

Dear Planning Commission,

I am writing to voice my opposition to the re-zoning of yet another lot in our subdivision The Park at Babelay. My experience with the planning commission gives me little to zero faith my opinion will be considered. It seems the planning commission sides with the developer on issues such as this, so as not to be faced with potential threats from his attorney. The residents of our neighborhood collectively feel we are of little concern to the planning commission. While I do appreciate the opportunity to speak, it feels as though we are being placated rather than genuinely considered. Regardless, I will voice my opposition. I believe my fellow neighbor Rich has clearly outlined four valid, legal points as to why this plot of land should not be re-zoned. I will restate them here:

1. Lawful disposition and incorporation of right-of-way.
2. Verification of a compliant buildable envelope.
3. Preservation of drainage and subdivision infrastructure function.
4. Consistency with subdivision planning, safety, and neighborhood character.

I would like it noted that our neighborhood is composed of hard-working, full-time employees, business owners, and parents. I say this so the planning commission understands our absence at this meeting does not prove compliance. Our absence only confirms that we are bound to our regularly scheduled obligations and responsibilities. Responsibilities we are unable and unwilling to cancel only to have the same conversation with the planning commission we have already had several, several, times.

With Grievance,

Amy Floyd