 200' on Road 'A' between STA 10+24.38 and 10+58.76
- Reduce the minimum horizontal curve from 250' to 175' on Road' B' between STA 8+60.44 and 11+31.97

# ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING KNOX COUNTY ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS APPROVAL

- 1. Increase the intersection grade from 1% to 1.99% along Road 'C' at its intersection with Road 'A'
- 2. Increase the intersection grade from 1% to 2% along Road 'B' at its intersection with Road 'A'
- 3. Increase the intersection grade from 1% to 2% along Road 'D' at its intersection with Road 'A'
- 4. Increase the intersection grade from 1% to 2% along Road 'D' at its intersection with Road 'C'
- 5. Reduce the required right-of-way width from 50' to 40' between the existing Beeler Farms Ln cul-de-sac and the eastern boundary of the Beeler Farms subdivision.
- Reduce the required pavement width from 26' to 20' between the existing Beeler Farms Ln culde-sac and the eastern boundary of the Beeler Farms subdivision (recommended by Knox County Engineering and Public Works)

KNOX COUNTY ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION:

Approve Att HAA 11/4/2020

















# **EXHIBIT A**



KGIS makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of this map and its information, nor to its fitness for use. Any user of this map product accepts the same AS IS, WITH ALL FAULTS, and assumes all responsibility for the use thereof, and further covenants and agrees to hold KGIS harmless from any and all damage, loss, or liability arising from any use of this map product.

© KGIS 2022 Printed: 11/3/2022 3:14:55 PM

EXHIBIT B



EXHIBIT C



Transportation Impact Study Fairview Road Subdivision Knox County, Tennessee



August 2022

Prepared for: Eagle Bend Development Attn: Scott Davis P.O. Box 11315 Knoxville, TN 37939

> 11-SA-22-C / 11-A-22-DP TIS Version 1 8/22/2022



# **CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following is an overview of recommendations to minimize the transportation impacts of the proposed Fairview Road Subdivision with the other adjacent subdivisions on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and safety level.

- $\Box$ 
  - **Beeler Road at Beeler Farms Lane**: Level of service calculations were not completed for the entrance of Beeler Road at Beeler Farms Lane in the projected conditions since there will be minimal conflicting traffic volumes. The construction of left and right-turn lanes on Beeler Road at Beeler Farms Lane for entering traffic is not necessary to accommodate the Fairview Road Subdivision. The single exit lane on Beeler Farms Lane at Beeler Road will be sufficient for the residents in Beeler Farms Subdivision and the Fairview Road Subdivision.
  - 1a) A Stop Sign (R1-1) has been installed on Beeler Farms Lane at Beeler Road, but a 24" white stop bar has not been applied to the pavement. A 24" white stop bar should be applied a minimum of 4 feet away from the edge of Beeler Road and placed at the desired stopping point that maximizes the sight distance.
  - 1b) Fairview Road Subdivision will have outside road access to Beeler Road via Beeler Farms Lane in Beeler Farms Subdivision. Based on visual observation, the intersection and stopping sight distances from Beeler Farms Lane at Beeler Road are adequate but could be improved with vegetation removal and finished grading. Vegetation and disturbed ground currently hamper full sight distance to the



Front Yard of 6872 Beeler Road (Looking North)

north of the intersection. These items are in the front yard of a newly constructed house with direct driveway access to Beeler Road. This house is located at 6872 Beeler Road and is a part of the construction of the Beeler Farms Subdivision. During the construction of this house lot, some uncontrolled vegetation has been allowed to grow along the Beeler Road frontage during grading operations. This uncontrolled vegetation will need to be removed, and any future planned vegetation or landscaping on this lot must be maintained.





**East Emory Road at Beeler Road**: The existing 2022 and projected 2027 level of service calculations for the intersection of East Emory Road at Beeler Road resulted in extremely high vehicle delays for the northbound approach in the AM and PM peak hours.

- 2a) The previous Transportation Impact Study (TIS) for the adjacent proposed Beeler Road Subdivision recommended an eastbound right-turn lane on East Emory Road at Beeler Road. This eastbound right-turn lane is expected to be constructed as an interim remediation before the TDOT project widens East Emory Road from 2 to 5 lanes. When East Emory Road is reconstructed, this eastbound right-turn lane will be absorbed into one of the new thru lanes. Providing an eastbound right-turn lane prior to the reconstruction will slightly reduce the vehicle queue and delay for northbound motorists on Beeler Road attempting to turn left and right onto East Emory Road.
- 2b) As determined in this study and the TIS for the Beeler Road Subdivision, a westbound left-turn lane on East Emory Road at Beeler Road was warranted based on the existing and projected traffic volumes. However, it was determined in the previous TIS that the construction of a westbound left-turn lane would not be critically needed at this time. Any construction at this time to install a "temporary" westbound left-turn lane on East Emory Road will be shortly replaced by the TDOT widening project. In the interim, a "No Passing on Shoulder" (R4-18) sign was recommended in the previous study to be installed on East Emory Road. This recommendation addressed the illegal movements committed by some motorists occasionally using the shoulder to pass stopped westbound left-turning vehicles on East Emory Road at Beeler Road.

All the calculated high vehicle delays at this intersection are projected to only occur for the northbound motorists on Beeler Road attempting to turn left and right on East Emory Road. Providing a temporary westbound left-turn lane at this time would only primarily benefit westbound thru vehicles on East Emory Road since they would not be impeded by stopped vehicles attempting to turn left onto Beeler Road. Westbound leftturns from East Emory Road onto Beeler Road were calculated to operate with low vehicle delays in the existing and projected conditions. Some safety benefits would be provided if a left-turn lane on East Emory Road were provided at this time, but it would not provide significant vehicle delay reductions for this movement since it is directly correlated to the number of opposing vehicles and is calculated with good LOS and low vehicle delays.



The northbound approach of Beeler Road in 2027 was projected to operate with 2c) extremely high delays for the left and right-turning motorists. In addition to the recommended eastbound right-turn lane on East Emory Road from the previous TIS, it is recommended that a northbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of storage on Beeler Road be constructed. The existing and projected right-turns at the northbound approach of Beeler Road at East Emory Road are much higher than left-turns. Adding an exclusive right-turn lane on this approach would reduce delays for the majority of the northbound motorists. Several right-turning motorists on Beeler Road were observed during the traffic count using the shoulder to bypass vehicles waiting to turn left onto East Emory Road and avoid the delay. If not constructed, it is anticipated that more right-turning motorists will be tempted to use the shoulder to avoid excessive delays and queues. The recommended eastbound and northbound right-turn lanes should be coordinated in design and construction to reduce costs and construction time. These lanes should be constructed once the Beeler Road and Fairview Road Subdivisions commence construction to provide additional road capacity and moderate vehicle delays and queues until the TDOT project is completed. The northbound rightturn lane on Beeler Road should be marked with a white turn arrow and lane markings as shown in TDOT Standard Drawing T-M-4.

Separate left and right lanes at unsignalized intersections operating under stop conditions can be an issue due to motorists' potential to compete for sight distance. However, with the existing horizontal alignment on East Emory Road, it is anticipated that a northbound right-turn lane on Beeler Road could be constructed to allow left and right-turning motorists to see oncoming vehicles on East Emory Road in both directions freely without being obstructed by other vehicles.

Adding a northbound right-turn lane on Beeler Road would reduce the overall intersection delay and the excessive queue lengths on the northbound approach by spreading the vehicle into two lanes. The LOS calculation results of adding a northbound right-turn lane on Beeler Road with the previously recommended eastbound right-turn lane on East Emory Road in the projected 2027 conditions are shown in Table 10. The worksheets for these results are provided in Appendix F.



#### TABLE 10 2027 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT) INCLUDING RECOMMENDED EASTBOUND AND NORTHBOUND RIGHT TURN LANES

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS	DELAY	V/C	LOS	DELAY	V/C
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
East Emory Road (EB & WB) at	טי	Northbound Left	F	99.7	0.724	F	537.2	1.765
Beeler Road (NB)	lize	Northbound Right	С	15.9	0.380	С	19.6	0.361
	STOP	Westbound Left/Thru	А	8.8	0.072	В	11.0	0.204
	Unsi							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported using HCM 2010 intersection methodology

<sup>b</sup> Average Delay (sec/vehicle)

° Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

Since the projected northbound left-turn lane is computed to remain at LOS F even with the addition of an eastbound and northbound right-turn lane, the projected vehicle queues were calculated. An additional software program was used to calculate the projected 2027 AM and PM peak hour vehicle queues at the studied intersection with the addition of the recommended eastbound and northbound right-turn lanes. The previously mentioned Synchro Traffic Software includes SimTraffic. The Synchro portion of the software performs the macroscopic calculations for intersections, and SimTraffic performs micro-simulation and animation of vehicular traffic. SimTraffic (Version 11) software was utilized to estimate the projected vehicle queues.

The 95th percentile vehicle queue is the recognized measurement in the traffic engineering profession as the design standard used when considering vehicle queue lengths. A 95th percentile vehicle queue length means 95% certainty that the vehicle queue will not extend beyond that point. The calculated vehicle queue results were based on averaging the outcome obtained during ten traffic simulations. The calculated 95th percentile vehicle queue lengths at the intersection for the 2027 projected conditions with an eastbound and northbound right-turn lane are shown in Table 11. The vehicle queue worksheet results from the SimTraffic software are in Appendix J. As shown in Table 11, the longest vehicle queue is calculated to be 122 feet. Thus, even though the northbound left-turn queue is calculated to be 122 feet. Thus, even though the calculated maximum queue is projected to only be approximately five passenger cars in length.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Level of Service

## TABLE 11 TURN LANE STORAGE & VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -2027 PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT) INCLUDING RECOMMENDED EASTBOUND AND NORTHBOUND RIGHT TURN LANES

INTERSECTION	APPROACH/	PROPOSED	ADEQUATE	95 <sup>th</sup> PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft)		
	MOVEMENT	STORAGE (ft)	LENGTH?	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR	
East Emory Road (EB & WB) at	Eastbound Right	75	Yes	5	18	
Beeler Road (NB)	Westbound Left/Thru	n/a	n/a	106	211	
	Northbound Left	n/a	n/a	70	122	
	Northbound Right	100	Yes	76	84	

Note: 95th percentile queues were calculated in SimTraffic 11 software

2d) This report has determined that the documented need for separate left and right-turn lanes on East Emory Road at Beeler Road will be satisfied by the capacity provided by the future TDOT widening project in 2030. This project is currently in the design phase, and TDOT proposes widening East Emory Road from 2 to 5 lanes. This project will include 2 thru lanes in each direction and a center turn lane. East Emory Road will be widened to provide a center turn lane for westbound left-turns at Beeler Road, and the two thru lanes (in each direction) will eliminate the need for a separate eastbound right-turn lane at Beeler Road.

The thru volumes on East Emory Road shown in Figure 8 were increased by an annual growth factor of 1% from 2027 to 2030 to provide an analysis of the intersection in 2030 with the TDOT road widening project. These volumes are shown in Figure 9.

The eastbound right-turn volume thresholds were examined in the projected 2030 conditions to provide evidence that the need for an eastbound right-turn lane will be eliminated with a 5-lane roadway section. This examination included the AM and PM peak hour projected 2030 volumes on East Emory Road with five lanes, as shown in Figure 9. The worksheet from this examination is shown in Appendix I and shows that a separate eastbound right-turn lane would not be required with a 5-lane roadway section on East Emory Road with the projected 2030 traffic volumes.

The capacity calculations and vehicle queues were analyzed with 5-lanes on East Emory Road with the northbound right-turn lane on Beeler Road remaining with the projected 2030 traffic volumes. The results of these calculations are shown in Tables 12 and 13.



The worksheets for these results are provided in Appendix F and J.

# TABLE 12 2030 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT) WITH TDOT WIDENING PROJECT AND NORTHBOUND RIGHT TURN LANE ON BEELER ROAD

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS	DELAY	V/C	LOS	DELAY	V/C
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
East Emory Road (EB & WB) at	ਯੁ	Northbound Left	С	22.2	0.267	E	45.4	0.519
Beeler Road (NB)	lize	Northbound Right	В	12.5	0.296	В	14.7	0.272
	STOP E	Westbound Left	А	8.9	0.073	В	11.2	0.209
	Unsi							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported using HCM 2010 intersection methodology

<sup>a</sup> Level of Service

<sup>b</sup> Average Delay (sec/vehicle)

<sup>c</sup> Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

As shown in Table 12, the TDOT road widening project in 2030, coupled with the northbound right-turn lane on Beeler Road, will provide the necessary road capacity to mitigate the excessive vehicle delays on the northbound approach at the intersection of East Emory Road at Beeler Road.

# TABLE 13

# TURN LANE STORAGE & VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -2030 PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT) WITH TDOT WIDENING PROJECT AND NORTHBOUND RIGHT TURN LANE ON BEELER ROAD

INTERSECTION	APPROACH/	PROPOSED	ADEQUATE		CENTILE ENGTH (ft)
	MOVEMENT	STORAGE (ft)	LENGTH?	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR
East Emory Road (EB & WB) at	Eastbound Thru/Right	n/a	n/a	4	15
Beeler Road (NB)	Westbound Left	n/a	n/a	45	69
	Northbound Left	n/a	n/a	65	93
	Northbound Right	100	Yes	65	64

Note: 95th percentile queues were calculated in SimTraffic 11 software

As shown in Table 13, the recommended northbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of storage will be adequate in the projected 2030 conditions since the longest vehicle queue is calculated to be 65 feet in the projected AM peak hour.





2e) As a further investigation into potential remediation for this intersection in future conditions, an evaluation was conducted with respect to traffic signal warrants.

# <u>Methodology</u>:

<u>The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices – 2009 Edition</u> (MUTCD) presents nine different warrants that the traffic engineering profession has developed to determine whether a traffic signal is warranted. These warrants cover a broad range of minimum elements required to indicate whether a traffic signal is justified for any particular location. These elements include traffic volumes, pedestrian volumes, crash history, and other factors. The



MUTCD explicitly states that a traffic control signal should not be installed unless one or more of the Manual's signal warrants are met. However, the satisfaction of a warrant does not entirely in itself justify the need for a traffic signal. Sometimes further engineering studies and judgments must be applied before justifying the need for a traffic signal installation. These additional studies are significant in ensuring that a traffic signal's installation will not degrade safety and efficiencies.

The MUTCD defines nine different warrants, two of which are potentially applicable for this intersection at this time and are explained below:

Warrant #1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume:

Warrant #1 is comprised of 2 conditions – A and B. The Minimum Vehicular Volume, Condition A, is intended for applications where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for consideration of signal installation. The Interruption of Continuous Traffic, Condition B, is intended for use at locations where Condition A is not satisfied and where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on a minor intersecting street suffers excessive delay or conflict in entering or crossing the major street.

# Warrant #2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume:

The Four-Hour Vehicular Volume signal warrant conditions are intended to be applied where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.



Even though nine warrants are offered to justify a traffic signal, according to the TDOT Traffic Signal Manual, the agency gives precedence to Warrant #1 (Eight Hour Vehicular Volume) and Warrant #7 (Crash Experience). Even though Warrant #2 is not a primary warrant used by TDOT, it is included in this study. Furthermore, TDOT does not allow installing a traffic signal on a state route based on speculative developments or unrealized traffic volumes.

The intersection of East Emory Road at Beeler Road was evaluated in the projected 2030 conditions shown in Figure 9 to determine whether a traffic signal could be justified based on the MUTCD Warrants listed above. Beeler Road was used as the minor side street for the warrant analysis, and East Emory Road was the major street. Warrant #7 was not analyzed at this intersection for this study. Warrant #7 was not included because one of the primary criteria for an intersection to meet the warrant is that an "Adequate trial of alternatives with satisfactory observance and enforcement has failed to reduce the crash frequency..." It is not believed that any specific alternatives have been implemented and observed at this intersection; therefore, this warrant was not included in this study.

A spreadsheet was used to calculate the 2030 traffic volumes generated by the developments being added to the intersection during the highest 8 hours of traffic based on the assumed trip distribution and assignment. The volumes in the spreadsheet include the existing tabulated thru volumes on East Emory Road increased by 1% for eight years to the year 2030, the generated traffic from the remaining houses in Beeler Farms Subdivision to be fully occupied, the proposed Beeler Road Subdivision, and the proposed Fairview Road Subdivision. This spreadsheet is shown in Appendix J.

Traffic signal warrants for this intersection were analyzed with the additional lanes that will be provided on East Emory Road by the TDOT widening project. Based on the projected 2030 traffic volumes with the 5-lane section on East Emory Road, the results of this evaluation determined that Warrant #1 would not be fully met but would meet Warrant #2. Appendix J includes the traffic signal warrant spreadsheet for the projected traffic volumes in 2030, with East Emory Road having 5-lanes provided by the TDOT widening project.

In conclusion, since TDOT does not allow for a traffic signal to be constructed on speculative or projected volumes, it is recommended that traffic counts be re-conducted



in the future once the subdivisions on Beeler Road are constructed and fully occupied, and the road widening of East Emory Road is under development. Updated traffic counts will allow a re-examination of the Traffic Signal Warrants and establish a timeframe if this intersection could or should be signalized during the TDOT road widening project of East Emory Road. Traffic crash data should also be included in the examination.

Higher growth than anticipated in this study could occur and increase traffic volumes large enough to meet Warrant #1 fully.

In summary, and to provide a comparison of all the discussed options, Table 14 presents the calculated LOS and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile vehicle queues at the intersection of East Emory Road at Beeler Road for four scenarios. The scenarios in the table include the 2027 projected conditions with the project, 2027 projected conditions with the project and an eastbound right-turn lane, 2027 projected conditions with the project and an eastbound and northbound right-turn lane, and the 2030 projected conditions with the project with a northbound right-turn lane and the TDOT road widening. As seen in the table, the vehicle delays and queues are reduced in each scenario when additional road capacity is provided.

## TABLE 14 INTERSECTION CAPACITY AND VEHICLE QUEUE ANALYSIS RESULTS -EAST EMORY ROAD AT BEELER ROAD

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS	DELAY	QUEUE	LOS	DELAY	QUEUE
				(seconds)	LENGTH		(seconds)	LENGTH
					(ft)			(ft)
2027 Projected Conditions	zed	Northbound Left/Right	F	149.4	160	F	779.7	288
(With the Project)	STOP The store	Westbound Left/Thru	А	8.8	103	В	11.0	239
	Unsignalized							
2027 Projected Conditions	zed	Northbound Left/Right	F	130.2	149	F	603.8	226
(With the Project)	STOP	Westbound Left/Thru	А	8.8	95	В	11.0	199
with EB Right Turn Lane	Unsignalized							
2027 Projected Conditions	g	Northbound Left	F	99.7	70	F	537.2	122
(With the Project)	dize	Northbound Right	С	15.9	76	С	19.6	84
with EB and NB Right	STOP T	Westbound Left/Thru	А	8.8	106	В	11.0	211
Turn Lanes	Unsignalized							
2030 Projected Conditions	g	Northbound Left	С	22.2	65	Е	45.4	93
(With the Project)	dize	Northbound Right	В	12.5	65	В	14.7	64
with 5-Lane TDOT Widening	STOP TE	Westbound Left	А	8.9	45	В	11.2	69
and NB Right Turn Lane	Unsignalized							





**Fairview Road Subdivision Internal Roads:** The layout plan shows one entrance via Beeler Farms Lane constructed for the development, as shown in Figure 3 and below.

- 3a) Even though a 25-mph Speed Limit (R2-1) sign and a "No Outlet" (W14-2a) sign are already posted at the beginning of the Beeler Farms Lane off Beeler Road, it is recommended that two 25-mph Speed Limit (R2-1) signs be posted on Road "A" to the east of the cul-de-sac at the end of Beeler Farms Lane. One sign should be installed for eastbound travel into Fairview Road Subdivision and one for westbound travel into the Beeler Farms Subdivision. This recommendation will provide a reinforcement notification of the speed limit within the subdivisions.
- 3b) Stop Signs (R1-1) with 24" white stop bars and other traffic signage are recommended to be installed at the internal locations in Fairview Road Subdivision, as shown below:



- 3c) Sight distance at the new internal subdivision road intersections must not be impacted by signage, parked cars, or future landscaping. With a proposed speed limit of 25-mph in the development, the internal intersection sight distance is 250 feet. The required stopping sight distance is 155 feet for a level road grade. The site designer should ensure that internal sight distance lengths are met and account for different proposed road grades.
- 3d) The internal roads of "A" and "B" in the Fairview Road Subdivision have relatively long and straight road segments. Straight road segments encourage motorists to travel at higher speeds, especially with steep grades. It is recommended that the site designer consider traffic calming measures on these internal roads. Roads "C" and "D" are relatively short and would not necessarily require traffic calming measures.

Speed humps are a prevalent traffic calming measure to install in residential areas to reduce vehicle speeds due to their relatively low cost. However, speed humps are not recommended on roads with grades greater than 8%.

If implemented, it is recommended that the site designer consider speed humps and chokers. Chokers are recommended to be used when the internal road grades are greater than 8%. A choker is used to discourage motorists from speeding and is appropriate in residential settings. A choker is created by narrowing the road using curb extensions or can be created by installing a planting strip on an island at the road edge. Any road design with chokers must consider driveway placement, stormwater, and sight distance. Details of any traffic calming should be coordinated with Knox County Engineering in the detailed design phase.

- 3e) All drainage grates and covers for the residential development must be pedestrian and bicycle safe.
- 3f) If directed by the local post office, the site designer should provide a centralized mail delivery center with a parking area within the Fairview Road Subdivision. The current site plan shows a common area on Road "B" on the northwest side of the development, but it does not show or label this area as a dedicated mail center. A specific parking or pull-off area plan should be designed and provided if a mail center is required.
- 3g) Knox County has completed a greenway study in 2020 and recommended Beaver Creek



as a preferred route for a new greenway connecting the area around Interstate 75 in Powell to the Knox County/Union County line. With Beaver Creek adjacent to the development site, the developer should discuss with Knox County if this potential greenway path is feasible to implement while the property is being developed.

3h) For residential subdivisions with a single access point and more than 150 houses, Knox County has a long-standing unwritten design policy requiring a second entrance or a boulevard road typical section at the entrance. This policy is to ensure access to the subdivision during potential emergencies. A total of 183 houses will be constructed in the Beeler Farms and Fairview Road Subdivisions, utilizing Beeler Farms Lane as the single access point. The only available road access for the Fairview Road Subdivision will be via Beeler Farms Lane to Beeler Road. The Fairview Road Subdivision development will not have physical access for a second entrance. The entrance at Beeler Farms Lane and Beeler Road has already been constructed and will preclude constructing or retrofitting a boulevard entrance. The lack of a second entrance is not expected to be an issue regarding roadway capacity at the entrance via Beeler Farms Lane.

The development property that will be purchased from the current landowner for the proposed subdivision house lots will not have access to Fairview Road. Furthermore, any potential road access to Fairview Road would be cost prohibitive to cross Beaver Creek and could negatively impact the floodplain.

3i) All road grade and intersection elements should be designed to AASHTO, TDOT, and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.





# **Development Request**

# DEVELOPMENT

✓ Development Plan

□ Planned Development

☐ Hillside Protection COA

Use on Review / Special Use

# SUBDIVISION

✓ Concept Plan☐ Final Plat

# Plan AmendmentSector PlanOne Year Plan

🗌 Rezoning

ZONING

Mesana Investments, LL	.c	
Applicant Name		Affiliation
9/20/2022	11/10/2022	11-SA-22-C / 11-A-22-DP
Date Filed	Meeting Date (if applicable)	File Number(s)
CORRESPONDENCE	All correspondence related to this application	on should be directed to the approved contact listed below.
Chris Sharp, P.E. Urban	Engineering, Inc.	
Name / Company		
10330 Hardin Valley Rd.	Pk. Knoxville TN 37932	
Address		
865-966-1924 / chris@u	Irban-eng.com	
Phone / Email		
CURRENT PROPERT	TY INFO	
Danny Kirby Springbroo	k Properties, LLC 7335 PO BOX 10226 Knoxville	e TN 37939 / dkirby@5881000.com
Owner Name (if differen	t) Owner Address	Owner Phone / Email
0 FAIRVIEW RD / 7802 8	& 7946 Beeler Farms Lane	
Property Address		
021 04603 020M1054	(part of) and 020MJ026	95.83 acres
Parcel ID		of Parcel (Y/N)? Tract Size
Hallsdale Powell Utility	District Northeast Knox	(Utility District
Sewer Provider	Water Provider	Septic (Y/N)
STAFF USE ONLY		
STAFF USE UNLT		
	oad, eastern terminus of Beeler Farms Ln	
General Location		
City Commission Di		Agriculture/Forestry/Vacant Land
County District	Zoning District	Existing Land Use
Northeast County	LDR (Low Density Residential), HP (Hillside	Protection), S Planned Growth Area
Planning Sector	Sector Plan Land Use Classification	Growth Policy Plan Designation

🖌 Development Plan 🗌 Plann	ad Development		Special Use	Related Citv	Permit Number
✓ Development Plan □ Plann ☐ Hillside Protection COA	ed Development	Use on Review /	Non-residential		
Home Occupation (specify)					
Other (specify) <b>Detached reside</b>	ntial subdivision				
SUBDIVSION REQUEST					
Fairview Road Subdivision				Related Rez	oning File Numb
Proposed Subdivision Name					
<b>_</b>	Split Parcels		126		
Unit / Phase Number		Total N	Number of Lots Created		
Additional Information					
Attachments / Additional Requ	irements				
ZONING REQUEST					
Zoning Change				Pending P	Plat File Number
Proposed Zon	ling				
🗌 Plan					
Amendment Proposed Pl	an Designation(s)				
		_			
	Previous Zoning Rec	quests			
Additional Information					
STAFF USE ONLY					
PLAT TYPE			Fee 1		Total
Staff Review Delanning	g Commission		\$1,600.00	J	
ATTACHMENTS		_			_
Property Owners / Option Hold		ce Request	Fee 2		
ADDITIONAL REQUIREMEN					
Design Plan Certification (Final	,		Fee 3		-
Site Plan (Development Requesited)	st)				
Traffic Impact Study					
Use on Review / Special Use (C	oncept Plan)				-1
AUTHORIZATION					
	Mesana Inv	vestments, LLC			9/20/2022
Applicant Signature	Please Print	t			Date
Phone / Email					
	Danny Kirb	y Springbrook Proper	rties, LLC		9/20/2022
		<u>, eb92e</u> bei			

the application digitally (or print	t, sign, and scan). Knoxville-Knox Cou OR email it to app	inpleted form and bring in unty Planning offices lications@knoxplanning.c	Reset For
Planning KNOXVILLE I KNOX COUNTY	<b>Development</b> Development Plan Planned Development Use on Review / Special Use Hillside Protection COA	SUBDIVISION Concept Plan	<b>ZONING</b> <ul> <li>Plan Amendment</li> <li>SP OYP</li> <li>Rezoning</li> </ul>
Mesana Investments, LLC		Opt	tion Holder
Applicant Name		Affili	ation
<del>July 25, 2022</del> 9/13/2022	-September 8, 2022 11/10	)/2022	File Number(s)
Date Filed	Meeting Date (if applicable)		SA-22-C \-22-DP
CORRESPONDENCE A	ll correspondence related to this application	should be directed to the	approved contact listed below.
Chris Sharp, P.E.		or 🔳 Engineer 🗌 Ard an Engineering, Inc.	chitect/Landscape Architect
Name	Comp	bany	
10330 Hardin Valley Road, S	Suite 201 Kno	xville TN	37932
Address	City	Stat	e ZIP
(865) 966-1924	chris@urban-eng.com		
Phone	Email		
CURRENT PROPERTY INFO			
Michael Fielden	7335 Fairview Road	d (37721)	
Property Owner Name (if different	:) Property Owner Addres		ties, LLC, PO BOX 10226, Knoxville, TN Property Owner Phone
0 Fairview Road <del>(37721)</del> , 78	302 & 7946 BEELER FARMS LN	021 04603 , 020M	J054 (part of) & <del>056</del> 026
Property Address		Parcel ID	
Hallsdale Powell	Hallsdale Pc	owell	No
Sewer Provider	Water Provider	r	Septic (Y/N
STAFF USE ONLY			
West side of Fairview Road	d, eastern terminus of Beeler Farm		5.83 acres
			t Size
□ City M County Bth District	PR (Planned Residential)	Agriculture/fore	stry/vacant
	Zoning District	Existing Land Use	
District		Existing Land Ose	

# **DEVELOPMENT REQUEST**

Development Plan	Use on Review / Special Use 🛛 Hillside Prot	ection COA Related City Permit Number(s)
🔽 Residential		
Home Occupation (spe	sify)	
Other (specify)	Detached residential subdivision	

SUBDIVISION REQUEST

Fairview Road S/D			Kelated R	ezoning File Number	
Proposed Subdivision Name		126			
Unit / Phase Number	le Parcel	Total Number of Lots (	Created		
Other (specify) Detached residential subdivisio	n	nna e realent e tre a cara da Mala (en enare - 5664). Al 1960 (570			
Attachments / Additional Requirements					
ZONING REQUEST					
			Pendin	g Plat File Number	
Zoning Change Proposed Zoning					
Plan Amendment Change					
Proposed Plan Designation	ר)ר(s)				
Proposed Density (units/acre) Previous Re	ezoning Req	uests			
Other (specify)					
STAFF USE ONLY		L'an in			
PLAT TYPE		Fee 1		Total	
Staff Review I Planning Commission		102	Concept Plan		
	TONE	Fee 2	•	-	
Property Owners / Option Holders     Variance Request     ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	lest	and the second second	1	\$1,600	
Design Plan Certification (Final Plat)					
Use on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan)		Fee 3			
Traffic Impact Study			1		
COA Checklist (Hillside Protection)					
AUTHORIZATION					
10					
A verende of	Mesana Investments, LLC		La Risseria	7-21-2022	
	Please Print		Date	Date	
	vd444@g	mail.com			
Phone pumber N Lieb Em	Email Michael M Fielden		7-	7-21-2027 7-21-2089	
		DFIELDEN	1 7.	21-2082	
	ase Print		Date		

Please Print

Date



# NAMES OF ALL PROPERTY OWNERS INVOLVED OR HOLDERS OF OPTION ON SAME MUST BE LISTED BELOW:

Please print or type in black ink:

NAME	ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP	OWNER / OPTION
Springbrook Pro					
	An	l 1/2			$\checkmark$
		U			
<u></u>		·····			

If more space is needed, attach additional sheets.



# Sign Posting & Removal Requirement

Revised April 2021

The Administrative Rules and Procedures of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission require a sign to be posted on the property for each application subject to consideration by the Planning Commission, including the following applications: rezoning, plan amendment, concept plan, use on review/special use, planned development, right-of-way closure, and name change.



The required public notice sign(s) will be provided by Planning to the applicant when an application is submitted. If an application is submitted electronically, Planning staff will post the required sign. If a replacement sign(s) is needed, the applicant is responsible for picking up the new sign(s) from Planning and will be charged \$10 for each replacement.

# LOCATION AND VISIBILITY

The sign must be posted on the nearest adjacent/frontage street and in a location clearly visible to vehicles traveling in either direction. If the property has more than one street frontage, the sign should be placed along the street that carries more traffic. Planning staff may recommend a preferred location for the sign to be posted at the time of application.

# TIMING

The sign(s) must be posted **not less than 12 days prior to the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing** and must remain in place until the day after the meeting. In the case of a postponement, the sign can either remain in place or be removed and reposted not less than 12 days prior to the next Planning Commission meeting. The applicant is responsible for removing the sign after the application has been acted upon by the Planning Commission.

The individual below is responsible for posting and removing the sign(s) provided consistent with the above guidelines and between the dates of:

10/28/2022	and	11/11/2022		
(applicant or staff to post sign)		(applicant to remove sign)		
Applicant Name:Mesana Investment	s, LLC			
Date: 9/20/22		X Sign posted by Staff		
File Number: 11-SA-22-C, 11-A-22-DI	)	Sign posted by Applicant		