

SUBDIVISION REPORT - CONCEPT/DEVELOPMENT PLAN

► FILE #: 12-SF-23-C AGENDA ITEM #: 37

12-G-23-DP AGENDA DATE: 2/8/2024

POSTPONEMENT(S): 12/14/2023, 1/11/2024

► SUBDIVISION: LANTERN PARK

► APPLICANT/DEVELOPER: S&E PROPERTIES, LLC

OWNER(S): S&E Properties LLC

TAX IDENTIFICATION: 116 06704 <u>View map on KGIS</u>

JURISDICTION: County Commission District 6

STREET ADDRESS: 12041 Hardin Valley Rd.

► LOCATION: North side of Hardin Valley Rd, west side of Mission Hills Ln

SECTOR PLAN: Northwest County

GROWTH POLICY PLAN: Rural Area
WATERSHED: Conner Creek

► APPROXIMATE ACREAGE: 8.85 acres

ZONING: PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac

EXISTING LAND USE: Rural Residential, Agriculture/Forestry/Vacant Land

▶ PROPOSED USE: Detached residential subdivision

SURROUNDING LAND North: Ag

USE AND ZONING:

North: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3

du/ac

South: Single family residential, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural) and PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac and 3 du/ac

East: Mission Hill Lane, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac

West: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3

du/ac

► NUMBER OF LOTS: 25

SURVEYOR/ENGINEER: Aarron Gray, Ardurra

ACCESSIBILITY: Access is via Hardin Valley Road, a minor arterial with a pavement width of

20.5 ft within 60 ft of right-of-way, and Mission Hill Lane, a local street with a

central divider median within 100 ft of right-of-way.

► SUBDIVISION VARIANCES

REQUIRED:

1) Reduce the minimum vertical curve (crest) K value from 25 to 20 at

VPI STA 3+10 on Lantern Park Lane.

2) Reduce the minimum vertical curve (sag) K value from 25 to 15 at

the intersection of Lantern Park Lane and Hardin Valley Road.

3) Reduce the minimum intersection separation between Mission Hill

Lane and Lantern Park Lane from 400 ft to 368 ft.

AGENDA ITEM #: 37 FILE #: 12-SF-23-C 1/31/2024 11:28 AM MIKE REYNOLDS PAGE #: 37-1

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- ► Postpone the concept plan to the March 7, 2024 Planning Commission meeting as requested by the applicant.
- ► Postpone the development plan to the March 7, 2024 Planning Commission meeting as requested by the applicant.

COMMENTS:

This is a 25-lot subdivision on 8.55 acres with access from Hardin Valley Drive and Mission Hill Lane. There are 21 lots with frontage on the internal road, Lantern Park Lane, that has access from Hardin Valley Road, and four (4) lots with frontage on Mission Hill Lane. The applicant is requesting three variances to the road design standards of the Subdivision Regulations. The applicant's justification of hardships is attached to the staff report.

BACKGROUND

The Planning Commission reviewed and approved two previous Concept Plan and Use on Review (Development Plan) applications for the subject property. In September 2021, the 18-lot Mission Hills Subdivision was approved on 6.98 acres (7-SA-21-C / 7-C-21-UR). The development area did not include the frontage along Mission Hill Lane. In February 2022, the revised Mission Hills Subdivision was approved with 26 lots on 8.85 acres (1-SB-22-C / 1-G-22-UR). The proposal included an additional 1.87 acres along Mission Hill Lane on the east side of the property, which was formerly part of the Hoppe property that wrapped around the subject site. In September 2023, the concept plan was remanded back to the Planning Commission for further consideration. The applicant is requesting withdrawal of the 2022 concept plan (1-SB-22-C).

DEVELOPMENT PLAN ANALYSIS PER ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.50.06 (APPROVAL OR DENIAL) In the exercise of its administrative judgment, the Planning Commission shall determine if the proposed plan is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance and adopted plans.

1) ZONING ORDINANCE

PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac:

- a) The PR zone allows houses as a permitted use. The administrative procedures for the PR zone require the Planning Commission to approve the development plan before permits can be issued (Article 5, Section 5.13.15).
- B) This PR zone district is approved for a maximum of 3 du/ac. The proposed density for the subdivision is 2.82 du/ac.

2) GENERAL PLAN - DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

a) Policy 9.3, Ensure that the context of new development, including scale and compatibility, does not impact existing neighborhoods and communities – NOTE: The proposed subdivision will have detached residential lot sizes comparable to those in existing and recently approved subdivisions in the area.

3) NORTHWEST COUNTY SECTOR PLAN

- a) The property is classified as RR (Rural Residential), which allows consideration of up to 3 du/ac. The proposed density is 2.82 du/ac.
- B) The property has 4.3 acres within the HP (Hillside Protection) area. The slope analysis recommends a disturbance budget of 2.8 acres. This proposal disturbs the entire area HP area.
- C) The Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (Exhibit B) has a preferred greenway route along Conner Creek, on the north side of this development. A greenway easement is being provided on the south side of Conner Creek to allow for the future installation of a greenway.

4) KNOXVILLE - FARRAGUT - KNOX COUNTY GROWTH POLICY PLAN

- a) The property is within the Rural Area. Rural areas are to include land to be preserved for farming, recreation, and other non-urban uses. The rural designation shall not impede the right of a property owner to use or develop the property for a purpose permitted by that property's zoning.
- B) The Rural Area recommends a maximum density of 2-3 du/ac. Three (3) du/ac can be considered as an extension of low density residential development if the property is PR (Planned Residential), sanitary sewer and public water is provided, connecting collector and arterial roads from the development to the Planned Growth area meet the standards of Knox County Engineering and Public Works, and a transportation impact analysis demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Planning Commission that the effect of the proposed and

AGENDA ITEM #: 37 FILE #: 12-SF-23-C 1/31/2024 11:28 AM MIKE REYNOLDS PAGE #: 37-2

similar developments in the traffic analysis zone will not reasonably impair traffic flow.

C) A Transportation Impact Letter was submitted with the rezoning application for the property (1-G-21-RZ) and Hardin Valley Road is a minor arterial street.

ESTIMATED TRAFFIC IMPACT: 282 (average daily vehicle trips)

Average Daily Vehicle Trips are computed using national average trip rates reported in the latest edition of "Trip Generation," published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Average Daily Vehicle Trips represent the total number of trips that a particular land use can be expected to generate during a 24-hour day (Monday through Friday), with a "trip" counted each time a vehicle enters or exits a proposed development.

ESTIMATED STUDENT YIELD: 10 (public school children, grades K-12)

Schools affected by this proposal: Hardin Valley Elementary, Hardin Valley Middle, and Hardin Valley Academy.

- Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.
- Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.
- Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.
- Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this concept plan request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knox County Chancery Court. The date of the Knox County Chancery Court hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.

The Planning Commission's approval or denial of this development plan request is final, unless the action is appealed either to the Board of Zoning Appeals or to a court of competent jurisdiction within thirty (30) days of the decision being appealed (Knox County, Tennessee Code of Ordinances, Appendix A, Zoning, 6.50.08).

AGENDA ITEM #: 37 FILE #: 12-SF-23-C 1/31/2024 11:28 AM MIKE REYNOLDS PAGE #: 37-3



Payee Name

Request to Postpone · Table · Withdraw

Lantern Park January 31, 2024 Applicant Name (as it appears on the current Planning Commission agenda) Date of Request File Number(s) February 8, 2024 12-SF-23-C; 12-G-23-DP Scheduled Meeting Date **POSTPONE** POSTPONE: All applications are eligible for postponement if the request is received in writing and paid for by noon on Thursday the week prior to the Planning Commission meeting. All requests must be acted upon by the Planning Commission, except new applications which are eligible for one 30-day automatic postponement. If payment is not received by the deadline, the item will be tabled. SELECT ONE: 30 days 60 days ☐ 90 days Postpone the above application(s) until the March 7, 2024 Planning Commission Meeting. WITHDRAW ☐ WITHDRAW: Applications may be withdrawn automatically if the request is received in writing no later than 3:30pm on Thursday the week prior to the Planning Commission meeting. Requests made after this deadline must be acted on by the Planning Commission. Applicants are eligible for a refund only if a written request for withdrawal is received no later than close of business 2 business days after the application submittal deadline and the request is approved by the Executive Director or Planning Services Manager. *The refund check will be mailed to the original payee. **TABLE** ☐ TABLE: Any item requested for tabling must be acted upon by the Planning Commission before it can be officially tabled. There is no fee to table or untable an item. By signing below, I certify I am the property owner, and/or the owners authorized representative. Benjamin C. Mullins Please Print Applicant Signature 865 546 9321 bmullins@fmsllp.com Phone Number Email STAFF ONLY 1/31/2024 Michael Reynolds ☐ No Fee Please Print Date Paid Eligible for Fee Refund? Yes No Amount: Approved by: Date:

Payee Address

Payee Phone



Request to

Postpone · Table · Withdraw

| rtailling | Lantern Park | | January 4, 2024 |
|---|--|--|---|
| KNOXVILLE KNOX COUNTY | Applicant Name (as it appears on the current Planning Commission agence) | | Date of Request |
| January 11, 2024 | | | File Number(s) |
| Scheduled Meeting Date | | 12-SF-23-C; 12-G-23-DP | 09 10 |
| POSTPONE | | | |
| the week prior to the Planni | ng Commission meeting. All reque | e request is received in writing and paid for by a sts must be acted upon by the Planning Comm nement. If payment is not received by the dea | ission, except new |
| SELECT ONE: 🔳 30 days 🗆 | 60 days | | |
| Postpone the above application | (s) until the February 8, 2024 | Planning Commission | Meeting. |
| WITHDRAW | | * | |
| week prior to the Planning Co Applicants are eligible for a re after the application submitte | ommission meeting. Requests mad efund only if a written request for v | te request is received in writing no later than 3 e after this deadline must be acted on by the P withdrawal is received no later than close of bud oved by the Executive Director or Planning Servers | lanning Commission. siness 2 business days vices Manager. |
| TABLE | | *The refund check will be mailed | to the original payee. |
| no fee to table or untable an | item. | ne Planning Commission before it can be official property owner, and/or the owners authorized rep | |
| Zmen) | Hill Ben | jamin C. Mullins | SALASON PERSONAL PROCESSION I |
| Applicant Signature | Pleas | se Print | |
| 865 546 9321 | bmi | ullins@fmsllp.com | |
| Phone Number | Emai | | |
| STAFF ONLY | | | |
| 2/1/ | Michael P | ovnoldo | · |
| Stoff Cignoture | Michael R | 1942 - 1941 1941 | □ No Fee |
| Staff Signature | Please Print | Date Paid | |
| Eligible for Fee Refund? | No Amount: | | |
| Approved by: | | Date: | |
| Payee Name | Pavee Phone | Pavee Address | |



Request to

Postpone · Table · Withdraw

| i carring | Lantern Park | | 12-11-23 |
|---|---|--|---|
| Applicant Name (as it appears on the current Planning Commission agenda) | | Date of Request | |
| December 14, 2023 | | 23 | File Number(s) |
| Scheduled Meeting Date | | 12-SF -26 -C; 12-G-23-DP | 6.6 |
| POSTPONE | | | |
| the week prior to the Plannin | g Commission meeting. All requests | quest is received in writing and paid for I must be acted upon by the Planning Cor ment. If payment is not received by the | nmission, except new |
| SELECT ONE: 30 days | 60 days | | |
| Postpone the above application(s | s) until the January 11, 2024 | Planning Commiss | ion Meeting. |
| WITHDRAW | | | |
| week prior to the Planning Co Applicants are eligible for a re after the application submitta | mmission meeting. Requests made at fund only if a written request for with | equest is received in writing no later that fter this deadline must be acted on by the ndrawal is received no later than close of d by the Executive Director or Planning S | e Planning Commission. business 2 business days Services Manager. |
| TABLE | | *The refund check will be ma | iled to the original payee. |
| AUTHORIZATION By s | igning below, I certify I am the prope | rty owner, and/or the owners authorized min C. Mullins | representative. |
| Applicant Signature | Please P | | |
| 865-546-9321 | | | |
| Phone Number | Email | ns@fmsllp.com | |
| Thore Hamber | Charles and | | |
| STAFF ONLY | | | 4 |
| Sheet By | Shelley Gray | 12/11/202 | 3 |
| Staff Signature | Please Print | Date Paid | □ No Fee |
| Eligible for Fee Refund? Yes | □ No Amount: | | |
| Approved by: | | Date: | |
| Payee Name | Payee Phone | Payee Address | |





Exhibit A. Contextual Images Location Map OPLYA MEADON **Aerial Map**



12-SF-23-C / 12-G-23-DP

Case boundary








Staff - Slope Analysis Case: 12-SF-23-C /


12-G-23-DP

| CATEGORY | ACRES | RECOMMENDED DISTURBANCE BUDGET (Percent) | DISTURBANCE AREA (Acres) |
|-------------------------------|-------|---|-----------------------------|
| Total Area of Site | 8.8 | | |
| Non-Hillside | 4.5 | N/A | |
| 0-15% Slope | 1.6 | 100% | 1.6 |
| 15-25% Slope | 2.3 | 50% | 1.1 |
| 25-40% Slope | 0.5 | 20% | 0.1 |
| Greater than 40% Slope | 0.0 | 10% | 0.0 |
| Ridgetops | | | |
| Hillside Protection (HP) Area | 4.3 | Recommended disturbance budget within HP Area (acres) | 2.8 |
| | | Percent of HP Area | 64.4% |











VARIANCES

The Planning Commission may reduce or otherwise vary the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations when it finds the hardship criteria are met. In granting such variances, the Planning Commission may attach and require whatever conditions it feels are necessary to secure the basic objectives of the varied regulations. Any variance granted by the Planning Commission shall be noted in its official minutes along with the justification for granting the variance (Subdivision Regulations, Section 1.05).

Hardship conditions to be met:

- 1. Conditions Required. Where the Planning Commission finds that extraordinary hardships or particular difficulties may result from the strict compliance with these regulations, they may grant, by way of application, variations to the Subdivision Regulations, subject to specified conditions, so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that such variations shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the comprehensive plan.
- 2. **Evidence of Hardship Required.** The Planning Commission shall not grant variations to the Subdivision Regulations unless they make findings based upon the evidence presented to them in each specific case that:
 - a. Because of the particular surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were adhered to.
 - b. The conditions upon which the request for a variation is based is unique to the property for which the variation is sought and is not applicable, generally, to other property, and has not been created by any person having an interest in the property.
 - c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
 - d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

Variances Requested:

For each variance requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

| Vertical curve (crest) minimum K value for Road A from 25 to 20 |
|---|
| Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above: a. Reference Attachment 1 |
| b. Reference Attachment 1 |
| c. Reference Attachment 1 |
| d. Reference Attachment 1 |
| Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES \nearrow NO \square |
| Engineering Comments: Approve the variance based upon the justification provided by the |
| applicant. |



| 2. | Variance requested: Vertical curve (sag) minimum K for Road A and Hardin Valley Rd intersection value from 25 to 15. |
|----|---|
| | Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above: a. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | b. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | c. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | d. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $ mathbb{X}$ NO \square |
| | Engineering Comments: Approve the variance based upon the justification provided by the |
| | applicant. |
| 3. | Variance requested: Minimum distance between Mission Hill Lane and Road A from 400 feet to 368 feet |
| | Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above: a. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | b. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | c. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | d. Reference Attachment 1 |
| | Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES \(\subseteq \) NO \(\subseteq \) Engineering Comments: See attached. |
| | |
| 4. | Variance requested: |
| | Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above: a |
| | b |
| | c |
| | d |
| | Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES \square NO \square |
| | Engineering Comments: |



Date

| 5. Variance requested: | |
|--|--|
| Identify the hardship that would result for each of the | |
| a | |
| b | |
| c | |
| d | |
| Engineering supports the variance requested (to be | completed during review process): YES 🗆 NO 🗆 |
| Engineering Comments: | |
| 6. Variance requested: | |
| Identify the hardship that would result for each of th | |
| b | |
| c | |
| d | |
| Engineering supports the variance requested (to be o | |
| Engineering Comments: | |
| By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance | Allo |
| have been met for each request, and that any and all | Signature |
| requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are | |
| requested above or are attached. I understand and agree | Scott Smith |
| that no additional variances can be acted upon by the | Printed Name |
| legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested. | |
| | 12/05/23 |

Attachment 1

Project Name: Lantern Park Subdivision

Planning Commission File Number: 12-SF-23-C/12-G-23-DP

Date: December 05, 2023

Variances Requested:

1. Vertical curve (crest) minimum K value for Lantern Park Ln from 25 to 20.

- a. The reduction was requested based on the topographical shape and constraints of site, minimization of the impact to the hillside, as well as avoiding impacts to the adjacent creek and buffers. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (crest) variance would not only require additional grading, but also create the need for additional variances. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances is consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from current AASHTO standards (see AASHTO Table 3-35).
- b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
- c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (crest) variance would require additional grading which could also have a greater negative impact on surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO standards (See AASHYO Table 3-35).
- d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

2. Vertical curve (sag) minimum K for Lantern Park Ln and Hardin Valley Rd intersection value from 25 to 15.

- a. Reduction of the K-value was requested and previously approved based on the topographical constraints for the site area of the roadway intersection, minimizing conflicts with the existing utility lines, and minimizing the impact to the hillside on the site. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO standards (See AASHTO Table 3-37).
- b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
- c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (sag) variance would require additional grading which could also have a greater negative impact on surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent

- with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO (See AASHTO Table 3-37).
- d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

3. Minimum distance between Mission Hill Lane and Lantern Park Ln from 400 feet to 368 feet.

- a. Reduction of the distance between the intersections was requested based on topographical and site constraints. Positioning the proposed road in a location to minimize the impact to the site topography and provide optimal geometry for the road. Placing the road at a different location would require additional variances and would have required more grading than locating it at its current location. Additional grading was avoided to avoid the potential negative impacts additional grading could have on the surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices.
- b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
- c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Placing the road at a different location would require additional variances and would have required more grading than locating it at its current location. Additional grading was avoided to avoid the potential negative impacts additional grading could have on the surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices.
- d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

Table 3-35. Design Controls for Crest Vertical Curves Based on Stopping Sight Distance

| | U.S. Cu | stomary | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Design Speed | Stopping Sight | Rate of Vertical Curvature, Ka | |
| (mph) | Distance (ft) | Calculated | Design |
| 15 | 80 | 3.0 | 3 |
| 20 | 115 | 6,1 | 7 |
| 25 | 155 | 11.1 | 12 |
| 30 | 200 | 18.5 | 19 |
| 35 | 250 | 29.0 | 29 |
| 40 | 305 | 43.1 | 44 |
| 45 | 360 | 60.1 | 61 |
| 50 | 425 | 83.7 | 84 |
| 55 | 495 | 113.5 | 114 |
| 60 | 570 | 150.6 | 151 |
| 65 | 645 | 192.8 | 193 |
| 70 | 730 | 246.9 | 247 |
| 75 | 820 | 311.6 | 312 |
| 80 | 910 | 383.7 | 384 |

| | Me | tric | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Design Speed | Stopping Sight | Rate of Vertical Curvature, Ka | |
| (km/h) | Distance (m) | Calculated | Design |
| 20 | 20 | 0.6 | 1 |
| 30 | 35 | 1,9 | 2 |
| 40 | 50 | 3.8 | 4 |
| 50 | 65 | 6.4 | 7 |
| 60 | 85 | 11.0 | 11 |
| 70 | 105 | 16.8 | 17 |
| 80 | 130 | 25.7 | 26 |
| 90 | 160 | 38.9 | 39 |
| 100 | 185 | 52.0 | 52 |
| 110 | 220 | 73.6 | 7.4 |
| 120 | 250 | 95.0 | 95 |
| 130 | 285 | 123.4 | 124 |

Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve per percent algebraic difference in intersecting grades (A), K = LA.

Table 3-37. Design Controls for Sag Vertical Curves

| | U.S. Co | stomary | |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Design Speed | Stopping Sight Dis- | Rate of Vertical Curvature, K | |
| (mph) | tance (ft) | Calculated | Design |
| 15 | 80 | 9.4 | 10 |
| 20 | 115 | 16.5 | 17 |
| 25 | 155 | 25.5 | 26 |
| 30 | 200 | 36.4 | 37 |
| 35 | 250 | 49.0 | 49 |
| 40 | 305 | 63.4 | 64 |
| 45 | 360 | 78.1 | 79 |
| 50 | 425 | 95.7 | 96 |
| 55 | 495 | 114,9 | 115 |
| 60 | 570 | 135.7 | 136 |
| 65 | 645 | 156.5 | 157 |
| 70 | 730 | 180.3 | 181 |
| 75 | 820 | 205.6 | 206 |
| 80 | 910 | 231.0 | 231 |

| | M | etric | |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Design Speed | Stopping Sight Dis- | Rate of Vertical Curvature, K | |
| (km/h) | tance (m) | Calculated | Design |
| 20 | 20 | 2.1 | 3 |
| 30 | 35 | 5.1 | 6 |
| 40 | 50 | 8.5 | 9 |
| 50 | 65 | 12.2 | 13 |
| 60 | 85 | 17.3 | 18 |
| 70 | 105 | 22.6 | 23 |
| 80 | 130 | 29.4 | 30 |
| 90 | 160 | 37.6 | 38 |
| 100 | 185 | 44.6 | 45 |
| 110 | 220 | 54.4 | 55 |
| 120 | 250 | 62.8 | 63 |
| 130 | 285 | 72.7 | 73 |

^{*} Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve (m) per percent algebraic difference intersecting grades (A), K = L/A.


Knox County Engineering and Public Works have routinely supported similar variances for many years, including ones on this roadway network, and specifically twice for this site but after review of Chancellor Weaver's findings in Massey Creek Home Owners Association vs Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission and careful review of the minimum subdivision regulations requirements for granting a variance our staff does not believe adequate justification was provided to show a extraordinary hardship exists.

Steve Elliott

Director of Development Services

12-F-23-C / 12-G-23-DP Exhibit B Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (Adopted by Knox County Commission - January 21, 2020)

Figure 3-1. Beaver Creek West: Melton Hill Park to Brighton Farms Boulevard



10 | Knox County, TN



Development Request

| | DEVELOPMENT | SUBDIVISION | ZONING |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Dlanning | Development Plan | Concept Plan | ☐ Plan Amendment |
| Planning | \square Planned Development | ☐ Final Plat | ☐ Sector Plan |
| KNOXVILLE I KNOX COUNTY | ☐ Use on Review / Special Use | | ☐ One Year Plan |
| | ☐ Hillside Protection COA | | Rezoning |
| &E Properties, LLC | | | |
| Applicant Name | | Affiliation | |
| .0/30/2023 | 12/14/2023 | 12-SF-23-C / 12-G- | 23-DP |
| Date Filed | Meeting Date (if applicable) | File Number(s) | |
| CORRESPONDENCE | All correspondence related to this application sh | nould be directed to the app | roved contact listed below. |
| Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC | | | |
| Name / Company | | | |
| 05 Montbrook Lane Knoxville T | N 37919 | | |
| Address | | | |
| 65-567-5111 / ssmith@volrealt | y.com | | |
| Phone / Email | | | |
| CURRENT PROPERTY INFO | | | |
| &E Properties LLC | 405 Montbrook Ln. Knoxville TN | 37919 869 | 5-454-3727 |
| Owner Name (if different) | Owner Address | Ow | ner Phone / Email |
| .2041 Hardin Valley Rd. / 12119 | Hardin Valley Rd | | |
| Property Address | | | |
| 16 067 04, 01 | | 5.0 | 4 acres |
| Parcel ID | Part of P | arcel (Y/N)? Tra | act Size |
| West Knox Utility District | West Knox Utility D | District | |
| Sewer Provider | Water Provider | | Septic (Y/N) |
| STAFF USE ONLY | | | |
| North side of Hardin Valley Rd, v | vest side of Mission Hills Ln | | |
| General Location | | | |
| City Commission District 6 | PR (Planned Residential) | Rural Resid Agriculture | dential, e/Forestry/Vacant Land |
| County District | Zoning District | Existing La | |
| Northwest County RR | (Rural Residential), HP (Hillside Protection) | , SP (Strea Rural Area | 1 |
| Planning Sector Sec | tor Plan Land Use Classification | Growth Po | licy Plan Designation |

12-SF-23-C Printed 11/17/2023 10:11:20 AM

| DEVELOPMENT REQUEST | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| ✓ Development Plan ☐ Plan | ned Development 🔲 Use o | on Review / Special Use | Related City Permit Number(s) |
| ☐ Hillside Protection COA | Resid | dential Non-residential | |
| Home Occupation (specify) | | | |
| Other (specify) | | | |
| SUBDIVSION REQUEST | | | |
| Lantern Park | | | Related Rezoning File Number |
| Proposed Subdivision Name | | | _ |
| | - 1 | 25 | |
| Unit / Phase Number | Split Parcels | Total Number of Lots Created | |
| Additional Information | | | |
| Attachments / Additional Requ | uirements | | |
| ZONING REQUEST | | | |
| Zoning Change | | | Pending Plat File Number |
| Proposed Zo | ning | | |
| ☐ Plan | | | |
| Amendment Proposed P | lan Designation(s) | | 1 |
| | | | |
| | Previous Zoning Requests | | |
| Additional Information | | | |
| STAFF USE ONLY | | | |
| PLAT TYPE | | Fee 1 | Total |
| Staff Review Plannir | ng Commission | \$1,175.00 | |
| ATTACHMENTS | | | |
| Property Owners / Option Hol | · | Fee 2 | |
| ADDITIONAL REQUIREMEN COA Checklist (Hillside Protect | | | |
| Design Plan Certification (Fina | | Fee 3 | |
| ✓ Site Plan (Development Reque | | ree 3 | |
| ☐ Traffic Impact Study | | | |
| ✓ Use on Review / Special Use (0 | Concept Plan) | | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | |
| | | ct: 1) He/she/it is the owner of the pro | perty, AND 2) the application and |
| all associated materials are bein | g submitted with his/her/its con S&E Properties, LLC | sent. | 10/30/2023 |
| Applicant Signature | Please Print | | Date |
| · · · | | | |
| Phone / Email | | | |
| | S&E Properties LLC | | 10/30/2023 |
| Property Owner Signature | Please Print | | Date |

12-SF-23-C Printed 11/17/2023 10:11:20 AM



Planning Sector

Development Request

| Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Name Company 405 Montbrook Lane Knoxville TN 37915 Address City State ZIP (865) 567-5111 ssmith@volrealty.com Phone Email CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider S STAFF USE ONLY | nendment P |
|--|-----------------|
| 11/27/2023 12/14/2023 Date Filed Meeting Date (if applicable) 12-SF-23-C/ 12-G-23-DP CORRESPONDENCE All correspondence related to this application should be directed to the approved contact list Applicant Property Owner Option Holder Project Surveyor Engineer Architect/Landscape Arc Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Name Company 405 Montbrook Lane Knoxville TN 37919 Address City State ZIP CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner Name (if different) Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD SEATHF USE ONLY | |
| All correspondence related to this application should be directed to the approved contact list. Applicant Property Owner Option Holder Project Surveyor Engineer Architect/Landscape Arc Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Name Company 405 Montbrook Lane Knoxville TN 37915 Address City State ZIP CHARLES Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Note And Company CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road 116 06704; 116 06701 Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD STAFF USE ONLY | |
| All correspondence related to this application should be directed to the approved contact list. Applicant Property Owner Option Holder Project Surveyor Engineer Architect/Landscape Arc Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Name Company 405 Montbrook Lane Knoxville TN 37915 Address City State ZIP CHARLES Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Note And Company CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road 116 06704; 116 06701 Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD STAFF USE ONLY | e Number(s) |
| Applicant Property Owner Option Holder Project Surveyor Architect/Landscape Architect/Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Company 405 Montbrook Lane Knoxville TN 37915 Address City State ZIP (865) 567-5111 ssmith@volrealty.com Phone Email CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road 116 06704; 116 06701 Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider STAFF USE ONLY | |
| Scott Smith S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Name Company 405 Montbrook Lane Knoxville TN 37915 Address City State ZIP (865) 567-5111 ssmith@volrealty.com Phone Email CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider S STAFF USE ONLY | listed below. |
| Address City State ZIP Address State ZIP Address City State ZIP Address City State ZIP Address City State ZIP Address City State ZIP Address Property INFO Address Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Property Address Parcel ID Address WKUD WKUD State ZIP Address Provider STAFF USE ONLY | Architect |
| Address City State ZIP Address City State ZIP (865) 567-5111 ssmith@volrealty.com CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road 116 06704; 116 06701 Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider S STAFF USE ONLY | |
| Address City State ZIP (865) 567-5111 ssmith@volrealty.com Phone Email CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-512 Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road 116 06704; 116 06701 Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider S STAFF USE ONLY | |
| (865) 567-5111 ssmith@volrealty.com CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road 116 06704; 116 06701 Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider STAFF USE ONLY | 9 19 |
| CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider STAFF USE ONLY Email 405 Montbrook Lane (865) 567-51: Property Owner Address Property Address Parcel ID WKUD SEWER Provider STAFF USE ONLY | |
| CURRENT PROPERTY INFO S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider STAFF USE ONLY | |
| S&E Properties, LLC & LP Partners LLC Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider Water Provider | |
| Property Owner Name (if different) Property Owner Address Property Owner Address Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider STAFF USE ONLY | |
| 12041 and 12119 Hardin Valley Road Property Address Parcel ID WKUD WKUD Water Provider STAFF USE ONLY | 5111 |
| WKUD WKUD Nater Provider STAFF USE ONLY | ner Phone |
| WKUD Sewer Provider Water Provider STAFF USE ONLY | |
| STAFF USE ONLY STAFF USE ONLY | |
| STAFF USE ONLY | N |
| | Septic (Y/N |
| Seneral Location Tract Size | |
| general Location Tract Sizo | |
| Scheral Education Hidel Size | |
| ☐ City ☐ County ☐ District Zoning District Existing Land Use | |

Sector Plan Land Use Classification

Growth Policy Plan Designation


| Development Plan ■ Use on Review / Special Use ☐ Hillside Protection COA ■ Residential ☐ Non-Residential Home Occupation (specify) Single Family Residential | | | | / Permit Number(s) | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Other (specify) | | | | | |
| SUBDIVISION REQUEST | | | | | |
| Lantern Park | | | Related Rez | oning File Number | |
| Proposed Subdivision Name | W. | 25 | | | |
| NA ☐ Combine Parcels ☐ Divi | de Parcel Tota | | | | |
| ☐ Other (specify) | | | | | |
| ■ Attachments / Additional Requirements | | | | | |
| ZONING REQUEST | | | | | |
| ZOMING REGOEST | | | Pending I | Plat File Number | |
| ☐ Zoning Change | | | | | |
| Proposed Zoning | | | | | |
| Plan Amendment Change Proposed Plan Designation | n(s) | | | | |
| Proposed Density (units/acre) Previous Re | ezoning Reques | ts | | | |
| Other (specify) | | | | | |
| STAFF USE ONLY | | | | | |
| PLAT TYPE | | Fee 1 | | Total | |
| ☐ Staff Review ☐ Planning Commission | | | | Total | |
| ATTACHMENTS | | | | | |
| ☐ Property Owners / Option Holders ☐ Variance Request Fee 2 | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS | | The state of the s | | | |
| ☐ Design Plan Certification (Final Plat) | | | | | |
| Use on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan) | | | | | |
| ☐ Traffic Impact Study | | | | | |
| COA Checklist (Hillside Protection) | | L | | | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | | | |
| I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and 1) He/she/it is the owner of the property AND 2) The applica | correct: tion and all assoc | iated materials are being su | bmitted with his/he | r/its consent | |
| de of Re. | | | | | |
| Checken . | Scott Smith | | 11/27 | 11/27/23 | |
| W N CE | ase Print | | Date | | |
| | mith@volrea | lty.com | | WALLES TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF | |
| Phone Number Em: | | | | | |
| ANDITALA | Scott Smith, S&E Properties | | | | |
| Min MI | ase Print | | Date Pa | id | |
| Sc | ott Smith, LP F | Partners | | | |



Sign Posting & Removal Requirement

Revised April 2021

The Administrative Rules and Procedures of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission require a sign to be posted on the property for each application subject to consideration by the Planning Commission, including the following applications: rezoning, plan amendment, concept plan, use on review/special use, planned development, right-ofway closure, and name change.



The required public notice sign(s) will be provided by Planning to the applicant when an application is submitted. If an application is submitted electronically, Planning staff will post the required sign. If a replacement sign(s) is needed, the applicant is responsible for picking up the new sign(s) from Planning and will be charged \$10 for each replacement.

LOCATION AND VISIBILITY

The sign must be posted on the nearest adjacent/frontage street and in a location clearly visible to vehicles traveling in either direction. If the property has more than one street frontage, the sign should be placed along the street that carries more traffic. Planning staff may recommend a preferred location for the sign to be posted at the time of application.

TIMING

The sign(s) must be posted **not less than 12 days prior to the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing** and must remain in place until the day after the meeting. In the case of a postponement, the sign can either remain in place or be removed and reposted not less than 12 days prior to the next Planning Commission meeting. The applicant is responsible for removing the sign after the application has been acted upon by the Planning Commission.

The individual below is responsible for posting and removing the sign(s) provided consistent with the above guidelines and between the dates of:

| December 1, 2023 | and | and December 15, 2023 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| (applicant or staff to post sign) | (applicant to remove sign) | | |
| Applicant Name: S&E Properties, LLC | | | |
| Date: 10/30/2023 | | Sign posted by Staff | |
| File Number: 12-SF-23-C & 12-G-23-DP | | Sign posted by Applicant | |