## SUBDIVISION REPORT - CONCEPT

- FILE \#: 10-SA-23-C POSTPONEMENT(S):
- SUBDIVISION:
- APPLICANT/DEVELOPER:

OWNER(S):
TAX IDENTIFICATION:
JURISDICTION:
STREET ADDRESS:

- Location:

SECTOR PLAN:
GROWTH POLICY PLAN:
WATERSHED:
APPROXIMATE ACREAGE:

- ZONING:
- EXISTING LAND USE:
- PROPOSED USE:

SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:

106 C A 02301, 00401, 004, 001
View map on KGIS
City Council District 3
1817 FRANCIS RD (0 Helmbolt Rd \& 0 Old Amherst Rd)
East side of Helmbolt Rd, north side of Francis Rd, west of Old Amherst Rd
Northwest County
N/A (Within City Limits)
Ten Mile Creek
16.82 acres

RN-2 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood)
Single Family Residential

## Detached residential subdivision

North: Single family residential, rural residential - RN-1 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood)
South: Single family residential, multi-family - AG (General Agricultural), RN-
1 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood), RN-3 (General Residential Neighborhood)
East: Single family residential, rural residential - AG (General Agricultural),
RN-1 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood)
West: Single family residential - RN-1 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood)

- NUMBER OF LOTS:

SURVEYOR/ENGINEER:
ACCESSIBILITY:

## - SUBDIVISION VARIANCES

 REQUIRED:
## 66

Aarron Gray Ardurra
Access is via Helmbolt Rd, a minor arterial with 20 ft of pavement width within $50-56 \mathrm{ft}$ of right-of-way; and via Francis Rd, a local street with 18 ft of pavement width within $40-45 \mathrm{ft}$ of right-of-way.

## VARIANCES

1) Reduce the minimum broken back curve tangent from $150-\mathrm{ft}$ to 62.06 ft for Road ' B ' from STA $3+66.43$ to $4+28.49$.
2) Reduce the minimum intersection spacing along Helmbolt Road, between the centerlines of Road ' $A$ ' and Creekhead Drive, from 400-ft to $190-\mathrm{ft}$.

> ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING KNOXVILLE DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING APPROVAL (PLANNING

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- Approve the requested variance to reduce the minimum broken back curve tangent from 150-ft to 62.06ft for Road ' $B$ ' from STA $\mathbf{3 + 6 6 . 4 3}$ to $\mathbf{4 + 2 8 . 4 9}$ based on the following evidence of hardship.
a. The reduction in tangent length for the broken-back curve is due to the shape and topography of the existing property.
b. The unique condition to be considered includes the proposed road following the shape of the property, which allows for internal connectivity for residents as well as emergency vehicles c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to public safety, health or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

Approve the requested variance to reduce the minimum intersection spacing along Helmbolt Road, between the centerlines of Road ' $A$ ' and Creekhead Drive, from $400-\mathrm{ft}$ to $190-\mathrm{ft}$, based on the following evidence of hardship.
a. The request for a reduction in intersection spacing is due to the horizontal and vertical road alignment along Helmbolt Road.
b. The unique conditions to be considered are the existing road alignment of Helmbolt Road and the proposed new road location being the only viable location to provide appropriate sight distance.
c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to public safety, health or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

## Approve the concept plan subject to 13 conditions.

1. Connection to sanitary sewer and meeting any other relevant utility provider requirements. 2. Provision of a street name consistent with the Uniform Street Naming and Addressing System within the City of Knoxville (City Ord. 0-280-90).
2. Certifying that the required sight distance is available along Helmbolt Road and Francis Road, in both directions, with documentation provided to the Knoxville Department of Engineering during the design plan phase.
3. Confirm that the road design complies with AASHTO standards for the proposed design speed during the design plan phase, with review and approval by the Knoxville Department of Engineering.
4. Road ' $A$ ' must meet the design requirements of the Knoxville Department of Engineering and Fire Department, including but not limited to the travel lane and median widths of the boulevard and the design of the traffic circle.
5. The permissible location of all driveways within sight distance easements or lots with frontage on the traffic circle must be approved by the Knoxville Department of Engineering during the design plan phase and shown on the final plat.
6. Obtaining approval from the Knoxville Department of Engineering during the design plan phase to increase the maximum intersection grade from 1 percent to 3 percent for the Road ' $B$ ' intersection with Road ' $A$ '. 8. Meeting all applicable requirements of the City of Knoxville Zoning Ordinance, including but not limited to the dimensional standards of the RN-2 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood) zoning district and the rules of measurement for lots in Article 2.4.
7. Revising the shared permanent access easement (SPAE) that crosses and/or provides access to lots 29-34 to comply with the SPAE standards in Section 3.03.D. of the Subdivision Regulations so that no more than 5 lots are served by the SPAE, including any lot that the SPAE crosses that has frontage on a road (lot 29). This SPAE currently serves 6 lots.
8. If the City of Knoxville Board of Zoning Appeals approves the setback variance requests proposed on the "BZA Concept Plan" (sheet C1A), Planning and Knoxville Department of Engineering staff may approve the lot layout and shared permanent access easement (SPAE) design, consistent with the approved variances and the standards of the City of Knoxville Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision Regulations. The subdivision shall not exceed 67 lots for single-family dwellings.
9. Identifying the location of the WOW! fiber optic line during the design plan phase and show all applicable easements on the final plat.
10. Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knoxville Department of Engineering.
11. Before certification of the final plat for the subdivision, establish a property owners association or other legal entity responsible for maintaining common facilities, such as common areas, amenities, and/or stormwater drainage systems.

| AGENDA ITEM \#: 12 | FILE \#: 10-SA-23-C | CORRECTED 11/9/2023 09:26 AM | MIKE REYNOLDS | PAGE \#: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## COMMENTS:

This proposal is for a 66-lot detached residential subdivision on this 16.82-acre property. The applicant submitted an alternative lot design on plan sheet C1A, called BZA Concept Plan, which has 67 lots. Additional details regarding this alternative plan are below. The RN-2 zone requires a minimum lot size of 5,000 sqft and lot width of 50 ft . The proposed lot sizes range from 5,078 to $15,411 \mathrm{sqft}$, with most lots being between 5,500 to 8,000 sqft. The property was rezoned from AG (General Agricultural) to RN-2 (Single-Family Residential Neighborhood) in March 2023 (10-R-21-RZ).

## ACCESS

Helmbolt Road -- The main access to the site is from Helmbolt Road, a two-lane minor arterial street. The location of the access will require a variance to reduce the intersection separation requirement to Creekhead Drive (additional details below). The entrance road has a boulevard design and a small traffic circle at the first intersection with Road ' $B$ '. This road design is proposed because the property does not have feasible secondary access. The City of Knoxville fire code requires two access points once a development, or road segment within a development, exceeds 30 dwelling units. This applies to houses, duplexes, and attached houses (townhouses). The Knoxville Fire Department agreed to the boulevard design and traffic circle as a method of separating the travel lanes to increase access for emergency vehicles. Alternative access designs to accommodate the fire code are considered on a case-by-case basis by the Knoxville Fire Department.

Old Amherst Road -- The property has driveway access to the terminus of Old Amherst Road, but does not have enough frontage for improved access because of the railroad right-of-way.

Francis Road -- The Francis Road frontage has limited sight distance and is not ideal as a secondary road access for the subdivision. This proposal includes access for 2 to 4 lots, depending on whether a shared permanent access easement (SPAE) is utilized to provide access to two lots behind the lots with Francis Road frontage.

## SHARED PERMANENT ACCESS EASEMENT (SPAE)

An SPAE allows access for up to 5 lots, but this includes any lot with frontage on a public or private road that the SPAE crosses. Also, the frontage lot must also obtain access from the SPAE, unless the Knoxville Department of Engineering approves direct access to the street. Regardless, the lot with frontage counts toward the 5 allowed lots. The proposed SPAE that crosses lot 29 and provides access to lots $30-34$ has 6 lots, including lot 29. One lot must be removed from this SPAE.

## LOT LAYOUT ON A SPAE

The City of Knoxville Zoning Ordinance defines 4 types of lots: interior, corner, through (double frontage), and flag (Article 2.4.I.). The lots proposed on the SPAEs are most closely related to flag lots, because they located behind other lots. The front yard and setback of a flag lot is measured from the rear lot line of the lot that separates the flag portion of the lot from the street. The street could be a public or private street, but an access easement, such as an SPAE, is not a street. So, lots on an SPAE have to conform to the flag lot standards, where it is located behind a lot with frontage on the street and to the rear of that lot it is behind. The flag lot standards orient the front and rear setbacks toward the public or private street, not the SPAE.

## BZA (BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS) CONCEPT PLAN

The setback variances the applicant has applied for are to reduce the front and rear setbacks to 5 ft so they function as side setbacks. The houses can be oriented toward the SPAE, regardless of whether BZA approves the variances. However, fewer houses can fit in these narrow portions of the development the setback variances are denied.

## VARIANCES

1) A broken back tangent is the straight road segment between two horizontal curves that curve in the same direction. The road design standards in the Subdivision Regulations are intended for a 30 mph speed limit. The road design speed for this subdivision is proposed to be 15 mph . The roads will be designed to restrict speeds to 15 mph with either the physical design of the roadway, or other means, such as traffic calming.
2) The required intersection separation along an arterial road is 400 ft from the centerline of the intersections. Under normal circumstances, the preferred intersection location is immediately across from an existing intersection, such as Creekhead Drive. However, in this case, the Creekhead Drive location has inadequate sight distance in both directions, so aligning Road ' $A$ ' at this intersection is not preferred. The minimum sight distance can be obtained in both directions at the proposed Road ' $A$ ' access point and it is located far enough away from Creekhead Drive that the vehicle queue for left turn movements from Helmbolt Road to either of those roads should not back up into either intersection.

## ESTIMATED TRAFFIC IMPACT: 688 <br> (average daily vehicle trips)

Average Daily Vehicle Trips are computed using national average trip rates reported in the latest edition of "Trip Generation," published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Average Daily Vehicle Trips represent the total number of trips that a particular land use can be expected to generate during a 24 -hour day (Monday through Friday), with a "trip" counted each time a vehicle enters or exits a proposed development.

## ESTIMATED STUDENT YIELD: 27 (public school children, grades K-12)

Schools affected by this proposal: West Hills Elementary, Bearden Middle, and Bearden High.

- Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.
- Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.
- Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.
- Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knox County Chancery Court. The date of the Knox County Chancery Court appeal hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.


## Request to

 Postpone • Table • Withdraw
## Huber Properties, LLC <br> 9-28-23

Applicant Name (as it appears on the current Planning Commission agenda)
Date of Request
10-5-23
Scheduled Meeting Date

File Number(s)
10-SA-23-C

## POSTPONE

POSTPONE: All applications are eligible for postponement if the request is received in writing and paid for by noon on Thursday the week prior to the Planning Commission meeting. All requests must be acted upon by the Planning Commission, except new applications which are eligible for one 30-day automatic postponement. If payment is not received by the deadline, the item will be tabled.

SELECT ONE: $\square 30$ days $\square 60$ days $\square 90$ days
Postpone the above application(s) until the November 9, 2023
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Planning Commission Meeting.

## WITHDRAW

WITHDRAW: Applications may be withdrawn automatically if the request is received in writing no later than 3:30pm on Thursday the week prior to the Planning Commission meeting. Requests made after this deadline must be acted on by the Planning Commission. Applicants are eligible for a refund only if a written request for withdrawal is received no later than close of business 2 business days after the application submittal deadline and the request is approved by the Executive Director or Planning Services Manager.

## TABLE

*The refund check will be mailed to the original payee.

TABLE: Any item requested for tabling must be acted upon by the Planning Commission before it can be officially tabled. There is no fee to table or untable an item.
AUTHORIZATION By signing below, I certify I am the property owner, and/or the owners authorized representative.
Applicant Signature
$865-966-1600$
Phohn Huber
Phene Number
john@southernsignature.net
Email

## STAFF ONLY

| Michael Reynolds | Please Print |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Signature | Nate Paid Fee |
| Eligible for Fee Refund? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No Amount: | $\square$ |

Approved by: Date:

## Exhibit A. Contextual Images





## VARIANCES

The Planning Commission may reduce or otherwise vary the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations when it finds the hardship criteria are met. In granting such variances, the Planning Commission may attach and require whatever conditions it feels are necessary to secure the basic objectives of the varied regulations. Any variance granted by the Planning Commission shall be noted in its official minutes along with the justification for granting the variance (Subdivision Regulations, Section 1.05).

## Hardship conditions to be met:

1. Conditions Required. Where the Planning Commission finds that extraordinary hardships or particular difficulties may result from the strict compliance with these regulations, they may grant, by way of application, variations to the Subdivision Regulations, subject to specified conditions, so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that such variations shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the comprehensive plan.
2. Evidence of Hardship Required. The Planning Commission shall not grant variations to the Subdivision Regulations unless they make findings based upon the evidence presented to them in each specific case that:
a. Because of the particular surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were adhered to.
b. The conditions upon which the request for a variation is based is unique to the property for which the variation is sought and is not applicable, generally, to other property, and has not been created by any person having an interest in the property.
c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

## Variances Requested:

For each variance requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

1. Variance requested:

Reduce minimum broken back curve tangent length from 150-ft to $62.06-\mathrm{ft}$ for Road "B" from Sta. 3+66.43 to Sta. 4+28.49.
Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. Reference Attachment 1
b. Reference Attachment 1
c. Reference Attachment 1
d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$
Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
2. Variance requested:

Reduce intersection spacing along Helmbolt Road from 400-ft to 190-ft from Creekhead Drive to Rc
Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. Reference Attachment 1
b. Reference Attachment 1
c. Reference Attachment 1
d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$

Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
3. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. $\qquad$
b. $\qquad$
c.
d.

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$
Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
4. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. $\qquad$
b.
c. $\qquad$
d.

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO $\square$ Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a.
b. $\qquad$
c. $\qquad$
d.

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO

Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
6. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. $\qquad$
b. $\qquad$
C. $\qquad$
d. $\qquad$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO

Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the


John Huber legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.

## ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS

The minimum design and performance standards shall apply to all subdivisions unless an alternative design standard is permitted within Article 3 Section 3.01.D. as identified below or as permitted through Article 4 Alternative Design Standards and Required Improvements (Subdivision Regulations, 3.01.D).

## Alternative Design Standards Requested:

For each alternative design standard requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

1. Alternative design standard requested:

Increase maximum road grade approach from 1.00\% to $3.00 \%$
for Road "B" intersection with Road "A". This request is based on the existing property topography

Approval required by: Planning Commission $\square$ Engineering
Engineering supports the request (to be completed during review process): YES $\downarrow$ NO $\square$ Engineering
Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. Alternative design standard requested: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Approval required by: Planning Commission $\square$ Engineering $\square$

Engineering supports the request (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Engineering
Comments: $\qquad$
3. Alternative design standard requested: $\qquad$

Approval required by: Planning Commission $\square$ Engineering $\square$

Engineering supports the request (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Engineering
Comments: $\qquad$
4. Alternative design standard requested: $\qquad$

Approval required by: Planning CommissionEngineering

Engineering supports the request (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Engineering
Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Alternative design standard requested: $\qquad$

Approval required by: Planning Commission $\square$ Engineering $\square$

Engineering supports the request (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.


John Huber
Printed Name

11/3/23

## Attachment 1

Project Name: Middlebrook Grove Subdivision
Planning Commission File Number: 10-SA-23-C
Date: November 3, 2023

## Variances Requested:

1. Reduce minimum broken back curve tangent length from 150-ft to 62.06 -ft for Road "B" from Sta. 3+66.43 to Sta. 4+28.49.
a. The reduction in tangent length for the broken-back curve is due to the shape and topography of the existing property. The proposed road is following the shape of the property which allows for internal connectivity for residents as well as emergency vehicles.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based upon a desire for financial gain. It is requested to improve subdivision safety due to the negative physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
2. Reduce intersection spacing along Helmbolt Road from 400-ft to 190-ft from Creekhead Drive to Road "A".
a. The request for reduction in intersection spacing is due to the horizontal and vertical road alignment along Helmbolt Road. The proposed new road location is the only viable location to provide appropriate site distance.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based upon a desire for financial gain. It is requested to improve entering and exiting the subdivision safety due to the negative physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.







| $\checkmark$ Development Plan $\quad \square$ Planned Development | $\square$ Use on Review / Special Use | Related City Permit Number(s) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Hillside Protection COA |  | $\square$ Residential $\quad \square$ Non-residential |  |
| Home Occupation (specify) | Single Family Residential |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |

## SUBDIVSION REQUEST

| Middlebrook Grove |  | Related Rezoning File Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Subdivision Name |  |  |
|  | 67 |  |
|  | Total Number of Lots Created |  |
| Additional Information |  |  |Attachments / Additional Requirements

## ZONING REQUEST

| $\square$ Zoning Change |  | Pending Plat File Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Proposed Zoning |  |
| $\square$ Plan |  |  |
| Amendment | Proposed Plan Designation(s) |  |

## Proposed Density (units/acre) Previous Zoning Requests

Additional Information

## STAFF USE ONLY

| PLAT TYPE | Fee 1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Staff Review $\quad \square$ Planning Commission | $\$ 1,600.00$ |  |
| ATTACHMENTS |  |  |
| $\square$ Property Owners / Option Holders $\square$ Variance Request | Fee 2 |  |
| ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS |  |  |
| $\square$ COA Checklist (Hillside Protection) |  |  |
| $\square$ Design Plan Certification (Final Plat) | Fee 3 |  |
| $\square$ Site Plan (Development Request) |  |  |
| $\square$ Traffic Impact Study |  |  |
| $\square$ Use on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan) |  |  |

## AUTHORIZATION

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct: 1) He/she/it is the owner of the property, AND 2) the application and all associated materials are being submitted with his/her/its consent.

|  | Huber Properties LLC | 8/22/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applicant Signature | Please Print | Date |
| Phone / Email |  |  |
| Property Owner Signature | Schubert Family Limited Partnership | 8/22/2023 |
| $10-S A-23-C$ |  | Printed 9/7/2023 9:55:17 AM |



| General Location |
| :--- |
|  |
| $\square$ City $\square$ County |
| District |
| Zoning District |

DEVELOPMENT REQUEST

| $\square$ Development Plan $\quad \square$ Use on Review / Special Use $\quad \square$ Hillside Protection COA | Related City Permit Number(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Residential $\square$ Non-Residential |  |
| Home Occupation (specify) Single Family Residential |  |
| Other (specify) |  |

## SUBDIVISION REQUEST

| Middlebrook Grove (applied for) |  | Related Rezoning File Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed Subdivision Name | 67 |  |
| Unit / Phase Number |  |  |

$\square$ Other (specify)
$\square$ Attachments / Additional Requirements

## ZONING REQUEST

| $\square$ Zoning Change $\quad$ Proposed Zoning | Pending Plat File Number |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $\square$ Plan Amendment Change $\quad$Proposed Plan Designation(s) |  |


| Proposed Density (units/acre) | Previous Rezoning Requests |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Other (specify) |  |

## STAFF USE ONLY

## PLAT TYPE

$\square$ Staff Review $\quad \square$ Planning Commission
ATTACHMENTSProperty Owners / Option HoldersVariance Request

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

$\square$ Design Plan Certification (Final Plat)Use on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan)
$\square$ Traffic Impact StudyCOA Checklist (Hillside Protection)

## AUTHORIZATION

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct:


NAMES OF ALL PROPERTY OWNERS INVOLVED OR HOLDERS OF OPTION ON SAME MUST BE LISTED BELOW:
Please print or type in black ink:

| NAME | ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP | OWNER / OPTION |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Huber Properties, LLC | P.O. Box 23038 | Knoxville | TN | 37933 |  |  |
| Schubert, M A | 1601 Third Creek Road | Knoxville | TN | 37921 | $x$ |  |
| Schubert Family Limited Partnership | 1601 Third Creek Road | Knoxville | TN | 37921 | $x$ |  |

Koad
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

The Administrative Rules and Procedures of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission require a sign to be posted on the property for each application subject to consideration by the Planning Commission, including the following applications: rezoning, plan amendment, concept plan, use on review/special use, planned development, right-ofway closure, and name change.


The required public notice sign(s) will be provided by Planning to the applicant when an application is submitted. If an application is submitted electronically, Planning staff will post the required sign. If a replacement sign(s) is needed, the applicant is responsible for picking up the new sign(s) from Planning and will be charged $\$ 10$ for each replacement.

## LOCATION AND VISIBILITY

The sign must be posted on the nearest adjacent/frontage street and in a location clearly visible to vehicles traveling in either direction. If the property has more than one street frontage, the sign should be placed along the street that carries more traffic. Planning staff may recommend a preferred location for the sign to be posted at the time of application.

## TIMING

The sign(s) must be posted not less than 12 days prior to the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing and must remain in place until the day after the meeting. In the case of a postponement, the sign can either remain in place or be removed and reposted not less than 12 days prior to the next Planning Commission meeting. The applicant is responsible for removing the sign after the application has been acted upon by the Planning Commission.

The individual below is responsible for posting and removing the sign(s) provided consistent with the above guidelines and between the dates of:

September 22, 2023
and
October 6, 2023
(applicant or staff to post sign)
(applicant to remove sign)

Applicant Name: Huber Properties LLC
Date: 8/22/2023
Sign posted by Staff

File Number: 10-SA-23-C $\square$ Sign posted by Applicant

