## SUBDIVISION REPORT - CONCEPT

- FILE \#: 2-SB-24-C

AGENDA ITEM \#:
AGENDA DATE: 2/8/2024

- SUBDIVISION:
- APPLICANT/DEVELOPER: TURNER HOMES LLC


## OWNER(S):

TAX IDENTIFICATION:
JURISDICTION:
STREET ADDRESS:

- LOCATION: Northwest side of Ball Rd, Northeast of Bakertown Rd

SECTOR PLAN:
GROWTH POLICY PLAN:
WATERSHED:

- APPROXIMATE ACREAGE:

9177001
View map on KGIS
County Commission District 6
0 BALL RD

Northwest County
Planned Growth Area
Beaver Creek
20.05 acres

- ZONING:
- EXISTING LAND USE:
- PROPOSED USE:

SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:

PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac
Agriculture/Forestry/Vacant Land
Detached residential subdivision
North: Public park, Agriculture/forestry/vacant - A (Agricultural)
South: Rural residential - A (Agricultural)
East: Rural residential, single family residential - RA (Low Density Residential)
West: Agriculture/forestry/vacant, single family residential - RA (Low Density Residential)

- NUMBER OF LOTS:

SURVEYOR/ENGINEER:
ACCESSIBILITY:

- SUBDIVISION VARIANCES

REQUIRED:

## 57

Christopher Golliher Ardurra
Access is via Ball Rd, a major collector street with a pavement width of 20 ft within a right of way of 50 ft .

## VARIANCES

1) Reduce the minimum intersection seperation between Road "A" and Bakertown Rd from 300 ft to 150 ft .
2) Reduction of vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 15 on Road "A" at VPI STA 0+38.48.
3) Reduction of vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 20 on Road "A" at VPI STA 1+51.40.

## ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL

1) Reduce the minimum horizontal curve radius from 250 ft to 105 ft for Road "B" from STA $0+94.63$ to STA $2+56.26$.
2) Reduce the minimum horizontal curve radius from 250 ft to 105 ft for Road "B" from STA 7+21.91 to STA 8+88.58.

## ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS REQUIRING ENGINEERING APPROVAL

1) Reduce the minimum right-of-way width on Road "A" beginning STA
$5+81.11$ and Road "B" beginning STA $0+00.00$ from 50 ft to 40 ft .

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- Approve the requested variance to reduce the minimum intersection separation between Road "A" and Bakertown Rd from 300' to 150 ' based on the following evidence of hardship.
a. Reduction of the distance between the intersections was requested based on topographical and geometric constraints. Because of the location of the property and its proximity to the Bakertown Rd intersection, a 300' separation cannot be achieved. Positioning the proposed road in the proposed location minimizes the impact to the neighboring properties during intersection construction and provides optimal geometry for the road.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property and its distance to the Bakertown Rd intersection is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

Approve the requested variance to reduce the vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 15 Road "A" at VPI STA $0+38.48$ based on the following evidence of hardship.
a. Reduction of the vertical curve value on Road "A" was requested based on topographical and geometric constraints. The county is requiring the entrance road grades to accommodate future sidewalks along the frontage. In order to provide the required $1.00 \%$ grade at the entrance, as well as cross the existing creek near the entrance without impacting the adjacent property owners, the road profile indicated the need for a $K$ value of 15 .
b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

Approve the requested variance to reduce the vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 20 Road "A" at VPI STA $1+51.40$ based on the following evidence of hardship.
a. Reduction of the vertical curve value on Road "A" was requested based on topographical and geometric constraints. The county is requiring the entrance road grades to accommodate future sidewalks along the frontage. In order to provide the required $1.00 \%$ grade at the entrance, as well as cross the existing creek near the entrance without impacting the adjacent property owners, the road profile indicated the need for a $K$ value of 20.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

Approve the alternative design standards based on the justifications provided by the applicant and the recommendations of the Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works.

Approve the concept plan, subject to 8 conditions.

1. Connection to sanitary sewer and meeting any other relevant utility provider requirements.
2. Providing a sight distance easement across the lots on the inside of Road 'B' horizontal curves per the requirements of Knox County Engineering and Public Works during the design plan phase. The driveways on these lots must be located outside of the sight distance easement and shown on the plat, or the driveways must have a depth of 20 ft beyond the sight distance easement if it cannot be located outside of the sight distance easement.
3. Provision of street names consistent with the Uniform Street Naming and Addressing System within Knox

| AGENDA ITEM \#: 39 | FILE \#: $2-S B-24-C$ | $1 / 31 / 202405: 06 ~ P M$ | WHITNEY WARNER | PAGE \#: | 39-2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

County (County Ord. 91-1-102).
4. Meet all applicable requirements of the Knox County Ordinance.
5. Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knox County Engineering and Public Works.
6. Before certification of the final plat for the subdivision, establish a property owners association or other legal entity responsible for maintaining common facilities, such as common areas, amenities, and/or stormwater drainage systems.
7. Providing a note on the final plat that all lots will have access to internal streets.
8. Providing a privacy fence along the rear lot lines at the east and west external development boundaries, and south of the community pool, as shown on the Concept Plan.

## COMMENTS:

This proposal is for a revised 57 -lot residential subdivision on this 19.61-acre property on Ball Rd, a major collector street. The property is zoned PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac. The proposed density is 2.91 du/ac. A concept and development plan were previously approved for this property in 2022 (3-SD-22-C/3-F-22UR). Since the number of units and dwelling type will not be changing, only a concept plan is required.

This proposal has removed the dedication of right-of-way on Bakertown Rd, as it is no longer required, and the sidewalk no longer extends along the road frontage of Bakertown Rd. The boulevard entrance has been realigned based on topography constraints and the roundabout and recreation field in the previous approval have been removed. A pool area with parking and restrooms has been added and some lots have been reconfigured to move the detention to one location on the property.

The applicant has requested a boulevard entrance. The use of the designation of 'Boulevard' as a street name is subject to the approval of the Planning Commission (Subdivision Regulations 3.04.K.1). The development has an internal sidewalk system. An 8-ft tall privacy fence will be required along the west and east property boundaries and along the pool area. A peripheral setback reduction from 35 ft to 25 ft was previously approved along the west and east boundaries.

The rear of the property is within the HP (Hillside Protection Overlay) zone. The proposal is for 1.32 acres to be disturbed, which is within the disturbance budget of 2.6 acres. The line of disturbance is also clearly marked on the plan. This is to be verified during the design plan phase and delineated with high-visibility fencing before grading permits are issued for the site.

The applicant has 3 variance requests. The variance reductions to the vertical curve $K$ values will allow Knox County to install sidewalks along Ball Rd in the future. The reduction to the minimum intersection separation is necessary because the property is less than 300 ft , the minimum intersection separation distance allowed, from Bakertown Rd. Positioning the road in the proposed location minimizes the impact to the neighboring properties and provides optimal geometry for the road. Planning recommends approval of the requested variances as they meet the criteria in the Subdivision Regulations and are supported by the Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works.

The applicant has also requested a number of alternative design standards requiring Planning Commission approval. These are requested due to the topography of the property and its limited width.

ESTIMATED TRAFFIC IMPACT: 602 (average daily vehicle trips)
Average Daily Vehicle Trips are computed using national average trip rates reported in the latest edition of "Trip Generation," published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Average Daily Vehicle Trips represent the total number of trips that a particular land use can be expected to generate during a 24-hour day (Monday through Friday), with a "trip" counted each time a vehicle enters or exits a proposed development.

ESTIMATED STUDENT YIELD: 24 (public school children, grades $\mathrm{K}-12$ )
Schools affected by this proposal: Amherst Elementary, Karns Middle, and Karns High.

- Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.
- Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.
- Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.
- Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission. The date of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission appeal hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.


## Exhibit A. Contextual Images




| CATEGORY | ACRES | RECOMMENDED DISTURBANCE BUDGET (Percent) | DISTURBANCE AREA (Acres) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Area of Site | 20.0 |  |  |
| Non-Hillside | 11.2 | N/A |  |
| 0-15\% Slope | 0.5 | 100\% | 0.5 |
| 15-25\% Slope | 2.4 | 50\% | 1.2 |
| 25-40\% Slope | 3.7 | 20\% | 0.7 |
| Greater than 40\% Slope | 2.3 | 10\% | 0.2 |
| Ridgetops |  |  |  |
| Hillside Protection (HP) Area | 8.8 | Recommended disturbance budget within HP Area (acres) | 2.6 |
|  |  | Percent of HP Area | 29.6\% |







## VARIANCES

The Planning Commission may reduce or otherwise vary the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations when it finds the hardship criteria are met. In granting such variances, the Planning Commission may attach and require whatever conditions it feels are necessary to secure the basic objectives of the varied regulations. Any variance granted by the Planning Commission shall be noted in its official minutes along with the justification for granting the variance (Subdivision Regulations, Section 1.05).

## Hardship conditions to be met:

1. Conditions Required. Where the Planning Commission finds that extraordinary hardships or particular difficulties may result from the strict compliance with these regulations, they may grant, by way of application, variations to the Subdivision Regulations, subject to specified conditions, so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that such variations shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the comprehensive plan.
2. Evidence of Hardship Required. The Planning Commission shall not grant variations to the Subdivision Regulations unless they make findings based upon the evidence presented to them in each specific case that:
a. Because of the particular surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were adhered to.
b. The conditions upon which the request for a variation is based is unique to the property for which the variation is sought and is not applicable, generally, to other property, and has not been created by any person having an interest in the property.
c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

## Variances Requested:

For each variance requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

1. Variance requested:

Reduce the minimum intersection separation between Road "A" and Bakertown Rd from 300' to 150'.
Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. Reference Attachment 1
b. Reference Attachment 1
c. Reference Attachment 1
d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\mathbb{N}$
Engineering Comments:
Approved based upon justification provided by applicant.
Steve

2. Variance requested:

Reduction of vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 15 Road "A" at VPI STA 0+38.48.
Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. Reference Attachment 1
b. Reference Attachment 1
c. Reference Attachment 1
d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES XNO $\square$
Engineering Comments: Approved based upon justification provided by applicant.
Steve Pliott
3. Variance requested:

Reduction of vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 20 Road "A" at VPI STA 1+51.40.
Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. Reference Attachment 1
b. Reference Attachment 1
c. Reference Attachment 1
d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 义NO $\square$
Engineering Comments: Approved based upon justification provided by applicant.
$\qquad$
4. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. $\qquad$
b. $\qquad$
c. $\qquad$
d. $\qquad$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. $\qquad$
b. $\qquad$
c. $\qquad$
d. $\qquad$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO

Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
6. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
a. $\qquad$
b. $\qquad$
c. $\qquad$
d. $\qquad$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO Engineering Comments: $\qquad$

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.

$1 / 23 / 24$
Date

## ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS

The minimum design and performance standards shall apply to all subdivisions unless an alternative design standard is permitted within Article 3 Section 3.01.D. as identified below or as permitted through Article 4 Alternative Design Standards and Required Improvements (Subdivision Regulations, 3.01.D).

## Alternative Design Standards Requested:

For each alternative design standard requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

1. Alternative design standard requested: Reduce the minimum right-of-way width on Road " A " beginning STA 5+81.11 and Road " $B$ " beginning STA 0+00.00 from 50 ft to 40 ft due to topography and limited width of property.

Approval required by: Planning Commission $\square$ Engineering 回
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES X NO $\square$ Engineering Comments: Knox County supports the reduction of the ROW on this private road. Steve Cliott
2. Alternative design standard requested: Reduce the minimum horizontal curve radius from 250 ft to 105 ft for Road "B" from STA 0+94.63 to STA 2+56.26 due to topography and limited width of property.

Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering $\square$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES XNO $\square$ Engineering Comments: Knox County supports this request since no unsafe conditions are being created. Steve CPliott
$\qquad$
3. Alternative design standard requested: Reduce the minimum horizontal curve radius from 250 ft to 105 ft for Road "B" from STA 7+21.91 to STA 8+88.58 due to topography and limited width of property.

Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering $\square$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES X NO $\square$ Engineering Comments: Knox County supports this request since no unsafe conditions are being created. Steve Cliott
4. Alternative design standard requested: Reduce the peripheral setback from 35 ft to 25 ft for lots 1-18 \& 22-35 due to topography and limited width of property. The adjacent properties are zoned RA.

Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering $\square$
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\times N O$ $\square$

Engineering Comments: Steve Elliott
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Alternative design standard requested: $\qquad$

Approval required by: Planning Commission $\square$ Engineering 回
Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Engineering Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.


Attachment 1<br>Project Name: Morning Ridge Subdivision

Date: January 23, 2024

## Variances Requested:

1. Reduce the minimum intersection separation between Road " $A$ " and Bakertown Rd from 300' to 150'.
a. Reduction of the distance between the intersections was requested based on topographical and geometric constraints. Because of the location of the property and its proximity to the Bakertown Rd intersection, a 300' separation cannot be achieved. Positioning the proposed road in the proposed location minimizes the impact to the neighboring properties during intersection construction and provides optimal geometry for the road.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property and its distance to the Bakertown Rd intersection is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
2. Reduction of vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 15 Road "A" at VPI STA 0+38.48.
a. Reduction of the vertical curve value on Road "A" was requested based on topographical and geometric constraints. The county is requiring the entrance road grades to accommodate future sidewalks along the frontage. In order to provide the required $1.00 \%$ grade at the entrance, as well as cross the existing creek near the entrance without impacting the adjacent property owners, the road profile indicated the need for a K value of 15.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
3. Reduction of vertical curve $K$ value from 25 to 20 Road "A" at VPI STA 1+51.40.
a. Reduction of the vertical curve value on Road "A" was requested based on topographical and geometric constraints. The county is requiring the entrance road grades to accommodate future sidewalks along the frontage. In order to provide the required $1.00 \%$ grade at the entrance, as well as cross the
existing creek near the entrance without impacting the adjacent property owners, the road profile indicated the need for a K value of 20.
b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property.
d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.


## DEVELOPMENT REQUEST

| $\square$ Development Plan $\square$ Planned Development | $\square$ Use on Review / Special Use | Related City Permit Number(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Hillside Protection COA | $\square$ Residential $\square$ Non-residential |  |
| Home Occupation (specify) |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |
| SUBDIVSION REQUEST |  |  |
| Morning Ridge |  | Related Rezoning File Number |
| Proposed Subdivision Name |  |  |
|  | 57 |  |
|  | Total Number of Lots Created |  |
| Additional Information proposed density 2.91 du/ac |  |  |

$\square$ Attachments / Additional Requirements

## ZONING REQUEST

| $\square$ Zoning Change |  | Pending Plat File Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Proposed Zoning |  |
| $\square$ Plan |  |  |
| Amendment | Proposed Plan Designation(s) |  |

Proposed Density (units/acre) Previous Zoning Requests
Additional Information

## STAFF USE ONLY

## PLAT TYPE

$\square$ Staff ReviewPlanning Commission

## ATTACHMENTS

$\square$ Property Owners / Option HoldersVariance Request

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

COA Checklist (Hillside Protection)Design Plan Certification (Final Plat)Site Plan (Development Request)Traffic Impact StudyUse on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan)
## AUTHORIZATION

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct: 1) He/she/it is the owner of the property, AND 2) the application and all associated materials are being submitted with his/her/its consent.

|  | Turner Homes LLC | 12/27/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applicant Signature | Please Print | Date |

## Phone / Email

|  | Woody Creek, LLC | 12/27/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Property Owner Signature | Please Print | Date |
| 2-SB-24-C |  | Printed 1/18/2024 10:06:48 AM |


| Turner Homes LLC | Developer |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applicant Name | Affiliation |  |
| December 27,2023 | February 8, 2024 |  |
| Mate Filed | Meeting Date (if applicable) | File Number(s) |
|  |  | $2-S B-24-C$ |

CORRESPONDENCE All correspondence related to this application should be directed to the approved contact listed below.
ApplicantProperty OwnerOption HolderProject SurveyorEngineerArchitect/Landscape Architect

| Stefan Claar, Director of Land Development | Turner Homes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name | Company |  |  |
| 11543 Kingston Pike | Knoxville | TN | 37934 |
| Address | City | State | ZIP |


| 865-777-1700 | stefan.claar@turnerhomes.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone | Email |

## CURRENT PROPERTY INFO

| Woody Creek, LLC | 11543 Kingston Pike Knoxville, TN 37934 | 865-777-1700 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Property Owner Name (if different) | Property Owner Address | Property Owner Phone |
| 0 Ball Rd Knoxville, TN 37931 | 09107701 |  |
| Property Address | Parcel ID |  |
| West Knox Utility | West Knox Utility | N |
| Sewer Provider | Water Provider | Septic (Y/N) |

## STAFF USE ONLY

Northwest side of Ball Road, NE of Bakertown Road
19.61 acres

General Location
Tract Size
PR up to 3 du/ac
$\square$ City $\nabla$ County

|  | PR up to 3 du/ac |
| :---: | :---: |
| District | Zoning District |

## DEVELOPMENT REQUEST

| $\square$ Development Plan $\quad \square$ Use on Review / Special Use $\quad \square$ Hillside Protection COA | Related City Permit Number(s) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Residential $\square$ Non-Residential |  |  |
| Home Occupation (specify) |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |

## SUBDIVISION REQUEST

| Morning Ridge |  | Related Rezoning File Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed Subdivision Name | 57 |  |
| Unit / Phase Number $\quad \square$ Combine Parcels $\quad \square$ Divide Parcel $\quad \frac{1}{4}$ Total Number of Lots Created |  |  |

$\square$ Other (specify)
Proposed density 2.91 du/ac
$\square$ Attachments / Additional Requirements

## ZONING REQUEST

| $\square$ Zoning Change $\quad$ | Pending Plat File Number |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed Zoning |  |
| $\square$ Plan Amendment Change $\quad$ Proposed Plan Designation(s) |  |


| Proposed Density (units/acre) Previous Rezoning Requests |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Other (specify) |

## STAFF USE ONLY

## PLAT TYPE

$\square$ Staff Review $\quad \nabla$ Planning Commission
ATTACHMENTSProperty Owners / Option Holders $\square$ Variance Request

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

$\square$ Design Plan Certification (Final Plat)Use on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan)Traffic Impact Study
$\square$ COA Checklist (Hillside Protection)

| Fee 1 <br> 0102 | $\$ 1600.00$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fee 2 |  |  |
|  |  | $\$ 1600.00$ |
| Fee 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## AUTHORIZATION

$\square$ I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct:

1) He/she/it is the onner of the property AND 2) The application and all associated materials are being submitted with his/her/its consent


865-804-9802

Turner Homes LLC 12-19-23

Please Print
Date
stefan.claar@turnerhomes.com
Email
Turner Homes LLC
Please Print

1220 23 12/27/2023, SG
Date Paid

Revised April 2021

The Administrative Rules and Procedures of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission require a sign to be posted on the property for each application subject to consideration by the Planning Commission, including the following applications: rezoning, plan amendment, concept plan, use on review/special use, planned development, right-ofway closure, and name change.


The required public notice sign(s) will be provided by Planning to the applicant when an application is submitted. If an application is submitted electronically, Planning staff will post the required sign. If a replacement sign(s) is needed, the applicant is responsible for picking up the new sign(s) from Planning and will be charged $\$ 10$ for each replacement.

## LOCATION AND VISIBILITY

The sign must be posted on the nearest adjacent/frontage street and in a location clearly visible to vehicles traveling in either direction. If the property has more than one street frontage, the sign should be placed along the street that carries more traffic. Planning staff may recommend a preferred location for the sign to be posted at the time of application.

## TIMING

The sign(s) must be posted not less than 12 days prior to the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing and must remain in place until the day after the meeting. In the case of a postponement, the sign can either remain in place or be removed and reposted not less than 12 days prior to the next Planning Commission meeting. The applicant is responsible for removing the sign after the application has been acted upon by the Planning Commission.

The individual below is responsible for posting and removing the sign(s) provided consistent with the above guidelines and between the dates of:

01/26/2023
(applicant or staff to post sign)
and $\qquad$ 02/09/2024
(applicant to remove sign)

Applicant Name: Turner Homes LLC
Date: 12/28/2023

File Number: 2-SB-24-C

Sign posted by Staff

Sign posted by Applicant

