

Development Proposal Rejection 5B26DP

Dear Planning Commission,

I am writing to formally and vehemently object to the proposed development plan that includes routing an access road through our existing neighborhood for the benefit of a completely separate development. This proposal is unacceptable and would cause serious and irreversible harm to our community, our property values, our quality of life, and most importantly, the safety of our children.

First and foremost, the proposed access road would destroy one of the most valuable and cherished aspects of our neighborhood — the peaceful scenic views enjoyed by existing homeowners. From our deck, as well as from approximately a dozen surrounding homes, residents currently enjoy a quiet and beautiful natural view that was a major factor in choosing to live here and invest in this community. The proposed road would replace that tranquility with traffic, noise, headlights, and constant disruption. This is not a minor inconvenience; it is a permanent degradation of the character and livability of our neighborhood.

In addition, the road would directly impact the community pool area, which serves as a place of relaxation and recreation for neighborhood families. Instead of a peaceful environment, residents would be subjected to vehicle noise, increased traffic, visual intrusion, and the constant presence of non-community drivers passing directly by the area. The pool and surrounding amenities would lose much of their value and enjoyment because of this reckless planning decision.

The most concerning aspect of this proposal is the significant risk it poses to our children, and I recommend holding the Planning Commission accountable for any possible accidents that may arise from this reckless decision.

The exact location where this access road is proposed is currently the designated school bus pickup area for our neighborhood children. Every morning, children gather along that street while parents line up vehicles nearby. Even under current conditions, the area already requires caution and patience from drivers. The critical difference is that our current drivers are residents of the neighborhood who know the children, understand the routine, and exercise care accordingly.

Introducing through-traffic from outside developments would dramatically increase the danger. Non-community drivers will have no connection to our neighborhood, no awareness of the children waiting there each morning, and no reason to exercise the same level of caution. Increased traffic volume combined with impatient commuter traffic creates an obvious and unacceptable safety hazard. This proposal places convenience for a new development above the lives and safety of the children already living here.

The fact that this access point was even considered demonstrates a shocking disregard for the existing residents who built and support this community. Our neighborhood was not designed to function as a cut-through or feeder road for outside development, and residents should not be forced to sacrifice their safety, peace, views, and property enjoyment to accommodate expansion elsewhere.

We strongly urge the planning commission to reject this proposal in its entirety and require the developer to find alternative access solutions that do not burden and endanger an established residential neighborhood.

There is overwhelming opposition to this proposal from affected homeowners, and we fully expect our concerns to be taken seriously. This development access plan must NOT move forward!

Proposed Access Location – View From our House and Deck:





It is, by the way, unacceptable to restrict comments and prevent individuals from expressing their concerns by abruptly cutting them off on your website comments:

*Message

inconvenience; it is a permanent degradation of the character and livability of our neighborhood.

In addition, the road would directly impact the community pool area, which serves as a place of relaxation and recreation for neighborhood families. Instead of a peaceful environment, residents would be subjected to vehicle noise, increased traffic, visual intrusion, and the constant presence of non-community drivers passing

dir
be

The
ho
de

Att

Consider: If your comments are longer than 1,000 characters we suggest using a Word document to save them as a PDF. You can add the PDF here as an attachment.

Choose File DevelopmentRejection5B26DP.pdf

PDF, no more than 10 MB

Please correct the following info:

- **Message is too long** Please shorten your comments or consider adding them as a PDF attachment (must be less than 10 MB).