

Alternative Design Standards

The minimum design and performance standards shall apply to all subdivisions unless an alternative design standard is permitted within Article 3 Section 3.01.D, Application of Alternative Design Standards, or Article 4.01.C, Street Standards (within Hillside and Ridgetop Areas).

There are some alternative design standards that require Planning Commission approval, and some that can be approved by the Engineering Departments of the City or County. However, the City or County Engineering Departments, as applicable, will provide review comments on any alternative design proposed. These comments will be provided during the review process.

Alternative Design Standards Requiring Planning Commission Approval

Section 3.03.B.2 - Street frontage in the PR (Planned Residential) zone, Knox County

Section 3.03.E.1.e - Maximum grade of private right-of-way

Section 3.03.E.3.a - Pavement width reduction, private rights-of-way serving 6 or more lots

Section 3.04.H.2 - Maximum grade, public streets

Section 3.04.I.1.b.1 – Horizontal curves, local streets in Knox County

Alternative Design Standards Approved by the Engineering Departments of the City of Knoxville or Knox County

Section 3.03.E.3.a - Right-of-way width reduction, private rights-of-way serving 6 or more lots

Section 3.04.A.3.c – Right-of-way dedication, new subdivisions

Section 3.04.F.1 - Right-of-way reduction, local streets

Section 3.04.G.1 - Pavement width reduction, local streets

Section 3.04.H.3 - Intersection grade, all streets

Section 3.04.J.2 – Corner radius reduction in agricultural, residential, and office zones

Section 3.04.J.3 - Corner radius reduction in commercial and industrial zones

Section 3.11.A.2 – Standard utility and drainage easement

By signing this form, I certify that the criteria for a variance have been met for each request, and that any and all requests needed to meet the Subdivision Regulations are requested above or are attached. I understand and agree that no additional variances can be acted upon by the legislative body upon appeal and none will be requested.

Signature

For each alternative design standard requested, identify how the proposed alternative design either meets the intent of the standard in the Subdivision Regulations or meets an alternative, nationally recognized engineering standard such as The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) or Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG).

1. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED: INTERSECTION ROODWAY Grades from 1.00% to 3.00% STA 0 + 13 TO STA 0 +55 ROAD "B" Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering Engineering
Approval required by: Planning Commission — Engineering &
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested
(to be completed during review process): YES ▼NO □
Engineering Comments:
2. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED: Intersection Roadway Grades from 1.00 % to 2.38 % STA 0 + 13 to STA 2 + Le Le 20AD "D" Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering &
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested
(to be completed during review process): YES NO □
Engineering Comments:
3. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED:
PAROLINA GOOD FOR 17 AD 66 HD 15 %
ROADWAY Grade from 12.00% to 15% STA 1+30 to STA 6+00 ROAD 14!
Approval required by: Planning Commission ☐ Engineering ☑
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested
(to be completed during review process): YES ▼NO □
Engineering Comments:

4. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED: JOHNSECTION ROOCLUDGY Grades from 1.00% to 2.00% STA O + 13 to STA 1+00 ROAD 4E! Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO □ Engineering Comments:
5. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED: Intersection Roadway grades from 1.00% to 3% STA 0 + 10 to STA 1+30 "ROAD" F Approval required by: Planning Commission [Engineering []
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested (to be completed during review process): YES ▼NO □ Engineering Comments:

№. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED:
Intersection ROADWay Greades From 1.00% to 2.75%
STA Le+00 +0 STA 7+89 ROAD #F# Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering Engineering
Approval required by: Planning Commission Engineering
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested
(to be completed during review process): YES NO □
Engineering Comments:
7. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED:
Right-of-way winth for all Private and public Roads From 50' to 40'
Approval required by: Planning Commission ☐ Engineering ☑
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested
(to be completed during review process): YES NO □ Engineering Comments:

8 ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED: DEDUCING LOT FrONTAGE FROM 25' +022'
Approval required by: Planning Commission ☐ Engineering ☐
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested (to be completed during review process): YES NO □ Engineering Comments:
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STANDARD REQUESTED:
Approval required by: Planning Commission \square Engineering \square
Engineering supports the alternative design standard requested
(to be completed during review process): YES □ NO □ Engineering Comments: