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## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this traffic study is to analyze the traffic impacts of a new proposed residential subdivision in Knox County, Tennessee. At this point in the concept stage, this development is named Vining Mill. This development is being proposed to the south of Hardin Valley Road and to the east of Marietta Church Road in west Knox County. This traffic impact study follows the procedures and standards as outlined for a Level 1 study in accordance with the standards set forth by the Knoxville/Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission (MPC).

In this study the following analyses/methodologies were conducted:

- A review of the operating characteristics of the existing adjacent roadway system that will provide access to the proposed site
- Determination and application of the trips to the existing adjacent road system that are expected to be generated by the proposed development
- Evaluation of the road system locations to determine the potential traffic impacts of the proposed development
- Identification of recommendations for road improvements that would mitigate the expected increase in traffic volume from the projected future traffic volumes


## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed location of this new development is shown on a map in Figure 1. The development is to be located adjacent to Hardin Valley Road just less than two miles to the west of the intersection of Hardin Valley Road and North Campbell Station Road. Immediate access to the development will be provided by Hardin Valley Road to the north and Marietta Church Road to the west. In the adjacent vicinity of this study area, there are several other relatively new residential subdivisions, individual residences, and undeveloped properties. The existing site primarily consists of undeveloped woods, pasture and scrubland. A branch of Conner Creek bisects the property and the topography has some fairly significant slopes.

The proposed subdivision is expected to be comprised of several new internal drives on approximately 111 acres. At this stage of design, the subdivision design contains 190 single family residential lots ( $1 / 4$ acre to 2.5 acres in size) and approximately 30 acres of open space.


Figure 1
Location Map

The proposed plan layout given by Russell Rackley, PE is shown in Figure 2a. As can be seen in the figure, one main entrance will tie onto Hardin Valley Road and one will tie onto Marietta Church Road. Figure 2b shows the typical road cross section for the development. The internal roadways as shown in Figure 2 b will have 5 foot wide sidewalks and a total road width of 26 feet. The total length of the new roadways within the development will be nearly 9,800 feet and are labeled on the layout plan in Figure 2a. The proposed entrance for the subdivision on Marietta Church Road is to be located approximately 1,050 feet to the south of the intersection at Hardin Valley Road. The proposed entrance for the subdivision on Hardin Valley Road is to be located approximately 1,685 feet to the east of the intersection at Marietta Church Road. The development, in addition to the individual home lots, will also have a subdivision swimming pool and gathering area at the front entrance near the intersection with Hardin Valley Road.

The actual schedule for completion of this new residential development is dependent on economic factors. However, the current market in this area of Knox County is experiencing rapid growth. This project is also contingent on permitting, design, and other issues. However, for the purposes of this study, it was assumed that the total construction build-out of the development and full occupancy will be by the year 2024 (8 years).


## EXISTING CONDITIONS IN STUDY AREA

Hardin Valley Road is classified as a Minor Arterial and traverses in a general northeastsouthwest direction. Hardin Valley Road intersects Pellissippi Parkway at an underpass to the northeast of the site and terminates at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road and East Gallaher Ferry Road just to the west of the site. The speed limit on Hardin Valley Road is posted at 40 mph in the project area. Hardin Valley Road near the intersection of Marietta Church Road consists of a 2-lane section and has a total pavement width of 22 feet with approximately 10 foot travel lanes. Hardin Valley Road in the study area has a fairly level vertical alignment. In the Major Road Plan published by the MPC, Hardin Valley Road is listed as having 60 feet of right-of-way adjacent to the project location.

Hardin Valley Road provides road access to several schools in the surrounding area. Pellissippi State Community College, Hardin Valley Elementary, and Hardin Valley Academy (high school) are located several miles to the northeast of the site. In addition, Hardin Valley Middle School is currently being constructed adjacent to the elementary school. Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Hardin Valley Road just slightly east of the project site was reported by the Knoxville Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) at 4,380 vehicles per day in 2014. Historical traffic count data can be viewed in Appendix A.

Marietta Church Road is classified as Minor Collector that intersects and terminates at Hardin Valley Road at an unsignalized stop-controlled intersection (for the Marietta Church Road approach). Marietta Church Road terminates to the south at Yarnell Road. The speed limit on Marietta Church Road is posted at 30 mph in the project area. Marietta Church Road at the proposed road connection for the subdivision consists of a 2-lane section with a total pavement width of 21 feet with approximately 9.5 foot travel lanes. In the Major Road Plan published by the MPC, Marietta Church Road is listed as having 60 feet of right-of-way along this project location.

Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Marietta Church Road adjacent to the project site was reported by the Knoxville Regional TPO at 1,440 vehicles per day in 2015. Historical traffic count data can be viewed in Appendix A.
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## EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

In order to analyze the traffic impacts associated with the proposed future development, traffic counts were obtained on Hardin Valley Road at the intersection of Marietta Church Road. The traffic counts also included tabulating the turning movements at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane which is located just west of the intersection of Hardin Valley Road and Marietta Church Road. Muddy Creek Lane is a relatively new local subdivision street with approximately 36 residences with a total of 41 lots. Muddy Creek Lane is a street within the Hunters Way subdivision. This subdivision has not been fully developed but is close to being completely built out. The turning movements at Muddy Creek Lane were counted and tabulated during the peak hours to help estimate future directional travel for the new studied subdivision.

The traffic counts on Hardin Valley Road at Marietta Church Road were obtained on Tuesday, November 1st, 2016 for a total of 6 hours. The counts were conducted during the morning and afternoon peak periods. The local schools were in session when the traffic counts were conducted. Based on the traffic volumes counted, the AM peak hour of traffic was observed at 7:15-8:15 at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road and Marietta Church Road. The PM peak hour was from 5:00-6:00 at the intersection. The manual tabulated traffic counts can be reviewed in Appendix B and the existing peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 3. The turning movements at Muddy Creek Lane during the AM and PM peak hour are also shown in Appendix B.

Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the existing Level of Service (LOS) for the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Marietta Church Road. The capacity analyses were calculated by following the methods outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual and using Synchro Traffic Software (Version 8). LOS is a qualitative measurement developed by the transportation profession of how well an intersection or roadway performs based on a driver's perception. LOS designations include LOS A through LOS F. The designation of LOS A signifies a roadway or intersection operating at best, while LOS F signifies road operations at the worst. The

(Source: FDOT)

Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) lists level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections and is presented in this report as Table 1. For unsignalized intersections, Level of Service is measured in terms of delay (in seconds). This measure is an attempt to quantify delay that includes travel time, driver discomfort, and fuel consumption. LOS for unsignalized intersections are only calculated for turning movements associated with stop or yield control and also for left turns on "un-controlled" major streets.

TABLE 1

## LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | DELAY RANGE <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Little or no delay | $\leq 10$ |
| B | Short Traffic Delays | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | Average Traffic Delays | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | Long Traffic Delays | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | Very Long Traffic Delays | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | Extreme Traffic Delays | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual

From the capacity calculations, the results from the existing peak hour traffic can be seen in Table 2 for the intersection. The intersection is shown with a LOS designation for the AM and PM peak hours in the table. Appendix C includes the worksheets from the capacity analyses for the existing peak hour traffic. For the intersection, the existing peak hour levels of service are shown to operate at a very good level during the AM and PM peak hours.

TABLE 2
2016 PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE \& DELAY - EXISTING

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC <br> CONTROL | APPROACH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology


## BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Background traffic volumes are estimates of non-development related traffic for a particular horizon or design year. Background traffic volume estimates represent the future condition the proposed study area is potentially subject to without the studied project being developed.

As previously stated, the build-out and full occupancy for this proposed new residential subdivision was assumed to occur in the year 2024. Traffic growth on Hardin Valley Road has exploded over the last 10 years due to the construction of the Hardin Valley schools and the expansion of residential development in the area. A background traffic growth rate was determined by obtaining and analyzing the nearby traffic counts in the area located on Hardin Valley Road and Marietta Church Road and as provided by the Knoxville Regional TPO. This historical data is located in Appendix A. The traffic data at these count stations indicate that the traffic has grown annually at 3\% on Marietta Church Road and 5\% on Hardin Valley Road.

Therefore, to insure a reasonable estimate for this study, a $5 \%$ growth was used to take into account for the future development in the area and rising travel volumes. Background traffic volumes for this project were calculated by applying an annual growth rate to the existing traffic volumes shown in Figure 3. The results of this growth rate application to the existing traffic volumes can be seen in Figure 4 for the year 2024.

The application of background traffic to the existing intersection did not change the LOS designations from the existing traffic analysis for the AM and PM peak hours. Table 3 reports the LOS results and Appendix C contains the LOS worksheets for the background conditions. It is important to point out that these projected LOS designations for the intersection would potentially exist in the future even without the proposed residential subdivision being developed.

TABLE 3
2024 PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE \& DELAY - BACKGROUND

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | DELAY (seconds) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM PEAK | PM PEAK | AM PEAK | PM PEAK |
| Hardin Valley Road at <br> Marietta Church Road |  | Westbound Left | A | A | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  |  | Northbound Left/Right | B | B | 14.7 | 13.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology


## TRIP GENERATION

The estimated amount of traffic that will be generated by the proposed residential subdivision was calculated based upon rates and equations for peak hour trips provided by Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition, a publication of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). A generated trip is a single or one-direction vehicle movement that is either entering or exiting the study site. The Trip Generation Manual is the traditional and most-sourced resource for determining trip generation rates when traffic impact studies are produced. The Manual lists and includes data for a variety of land uses. The data from ITE for the land use below is shown in Appendix D. A summary of this information is presented in the following table:

TABLE 4
TRIP GENERATION FOR VINING MILL

| ITE LAND USE CODE | LAND USE DESCRIPTION | UNITS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GENERATED } \\ \text { DAILY } \\ \text { TRAFFIC } \end{gathered}$ | GENERATED TRAFFIC <br> AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | GENERATED <br> TRAFFIC <br> PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 190 Lots | 1,896 | 25\% | 75\% |  | 63\% | 37\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 36 | 107 | 143 | 118 | 70 | 188 |
| Total New Volume Site Trips |  |  | 1,896 | 36 | 107 | 143 | 118 | 70 | 188 |

ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition

Based on these calculations, it is expected that 36 vehicles will enter the development, 107 will exit, for a total of 143 new generated trips during the AM Peak Hour (7:15 - 8:15 AM) in the year 2024. Similarly, it is expected that 118 vehicles will enter the development, 70 will exit, for a total of 188 new generated trips during the PM Peak Hour (5:00 - 6:00 PM) in the year 2024. The calculated trips generated for an average day are expected to be approximately 1,896 vehicles for the entire 190 lot development.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION \& ASSIGNMENT

Figure 5 shows the projected distribution for traffic entering/exiting the new residential subdivision during the future peak hours at the new proposed road entrances/exits on Hardin Valley Road and Marietta Church Road. The percentages shown only pertain to the new trips generated by the new residential dwellings in the subdivision.

There are a variety of nearby developments that will potentially "attract" the projected generated traffic to and from the new subdivision; the largest being the Hardin Valley Academy (high school), Hardin Valley Elementary School, Pellissippi State Community College, and the soon to be built Hardin Valley Middle School. Pellissippi Parkway will be the major adjacent access for further destinations in the surrounding Knoxville area. As can be seen in Figure 5, the vast majority of trips generated by the development are assumed to utilize the new proposed intersection on Hardin Valley Road at English Ivy Lane.

The projected trip distributions are based on the existing traffic movements at the examined roadway and also surmised from the aforementioned surrounding concentrations of development and population. The turning movements that were counted at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane were also used to help assume projected trip distributions. Figure 6 shows the Traffic Assignment of the computed trips that will be generated by the development (as shown in Table 4) that is applied to the various intersection movements based on the assumed distribution of trips shown in Figure 5.



## PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Overall, several additive steps were taken to calculate the total future projected traffic volumes at the studied unsignalized intersections when the residential subdivision is fully constructed and occupied (by 2024). The steps are illustrated below for clarity:


To calculate the total future projected traffic volumes at the proposed intersections on Hardin Valley Road and Marietta Church Road, application of the calculated peak hour traffic (from Table 4) generated by the new proposed subdivision were added to the 2024 background traffic volumes (shown in Figure 4) in accordance to the predicted directional distributions and assignments (shown in Figure 5 and 6). This procedure was necessary to obtain the total projected traffic volumes at the time the development is fully built-out and fully occupied by the year 2024. Figure 7 shows the projected AM and PM peak hour volumes at the studied intersections for the year 2024.


Capacity analyses were once again undertaken to determine the projected Level of Service (LOS) for the studied intersections. Appendix C includes the worksheets for these capacity analyses.

The results of the capacity calculations of the projected peak hour traffic can be seen in Table 5 for the intersections. For the intersections, the peak hour levels of service are shown to operate at very good levels during both the AM and PM peak hours. The calculated delay for the intersection of Hardin Valley Road and Marietta Church Road did not substantially degrade from the previous background capacity calculations.

TABLE 5
2024 PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE \& DELAY - PROJECTED

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | DELAY (seconds) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM PEAK | PM PEAK | AM PEAK | PM PEAK |
| Hardin Valley Road at Marietta Church Road |  | Westbound Left | A | A | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  |  | Northbound Left/Right | B | B | 15.0 | 14.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hardin Valley Road at English Ivy Road |  | Westbound Left | A | A | 1.4 | 2.4 |
|  |  | Northbound Left/Right | B | B | 13.9 | 11.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marietta Church Road at Leatherback Road |  | Westbound Left/Right | A | A | 9.3 | 9.3 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | A | A | 0.3 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology

## SPOT SPEED STUDY

As a further investigation of the study area, a spot speed study was also conducted. The spot speed study was conducted on Hardin Valley Road and on Marietta Church Road to sample and tabulate the existing vehicle speeds along the road in the vicinity of the proposed development. The equipment used for the speed study was a Bushnell Speedster III Radar Speed Gun. The results of the study indicate that the majority of the traffic along Hardin Valley Road travels at a greater speed than the posted speed limit. The posted speed limit for Hardin Valley Road is 40 mph . The results of the spot speed study indicate that the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was just at 50 mph near the proposed intersection for the subdivision on Hardin Valley Road. The posted speed limit for Marietta Church Road is 30 mph . The results of the spot speed study indicate that the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was just at 50 mph for southbound traffic near the proposed intersection for the subdivision on Marietta Church Road and 43 mph for northbound traffic. The differences between the northbound and southbound traffic speeds are due to the proximity to the intersection of Marietta Church Road and Hardin Valley Road. The spot speed field observations are provided in Appendix E.

## EVALUATION OF TURN LANE THRESHOLDS

The proposed intersections to be located at Hardin Valley Road and on Marietta Church Road for the development were evaluated for the need for separate left or right turn lanes into the development. Based on the projected traffic volumes at the subdivision entrance on Hardin Valley Road at English Ivy Lane it does appear that a separate westbound left turn lane into the development will be warranted based on PM peak volumes. A separate right turn lane into the development at Hardin Valley Road at English Ivy Lane is not warranted. Separate turn lanes on Marietta Church Road at Leatherback Road are also not warranted. These determinations were made by using "Knox County’s Access Control and Driveway Design Policy" for turn lane requirements. The Knox County turn lane policy worksheet is located in Appendix F.

The design policy for turn lane warrants relate volume thresholds based on prevailing speeds for two-lane roadways. The speed classification that was chosen for this evaluation was based on the spot speed studies that showed the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was 50 mph on both roads. Therefore, this study evaluation used the Knox County classification for speeds of 46 to 55 mph and the calculated projected volumes. It should be noted that the left turn lane on

Hardin Valley Road would also be warranted based on the projected volumes and the posted speed limit of 40 mph . The Knox County turn lane worksheet for speeds of 36 to 45 mph is also included in Appendix F.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is an overview of recommendations to minimize the traffic impacts of the development with the surrounding road system while achieving an acceptable level of traffic flow and safety.

1) Hardin Valley Road at English Ivy Lane: From the capacity calculations, it has been shown (Table 5) that the traffic movements at this new intersection should operate very well during the AM and PM peak periods when the development is complete and fully occupied by the year 2024. This intersection is being projected to be the major entrance into the development with respect to traffic volumes due to it being located on Hardin Valley Road.

1a) From the capacity calculations, a separate westbound left turn lane on Hardin Valley Road at English Ivy Lane into the development is required due to the projected volumes turning into the development with large opposing traffic volumes during the PM peak hour. This was determined by using "Knox County's Access Control and Driveway Design Policy" for turn lane requirements. The left turn lane storage bay length should be a minimum of 100 feet. This was estimated by using charts for left-turn storage at nonsignalized intersections from TDOT. These charts are located in Appendix G. The design engineer should follow TDOT's requirements for taper lengths on Hardin Valley Road while meeting this storage bay length. If the lane is not constructed at the beginning of construction, based on the calculations and traffic projections, it is estimated that this left turn storage lane on Hardin Valley Road would be warranted approximately prior to the $60^{\text {th }}$ home being constructed in the subdivision. It may be necessary to be constructed prior to this forecasted milestone if the projected volumes rise quicker than anticipated.

During the projected PM peak hour when the proposed development warrants a 100 foot separate left turn lane, the traffic volumes generated by the new development should not result in queues that would extend past the proposed turn lane length on Hardin Valley Road. To estimate these projected lengths, SimTraffic (Version 8) software was utilized which performs micro-simulation
and animation of vehicular traffic and also calculates various vehicle parameters such as intersection queue lengths. The queue results from the SimTraffic software are located in Appendix H.

1b) From the results of the capacity calculations, separate northbound left and right turn lanes are not required at the intersection for exiting vehicles out of the development at Hardin Valley Road. Based on the calculations, a single exiting lane should be sufficient.

1c) Based on a speed of 50 mph on Hardin Valley Road ( $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile observed speed for the study was 50.5 mph ); the recommended intersection sight distance requirement is $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ feet. There was an attempt to approximately measure this distance at the site with the use of a rolling wheel. However, due to safety concerns at the time, this was not measured. The site designer should ensure that these sight distance lengths are met and they should be labeled on the plans. A land surveyor should measure the sight distance available and verify the required distances. The overall required sight distance should be measured at the intersection at a minimum of 15 feet off of the edge of the roadway per Knox County subdivision regulations (Section 62-88). There is a fairly significant horizontal curve and vertical curve on Hardin Valley Road just to the east of the proposed intersection of Hardin Valley Road and English Ivy Lane. There is some concern that these existing roadway curves could interfere with the required sight distance looking towards the east at the proposed intersection. Based on visual observation, sight distance looking to the west should be adequate at the proposed intersection but needs to be verified by a land surveyor.

1d) It is recommended that the main entrance approach at the intersection with Hardin Valley Road be designed and constructed with a 24 " white stop bar and with a Stop Sign (R1-1).

1e) Intersection sight distance at the new proposed main entrance at Hardin Valley Road must not be impacted by new signage, future landscaping or existing vegetation.
2) Marietta Church Road at Leatherback Road: From the capacity calculations, it has been shown (Table 5) that the traffic movements at this new intersection should operate very well during the AM and PM peak periods when the development is complete and fully occupied by the year 2024. This intersection is being projected to be the minor entrance into the development with respect to traffic volumes.

2a) From the capacity calculations, a separate left turn lane on Marietta Church Road at Leatherback Road into the development is not required due to the projected low volumes turning into the development and low opposing volumes. This was determined by using "Knox County's Access Control and Driveway Design Policy" for turn lane requirements.

2b) From the results of the capacity calculations, separate westbound left and right turn lanes are not required at the intersection for exiting vehicles out of the development at Marietta Church Road.

2c) Based on a speed of 50 mph on Hardin Valley Road (85th percentile observed speed was 50 mph heading southbound and 43 mph heading northbound), the recommended intersection sight distance requirement is 500 feet. Using a rolling wheel, the measured sight distance at the proposed new intersection on Marietta Church Road looking north was observed to be in excess of 1,000 feet at the roadway edge. Sight distance at the proposed new intersection on Marietta Church Road looking south was measured to be at approximately 450 feet at the roadway edge. This sight distance measurement could be increased if it were measured 15 feet off of the edge of the roadway since the proposed entrance is located on the outside radius of the horizontal curve on Marietta Church Road (measurement 15 feet off of the roadway pavement was not possible during the field review due to vegetation). Some additional sight distance might also be gained by clearing some of the roadside within the right-of-way in the inside radius of this same horizontal curve just to the south on Marietta Church Road. The site designer should ensure that these sight distance lengths are met and they should be labeled on the plans. A land surveyor should measure the sight distance available and verify these estimates.

2d) It is recommended that the main entrance approach at the intersection with Marietta Church Road be designed and constructed with a 24 " white stop bar and with a Stop Sign (R1-1).

2e) Intersection sight distance at the new proposed entrance at Marietta Church Road must not be impacted by new signage, future landscaping or existing vegetation.
3) Vining Mill Internal Drives: The current layout plans show 5 new roadways being constructed within the development as shown on Figure 2a. English Ivy Lane will be the connecting road to Hardin Valley Road and Leatherback Road will be the connecting road to Marietta Church Road.

3a) Stop Signs (R1-1) should be installed at internal road intersections. A Stop Sign should be installed at the Boston Ivy Lane approach at the intersection with English Ivy Lane. A Stop Sign should also be installed at the Leatherback Road approach at the intersection of English Ivy Lane and the approach of Velvet Leaf Lane intersection at English Ivy Lane. Finally, a Stop Sign should be installed at the Needlegrass Lane approach at Leatherback Road.

3b) It is recommended that the internal speed limit for Vining Mill Subdivision be 25 mph and this speed limit be posted on signage at the front of the residential subdivision and also as required.

3c) Sight distance at all of the new internal "T" intersections must not be impacted by new signage or future landscaping. For an assumed posted 25 mph speed for the internal development streets, the intersection sight distance requirement is 250 feet. The road layout designer should insure that these sight distance lengths are met, maximized, and they should be labeled on the plans.

3d) Sidewalks installed within the development should have appropriate ADA compliant ramps at all of the internal intersections corners.

3e) All road grade and intersection elements internally and externally should be designed to AASHTO, TDOT, and Knox County Engineering specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.

3f) Traffic calming measures might be needed for this development. The proposed English Ivy Lane horizontal alignment within the development has some stretches of straight sections and has some curvature. It is expected due to the existing topography that there could also be some fairly significant vertical curves. The possible need for traffic calming measures inside the project for English Ivy Lane will need to be coordinated with Knox County Engineering and Public Works during the detailed design phase. Speed humps could be considered to lower speeds through the subdivision.
4) Hardin Valley Road at Marietta Church Road: No specific changes or mitigations are recommended for this existing intersection at this time based on the projected calculations.

APPENDIX A
HISTORICAL TRAFFIC COUNT DATA


Historical Traffic Counts
Organization: Knoxville Regional TPO
Location: Hardin Valley Road - East of Marietta Church Road


2006-2014 Growth Rate $=$
Annual Growth Rate $=$

A6.5 $\%$
$4.9 \%$
Historical Traffic Counts
Organization: Knoxville Regional TPO
Location: Marietta Church Road - South of Hardin Valley Road


$\begin{array}{lc}2001-2015 \% \text { Growth }= & 48.5 \% \\ \text { Annual Growth Rate }= & 2.9 \%\end{array}$

## APPENDIX B

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

## TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Major Street: Hardin Valley Road (EB-WB)
Minor Street: Marietta Church Road (NB)
Traffic Control: Stop Control on Minor Street

11/1/2016 (Tuesday)
Warm/Sunny
Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

Primary Movement: Vehicles

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { TIME } \\ \text { BEGIN }\end{array}$ | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  | VEHICLE | PEAK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

AM Peak Hour 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

| TIME | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |
| $7: 15$ AM | 3 | 26 | 3 | 15 | 85 | 2 |
| $7: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 31 | 4 | 17 | 78 | 5 |
| $7: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 26 | 3 | 23 | 54 | 3 |
| 8:00 AM | 5 | 36 | 0 | 25 | 66 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 24 | 119 | 10 | 80 | 283 | 12 |
| PHF | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.60 |

PM Peak Hour 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

| TIME | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |
| 5:00 PM | 13 | 60 | 2 | 19 | 37 | 3 |
| 5:15 PM | 16 | 66 | 5 | 17 | 46 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 14 | 55 | 7 | 6 | 44 | 5 |
| 5:45 PM | 12 | 72 | 5 | 9 | 43 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 55 | 253 | 19 | 51 | 170 | 10 |
| PHF | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.92 | 0.50 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Major Street: Hardin Valley Road (EB-WB)
Minor Street: Muddy Creek Lane (SB)
Traffic Control: Stop Control on Minor Street

11/1/2016 (Tuesday)
Warm/Sunny
Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

Primary Movement: Vehicles

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TIME } \\ \text { BEGIN } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WESTBOUND |  | SOUTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  | VEHICLE TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEAK } \\ & \text { HOUR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU | RT | LT | RT | LEFT | THRU |  |  |
| 7:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:15 AM |  | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 8 |  |
| 7:30 AM |  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |  |
| 7:45 AM |  | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 |  |
| 8:00 AM |  | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 8 |  |
| 8:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 10 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 28 |  |
| $\$$ | N |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00 PM |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| 5:15 PM |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 |  |
| 5:30 PM |  | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  | 12 |  |
| 5:45 PM |  | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  | 11 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 21 | 9 | 1 | 2 |  | 33 |  |

## APPENDIX C

## CAPACITY ANALYSES -

 HCM WORKSHEETS (SYNCHRO 8)HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: English Ivy Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
7: Marietta Church Road \& Leatherback Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: English Ivy Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
7: Marietta Church Road \& Leatherback Road


## APPENDIX D

## ITE TRIP GENERATION

# Land Use: 210 Single-Family Detached Housing 

## Description

Single-family detached housing includes all single-family detached homes on individual lots. A typical site surveyed is a suburban subdivision.

## Additional Data

The number of vehicles and residents had a high correlation with average weekday vehicle trip ends. The use of these variables was limited, however, because the number of vehicles and residents was often difficult to obtain or predict. The number of dwelling units was generally used as the independent variable of choice because it was usually readily available, easy to project and had a high correlation with average weekday vehicle trip ends.

This land use included data from a wide variety of units with different sizes, price ranges, locations and ages. Consequently, there was a wide variation in trips generated within this category. Other factors, such as geographic location and type of adjacent and nearby development, may also have had an effect on the site trip generation.

Single-family detached units had the highest trip generation rate per dwelling unit of all residential uses because they were the largest units in size and had more residents and more vehicles per unit than other residential land uses; they were generally located farther away from shopping centers, employment areas and other trip attractors than other residential land uses; and they generally had fewer alternative modes of transportation available because they were typically not as concentrated as other residential land uses.

The peak hour of the generator typically coincided with the peak hour of the adjacent street traffic.
The sites were surveyed between the late 1960s and the 2000s throughout the United States and Canada.

## Source Numbers

$1,4,5,6,7,8,11,12,13,14,16,19,20,21,26,34,35,36,38,40,71,72,84,91,98,100,105$,
$108,110,114,117,119,157,167,177,187,192,207,211,246,275,283,293,300,319,320,357$,
$384,435,550,552,579,598,601,603,611,614,637,711,735$

## Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 355
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 198
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.52 | $4.31-21.85$ | 3.70 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Number of Studies: 292
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 194
Directional Distribution: 25\% entering, 75\% exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 0.75 | $0.33-2.27$ | 0.90 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 321
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 207
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | $0.42-2.98$ | 1.05 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## APPENDIX E

SPOT SPEED STUDY


```
    11/3/2016
    \begin{array} { l } { \text { Date:} } \\ { \text { Weather:} } \\ { \text { Time: } } \end{array}
```



$$
\begin{gathered}
50 \mathrm{mph} \\
\text { Bushnell Speedster III Radar Speed Gun }
\end{gathered}
$$

## APPENDIX F

KNOX COUNTY TURN LANE THRESHOLD WORKSHEET

TABLE 6A

## LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS

FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WIXH A PREYAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH
(If the leit-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { OPPOSING } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THLOUGH VOLUNE PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100-149 | 150-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300.349 | 351-399 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 300-349 \\ 350-399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ -\quad 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-649 \\ & 6.50-699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $700-749$ <br> 750 or More | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ -\quad 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |


| OPPOSING VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | $400-449$ | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-599 | $=1>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100 \cdot 149 \\ & 150 \cdot 199 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}50 \\ 45\end{array}\right\}$ | ms $\left\{\begin{array}{l}45 \\ 40\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 300-349 \\ \hline 350-399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 500-549 \\ 550-599 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-649 \\ & 650-699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700 \cdot 749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN <br> VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 260-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 300-349 \\ 350-399 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Xes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Xes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-690 | $+1>600$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 300-349 \\ 350-399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yess }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\text {Yes }} \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 ar More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

TABLE 5A
LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

| OPPOSING <br> VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100-149 | 150-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ +\quad 65 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 5} \\ & \mathbf{2 5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 600-649 \\ 650-699 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | 35 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 20 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { OPPOSING } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-599 | $=f>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 200-249 \\ 250-299 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 500-549 \\ 550-599 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 600-649 \\ 650-699 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+1>600$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ |  | * |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.


## APPENDIX G

## TDOT WARRANT FOR LEFT-TURN STORAGE LANES



Figure 2-19A
Warrant for Left-Turn Storage Lanes on Two-Lane Highways ( $V=50 \mathrm{mph}$ and $\mathrm{L}=5 \%$ )


Figure 2-19B
Warrant for Left-Turn Storage Lanes on Two-Lane Highways (V = 50 mph and $\mathrm{L}=10 \%$ )


Figure 2-19C
Warrant for Left-Turn Storage Lanes on Two-Lane Highways (V = 50 mph and $\mathrm{L}=15 \%$ )


Warrant for Left-Turn Storage Lanes on Two-Lane Highways (V = 50 mph and L = 20\%)

## APPENDIX H

## SIMTRAFFIC QUEUE REPORTS

Intersection: 2: Marietta Church Road \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2 | 73 | 71 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 0 | 20 | 36 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3 | 58 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 288 | 1823 | 1004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: English Ivy Road \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 69 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 7: Marietta Church Road \& Leatherback Road

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 31 | 2 | 11 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 23 | 2 | 7 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 196 | 96 | 1004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0 |  |  |  |

