

## SUBDIVISION REPORT -CONCEPT/DEVELOPMENT PLAN

FILE #: 12-SF-23-C	AGENDA ITEM #: 12
12-G-23-DP	AGENDA DATE: 3/7/2024
POSTPONEMENT(S):	12/14/2023, 1/11/2024, 2/8/2024
SUBDIVISION:	LANTERN PARK
APPLICANT/DEVELOPER:	S&E PROPERTIES, LLC
OWNER(S):	S&E Properties LLC
TAX IDENTIFICATION:	116 06704 View map on KGIS
JURISDICTION:	County Commission District 6
STREET ADDRESS:	12041 Hardin Valley Rd.
► LOCATION:	North side of Hardin Valley Rd, west side of Mission Hills Ln
SECTOR PLAN:	Northwest County
GROWTH POLICY PLAN:	Rural Area
WATERSHED:	Conner Creek
APPROXIMATE ACREAGE:	8.85 acres
ZONING:	PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac
EXISTING LAND USE:	Rural Residential, Agriculture/Forestry/Vacant Land
PROPOSED USE:	Detached residential subdivision
SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:	North: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac South: Single family residential, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural) and PR (Planned Residential) up to 2 du/ac and 3 du/ac East: Mission Hill Lane, agricultural/forestry/vacant land - A (Agricultural), PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac West: Agricultural/forestry/vacant land - PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac
NUMBER OF LOTS:	25
SURVEYOR/ENGINEER:	Aarron Gray, Ardurra
ACCESSIBILITY:	Access is via Hardin Valley Road, a minor arterial with a pavement width of 20.5 ft within 60 ft of right-of-way, and Mission Hill Lane, a local street with a central divider median within 100 ft of right-of-way.
SUBDIVISION VARIANCES REQUIRED:	<ol> <li>Reduce the minimum vertical curve (crest) K value from 25 to 20 at VPI STA 3+10 on Lantern Park Lane.</li> <li>Reduce the minimum vertical curve (sag) K value from 25 to 15 at the intersection of Lantern Park Lane and Hardin Valley Road.</li> <li>Reduce the minimum intersection separation between Mission Hill Lane and Lantern Park Lane from 400 ft to 368 ft.</li> </ol>

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Table the concept plan as requested by the applicant.

## Table the development plan as requested by the applicant.

## COMMENTS:

This is a 25-lot subdivision on 8.55 acres with access from Hardin Valley Drive and Mission Hill Lane. There are 21 lots with frontage on the internal road, Lantern Park Lane, that has access from Hardin Valley Road, and four (4) lots with frontage on Mission Hill Lane. The applicant is requesting three variances to the road design standards of the Subdivision Regulations. The applicant's justification of hardships is attached to the staff report.

## BACKGROUND

The Planning Commission reviewed and approved two previous Concept Plan and Use on Review (Development Plan) applications for the subject property. In September 2021, the 18-lot Mission Hills Subdivision was approved on 6.98 acres (7-SA-21-C / 7-C-21-UR). The development area did not include the frontage along Mission Hill Lane. In February 2022, the revised Mission Hills Subdivision was approved with 26 lots on 8.85 acres (1-SB-22-C / 1-G-22-UR). The proposal included an additional 1.87 acres along Mission Hill Lane on the east side of the property, which was formerly part of the Hoppe property that wrapped around the subject site. In September 2023, the concept plan was remanded back to the Planning Commission for further consideration. The applicant is requesting withdrawal of the 2022 concept plan (1-SB-22-C).

DEVELOPMENT PLAN ANALYSIS PER ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.50.06 (APPROVAL OR DENIAL) In the exercise of its administrative judgment, the Planning Commission shall determine if the proposed plan is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance and adopted plans.

## 1) ZONING ORDINANCE

PR (Planned Residential) up to 3 du/ac:

a) The PR zone allows houses as a permitted use. The administrative procedures for the PR zone require the Planning Commission to approve the development plan before permits can be issued (Article 5, Section 5.13.15).

B) This PR zone district is approved for a maximum of 3 du/ac. The proposed density for the subdivision is 2.82 du/ac.

### 2) GENERAL PLAN – DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

a) Policy 9.3, Ensure that the context of new development, including scale and compatibility, does not impact existing neighborhoods and communities – NOTE: The proposed subdivision will have detached residential lot sizes comparable to those in existing and recently approved subdivisions in the area.

### 3) NORTHWEST COUNTY SECTOR PLAN

a) The property is classified as RR (Rural Residential), which allows consideration of up to 3 du/ac. The proposed density is 2.82 du/ac.

B) The property has 4.3 acres within the HP (Hillside Protection) area. The slope analysis recommends a disturbance budget of 2.8 acres. This proposal disturbs the entire area HP area.

C) The Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (Exhibit B) has a preferred greenway route along Conner Creek, on the north side of this development. A greenway easement is being provided on the south side of Conner Creek to allow for the future installation of a greenway.

## 4) KNOXVILLE – FARRAGUT – KNOX COUNTY GROWTH POLICY PLAN

a) The property is within the Rural Area. Rural areas are to include land to be preserved for farming, recreation, and other non-urban uses. The rural designation shall not impede the right of a property owner to use or develop the property for a purpose permitted by that property's zoning.

B) The Rural Area recommends a maximum density of 2-3 du/ac. Three (3) du/ac can be considered as an extension of low density residential development if the property is PR (Planned Residential), sanitary sewer and public water is provided, connecting collector and arterial roads from the development to the Planned Growth area meet the standards of Knox County Engineering and Public Works, and a transportation impact analysis demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Planning Commission that the effect of the proposed and similar developments in the traffic analysis zone will not reasonably impair traffic flow.

C) A Transportation Impact Letter was submitted with the rezoning application for the property (1-G-21-RZ) and

AGENDA ITEM #: 12 FILE #: 12-S	F-23-C 2/28/2024 03:05 PM	MIKE REYNOLDS	PAGE #:	12-2
--------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------	---------	------

Hardin Valley Road is a minor arterial street.

ESTIMATED TRAFFIC IMPACT: 282 (average daily vehicle trips)

Average Daily Vehicle Trips are computed using national average trip rates reported in the latest edition of "Trip Generation," published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Average Daily Vehicle Trips represent the total number of trips that a particular land use can be expected to generate during a 24-hour day (Monday through Friday), with a "trip" counted each time a vehicle enters or exits a proposed development.

ESTIMATED STUDENT YIELD: 10 (public school children, grades K-12)

Schools affected by this proposal: Hardin Valley Elementary, Hardin Valley Middle, and Hardin Valley Academy.

• Potential new school population is estimated using locally-derived data on public school student yield generated by new housing.

Students are assigned to schools based on current attendance zones as determined by Knox County Schools. Students may request transfers to different zones, and zone boundaries are subject to change.
Estimates presume full build-out of the proposed development. Build-out is subject to market forces, and timing varies widely from proposal to proposal.

• Student yields from new development do not reflect a net addition of children in schools. Additions occur incrementally over the build-out period. New students may replace current population that ages through the system or moves from the attendance zone.

Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission's approval or denial of this concept plan request is final, unless the action is appealed to Knox County Chancery Court. The date of the Knox County Chancery Court hearing will depend on when the appeal application is filed.

The Planning Commission's approval or denial of this development plan request is final, unless the action is appealed either to the Board of Zoning Appeals or to a court of competent jurisdiction within thirty (30) days of the decision being appealed (Knox County, Tennessee Code of Ordinances, Appendix A, Zoning, 6.50.08).

PAGE #:

# **Request to** Postpone • Table • Withdraw



Planning	Lantern Park		2-27-24	
KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY	Applicant Name (as it appears or	n the current Planning Commission agenda)	Date of Request	
3-7-24			File Number(s)	
Scheduled Meeting Date		12-SF-23-C; 12-G-23-DP		
POSTPONE				
the week prior to the Planning	g Commission meeting. All reque	e request is received in writing and paid for b sts must be acted upon by the Planning Com nement. If payment is not received by the c	mission, except new	
SELECT ONE: 🗌 30 days 🗌 6	50 days 🔲 90 days			
Postpone the above application(s	) until the	Planning Commissi	on Meeting.	
WITHDRAW	-			
week prior to the Planning Cor Applicants are eligible for a ref	mmission meeting. Requests mad fund only if a written request for v	e request is received in writing no later than e after this deadline must be acted on by the vithdrawal is received no later than close of oved by the Executive Director or Planning S <i>*The refund check will be main</i>	e Planning Commission. business 2 business days ervices Manager.	
IADLE				
no fee to table or untable an it	igning below, I certify I am the pro	ne Planning Commission before it can be offi		
Douper ///	P	jamin C. Mullins		
Applicant Signature		e Print		
865-546-9321		ullins@fmsllp.com		
Phone Number (	Emai			
STAFF ONLY				
5-10	) Michael F	Povnolde	1	
Staff Signature	Please Print	Date Paid	No Fee	
Eligible for Fee Refund?  Yes				
engine for reenerging. [] 165		]		
Approved by:		Date:		

## **Request to** Postpone • Table • Withdraw

January 31, 2024



Lantern Park

KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY	Applicant Name (as it appears on	the current Planning Commission agenda)	Date of Request
February 8, 2024			File Number(s)
cheduled Meeting Date		12-SF-23-C; 12-G-23-DP	
POSTPONE			
the week prior to the Planning	Commission meeting. All reques	request is received in writing and paid for last must be acted upon by the Planning Cornement. If payment is not received by the	mmission, except new
SELECT ONE: 🔳 30 days 🛛 6	0 days 🔲 90 days		
Postpone the above application(s)	until the March 7, 2024	Planning Commiss	ion Meeting.
WITHDRAW			
		vithdrawal is received no later than close of oved by the Executive Director or Planning S <i>*The refund check will be ma</i>	Services Manager.
AUTHORIZATION By si	111	perty owner, and/or the owners authorized	representative.
Applicant Signature		e Print	
865 546 9321		ullins@fmsllp.com	
Phone Number	Emai		
STAFF ONLY			
y la	Michael Rey	/nolds 1/31/2024	4 🛛 🗆 No Fe
Staff Signature	Please Print	Date Paid	
Eligible for Fee Refund? 🔲 Yes	🗆 No Amount:		
Approved by:		Date:	
Payee Name	Payee Phone	Payee Address	



# **Request to** Postpone • Table • Withdraw


Planning	Lantern Park		January 4, 2024
KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY	Applicant Name (as it appears or	n the current Planning Commission ag	enda) Date of Request
January 11, 2024			File Number(s)
Scheduled Meeting Date		12-SF-23-C; 12-G-23	-DP
POSTPONE		L	
the week prior to the Planning	g Commission meeting. All reque	request is received in writing and sts must be acted upon by the Pla nement. If payment is not receive	nning Commission, except new
SELECT ONE: 🔳 30 days 🛛 🖯 6	50 days 🛛 90 days		
Postpone the above application(s	) until the February 8, 2024	Planning	Commission Meeting.
WITHDRAW		X	
week prior to the Planning Cor	mmission meeting. Requests made	e request is received in writing no e after this deadline must be acted with drawing is according to later the	
		oved by the Executive Director or F	
		oved by the Executive Director or F	
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it	deadline and the request is appro tabling must be acted upon by the	oved by the Executive Director or F <i>*The refund check</i> w ne Planning Commission before it o	Planning Services Manager. <i>A fill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the tem.	oved by the Executive Director or F <i>*The refund check w</i> ne Planning Commission before it o operty owner, and/or the owners a	Planning Services Manager. <i>A fill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it AUTHORIZATION By su	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the tem. <i>igning below, I certify I am the pro-</i> Ben	oved by the Executive Director or F <i>*The refund check w</i> the Planning Commission before it o operty owner, and/or the owners a jamin C. Mullins	Planning Services Manager. <i>A fill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it AUTHORIZATION By su Applicant Signature	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the tem. <i>igning below, I certify I am the pro-</i> <b>Ben</b> Pleas	oved by the Executive Director or F <i>*The refund check w</i> the Planning Commission before it o <i>operty owner, and/or the owners a</i> <b>jamin C. Mullins</b> e Print	Planning Services Manager. <i>A fill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it AUTHORIZATION By su Applicant Signature 865 546 9321	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the tem. <i>igning below, I certify I am the pro-</i> <b>Ben</b> Pleas	ved by the Executive Director or F <i>*The refund check w</i> The Planning Commission before it o <i>operty owner, and/or the owners a</i> jamin C. Mullins e Print ullins@fmsllp.com	Planning Services Manager. <i>A fill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the rem. <i>igning below, I certify I am the pro-</i> <b>Ben</b> Pleas bmu	ved by the Executive Director or F <i>*The refund check w</i> The Planning Commission before it o <i>operty owner, and/or the owners a</i> jamin C. Mullins e Print ullins@fmsllp.com	Planning Services Manager. <i>A fill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it AUTHORIZATION By si Applicant Signature 865 546 9321 Phone Number	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the igning below, I certify I am the pro- Ben Pleas bmu Emai	wed by the Executive Director or H *The refund check w The Planning Commission before it of perty owner, and/or the owners an jamin C. Mullins e Print allins@fmsllp.com	Planning Services Manager. <i>vill be mailed to the original payed</i> can be officially tabled. There is <i>uthorized representative.</i>
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it AUTHORIZATION By si Applicant Signature 865 546 9321 Phone Number	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the rem. <i>igning below, I certify I am the pro-</i> <b>Ben</b> Pleas bmu	wed by the Executive Director or F *The refund check w The Planning Commission before it of operty owner, and/or the owners and jamin C. Mullins e Print allins@fmsllp.com	Planning Services Manager. <i>vill be mailed to the original payer</i> can be officially tabled. There is <i>uthorized representative</i> .
after the application submittal TABLE TABLE: Any item requested for no fee to table or untable an it AUTHORIZATION By su Applicant Signature 865 546 9321 Phone Number STAFF ONLY	deadline and the request is appro- tabling must be acted upon by the tem. igning below, I certify I am the pro- Michael Re Please Print	wed by the Executive Director or F *The refund check w The Planning Commission before it of operty owner, and/or the owners and jamin C. Mullins e Print allins@fmsllp.com	Planning Services Manager. <i>vill be mailed to the original paye</i> can be officially tabled. There is <i>uthorized representative.</i>




<

# **Request to** Postpone · Table · Withdraw


Planning	Lantern Park		12-11-23
KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY	Applicant Name (as it appears on	the current Planning Commission agenda)	Date of Request
December 14, 2023 Scheduled Meeting Date		23 12-SF <del>-26</del> -C; 12-G-23-DP	File Number(s)
POSTPONE			
the week prior to the Plannin	ng Commission meeting. All reques	request is received in writing and paid for ts must be acted upon by the Planning Cor ement. If payment is not received by the	mmission, except new
SELECT ONE: 🔲 30 days 🗌	60 days 🔲 90 days		
Postpone the above application(	s) until the January 11, 2024	Planning Commiss	sion Meeting.
WITHDRAW			
week prior to the Planning Co Applicants are eligible for a re after the application submitta	ommission meeting. Requests made afund only if a written request for w	request is received in writing no later tha after this deadline must be acted on by th ithdrawal is received no later than close of ved by the Executive Director or Planning	ne Planning Commission. f business 2 business days Services Manager.
TABLE		*The refund check will be ma	iled to the original payee.
no fee to table or untable an	item. signing below, I certify I am the prop	e Planning Commission before it can be of perty owner, and/or the owners authorized amin C. Mullins	
Applicant Signature	Please		
865-546-9321	bmu	llins@fmsllp.com	
Phone Number	Email		
STAFF ONLY			
Selles By	Shelley Gra	ay 12/11/202	3 🛛 🗆 No Fee
Staff Signature	Please Print	Date Paid	
Eligible for Fee Refund?   Yes	No Amount:		
Approved by:		Date:	
Payee Name	Payee Phone	Payee Address	








### Staff - Slope Analysis Case: 12-SF-23-C / 12-G-23-DP

CATEGORY	ACRES	RECOMMENDED DISTURBANCE BUDGET (Percent)	DISTURBANCE AREA (Acres)
Total Area of Site	8.8		
Non-Hillside	4.5	N/A	
0-15% Slope	1.6	100%	1.6
15-25% Slope	2.3	50%	1.1
25-40% Slope	0.5	20%	0.1
Greater than 40% Slope	0.0	10%	0.0
Ridgetops			
Hillside Protection (HP) Area	4.3	Recommended disturbance budget within HP Area (acres)	2.8
		Percent of HP Area	64.4%











## VARIANCES

The Planning Commission may reduce or otherwise vary the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations when it finds the hardship criteria are met. In granting such variances, the Planning Commission may attach and require whatever conditions it feels are necessary to secure the basic objectives of the varied regulations. Any variance granted by the Planning Commission shall be noted in its official minutes along with the justification for granting the variance (Subdivision Regulations, Section 1.05).

## Hardship conditions to be met:

- 1. **Conditions Required.** Where the Planning Commission finds that extraordinary hardships or particular difficulties may result from the strict compliance with these regulations, they may grant, by way of application, variations to the Subdivision Regulations, subject to specified conditions, so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that such variations shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the comprehensive plan.
- 2. Evidence of Hardship Required. The Planning Commission shall not grant variations to the Subdivision Regulations unless they make findings based upon the evidence presented to them in each specific case that:
  - a. Because of the particular surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were adhered to.
  - b. The conditions upon which the request for a variation is based is unique to the property for which the variation is sought and is not applicable, generally, to other property, and has not been created by any person having an interest in the property.
  - c. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain.
  - d. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

## Variances Requested:

For each variance requested, identify the hardship that would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations was adhered to.

1. Variance requested:

Vertical curve (crest) minimum K value for Road A from 25 to 20

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

- a. Reference Attachment 1
- Reference Attachment 1
- Reference Attachment 1
- d Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES  $\bigotimes$  NO  $\Box$ 

Engineering Comments: Approve the variance based upon the justification provided by the applicant.



2. Variance requested:

Vertical curve (sag) minimum K for Road A and Hardin Valley Rd intersection value from 25 to 15.

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

- a. Reference Attachment 1
- b. Reference Attachment 1
- c. Reference Attachment 1
- d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 🗙 NO 🗆

Engineering Comments: <u>Approve the variance based upon the justification provided by the</u> applicant.

3. Variance requested:

Minimum distance between Mission Hill Lane and Road A from 400 feet to 368 feet

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

- a. Reference Attachment 1
- b. Reference Attachment 1
- c. Reference Attachment 1
- d. Reference Attachment 1

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 
NO 
X

Engineering Comments: See attached.

4. Variance requested:

Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:

a		
b		
с		

d.\_\_\_\_\_

Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 🗌 NO 🗌

Engineering Comments: \_\_\_\_\_



### 5. Variance requested:

	Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
	a
	b
	c
	d
	Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES $\square$ NO $\square$
	Engineering Comments:
6.	Variance requested:
	Identify the hardship that would result for each of the above criteria as noted in item 2 above:
	a
	b
	C
	d
	Engineering supports the variance requested (to be completed during review process): YES 🗌 NO 🗌
	Engineering Comments:

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.

Signature

Scott Smith

Printed Name

12/05/23

Date

### Attachment 1

Project Name: Lantern Park Subdivision Planning Commission File Number: 12-SF-23-C/12-G-23-DP Date: December 05, 2023

### Variances Requested:

- 1. Vertical curve (crest) minimum K value for Lantern Park Ln from 25 to 20.
  - a. The reduction was requested based on the topographical shape and constraints of site, minimization of the impact to the hillside, as well as avoiding impacts to the adjacent creek and buffers. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (crest) variance would not only require additional grading, but also create the need for additional variances. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances is consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from current AASHTO standards (see AASHTO Table 3-35).
  - b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
  - c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (crest) variance would require additional grading which could also have a greater negative impact on surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO standards (See AASHYO Table 3-35).
  - d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
- 2. Vertical curve (sag) minimum K for Lantern Park Ln and Hardin Valley Rd intersection value from 25 to 15.
  - a. Reduction of the K-value was requested and previously approved based on the topographical constraints for the site area of the roadway intersection, minimizing conflicts with the existing utility lines, and minimizing the impact to the hillside on the site. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO standards (See AASHTO Table 3-37).
  - b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
  - c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Relocating the road to eliminate this vertical curve (sag) variance would require additional grading which could also have a greater negative impact on surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent

with standard engineering practices with guidance from AASHTO (See AASHTO Table 3-37).

- d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.
- 3. Minimum distance between Mission Hill Lane and Lantern Park Ln from 400 feet to 368 feet.
  - a. Reduction of the distance between the intersections was requested based on topographical and site constraints. Positioning the proposed road in a location to minimize the impact to the site topography and provide optimal geometry for the road. Placing the road at a different location would require additional variances and would have required more grading than locating it at its current location. Additional grading was avoided to avoid the potential negative impacts additional grading could have on the surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices.
  - b. The unique shape and topography of the property is the basis for the request (reference description in Part "a" above).
  - c. The purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire for financial gain but is a result of the physical attributes of the property. Placing the road at a different location would require additional variances and would have required more grading than locating it at its current location. Additional grading was avoided to avoid the potential negative impacts additional grading could have on the surrounding properties. The current road designs with the reduction of additional grading and minimization of additional variances are consistent with standard engineering practices.
  - d. To our knowledge, the variance will not be a detriment to public safety, health, or welfare, or be injurious to other properties and improvements in the neighborhood.

	U.S. Cu	stomary		Metric			
Design Speed	Stopping Sight			Design Speed	Stopping Sight	Rate of Vertical Curvature, Ka	
(mph)	Distance (ft)	Calculated	Design	(km/h) Distance (m)	Calculated	Design	
15	80	3.0	3	20	20	0.6	1
20	115	6,1	7	30	35	1,9	2
25	155	11.1	12	40	50	3.8	4
30	200	18.5	19	50	65	6.4	7
35	250	29.0	29	60	85	11.0	11
40	305	43.1	44	70	105	16.8	17
45	360	60.1	61	80	130	25.7	26
50	425	83.7	84	90	160	38.9	39
55	495	113.5	114	100	185	52.0	52
60	570	150.6	151	110	220	73.6	74
65	645	192.8	193	120	250	95.0	95
70	730	246.9	247	130	285	123.4	124
75	820	311.6	312	Norman and a second			
80	910	383.7	384				

Table 3-35. Design Controls for Crest Vertical Curves Based on Stopping Sight Distance

Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve per percent algebraic difference in intersecting grades (A), K = LA.

	U.S. Cu	stomary	Same and		M	etric	
Design Speed	Stopping Sight Dis-	Rate of Vertical Curvature, K		Design Speed	Stopping	Rate of Curvati	
(mph)	tance (ft)	Calculated	Design	(km/h)	tance (m)	Calculated	Design
15	80	9.4	10	20	20	2.1	3
20	115	16.5	17	30	35	5.1	6
25	155	25.5	26	40	50	8.5	9
30	200	36.4	37	<b>S</b> 0	65	12.2	13
35	250	49.0	49	60	85	17.3	18
40	305	63.4	64	70	105	22.6	23
45	360	78.1	79	80	130	29.4	30
50	425	95.7	96	90	160	37.6	38
55	495	114,9	115	100	185	44.6	45
60	\$70	135.7	136	110	220	54.4	55
65	645	156.5	157	120	250	62.8	63
70	730	180.3	181	130	285	72.7	73
75	820	205.6	206	•	ar en ar esta de la compañía de la c	darra anicoportana da	
80	910	231.0	231				

## Table 3-37. Design Controls for Sag Vertical Curves

Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve (m) per percent algebraic difference intersecting grades (A), K = L/A.


Knox County Engineering and Public Works have routinely supported similar variances for many years, including ones on this roadway network, and specifically twice for this site but after review of Chancellor Weaver's findings in <u>Massey Creek Home Owners Association vs Knoxville-Knox County Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> and careful review of the minimum subdivision regulations requirements for granting a variance our staff does not believe adequate justification was provided to show a extraordinary hardship exists.

Steve Elliott

**Director of Development Services** 

## 12-F-23-C / 12-G-23-DP Exhibit B Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (Adopted by Knox County Commission - January 21, 2020)





10 | Knox County, TN



# **Development Request**

		DEVELOPMENT	SUBDIVISI	ON ZO	NING
DI	annin	🖉 🗹 Development Plan	🖌 Concept	Plan	Plan Amendment
Γι	annin	9 Planned Development	🗌 Final Plat		Sector Plan
KN	IOXVILLE I KNOX COUNTY	Use on Review / Special Use	2		🗌 One Year Plan
		Hillside Protection COA			Rezoning
					5
S&E Prop	erties, LLC				
Applicant	Name		A	ffiliation	
10/30/20	23	12/14/2023	12-SF-23-	C / 12-G-23-DP	
Date Filed	l	Meeting Date (if applicable)	File Numb	per(s)	
CORRE	SPONDENCE	All correspondence related to this applicatior	n should be directed	to the approved cor	tact listed below.
Scott Smi	th S&E Properties,	LLC			
Name / Co	ompany				
405 Mont	brook Lane Knoxvi	lle TN 37919			
Address					
865-567-5	5111 / ssmith@volr	ealty.com			
Phone / E					
CURRE	NT PROPERTY IN	NFO			
S&E Prop		405 Montbrook Ln. Knoxville 1	N 37919	865-454-37	27
Owner Na	ame (if different)	Owner Address		Owner Pho	ne / Email
12041 Ha	rdin Valley Rd. / 12	2119 Hardin Valley Rd			
Property A	Address				
116 067	04, 01			5.04 acres	
Parcel ID	-	Part o	of Parcel (Y/N)?	Tract Size	
West Kno	ox Utility District	West Knox Utilit	v District		
Sewer Pro		West Know Other Water Provider	y District		Septic (Y/N)
STAFF	USE ONLY				
North side	e of Hardin Valley F	Rd, west side of Mission Hills Ln			
General Lo	ocation				
City	Commission District	t 6 PR (Planned Residential)		Rural Residential, Agriculture/Forestr	y/Vacant Land
County	District	Zoning District		Existing Land Use	
Northwes	st County	RR (Rural Residential), HP (Hillside Protectio	on). SP (Strea R	ural Area	
Planning S	-	Sector Plan Land Use Classification		rowth Policy Plan	Designation

DEVELOPMENT REQUEST			
✓ Development Plan □ Planned	d Development 🗌 Us	se on Review / Special Use	Related City Permit Number(s)
Hillside Protection COA	🗌 Re	esidential 🗌 Non-residential	
Home Occupation (specify)			
Other (specify)			
SUBDIVSION REQUEST			
Lantern Park			Related Rezoning File Number
Proposed Subdivision Name			
		25	
Unit / Phase Number	it Parcels	Total Number of Lots Created	
Additional Information			
Attachments / Additional Require	ements		
ZONING REQUEST			1
Zoning Change			Pending Plat File Number
Proposed Zoning	g		
🗌 Plan			
Amendment Proposed Plan	Designation(s)		
Proposed Density (units/acre) Pre Additional Information	evious Zoning Requests		
STAFF USE ONLY			
PLAT TYPE     Fee 1		Total	
Staff Review       Planning Commission       \$1,175.00		1	
ATTACHMENTS  Property Owners / Option Holder	s 🗌 Variance Reque	est Fee 2	
ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS			
COA Checklist (Hillside Protection			
Design Plan Certification (Final Plat)     Fee 3			
<ul> <li>Site Plan (Development Request)</li> <li>Traffic Impact Study</li> </ul>			
✓ Use on Review / Special Use (Con	icept Plan)		
AUTHORIZATION			
	he foregoing is true and co	rrect: 1) He/she/it is the owner of the pro	pperty, AND 2) the application and
all associated materials are being su			, <i>,,</i> ,
	S&E Properties, LLC	3	10/30/2023
Applicant Signature	Please Print		Date
Phone / Email			

	S&E Properties LLC	10/30/2023
Property Owner Signature	Please Print	Date

	Dev	velopmer	nt Reau	les	t	
	DEVELOP		SUBDIVISION		ZONING	
		pment Plan	Concept Plan	an	🗆 Plan Amendment	
Planning		ed Development	🗆 Final Plat		SP OYP	
KNOXVILLE   KNOX COUNTY		n Review / Special Use e Protection COA			□ Rezoning	
Scott Smith				Owner		
Applicant Name				Affiliation		
11/27/2023	12/14/2023			12-SF-23-C/ <sup>File</sup> / <sup>Number(s)</sup>		
Date Filed	Meeting Date (if applicable)			12-G-23-DP		
	corresponden	ce related to this application	should be directed to	the appro	oved contact listed below.	
🗌 Applicant 🔳 Property Owner	Option	Holder 🗌 Project Survey	or 🗌 Engineer 🗌	] Archited	t/Landscape Architect	
Scott Smith		S&E	Properties, LLC &	LP Part	ners LLC	
Name		Comp	any			
405 Montbrook Lane		Kno>	wille	TN	37919	
Address		City		State	ZIP	
(865) 567-5111	ssn	nith@volrealty.com				
Phone	Ema	il				
CURRENT PROPERTY INFO						
S&E Properties, LLC & LP Part	ners LLC	405 Montbrook Lar	ie		(865) 567-5111	
Property Owner Name (if different)		Property Owner Address	5	F	Property Owner Phone	
12041 and 12119 Hardin Valle	ey Road		116 06704; 11	6 06701		
Property Address			Parcel ID			
WKUD		WKUD			Ν	
Sewer Provider		Water Provider			Septic (Y/N)	
STAFF USE ONLY						
General Location				Tract Size		
☐ City ☐ County District	Zonij	ng District	Existing Land Us			
	20111	.0	Existing Land US			
Planning Sector	Sector Plan Land Use Classification		n	Growth Po	olicy Plan Designation	

DEVELOPMENT REQUEST				
<ul> <li>Development Plan </li> <li>Use on Review / Special Use </li> <li>Hillside Protection COA</li> <li>Residential </li> <li>Non-Residential</li> <li>Home Occupation (specify) Single Family Residential</li> </ul>			Related City	Permit Number(s)
Other (specify)				
SUBDIVISION REQUEST				
Lantern Park			Related Rezo	oning File Number
Proposed Subdivision Name		25		
NA Combine Parcels	Divide Parcel	25		
Unit / Phase Number	Tota	Number of Lots Created		
Other (specify)				
Attachments / Additional Requirements				
ZONING REQUEST			1	
Coning Change			Pending P	lat File Number
Zoning Change Proposed Zoning				
Plan Amendment Change			_	ALLEN
Proposed Plan Designa	ition(s)			
Proposed Density (units/acre) Previou	is Rezoning Requests	5		
Other (specify)			15-15-11-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-1	
STAFF USE ONLY				
PLAT TYPE		Fee 1		Total
Staff Review Planning Commission				
ATTACHMENTS		Fee 2		
Property Owners / Option Holders Variance R	equest	Tee 2		
ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS				
<ul> <li>Design Plan Certification (Final Plat)</li> <li>Use on Review / Special Use (Concept Plan)</li> </ul>		Fee 3		
Traffic Impact Study				
COA Checklist (Hillside Protection)			ł.	
AUTHORIZATION		L		
I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true 1) He/she/it is the owner of the property AND 2) The app	and correct: blication and all associo	ated materials are being submit	tted with his/her/	′its consent
And Alla	Scott Smith		11/27/	23
Applicant Signature	Please Print		Date	
(865) 567-5111	ssmith@volrealt	ty.com		
Phone Number	Email			
du a A.	Scott Smith, S&B	E Properties		
Property Shershers	Please Print		Date Paid	4
Martin	Scott Smith 1 P P	arthors		


Scott Smith, LP Partners



## Sign Posting & Removal Requirement

Revised April 2021

The Administrative Rules and Procedures of the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission require a sign to be posted on the property for each application subject to consideration by the Planning Commission, including the following applications: rezoning, plan amendment, concept plan, use on review/special use, planned development, right-of-way closure, and name change.



The required public notice sign(s) will be provided by Planning to the applicant when an application is submitted. If an application is submitted electronically, Planning staff will post the required sign. If a replacement sign(s) is needed, the applicant is responsible for picking up the new sign(s) from Planning and will be charged \$10 for each replacement.

## LOCATION AND VISIBILITY

The sign must be posted on the nearest adjacent/frontage street and in a location clearly visible to vehicles traveling in either direction. If the property has more than one street frontage, the sign should be placed along the street that carries more traffic. Planning staff may recommend a preferred location for the sign to be posted at the time of application.

## TIMING

The sign(s) must be posted **not less than 12 days prior to the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing** and must remain in place until the day after the meeting. In the case of a postponement, the sign can either remain in place or be removed and reposted not less than 12 days prior to the next Planning Commission meeting. The applicant is responsible for removing the sign after the application has been acted upon by the Planning Commission.

The individual below is responsible for posting and removing the sign(s) provided consistent with the above guidelines and between the dates of:

December 1, 2023	and	December 15, 2023
(applicant or staff to post sign)		(applicant to remove sign)
Applicant Name: S&E Properties, LLC		
Date: 10/30/2023		Sign posted by Staff
File Number: 12-SF-23-C & 12-G-23-DP		Sign posted by Applicant