

I agree with the prior 33 comments about the proposal as it was before it was revised on 9/19/24 to revision 3. The revision 3 seems to me to address the most critical, in my opinion, issue of connecting Yosemite to Farland Drive, which I and most others do not want. It also addresses the number of houses added to Timbercrest by restricting that to 4 new homes. To me and speaking only for myself, if this 3rd revision is the final plan, then I can accept it without protest.

I would like to point out that many Timbercrest neighbors are concerned that the land will be stripped of trees to make way for the four homes which would destroy the perceived peaceful forested environment of the Timbercrest Pool and Park. I think that the builder should present a plan to preserve the trees along the adjoining border between the pool property and the new houses. This would cause more folks to accept the building plan.

Finally I want to point out that many of us who have been long-time residents of Timbercrest spoke personally to Paul Shirley who assured us that he was building this neighborhood intentionally keeping a forested buffer along much of the neighborhood's borders to maintain a peaceful rural feel to the property. These verbal exchanges should be considered an implied contract with us and the bordering properties should be restricted to conform to his stated wishes. Unfortunately this was not put in writing to me (I'm not sure about others) and his donating these buffer properties to his church may be used to void what many of us consider to be a commitment. Going forward, I will personally work to see that Mr. Shirley's wishes are considered in all future plans for the forest buffer zones around our neighborhood.