

## SUBDIVISION REPORT -CONCEPT/DEVELOPMENT PLAN

► FILE #: 12-SF-23-C	AGENDA ITEM #: 33
12-G-23-DP	AGENDA DATE: 12/14/2023
SUBDIVISION:	LANTERN PARK
APPLICANT/DEVELOPER:	S&E PROPERTIES, LLC
OWNER(S):	S&E Properties LLC
TAX IDENTIFICATION:	116 06704 View map on KGIS
JURISDICTION:	County Commission District 6
STREET ADDRESS:	12041 Hardin Valley Rd.
► LOCATION:	North side of Hardin Valley Rd, west side of Mission Hills Ln
SECTOR PLAN:	Northwest County
GROWTH POLICY PLAN:	Rural Area
WATERSHED:	Conner Creek
APPROXIMATE ACREAGE:	8.85 acres
ZONING:	PR (Planned Residential)
EXISTING LAND USE:	Rural Residential, Agriculture/Forestry/Vacant Land
PROPOSED USE:	Detached residential subdivision
SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:	North: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac South: Single family residential, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural) and PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac and 3 du/ac East: Mission Hill Lane, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac West: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac
SURROUNDING LAND	North: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac South: Single family residential, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural) and PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac and 3 du/ac East: Mission Hill Lane, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac West: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3
SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:	North: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac South: Single family residential, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural) and PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac and 3 du/ac East: Mission Hill Lane, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac West: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac
SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:	North: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac South: Single family residential, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural) and PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac and 3 du/ac East: Mission Hill Lane, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac West: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

- Deny the requested variance to reduce the minimum intersection separation between Mission Hill Lane and Lantern Park Lane from 400 ft to 368 ft due to the lack of sufficient justification provided by the applicant demonstrating an extraordinary hardship, as recommended by Knox County Engineering and Public Works.
- Deny the concept plan application based on not meeting the minimum intersection separation standard in Section 3.04.J. of the Subdivision Regulations.

Staff is recommending denial of the concept plan based on the recommendation to deny the intersection separation variance. The evidence of hardship provided by the applicant does not adequately address how the unique site features restrict compliance with the minimum intersection standard along the Hardin Valley Road frontage.

Deny the development plan application based on the associated concept plan not meeting the standards of the Subdivision Regulations.

#### COMMENTS:

This is a 25-lot subdivision on 8.55 acres with access from Hardin Valley Drive and Mission Hill Lane. There are 21 lots with frontage on the internal road, Lantern Park Lane, that has access from Hardin Valley Road, and four (4) lots with frontage on Mission Hill Lane. The applicant is requesting three variances to the road design standards of the Subdivision Regulations. The applicant's justification of hardships is attached to the staff report.

#### BACKGROUND

The Planning Commission reviewed and approved two previous Concept Plan and Use on Review (Development Plan) applications for the subject property. In September 2021, the 18-lot Mission Hills Subdivision was approved on 6.98 acres (7-SA-21-C / 7-C-21-UR). The development area did not include the frontage along Mission Hill Lane. In February 2022, the revised Mission Hills Subdivision was approved with 26 lots on 8.85 acres (1-SB-22-C / 1-G-22-UR). The proposal included an additional 1.87 acres along Mission Hill Lane on the east side of the property, which was formerly part of the Hoppe property that wrapped around the subject site. In September 2023, the concept plan was remanded back to the Planning Commission for further consideration. The applicant is requesting withdrawal of the 2022 concept plan (1-SB-22-C).

#### VARIANCES

Staff supports approval of the two vertical curve variances because of the unique characteristics of the site. There is a knob in the middle of the site that makes meeting vertical curve standards challenging without additional grading that could impact the streams in the north and southeast portions of the property. Staff does not support the intersection separation request for the reasons stated above.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN ANALYSIS PER ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.50.06 (APPROVAL OR DENIAL) In the exercise of its administrative judgment, the Planning Commission shall determine if the proposed plan is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance and adopted plans.

#### 1) ZONING ORDINANCE

PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac:

A) The PR zone allows houses as a permitted use. The administrative procedures for the PR zone require the Planning Commission to approve the development plan before permits can be issued (Article 5, Section 5.13.15).

B) This PR zone district is approved for a maximum of 3 du/ac. The proposed density for the subdivision is 2.82 du/ac.

#### 2) GENERAL PLAN – DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

A) Policy 9.3, Ensure that the context of new development, including scale and compatibility, does not impact existing neighborhoods and communities – NOTE: The proposed subdivision will have detached residential lot sizes comparable to those in existing and recently approved subdivisions in the area.

#### 3) NORTHWEST COUNTY SECTOR PLAN

A) The property is classified as RR (Rural Residential), which allows consideration of up to 3 du/ac. The proposed density is 2.82 du/ac.

B) The property has 4.3 acres within the HP (Hillside Protection) area. The slope analysis recommends a disturbance budget of 2.8 acres. This proposal disturbs the entire area HP area.C) The Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (Exhibit B) has a preferred greenway route along Conner Creek, on the north side of this development. A greenway easement is being provided on the south side of Conner Creek to allow for the future installation of a greenway.

#### 4) KNOXVILLE – FARRAGUT – KNOX COUNTY GROWTH POLICY PLAN

A) The property is within the Rural Area. Rural areas are to include land to be preserved for farming, recreation, and other non-urban uses. The rural designation shall not impede the right of a property owner to use or develop the property for a purpose permitted by that property's zoning.

B) The Rural Area recommends a maximum density of 2-3 du/ac. Three (3) du/ac can be considered as an extension of low density residential development if the property is PR (Planned Residential), sanitary sewer and public water is provided, connecting collector and arterial roads from the development to the Planned Growth area meet the standards of Knox County Engineering and Public Works, and a transportation impact analysis demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Planning Commission that the effect of the proposed and similar developments in the traffic analysis zone will not reasonably impair traffic flow.

C) A Transportation Impact Letter was submitted with the rezoning application for the property (1-G-21-RZ) and Hardin Valley Road is a minor arterized zetreet. (average daily vehicle trips)

#### ESTIMATED TRAFFIC IMPACT:

Average Daily Vehicle Trips are computed using national average trip rates reported in the latest edition of "Trip Generation," published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Average Daily Vehicle Trips represent the total number of trips that a particular land use can be expected to generate during a 24-hour day (Monday through Friday), with a "trip" counted each time a vehicle enters or exits a proposed development.

10 (public school children, grades K-12)

#### ESTIMATED STUDENT YIELD:

Schools affected by this proposal: Hardin Valley Elementary, Hardin Valley Middle, and Hardin Valley Academy.

• Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.

• Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.

• Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.

• Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this concept plan request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knox County Chancery Court. The date of the Knox County Chancery Court hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.

The Planning Commission's approval or denial of this development plan request is final, unless the action is appealed either to the Board of Zoning Appeals or to a court of competent jurisdiction within thirty (30) days of the decision being appealed (Knox County, Tennessee Code of Ordinances, Appendix A, Zoning, 6.50.08).

• Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.

• Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.

• Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.

• Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this concept plan request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knox County Chancery Court. The date of the Knox County Chancery Court hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.

The Planning Commission's approval or denial of this development plan request is final, unless the action is appealed either to the Board of Zoning Appeals or to a court of competent jurisdiction within thirty (30) days of the decision being appealed (Knox County, Tennessee Code of Ordinances, Appendix A, Zoning, 6.50.08).



# **Request to** Postpone · Table · Withdraw

rlanning	Lantern Park		12-11-23
KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY	Applicant Name (as it appears	on the current Planning Commission agenda)	Date of Request
December 14, 2023		23	File Number(s)
Scheduled Meeting Date		12-SF <del>-26</del> -C; 12-G-23-DP	
POSTPONE		L	
the week prior to the Planning	Commission meeting. All req	he request is received in writing and paid fo uests must be acted upon by the Planning C ponement. If payment is not received by th	commission, except new
SELECT ONE: 🔳 30 days 🛛 6	0 days 🔲 90 days		
Postpone the above application(s)	until the January 11, 2024	Planning Comm	ission Meeting.
WITHDRAW			
Applicants are eligible for a refe	und only if a written request fo	ade after this deadline must be acted on by r withdrawal is received no later than close proved by the Executive Director or Plannin <i>*The refund check will be n</i>	of business 2 business day g Services Manager.
<b>TABLE:</b> Any item requested for	tabling must be acted upon by	the Planning Commission before it can be	officially tabled. There is
no fee to table or untable an ite			and any concert mere to
AUTHORIZATION By si	ning below, I certify I am the ו	property owner, and/or the owners authorize	ed representative.
Parto The	ALC BO	enjamin C. Mullins	
Applicant Signature	M	ease Print	
865-546-9321			
Phone Number		nullins@fmsllp.com nail	
	En		
STAFF ONLY			
Jun h	Shelley	Gray 12/11/20	23
Staff Signature	Please Pri	•	LI No Fee
Eligible for Fee Refund?	No Amount:		
Annual but		Data	
Approved by:		Date:	
Payee Name	Payee Phone	Payee Address	





#### Staff - Slope Analysis Case: 12-SF-23-C / 12-G-23-DP

CATEGORY	ACRES	RECOMMENDED DISTURBANCE BUDGET (Percent)	DISTURBANCE AREA (Acres)
Total Area of Site	8.8		
Non-Hillside	4.5	N/A	
0-15% Slope	1.6	100%	1.6
15-25% Slope	2.3	50%	1.1
25-40% Slope	0.5	20%	0.1
Greater than 40% Slope	0.0	10%	0.0
Ridgetops			
Hillside Protection (HP) Area	4.3	Recommended disturbance budget within HP Area (acres)	2.8
		Percent of HP Area	64.4%





Name: J:\330\330.018\DWGS\Concept\330018cp001.dgn







	11       12         GRADING NOTES:         1. THE TOPOGRAPHIC DATA WAS TAKEN FROM AVAILABLE KGL DATA PROVIDED ON APRIL 27, 2021.         HILLSIDE PROTECTION         HILLSIDE PROTECTION AREA:         4.35 AC         DISTURBED HILLSIDE PROTECTION AREA:	
		S&E PROPERTIES, LLC 405 MONTBROOK LANE KNOXVILLE, TN 37919 MR. ERIC MOSELEY ERICMOSELEY@BELLSOUTH.NET 865.539.1112
		Image: DescriptionImage: Descrip
	I2-SF-23-C / 12-G-23-DP         Revised: 12/5/2023	EPT PLAN OF LANTERN PARK SUBDIVISION HARDIN VALLEY ROAD (PARCEL 116 06704) KNOXVILLE, TN 37932 CONCEPT GRADING PLAN
red Land Surveyor       I HER         urveyor, licensed to practice       PRACT         essee. I further certify that the       I FUR         and statements conform, to the       DOCU         ons of the Knoxville-Knox       OF TH         been itemized and described in       HAS L         MFTRI       MFTRI	890       EXISTING CONTOUR         + 892.10       PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION          PROPERTY LINE          HILLSIDE PROTECTION         PEBY CERTIFY THAN AND ACCOMPANYING DRAWINGS,         TICE ENGINEERASE ONDER THE DAYS OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE.         PHAT THE ROW AND ACCOMPANYING DRAWINGS,         MENTS, AND STATEMENTS CONFORM OF ALL APPLICABLE PROVISIONS         HE KNOX COUNTY SUBDITIS OF REGULATIONS EXCEPT AS         BEEN ITEMIZED AND DESCRIBED IN A REPORT FILED WITH THE	INARY INARY FOR UCTION
1	REGISTERED ENGINEER O AARRON M. GRAY, P.E.         TENNESSEE CERTIFICATE NO.         0108410         11         12	JOB NO:         330.018           DATE:         05/21/21           COP2           13



Name Date: File Plot





I hereby certify that I am a registered land surveyor, licensed to practice surveying under the laws of the State of Tennessee. I further certify that the plan and accompanying drawings, documents and statements conform, to the best of my knowledge, to all applicable provisions of the Knoxville–Knox County Subdivision Regulations except as has been itemized and described in a report filed with the Planning Commission.

Registered Land Surveyor: \_\_\_\_\_RYAN\_S. LYNCH Tennessee License No. 2447 Date: <u>12/05/2023</u>

COLLABORATE. INNOVATE. CREATE. 2160 Lakeside Centre Way, Suite 20 Knoxville, TN 37922 Phone: (865) 690-6419 www.Ardurra.com	1
S&E PROPERTIES, LLC 405 MONTBROOK LAN KNOXVILLE, TN 37919 MR. ERIC MOSELEY ERICMOSELEY@BELLSOUTH.NET 865.539.1112	
AMG AMG	BY
REVISED PER MPC COMMENTS REVISED PER MPC COMMENTS REVISED PER MPC COMMENTS ISSUED FOR CONCEPT PLAN	REVISION
m m m	DATE
	NO.
CONCEPT PLAN OF LANTERN PARK SUBDIVISION 12041 HARDIN VALLEY ROAD (PARCEL 116 06704) KNOXVILLE, TN 37932 RNOAD PROFILE	
PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	
PREL NC CONS	
JOB NO:         330.018           DATE:         05/21/21	
JOB NO: 330.018	

# 12-SF-23-C / 12-G-23-DP Revised: 12/5/2023

ENGINEERING CERTIFICATION I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLOYS OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE. I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE PLOYS OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE. I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE PLOY AND ACCOMPANYING DRAWINGS, DOCUMENTS, AND STATEMENTS CONTORNE TO ACL APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF THE KNOXVILLE KNOX COUNTY SUBDITISION REGULATIONS EXCEPT AS HAS BEEN ITEMIZED AND DESCRIBED IN A REPORT FILED WITH THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION. MEER O AARRON M. GRAY, P.E. REGISTERED TENNESSEE CERTIFICATE NO. 0108410

Certification of Concept Plan by Registered Land Surveyor



## VARIANCES

The Planning Commission may reduce or otherwise vary the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations when it finds the hardship criteria are met. In granting such variances, the Planning Commission may attach and require whatever conditions it feels are necessary to secure the basic objectives of the varied regulations. Any variance granted by the Planning Commission shall be noted in its official minutes along with the justification for granting the variance (Subdivision Regulations, Section 1.05).

#### Hardship conditions to be met:

- 1. **Conditions Required.** Where the Planning Commission finds that extraordinary hardships or particular difficulties may result from the strict compliance with these regulations, they may grant, by way of application, variations to the Subdivision Regulations, subject to specified conditions, so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that such variations shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the comprehensive plan.
- 2. Evidence of Hardship Required. The Planning Commission shall not grant variations to the Subdivision Regulations unless they make findings based upon the evidence presented to them in each specific case that:
  - a. Because of the particular surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were adhered to.
  - b. The conditions upon which the request for a variation is based is unique to the property for which the variation is sought and is not applicable, generally, to other property, and has not been created by any person having an interest in the property.
  - c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
  - d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

#### Variances Requested:

For each variance requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

1. Variance requested:

Vertical curve (crest) minimum K value for Road A from 25 to 20

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

- a. Reference Attachment 1
- Reference Attachment 1
- Reference Attachment 1
- d Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES  $\bigotimes$  NO  $\Box$ 

Engineering Comments: Approve the variance based upon the justification provided by the applicant.



2. Variance requested:

Vertical curve (sag) minimum K for Road A and Hardin Valley Rd intersection value from 25 to 15.

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

- a. Reference Attachment 1
- b. Reference Attachment 1
- c. Reference Attachment 1
- d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 🗙 NO 🗆

Engineering Comments: <u>Approve the variance based upon the justification provided by the</u> applicant.

3. Variance requested:

Minimum distance between Mission Hill Lane and Road A from 400 feet to 368 feet

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

- a. Reference Attachment 1
- b. Reference Attachment 1
- c. Reference Attachment 1
- d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 
NO 
X

Engineering Comments: See attached.

4. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

a		
b		
с		

d.\_\_\_\_\_

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 🗌 NO 🗌

Engineering Comments: \_\_\_\_\_



#### 5. Variance requested:

	Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
	a
	b
	c
	d
	Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$
	Engineering Comments:
6.	Variance requested:
	Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
	a
	b
	C
	d
	Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 🗌 NO 🗌
	Engineering Comments:

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.

Signature

Scott Smith

Printed Name

12/05/23

Date

#### Attachment 1

Project Name: Lantern Park Subdivision Planning Commission File Number: 12-SF-23-C/12-G-23-DP Date: December 05, 2023

#### Variances Requested:

- 1. Vertical curve (crest) minimum K value for Lantern Park Ln from 25 to 20.
  - a. The reduction was requested based on the topographical shape and constraints of site, minimization of the impact to the hillside, as well as avoiding impacts to the adjacent creek and buffers. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (crest) variance would not only require additional grading, but also create the need for additional variances. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances is consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from current AASHTO standards (see AASHTO Table 3-35).
  - b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
  - c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (crest) variance would require additional grading which could also have a greater negative impact on surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO standards (See AASHYO Table 3-35).
  - d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
- 2. Vertical curve (sag) minimum K for Lantern Park Ln and Hardin Valley Rd intersection value from 25 to 15.
  - a. Reduction of the K-value was requested and previously approved based on the topographical constraints for the site area of the roadway intersection, minimizing conflicts with the existing utility lines, and minimizing the impact to the hillside on the site. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO standards (See AASHTO Table 3-37).
  - b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
  - c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (sag) variance would require additional grading which could also have a greater negative impact on surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent

with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO (See AASHTO Table 3-37).

- d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
- 3. Minimum distance between Mission Hill Lane and Lantern Park Ln from 400 feet to 368 feet.
  - a. Reduction of the distance between the intersections was requested based on topographical and site constraints. Positioning the proposed road in a location to minimize the impact to the site topography and provide optimal geometry for the road. Placing the road at a different location would require additional variances and would have required more grading than locating it at its current location. Additional grading was avoided to avoid the potential negative impacts additional grading could have on the surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices.
  - b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
  - c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Placing the road at a different location would require additional variances and would have required more grading than locating it at its current location. Additional grading was avoided to avoid the potential negative impacts additional grading could have on the surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices.
  - d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

	U.S. Customary			Metric				
Design Speed	Stopping Sight	Rate of Curvatu		Design Speed	Stopping Sight	Rate of Curvatu		
(mph)	Distance (ft)	Calculated	Design	(km/h)	/h) Distance (m)	Calculated	Design	
15	80	3.0	3	20	20	0.6	1	
20	115	6,1	7	30	35	1,9	2	
25	155	11.1	12	40	50	3.8	4	
30	200	18.5	19	50	65	6.4	7	
35	250	29.0	29	60	85	11.0	11	
40	305	43.1	44	70	105	16.8	17	
45	360	60.1	61	80	130	25.7	26	
50	425	83.7	84	90	160	38.9	39	
55	495	113.5	114	100	185	52.0	52	
60	570	150.6	151	110	220	73.6	74	
65	645	192.8	193	120	250	95.0	95	
70	730	246.9	247	130	285	123.4	124	
75	820	311.6	312	Norman and a second				
80	910	383.7	384					

Table 3-35. Design Controls for Crest Vertical Curves Based on Stopping Sight Distance

Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve per percent algebraic difference in intersecting grades (A), K = LA.

	U.S. Cu	stomary	Same and		M	etric		
Design Speed	Stopping Sight Dis-	Rate of V Curvato		Design Speed	Stopping Sight Dis-	1	f Vertical ture, K <sup>a</sup>	
(mph)	tance (ft)	Calculated	Design	(km/h)	tance (m)	Calculated	Design	
15	80	9.4	10	20	20	2.1	3	
20	115	16.5	17	30	35	5.1	6	
25	155	25.5	26	40	50	8.5	9	
30	200	36.4	37	<b>S</b> 0	65	12.2	13	
35	250	49.0	49	60	85	17.3	18	
40	305	63.4	64	70	105	22.6	23	
45	360	78.1	79	80	130	29.4	30	
50	425	95.7	96	90	160	37.6	38	
55	495	114,9	115	100	185	44.6	45	
60	\$70	135.7	136	110	220	54.4	55	
65	645	156.5	157	120	250	62.8	63	
70	730	180.3	181	130	285	72.7	73	
75	820	205.6	206	•	ar en ar esta de la compañía de la c	darra anicoportana da		
80	910	231.0	231					

#### Table 3-37. Design Controls for Sag Vertical Curves

Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve (m) per percent algebraic difference intersecting grades (A), K = L/A.

Knox County Engineering and Public Works have routinely supported similar variances for many years, including ones on this roadway network, and specifically twice for this site but after review of Chancellor Weaver's findings in <u>Massey Creek Home Owners Association vs Knoxville-Knox County Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> and careful review of the minimum subdivision regulations requirements for granting a variance our staff does not believe adequate justification was provided to show a extraordinary hardship exists.

Steve Elliott

**Director of Development Services** 

#### Manner **Beaver Creek West** Contraction of the second Ċ Greenway Corridor Serens? Millstream Greenway Features Preferred Route Alternate Route Connector Route Mill Cove Ln Yroposed Trailhead Con Con Proposed Access Point Proposed Bridge Crossing B Juni Biyantin Roposed Street Crossing - - Proposed Cross Section 23 Swanso Other Features - - Planned Greenway 115amteered Highon Faims ----- Rail Line Hewitt Ln **MATCH LIN** Bin Partice 22 --- County Boundary Stream Water Body City Limit Hardin 0 Park or Open Space Valley Valley View Parcels Middle Hardin Valley Acaden Juen Mill Rd Landing Ln Williams Caspian Dr 8 School 8 Vee e Roi Valley Elemen eres Pa Hardin Valey Rd Valley Elementary 4 9 B. B. Oak Hollow Rd Daisywood Dr. Hickor Joneva Rd <sup>ر</sup>م 4 Hardin Valley Rd E Gallaher Ferry W Gallaher Ferry Rd + canapau sation ? 2 8 Chapes In Rd Fallen Oaks Dr. 20 HickoryCreek Rd Surder School Rd Feet 0 1,500 3,000

#### 12-F-23-C / 12-G-23-DP Exhibit B Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (Adopted by Knox County Commission - January 21, 2020)

Figure 3-1. Beaver Creek West: Melton Hill Park to Brighton Farms Boulevard

10 | Knox County, TN



# **Development Request**

		DEVELOPMENT	SUBDIVISI	ON ZOM	IING
Pl		<ul> <li>Development Plan</li> <li>Planned Development</li> <li>Use on Review / Special Use</li> <li>Hillside Protection COA</li> </ul>	✓ Concept ☐ Final Plat		lan Amendment Sector Plan One Year Plan ezoning
S&E Prop	erties, LLC				
Applicant	Name		At	filiation	
10/30/20	23	12/14/2023	12-SF-23-0	C / 12-G-23-DP	
Date Filed	l	Meeting Date (if applicable)	File Numb	er(s)	
CORRE	SPONDENCE	All correspondence related to this application s	should be directed t	o the approved cont	act listed below.
Scott Smit	th S&E Properties, L				
Name / Co					
405 Mont	brook Lane Knoxvil	le TN 37919			
Address					
865-567-5	5111 / ssmith@volre	ealty.com			
Phone / Er		·			
CURRE	NT PROPERTY IN	IFO			
S&E Prop	erties LLC	405 Montbrook Ln. Knoxville TM	N 37919	865-454-372	7
Owner Na	ame (if different)	Owner Address		Owner Phon	e / Email
12041 Ha	rdin Valley Rd. / 12	119 Hardin Valley Rd			
Property A	Address				
116 067	04, 01			5.04 acres	
Parcel ID		Part of	Parcel (Y/N)?	Tract Size	
West Kno	ox Utility District	West Knox Utility	District		
Sewer Pro	ovider	Water Provider			Septic (Y/N)
STAFF	USE ONLY				
North side	e of Hardin Valley R	d, west side of Mission Hills Ln			
General Lo	ocation				
City	Commission District	6 PR (Planned Residential)		ural Residential, griculture/Forestry,	/Vacant Land
✔County	District	Zoning District	E	xisting Land Use	
Northwes	st County	RR (Rural Residential), HP (Hillside Protection	), SP (Strea Ri	ural Area	
Planning S		Sector Plan Land Use Classification		rowth Policy Plan [	Designation

	S&E Properties LLC				10/30/2023
Phone / Email					
Applicant Signature	Please Print				Date
Applicant Signatura	S&E Properties, LLC				10/30/2023
I declare under penalty of perjury all associated materials are being	submitted with his/her/its cons		wner of the pro		
AUTHORIZATION			• •		
✓ Use on Review / Special Use (C	υπτερι ΜαΠ)				
Traffic Impact Study  Lise on Review / Special Lise (C	oncent Plan)				
Site Plan (Development Reques	st)				
Design Plan Certification (Final			Fee 3		
COA Checklist (Hillside Protecti					
ADDITIONAL REQUIREMEN					
ATTACHMENTS  Property Owners / Option Hold	lers 🗌 Variance Request		Fee 2		
	5 - 50 - 11 - 15 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1		\$1,175.00		
PLAT TYPE Staff Review IPlanning	g Commission		Fee 1		Total
STAFF USE ONLY					
Additional Information	revious zoning nequests				
Proposed Density (units/acre) F	Previous Zoning Requests				
Amendment Proposed Pl	an Designation(s)				
Plan					
Proposed Zon	ing				
Zoning Change				Pending Pla	t File Number
ZONING REQUEST					
Attachments / Additional Requ	irements				
Additional Information					
Unit / Phase Number	Split Parcels	Total Number of	Lots Created		
_		25			
Proposed Subdivision Name				-	
Lantern Park				Related Rezor	ing File Number
SUBDIVSION REQUEST					
Other (specify)					
Home Occupation (specify)					
Hillside Protection COA	🗌 Resid	ential 🗌 Non-r	esidential		
🖌 Development Plan 🛛 🗌 Plann	ied Development 🛛 🗌 Use c	n Review / Special U	se	Related City F	ermit Number(s

Please Print

0/30/

Date

	Dev	velopmer	nt Reau	iest	
	DEVELOP		SUBDIVISION		DNING
		pment Plan	Concept Pla	in 🗆	Plan Amendment
Planning		d Development	🗆 Final Plat	_	□ SP □ OYP
KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY		Review / Special Use Protection COA			Rezoning
Scott Smith			(	Owner	
Applicant Name			A	Affiliation	
11/27/2023	12/14/2023			12-SF-23-C/ <sup>File</sup> / <sup>Number(s)</sup>	
Date Filed	Meeting Date (if applicable)			12-G-23-DP	
CORRESPONDENCE All	corresponden	ce related to this application	should be directed to	the approve	ed contact listed below.
🗌 Applicant 🔳 Property Owner	🔳 Option	Holder 🗌 Project Surveyo	or 🗌 Engineer 🗌	Architect/L	andscape Architect
Scott Smith		S&E	Properties, LLC &	LP Partne	rs LLC
Name		Comp	any		
405 Montbrook Lane		Кпох	wille	TN	37919
Address		City	S	State	ZIP
(865) 567-5111	ssn	nith@volrealty.com			
Phone	Ema	il			
CURRENT PROPERTY INFO					
S&E Properties, LLC & LP Part	ners LLC	405 Montbrook Lan	e	(86	65) 567-5111
Property Owner Name (if different)		Property Owner Address	5	Pro	perty Owner Phone
12041 and 12119 Hardin Valle	ey Road		116 06704; 116	6 06701	
Property Address			Parcel ID		
WKUD		WKUD			Ν
Sewer Provider		Water Provider			Septic (Y/N)
STAFF USE ONLY					
General Location			Т	ract Size	
City County District	Zonii	ng District	Existing Land Us	e	
			<u> </u>		
Planning Sector	Sector Plan Land Use Classification		n (	Growth Polic	y Plan Designation

#### **DEVELOPMENT REQUEST**

Development Plan	Use on Review / Special Use 🛛 Hillside Protection COA	Related City Permit Number(s)
🔳 Residential	🗌 Non-Residential	
Home Occupation (spec	<sub>cify)</sub> Single Family Residential	
Other (specify)		

#### SUBDIVISION REQUEST

Lantern Park				Related Rezoning File Number
Proposed Subdivision Name	Combine Parcels	Divide Parcel	25	-
Unit / Phase Number			Total Number of Lots Created	
Other (specify)				
📕 Attachments / Additional	Requirements			
ZONING REQUEST				
Zoning Change Propose	d Zoning	5		Pending Plat File Number
Plan Amendment Change	Proposed Plan De	esignation(s)		
Proposed Density (units/acre	) Pr	revious Rezoning Re	equests	
Other (specify)				
STAFF USE ONLY				
PLAT TYPE			Fee 1	Total
Staff Review Plann	ning Commission			
ATTACHMENTS			Fee 2	
Property Owners / Option	Holders 🗌 Varia	ince Request	1662	
ADDITIONAL REQUIREM				
Design Plan Certification (			Fee 3	
Use on Review / Special U.	se (Concept Plan)			
<ul> <li>Traffic Impact Study</li> <li>COA Checklist (Hillside Pro</li> </ul>	tection)			
AUTHORIZATION			L	

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct: 1) He/she/it is the owner of the property AND 2) The application and all associated materials are being submitted with his/her/its consent

	1	N	
	AMA DA	18/1-	
6	War	MA	
Applicant Sig	gnature		

(865) 567-5111 Phone Number

Property O

Scott Smith	11/27/23
Please Print	Date
ssmith@volrealty.com	
Email	

#### Scott Smith, S&E Properties

Please Print

Scott Smith, LP Partners

Date Paid



## Sign Posting & Removal Requirement

Revised April 2021

The Administrative Rules and Procedures of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission require a sign to be posted on the property for each application subject to consideration by the Planning Commission, including the following applications: rezoning, plan amendment, concept plan, use on review/special use, planned development, right-of-way closure, and name change.



The required public notice sign(s) will be provided by Planning to the applicant when an application is submitted. If an application is submitted electronically, Planning staff will post the required sign. If a replacement sign(s) is needed, the applicant is responsible for picking up the new sign(s) from Planning and will be charged \$10 for each replacement.

## LOCATION AND VISIBILITY

The sign must be posted on the nearest adjacent/frontage street and in a location clearly visible to vehicles traveling in either direction. If the property has more than one street frontage, the sign should be placed along the street that carries more traffic. Planning staff may recommend a preferred location for the sign to be posted at the time of application.

### TIMING

The sign(s) must be posted **not less than 12 days prior to the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing** and must remain in place until the day after the meeting. In the case of a postponement, the sign can either remain in place or be removed and reposted not less than 12 days prior to the next Planning Commission meeting. The applicant is responsible for removing the sign after the application has been acted upon by the Planning Commission.

The individual below is responsible for posting and removing the sign(s) provided consistent with the above guidelines and between the dates of:

December 1, 2023	and	December 15, 2023
(applicant or staff to post sign)		(applicant to remove sign)
Applicant Name: <u>S&amp;E Properties</u> , LLC		
Date: 10/30/2023		Sign posted by Staff
File Number: _12-SF-23-C & 12-G-23-DP		Sign posted by Applicant