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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Preface:

Mr. Jay Patel is proposing a commercial development on the west side of Knoxville, TN, adjacent to the intersection of Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive. The commercial development will include a gas station with twelve vehicle fueling positions and a 5,000 square feet convenience market on $1.13+/-$ acres and is named and referenced in this study as "Shell Food Mart". This development is anticipated to be fully built out and operational by 2025 and proposes two entrances, one on the north and one on the east side of the property. This study's primary purpose is to determine and evaluate the potential impacts of the development on the adjacent transportation system. The study includes a review of the primary access roads, the adjacent intersection of Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive, and the two proposed entrances for the development. The report is a Level 1 study established by Knoxville/Knox County Planning. Recommendations and mitigation measures are offered if transportation operations are projected to be below recognized engineering standards.

## Study Results:

The findings of this study include the following:

- The Shell Food Mart development is estimated to generate 3,086 trips at full buildout and operations on an average weekday. Of these daily trips, 324 are estimated to occur during the AM peak hour and 273 in the PM peak hour in 2025. However, most of these trips are expected to be comprised of motorists already traveling through the adjacent Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive corridors.
- The adjacent intersection of Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive operates under traffic signal control. With some minor signal timing modifications, the intersection is projected to operate adequately with respect to vehicle delays and queues in 2025, with the developments' generated traffic. The projected 2025 trips generated from the proposed commercial development are calculated to marginally increase vehicle delays at this intersection.
- The Shell Food Mart development will have two entrances, one on Middlebrook Pike and one on Lonas Drive. These entrances are expected to operate well with respect to vehicle delays and operations when the recommendations in this study are followed. However, during peak hours, vehicles exiting these entrances will have to wait for gaps in the vehicle streams and queues forming on the adjacent streets and experience internal vehicle exiting queues.


## Recommendations:

The following is a summary of recommendations based on the study analyses. The recommendations are offered to minimize the impacts of the proposed development on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and improved safety. The recommendations marked with an asterisk indicate a transportation need not particularly associated with the proposed development's projected impacts. More details regarding all these recommendations are discussed at the end of the report.

- It is recommended that the City of Knoxville slightly modify the traffic signal timing to reduce the vehicle delays for the northbound approach of Lonas Drive. This recommendation is pertinent to not only the projected conditions but also the existing conditions. According to this study's results, it is recommended to include adding 10 seconds of green time to the northbound approach of Lonas Drive at the traffic signal during the PM peak hour while reducing the same amount from the mainline approaches on Middlebrook Pike.
*     - Due to the projected vehicle queues on the Middlebrook Pike westbound left-turn lane at the signalized intersection calculated to extend past the provided lane storage, even without the project being constructed, the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) and the City should consider extending the westbound left-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike an additional 65 feet minimum, for a total of 175 feet of storage. This need will become more pertinent if more green time is assigned to the northbound approach of Lonas Drive at the traffic signal as recommended to reduce vehicle delays on this approach.
*     - In the future, with continued overall traffic growth, TDOT and the City may need to consider adding an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and a second northbound left-turn lane on Lonas Drive due to the existing and projected high left and right-turn vehicular volumes.
- The Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike will be designed and constructed as right-turn-in/right-turn-out (RIRO) only. This entrance is proposed as RIRO only due to the existing raised grassed center median on Middlebrook Pike. It is recommended that the proposed Main Entrance follow the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) driveway entrance guidelines, and the following should be considered in the design and construction:
- The entering and exiting lanes should have a width and inner radius to facilitate the largest expected-sized vehicle entering and exiting at Middlebrook Pike, which is expected to be gas delivery trucks, most likely
from the gas terminals located a mile west along Middlebrook Pike. The layout and elements of the intersection should follow all TDOT and City of Knoxville standards.
- The island separating the entering and exiting movements should be raised concrete with traversable curbs to facilitate large trucks delivering convenience market items and gasoline.
- Traffic signage with breakaway posts at this intersection should include a Stop Sign (R1-1), a Keep Right Sign (R4-7), a No Left Turn Sign (R3-2), a Do Not Enter Sign (R5-1), and One Way Signs (R6-1R). These signs should be installed facing the appropriate direction. Three reflective raised pavement markers should be located at the island corner radius points - one in the center and 2-foot spacing on either side of the corners. The Stop Sign (R1-1) should be supplemented with a $24^{\prime \prime}$ white stop bar on the exiting lane approaching Middlebrook Pike, a minimum of 4 feet away from the proposed crosswalk for the sidewalk/greenway.
- It is recommended that the sidewalk at the Main Entrance be installed with pavement markings and other items to reduce the potential turning vehicle conflicts with pedestrians and bicyclists on the Middlebrook Greenway. It is recommended that the pavement markings be installed with thermoplastic materials. The recommended pavement markings include designated white crosswalks, detectable surfaces, and advance pavement markings on the sidewalk/greenway. The pavement markings on the sidewalk should include white yield symbols and wording to include "Path Xing". Alternative sidewalk delineations across the entrance could include green-colored pavement. Details regarding the appropriate and desired treatments to reduce pedestrian and bicycle conflicts should be discussed during the detailed design review with TDOT and the City of Knoxville.
- Intersection sight distance for pedestrians and motorists at the Main Entrance at Middlebrook Pike must not be impacted by future landscaping or signage. The sidewalk approaches to the Main Entrance should have clear sight lines to fully allow greenway users to see approaching entering and exiting vehicles.
- Based on a posted speed limit of $45-\mathrm{mph}$ on Middlebrook Pike, the required ISD is 430 feet, looking to the west for exiting right-turning vehicles at the Main Entrance. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be 375 feet to the west on Middlebrook Pike. The sight distance is reduced to the west due to vegetation growing from the adjacent cut slope along the south side of

Middlebrook Pike. This vegetation must be removed and reduced to allow the maximum sight distance. A licensed land surveyor must measure the currently available sight distance to confirm the visual approximation and define the amount of vegetation removal needed to meet the required sight distance to the west. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.

- The construction of the Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike will require a TDOT Highway Entrance Permit. The developer will need to apply for this permit and coordinate with TDOT regarding their specific requirements for this entrance.
- It is recommended that the Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive be constructed with different-sized radii. It is recommended that the entrance's southern edge radius be 15 feet to reduce the exiting vehicle speeds, thus reducing the potential of cut-thru traffic. On the entrance's northern side, it is recommended that the radius be constructed at 25 feet to help facilitate entering vehicles from the north. If curbs are constructed at this entrance, they should be traversable to allow for potential large trucks to enter and exit.
- $\quad$ Sight distances from the proposed Secondary Entrance at Lonas Drive must not be impacted by future landscaping and signage. The required ISD is 390 feet looking to the south and 170 feet to the north for exiting left and right-turning vehicles at the Secondary Entrance. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be 325 feet to the south on Lonas Drive. The sight distance is reduced to the south due to the horizontal curvature of Lonas Drive and the vegetation growing on the east side of Lonas Drive. This vegetation must be removed or reduced to allow the maximum sight distance. A licensed land surveyor must measure the currently available sight distance to confirm the visual approximation and define the amount of vegetation removal needed to meet the required sight distance to the south. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.
- It is not explicitly recommended that the Secondary Entrance not be constructed with dual exiting lanes, allowing separate left and right-turning movements. However, an additional exiting lane would help reduce vehicle queue lengths and delays, particularly for right-turning movements toward the south onto Lonas Drive. If a dual exiting lane is desired, it should be taken under advisement due to the proximity to the signalized intersection and the high-level decision-making required by exiting motorists to find gaps in the oncoming traffic. The horizontal curvature of Lonas Drive at the proposed location could be detrimental, and side-
by-side vehicles in dual exiting lanes could restrict each other's sight distance.
- With the high number of existing eastbound right-turns occurring on Middlebrook Pike to Lonas Drive, the potential for cut-thru traffic at this location is very high. Cut-thru traffic would be potentially dangerous due to the nature of gas station/convenience market activities, which include walking customers, vehicles backing out of parking spaces, gas pump maneuvers, and delivery trucks. It is recommended that the site designer include a raised crosswalk that would dissuade motorists from cutting through the development.
- A site layout to include a sidewalk from the existing KAT bus stop on Middlebrook Pike to the convenience market building is recommended. Installing a sidewalk across the property to and from the building would facilitate pedestrian or bicycle traffic to and from the transit stop and the greenway without forcing these potential customers to cross the parking lot and internal drives, which would be hazardous due to potential vehicle conflicts.
- Sidewalks are proposed along the front and adjacent to the convenience market and are recommended to be installed across the property to and from the KAT bus stop and greenway. Sidewalks should have appropriate ADA-compliant ramps at intersection corners, and the internal sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width to meet the City of Knoxville regulations. Sidewalk ramps must include detectable surfaces to meet ADA requirements.
- According to the City of Knoxville regulations, bicycle spaces must be provided for this proposed development. With nineteen vehicle parking spaces, four bicycle parking spaces are required for this proposed development. These spaces should be designed according to the regulations listed in Section 11.9 of the City of Knoxville's Zoning Code User's Manual.
- All road grade and intersection elements should be designed to AASHTO, TDOT, and City of Knoxville specifications and guidelines to ensure proper transportation operations.


## Description of Existing Conditions

## - Study Area:

The proposed location of this new commercial development is shown on a map in Figure 1. This development will be located on the southwest corner of the Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive intersection on the west side of Knoxville, TN. The commercial development will comprise two entrances with internal pavement and parking areas on $1.13 \pm$ acres. The development will include a gas station and a convenience market. The Main Entrance to the development will be to the north at Middlebrook Pike and will be right-turn-in/right-turn-out (RIRO) only due to the existing divided raised grass median in the center of Middlebrook Pike. The Secondary Entrance will tie to the east side to Lonas Drive, north of Kim Watt Drive and south of Middlebrook Pike.


The adjacent Middlebrook Pike corridor is moderately occupied with commercial developments, business services, and residential properties near the proposed development site. Overall, the proposed development property is in a suburbanized area and just a short distance from an overpass of Interstate 640 to the east. South College is also nearby, south of the development site.

The development site is flat on the frontage along Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive but has a steep slope on the property's rear (western side). The property near the adjacent roadways is covered in grass that is maintained. The property currently has an asphalt paved entrance on Lonas Drive with a short driveway in the center. Towards the rear, the sloped area is covered with trees with a heavy blanket of kudzu. A large area of the southwest corner of the signalized intersection is outside the development property line and is bisected by powerlines and other utilities. The development site to the north and east provides approximately 150 feet of road frontage along Middlebrook Pike and 200 feet on Lonas Drive, respectively.


Figure 1
Location Map

- EXISTING ROADWAYS:

Table 1 lists the characteristics of the existing primary roadways adjacent to the development property that were reviewed in the study:

TABLE 1
STUDY CORRIDOR CHARACTERISTICS

| NAME | CLASSIFICATION ${ }^{1}$ | SPEED <br> LIMIT | LANES | $\begin{gathered} \text { ROAD } \\ \text { WIDTH }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | TRANSIT ${ }^{3}$ | PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES | BICYCLE <br> FACILITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middlebrook Pike (SR 169) | Major Arterial | 45 mph | 4 divided | 76 feet | KAT / <br> Route 13 | 8' sidewalk / greenway on south side | No bike lanes |
| Lonas Drive | Major Collector | 35 mph | 2 undivided | 39 feet | None | None | No bike lanes |

${ }^{1} 2018$ Major Road Plan by Knoxville/Knox County Planning
${ }^{2}$ Edge of curb to edge of curb or edge of pavements near project site
${ }^{3}$ According to Knoxville Area Transit System Map

Middlebrook Pike (SR 169) is a 4-lane major arterial that traverses in a generally east-west direction. Middlebrook Pike is 11.7 miles long and runs between Lovell Road/Ball Camp Pike on the west side to the Western Avenue (SR 62) at University Avenue intersection on the east side. Closer to the study area, just to the west of the development site, Middlebrook Pike provides access to Ed Shouse Drive north to Western Avenue for access to Interstate 640. Two miles to the east, using a short jaunt via North Twenty-First Street,


Intersection of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway Middlebrook Pike provides access to Interstate 40, 75, and Alcoa Highway via a complex interchange. The posted speed limit on Middlebrook Pike is 45 mph at the project site.

Middlebrook Pike is a divided highway with a raised grassed median adjacent to the proposed development site. The travel lanes are approximately 11.5 feet wide, and the right-of-way width is variable at the development site. The raised grassed median is 18 feet in width at the location of the proposed Main Entrance, and the center median is lined with black hawthorn and oak trees.

Center median openings along Middlebrook Pike are few near the development site, with the closest being provided at the signalized intersection with Lonas Drive. This opening includes an exclusive westbound left-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike with 110 feet of vehicle storage. Middlebrook Pike has 6" concrete curbs with 24 " gutters. An 8 -foot sidewalk/greenway is provided on the south side of Middlebrook Pike. This greenway is part of the City of Knoxville's greenway system and is appropriately named the
 "Middlebrook Pike Greenway". A small grass strip separates the greenway path from the edge of Middlebrook Pike.

Utility street lights are provided along Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive for roadway illumination in the adjacent study area. Middlebrook Pike will provide the primary road access to and from the proposed commercial development via the "Main Entrance".

Lonas Drive is classified as a Major Collector and generally traverses in a southwest-northeast direction. Lonas Drive begins at Gate Lane on the southwest end and terminates 2.6 miles to the northeast at the signalized intersection with Middlebrook Pike. At its beginning at Gate Lane, Lonas Drive traverses to the northeast across a signalized intersection with Weisgarber Road. It continues to Middlebrook Pike, making a sharp horizontal turn to the north just before the signalized intersection.

Access to South College is provided via a private entrance at the sharp horizontal curve on Lonas Drive just before Middlebrook Pike. On their website, South College is described as "a regionally accredited private co-educational, non-sectarian academic institution with non-residential campuses in Knoxville and Nashville, Tennessee; Asheville, North Carolina; Atlanta, Georgia; Indianapolis, Indiana; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and Orlando, Florida. Students can pursue academic programs at all levels, including professional certificates and associate, bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees." The College does not provide any on-site residences or dormitories. Their main campus is at the Lonas Drive location, and a second campus in Knoxville is located off Parkside Drive further west in Knoxville near Lovell Road.

The South College entrance is 530 feet south of the proposed Shell Food Mart property. According to online sources, South College has around 5,000+ full-time, part-time, inperson, \& online students.

Other than South College, Lonas Drive primarily provides access to several residential subdivisions, apartment complexes, and standalone single-family detached houses. However, on its western end, Lonas Drive provides access to the corporate offices for Pilot Flying J. Immediately to the south and adjacent to the proposed development property, Kim Watt Drive intersects Lonas Drive and runs parallel to Lonas Drive to the west. Kim Watt Drive primarily provides access to residential properties.


At the intersection with Middlebrook Pike, Lonas Drive has separate left and right lanes. Across Middlebrook Pike from Lonas Drive, a private driveway forms the north approach of the intersection, and vehicles from this private driveway are provided with their own green phase at the traffic signal when actuated. Very few vehicles enter and exit this driveway since it only provides access to a private tract on the north side of the intersection. "Middlebrook" is located on this northern tract, a historic house built around 1845 and listed on the National Register of Historic Places. According to Wikipedia, the house "was constructed circa 1845 by Gideon Morgan Hazen and is one of the oldest existing frame residences in Knoxville".

A faded 10 -foot zebra-pattern crosswalk is present on Lonas Drive at Middlebrook Pike. No pedestrian push buttons or walk indicators are provided for pedestrians or bicyclists on the sidewalk/greenway crossing Lonas Drive. Lonas Drive will provide secondary access to and from the proposed commercial development via the "Secondary Entrance". The travel lanes on Lonas Drive are approximately 11.5 feet in width, and the ROW is variable at the development site.

Figure 2 shows the existing lane configurations of the adjacent intersections, the locations where the traffic counts were conducted for the study, and the current traffic road signage in the study area. The road signage shown in Figure 2 only includes warning and regulatory signage near the development site. The pages following Figure 2 give a further overview of the site study area with photographs.


## Photo Exhibits



Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway





Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway


## - Existing Transportation Volumes per Mode:

Two annual vehicular traffic count locations exist near the study area, and the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) conducts these counts. The count location data is the following and can be viewed with further details in Appendix A:

- Existing vehicular roadway traffic:

TDOT reported an Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Middlebrook Pike, east of the development site and east of Interstate 640, at 14,141 vehicles per day in 2022. From 2012 to 2022, this count station has indicated a $-0.8 \%$ average annual traffic growth rate.

TDOT reported an Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Lonas Drive, southwest of the development site, at 6,628 vehicles per day in 2022. From 2012 to 2022, this count station has indicated a $+2.6 \%$ average annual traffic growth rate.

- Existing bicycle and pedestrian volumes:

The average daily pedestrian and bicycle traffic is unknown along Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive. However, with a sidewalk/greenway on the south side of Middlebrook Pike, this corridor is assumed to have a fair amount of pedestrian and bicyclist activity. During the 8 -hour traffic count for this project, two bicyclists and six pedestrians were observed on Middlebrook Pike on the sidewalk/greenway. Five pedestrians were observed on Lonas Drive, even though this roadway does not provide a sidewalk or greenway.

An online website, strava.com, provides "heat" maps detailing routes taken by pedestrians, joggers, and bicyclists. The provided heat maps show the last two years of data, are updated monthly, and are gathered from individuals allowing their smart devices to track and compile their routes (millions of users). The activities in the maps are shown on the roads with color intensities with darker

colors signifying higher activity. The Strava heat maps show bicycle and pedestrian activity along Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive, with slightly higher bicyclist activity overall. Considerable bicycle activity is shown on both sides of Middlebrook Pike, indicating, at a minimum, that bicyclists are traveling in the westbound vehicle travel lanes and might be traveling in the eastbound vehicle travel lanes. Lower bicyclist activity is shown occurring on


Lonas Drive and Kim Watt Drive. A fair amount of pedestrian traffic is shown on the southern side of Middlebrook Pike along the sidewalk/greenway. From the Strava image, it appears that pedestrian activity to and from the south of Middlebrook Pike utilizes Kim Watt Drive rather than Lonas Drive, assumed to be primarily due to pedestrians avoiding the higher volumes and vehicle speeds on Lonas Drive.

## - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES:

The Middlebrook Greenway will be adjacent to the proposed development and will allow bicyclists and pedestrians within reach of the greenway system in the area to travel to and from the proposed development outside the roadway vehicle lanes.

The Middlebrook Pike Greenway is 2.1 miles in length. It begins on the west side near the Knoxville Utilities Board (KUB) headquarters. To the east, this greenway ends at the intersection with Proctor Street. Roughly mid-way along the greenway, at Liberty Street, the Middlebrook Pike Greenway switches to the north side of Middlebrook Pike while providing a connection to the "Liberty Greenway" to the south. According to the City of Knoxville, its greenways can be used for "walking, running, bicycling, walking leashed dogs, skating, pushing strollers, using wheelchairs, and rollerblading."

Strava data shows that some bicyclists travel in the Middlebrook Pike vehicle lanes. Other than the greenway, bicycle lanes are not provided on Middlebrook Pike. TDOT has published mapping illustrating the Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS) for State Routes in Knox County. BLOS
is a nationally used measure of bicyclist comfort based on a roadway's geometry and traffic conditions. BLOS A designates the route as most suitable for bicyclists and BLOS F as the least suitable. The BLOS for Middlebrook Pike (SR 169) along the development site road frontage shows a grade of F .


## - WALK SCORE:

A private company offers a website at walkscore.com that grades and gives scores to locations within the United States based on "walkability", "bikeability", and transit availability based on a patented system. According to the website, the numerical values assigned for the Walk Score and the Bike Score are based on the distance to the closest amenity in various relevant categories (businesses, schools, parks, etc.) and are graded from 0 to 100 .

Appendix B shows maps and other information for the Walk, Transit, and Bike Score at the development
 property address at 3709 Lonas Drive. The project site location is graded with a Walk Score of 20. This Walk Score indicates that the site is car-dependent and that most errands currently require a vehicle for travel to and from the development property. The site is given a Transit Score of 26 since public transportation is available near the development site. The site has a Bike Score of 9, indicating minimal bike infrastructure. These scores indicate that the development site has some potential for allowing and generating alternate transportation modes to and from the site.

Overall, it is estimated that minimal pedestrian and bicycle traffic will occur to and from the proposed Shell Food Mart from the surrounding residential areas and developments. The new
development could generate some of this non-motorized activity but is not expected to generate measurable bicycle or pedestrian trips that would significantly reduce vehicle trips. Thus, these potential vehicle trip reductions are ignored for the study analyses.

## - CRASH DATA:



The Knoxville Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) provided a 2020 update to bicycle and pedestrian crash data for Knox County and other surrounding counties. The data shows none of these types of crashes have occurred near the development site. The closest incident occurred to the south, within the Greenbriar Ridge Apartment Complex off Lonas Drive, and involved a pedestrian injury.

The Knoxville TPO also provides data related to "Life-Altering Traffic Crashes". This data lists the location of traffic crashes in the Knoxville region that resulted in a fatality or serious injury between October 2016 and September 2021. The data shows one serious single injury incident near the development site. This multi-vehicle crash with a serious injury occurred on March 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2021$, at the Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive intersection. No crash factors for this incident are listed as being identified.

After further review of the intersection, a fatal crash that was not listed in the TPO data was discovered in local online news
reports. On October 31 ${ }^{\text {st, }}$ 2019, a single vehicle with a single occupant was found to have left the roadway and had crashed into a utility pole on the southwest corner of the intersection. The cause of this crash was not found in local news report searches. It is unknown why this incident was not listed in the TPO data.

## - Transit Services:

The City of Knoxville has a network of public transit opportunities offered by Knoxville Area Transit (KAT). Bus service is currently available adjacent to the development site, and the overall KAT bus system map is provided in Appendix C.

The public transit bus service on Middlebrook Pike and adjacent to the site is provided at a bus stop on the southwest corner of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive. This bus service is on Route 13, "Beaumont". This route only operates on weekdays and does not operate on weekends. The route map is included in Appendix C. KAT had to reduce its service schedule due to workforce shortages. These changes took place on August 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$,
 2022, and the reduced schedule for this route is also included in Appendix C. Other transit services in the area include the East Tennessee Human Resource Agency (ETHRA) and the Community Action Committee (CAC), which provides transportation services when requested.

Even though public transit will be available adjacent to the development and will likely draw a few customers, to ensure a conservative analysis of the road system for the analysis, the proposed development is assumed not to have any reduced vehicle trips due to public transit usage.

## Project Description

## - LOCATION AND SITE PLAN:

The preliminary plan layout with twelve vehicle fueling positions and a $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ convenience market is designed by Ardurra and is shown in Figure 3. (Note: Ardurra has since updated the preliminary plan layout shown in Figure 3 to reflect the proposed minor layout modifications recommendations in this study; however, the preliminary plan is used in this report for illustrative purposes. The updated plan is shown in Appendix M and includes a revised location for the Main Entrance and minor changes to the internal layout.) The design proposes two entrances for the development: the Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike to the north and a Secondary Entrance to the east on Lonas Drive. The Main Entrance for the proposed development will tie into Middlebrook Pike, approximately 190 feet (centerline to centerline) to the west of the signalized intersection with Lonas Drive. The proposed Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike will be constructed as right-turn-in/right-turn-out only since the center grassed median is raised with concrete curbing. The existing median will not allow for entering and exiting left turns, and the development is not requesting a median opening for this entrance. A Secondary Entrance for the proposed Shell Food Mart development will tie into Lonas Drive approximately 100 feet north of the Kim Watt Drive centerline and 200 feet south of the Middlebrook Pike centerline.

The site plan in Figure 3 shows one building. The building will house a convenience market with typical gas station/convenience market merchandise. Nineteen parking spaces, including one ADA-accessible parking space, are shown in the internal pavement area. A trash dumpster will be located on the south side of the property. A long retaining wall will be constructed behind the convenience market building due to the steep hillside on the western portion of the property. The twelve-vehicle fueling positions will be constructed south of the proposed Main Entrance off Middlebrook Pike, and the underground gasoline storage tanks will be installed just east of the vehicle gas pumps.

The schedule for completing this new development depends on construction timelines. This project is also contingent on permitting, design, and other regulatory approvals. Currently, the developer is confident in projecting that this development will be built within an abbreviated timeframe. Based on this forecast, this study assumed that the total construction build-out of the development and available for business operations would occur within the next two years (2025).
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Transportation Impact Study
Shell Food Mart

## - PROPOSED USES AND ZONING REQUIREMENTS:

The existing single parcel comprising the Shell Food Mart development property is in the City of Knoxville and zoned as Neighborhood Commercial (C-N) and is not being proposed to be changed. The Neighborhood Commercial ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ ) zone "is intended to provide for an environment of integrated residential development and small-scale commercial and service uses, predominantly serving nearby residential neighborhoods." The most recently published online KGIS zoning map is provided in Appendix D. The existing adjacent surrounding zoning and land uses are the following:

- Middlebrook Pike binds the development site to the north. To the north of the development site and across Middlebrook Pike, one parcel is zoned as Agricultural (A) and is occupied by Middlebrook, a historic house. In addition to the historic house, the property has several standalone buildings with a maintained lawn, a field, and woodlands. In addition, this property to the north of Middlebrook Pike is bisected by Third Creek. The creek and its floodway are zoned as Floodway (F). This property has singular road access to the south to Middlebrook Pike via the Private Driveway that comprises the north approach at the signalized intersection with Lonas Drive.
- Lonas Drive binds the development site to the east. To the east of the development site and across Lonas Drive, most of the property is zoned as Right-of-Way (ROW). To the southeast, one parcel is zoned as General Residential Neighborhood (RN-5) and is occupied by The Reserve at Third Creek, a small apartment complex adjacent to Interstate 640. Third Creek also bisects the apartment complex property, and a portion of the parcel is zoned as Floodway (F). The Reserve at Third Creek has singular road access to Middlebrook Pike to the north, 200 feet (centerline to centerline) east of the signalized intersection with Lonas Drive.
o Kim Watt Drive binds the development site to the south. To the south of the development site and across Kim Watt Drive, a parcel is zoned as Office (O). According to Google Maps, it is occupied by Cooltech, an HVAC and refrigeration business operating in a former single-family detached house. This business has road access to Lonas Drive to the east but does not have road access to the north to Kim Watt Drive. This business driveway is 90 feet (centerline to centerline) south of Kim Watt Drive.
- To the west, the development property is adjacent to two parcels occupied by two single-family detached houses, and both are zoned as Single-Family Residential

Neighborhood (RN-1). These homes have driveway connections to Hensley Drive, a short, dead-end, narrow road to the west. These properties and the western portion of the development property are within the Hillside Protection (HP) overlay, which protects topographic features to prevent damage due to flooding and erosion.


- ON-SITE CIRCULATION:

The proposed development's internal pavement and layout will be designed and constructed to the City of Knoxville's specifications. The internal road surface will be asphalt or concrete paved. The developer will maintain the parking areas and internal pavement in the development after construction, and it will remain privately owned.

## - SERVICE AND DELIVERY VEHICLE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION:

Besides customer passenger vehicles, the internal pavement areas will provide access to service, delivery, maintenance, and fire protection/rescue vehicles. In particular, the site will be designed for gasoline truck deliveries and other large delivery trucks. None of these non-passenger vehicle types should impact adjacent roadway operations other than when they occasionally enter and exit the development.

The development's internal pavement will accommodate the larger vehicle types and customers' standard passenger vehicles. The internal pavement areas will be designed and constructed to
the City of Knoxville's specifications and are expected to be adequate for fire protection and rescue vehicles, trash collection trucks, single-unit delivery trucks, and gasoline delivery trucks.

## Analysis of Existing and Projected Conditions

## - Existing Traffic Conditions:

This study conducted a 6-hour traffic count at the signalized intersection of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and the Private Driveway adjacent to the proposed development site on Thursday, August $24^{\text {th }}, 2023$. While not explicitly requested by Knoxville/Knox County Planning, two other nearby intersections were also counted for 6 hours. These intersections included Lonas Drive at Kim Watt Drive and Lonas Drive at the South College Entrance. Manual traffic counts were conducted to tabulate the peak period volumes, travel directions, and vehicle patterns near the proposed development site. Local public schools were in session when the traffic counts were conducted and had been open for two weeks. This two-week wait before counting allowed traffic patterns to normalize after school children returned from the summer break. Based on the traffic volumes collected, the AM and PM peak hours were observed at 7:30-8:30 a.m. and 4:45-5:45 p.m. at all three intersections.

The manual tabulated traffic counts can be reviewed in Figure 4a and Appendix E; some observations from the count are listed below.

- Most traffic observed during the traffic counts were typical passenger vehicles; however, the thru traffic on Middlebrook Pike had a fair amount of heavy and large vehicles, including semi-tractor-trailers, trash collection trucks, school buses, concrete mixer trucks, and KAT buses. The semi-tractor-trailer traffic consisted of a noticeable amount of gas tanker trucks, particularly on Middlebrook Pike in the westbound direction. This occurrence is presumed to be due to the nearby petroleum product terminals located one mile west and north of Middlebrook Pike.
- There are two KAT bus stops on Middlebrook Pike adjacent to Lonas Drive, one on the north side next to the Private Driveway and one on the south side, west of the intersection with Lonas Drive. During the traffic count, no KAT buses were observed stopping at these bus stops.
- No school bus stops were observed on Middlebrook Pike adjacent to the development site. However, school buses were observed stopping on Lonas Drive and Kim Watt Drive adjacent to the development site during the afternoon, with a handful of students exiting and walking home. All these students, except one, were observed
walking from their bus stops on Lonas Drive and Kim Watt Drive, where they crossed Lonas Drive towards the east, presumably to The Reserve at Third Creek apartments. The lone student who did not follow this pattern walked north on Lonas Drive and turned westbound onto the greenway/sidewalk along Middlebrook Pike. A single student was observed walking from the east on Middlebrook Pike towards Lonas Drive during the morning. This student was also assumed to be from The Reserve at Third Creek apartments. It is presumed that the school bus stops for these students are on Lonas Drive and Kim Watt Drive because the apartment complex does not have a center median opening that would allow for an easy return to Lonas Drive and Kim Watt Drive, where most school-age children on the bus route are likely concentrated on the route. Overall, none of the school-age children were observed crossing Lonas Drive at the crosswalk.
- Only two pedestrians were observed on the Middlebrook Pike greenway/sidewalk beside the handful of school-age children. Both pedestrians crossed Lonas Drive at the crosswalk and were walking westbound.
- Two bicyclists were observed during the traffic counts. Neither was observed riding on the greenway/sidewalk, with both traveling in the vehicle lanes on Middlebrook Pike. One was observed traveling eastbound in the morning, and one was westbound in the afternoon. It was not determined if this was the same individual. Drive back towards the east. Eighteen vehicles were observed performing this maneuver during the 6 -hour traffic count. It is presumed that most of these are performed by residents of The Reserve at Third Creek apartments since they are not provided a center median opening in Middlebrook Pike that would allow a direct left turn from the east. The eastbound Middlebrook Pike approach at Lonas Drive is posted with a No U-turn sign at the end of the center median. However, seven vehicles made this illegal turn. Remarkably, one of the vehicles included a national brand delivery truck that had to reverse itself in the center of the intersection to complete the maneuver. This illegal maneuver caused a short backup for westbound traffic on Middlebrook Pike.
- The Private Driveway on the north side of the signalized intersection had a minuscule amount of vehicle traffic. When activated by a vehicle presence, this approach is given a separate green phase. This green phase only occurred a few times during the traffic count.
included a very high amount of right-turn movements onto Lonas Drive. In the AM peak hour, over 300 vehicles made this turn, nearly a third of all traffic movements on this approach. Fewer vehicles made this turn in the PM peak hour, but it still had a high level with 200 right-turns. Based on these observations, the eastbound outside lane of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive can be considered a de facto right-turn lane.
- Likewise, the northbound approach of Lonas Drive had a very high number of leftturning movements, with nearly 300 vehicles in the PM peak hour.
- The maximum observed vehicle queues on Lonas Drive from Middlebrook Pike were from left-turning vehicles waiting to turn towards the west onto Middlebrook Pike. During the traffic count, this queue was observed occasionally extending far enough to block vehicles on Kim Watt Drive from turning left toward the north.



South College is located near the study area and was operating during its Summer Quarter when the traffic counts were conducted for this study. In the scope of work, Knoxville/Knox County Planning expressed concerns that the traffic count results may not accurately reflect higher attendance conditions that could occur during their Fall Quarter. Thus, an attempt was made to ascertain whether the College would have additional generated traffic if the traffic counts were conducted while operating under their Fall Quarter, which begins in late September.

Since this College is privately operated and includes online and in-person students, obtaining an accurate accounting of student enrollment and in-person attendance was difficult. Nonetheless, their Director of Facilities estimated that their Fall Quarter would have an additional 200 students attending. Due to the possibility that these "missing" students were not accounted for, the traffic count at the intersection of Lonas Drive at the South College Entrance was conducted. This 6hour traffic count tabulated the existing splits/totals of the College's entering and exiting traffic.

Several assumptions were made to estimate the amount of potential "missing" College traffic. Taking their estimate of 200 extra students attending in the Fall Quarter, it can be assumed that most of these will be single-occupancy trips. According to the 2008 East Tennessee Travel Study (shown in Appendix F) prepared for the Knoxville Regional Transportation Planning Organization, the average vehicle occupancy rate in the area for School - University is 1.02 persons per vehicle. Thus, it can be assumed that an additional 196 vehicles could enter and exit the South College Entrance during the Fall Quarter. Other percentages were assumed based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition data for "Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips by Land Use" (in Appendix F) to determine when these "missing" vehicles may be entering and exiting during the peak hours of a day. For Land Use Code 550, University/College, the entering and exiting percentages given for $7-8$ a.m. and 5-6 p.m. approximate the observed peak times and yield the following:

| $7-8$ a.m. | Enter $=12.8 \%$ | Trips $=+25$ vehicles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Exit $=0.9 \%$ | Trips $=+2$ vehicles |
| $5-6$ p.m. | Enter $=4.9 \%$ | Trips $=+10$ vehicles |
|  | Exit $=4.7 \%$ | Trips $=+9$ vehicles |

Multiplying these percentages above by 196 vehicles results in the "missing" volumes shown above and in Figure 4b. The volumes shown in Figure 4b were distributed by the existing splits observed at the intersections during the peak hours.

* Extra 200 Students for Fall Quarter
* Vehicle Occupancy $=1.02$
* 196 Vehicles "Missing" in Traffic Count
* ITE Trip Generation Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips for Land Use, 550, University / College:

7-8 am Entering $=12.8 \%$ Exiting $=0.9 \%$
$5-6 \mathrm{pm}$ Entering $=4.9 \% \quad$ Exiting $=4.7 \%$

* "Missing" Vehicles Distributed Based on Existing Vehicle Splits Tabulated During Peak Hours at Intersections of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive, Lonas Drive at Kım Watt Drive, and Lonas Drive at


Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the Level of Service (LOS) for the existing 2023 intersection traffic volumes shown in Figure 4a. The capacity analyses were calculated following the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods and utilizing Synchro Traffic Software (Version 11).

## Methodology:

LOS is a qualitative measurement developed by the transportation profession to express how well an intersection or roadway performs based on a driver's perception. LOS designations include LOS A through LOS F. The designation of LOS A signifies a roadway or intersection operating at best, while LOS F signifies road operations at worst. This grading system provides a reliable, straightforward means to communicate road operations to the public. The HCM lists level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections and signalized intersections.


LOS is defined by delay per vehicle (seconds), and roadway facilities are also characterized by the volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c). LOS designations, which are based on delay, are reported differently for unsignalized and signalized intersections. For example, a delay of 20 seconds at an unsignalized intersection would indicate LOS C, representing the additional delay a motorist would experience traveling through the intersection. Also, for example, a v/c ratio of 0.75 for an approach at an unsignalized intersection would indicate that it is operating at $75 \%$ of its available capacity. This difference is primarily due to motorists' different expectations between the two road facilities. Generally, for most instances, the LOS D / LOS E boundary is considered the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak periods in urban and suburban areas.

For unsignalized intersections, LOS is measured in terms of delay (in seconds). This measure is an attempt to quantify delay, including travel time, driver discomfort, and fuel consumption. For unsignalized intersections, the analysis assumes that the mainline thru and right-turn traffic does not stop and is not affected by the traffic on the minor side
streets. Thus, the LOS for a two-way stop (or yield) controlled intersection is defined by the delay for each minor approach and major street left-turn movements. Table 2 lists the level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections. The analysis results of unsignalized intersections using the HCM methodologies are conservative due to the more significant vehicle gap parameters used in the method. More often, in normal road conditions, drivers are more willing to accept smaller gaps in traffic than what is modeled using the HCM methodology. The unsignalized intersection methodology also does not account for more significant gaps sometimes produced by nearby upstream and downstream signalized intersections. For unsignalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 35 seconds.

For signalized intersections, LOS is based on delay (in seconds) for various movements within the intersection and the overall operation of all the traffic entering the intersection. This delay measures driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time and depends on traffic signal cycle lengths, lengths of green phases, and the quality of traffic progression. This control delay includes deceleration/acceleration delay, queue move-up time, and stopped delay time. For signalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 55 seconds. Table 3 lists the level of service criteria for signalized intersections.

TABLE 2
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS
STOP

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | CONTROL DELAY <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Little or no delay | $0-10$ |
| B | Short Traffic Delays | $>10-15$ |
| C | Average Traffic Delays | $>15-25$ |
| D | Long Traffic Delays | $>25-35$ |
| E | Very Long Traffic Delays | $>35-50$ |
| F | Extreme Traffic Delays | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition


TABLE 3
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | CONTROL DELAY <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Operation with very low control delay. <br> Progression is extremely favorable <br> and most vehicles do not stop at all. | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | Generally good level of progression. <br> More vehicles stop than with LOS A, <br> causing higher levels of average delay. | $>10-20$ |
| C | Higher delays with individual cycle failures <br> may begin at this level. Many vehicles may <br> still pass through without stopping. | $>20-35$ |
| D | Approaching unstable flow. The influence <br> of congestion becomes more noticeable. <br> Many vehicles stop. | $>35-55$ |
| E | Considered the limit of acceptable delay. <br> High delays indicated by poor progression, <br> long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. | $>55-80$ |
| F | Unacceptable delay occurs. <br> Progression is extremely poor with <br> long cycle lengths and high $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios. | $>80$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition


Intersection capacity results from the existing 2023 peak hour traffic are shown in Table 4. The intersections in the table are shown with a LOS designation, delay (in seconds), and v/c ratio (volume/capacity) for the AM and PM peak hours. Appendix G includes the worksheets for the existing 2023 peak hour capacity analyses. The intersections of Lonas Drive at Kim Watt Drive and Lonas Drive at the South College Entrance are provided as a courtesy in the existing conditions table since they were not included in the requested scope of work by Knoxville/Knox County.

As shown in Table 4, the intersections are calculated to operate with good to average LOS and reasonable vehicle delays in the existing 2023 conditions. The only exception is for the northbound approach of Lonas Drive at Middlebrook Pike in the PM peak hour, where these vehicles are calculated to operate with elevated delays. The results in Table 4 do not include the "missing" vehicles from South College calculated previously; however, they are included in the projected conditions and analyses later in the report.

TABLE 4
2023 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{LOS}^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (seconds) | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB \& WB) at |  | Eastbound | B | 11.4 |  | B | 14.7 |  |
| Lonas Drive (NB) and |  | Westbound | A | 4.3 |  | A | 7.9 |  |
| Private Driveway (SB) |  | Northbound | D | 43.4 |  | F | 86.2 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 0.0 |  | D | 54.0 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 14.2 | 0.620 | C | 24.3 | 0.710 |
| Lonas Drive (SB \& NB) at Kim Watt Drive (EB) |  | Eastbound Left | C | 18.1 | 0.270 | C | 15.0 | 0.150 |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | B | 12.8 | 0.030 | B | 10.8 | 0.010 |
|  |  | Northbound Left | * | * | * | A | 0.2 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lonas Drive (SB \& NB) at South College Entrance (WB) |  | Westbound Left/Right | B | 10.1 | 0.020 | B | 14.0 | 0.140 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | A | 2.2 | 0.080 | A | 0.4 | 0.010 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]The signal timing used to analyze the Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway intersection was obtained from the City of Knoxville and is included in Appendix H. The traffic signal operates on a 110-second cycle length and in an actuated-coordinated system. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the existing analysis and were used as given.

## - Projected Traffic Conditions (Without the Project):

Horizon year traffic conditions represent the projected traffic volumes in the study area without the proposed project being developed (no-build option). This proposed development's build-out and full occupancy for customer sales are assumed to occur by 2025.

Vehicular traffic on Middlebrook Pike in the study area has shown negative annual growth over the past ten years ( $-0.8 \%$ ), according to the TDOT traffic count station and as shown in Appendix A. Lonas Drive has seen a moderate growth of $2.6 \%$ over the past ten years. For this study, an annual growth rate of $+1 \%$ was assumed to calculate future
 volumes up to 2025 to account for potential growth on Middlebrook Pike, and a 3\% rate was assumed for Lonas Drive.

The $1 \%$ annual growth rate was applied to the existing 2023 thru volumes on Middlebrook Pike at the intersection to calculate the future volumes in the 2025 horizon year without the potential development traffic. The 3\% annual growth rate was applied to the existing 2023 entering and exiting volumes to and from Lonas Drive at the intersection. These slightly higher rates than the observed TDOT growth rates were used to provide a conservative analysis.

Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the projected LOS in 2025 without the project at the signalized intersection. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for these capacity analyses in the projected conditions. The results are shown in Table 5, and Appendix $G$ includes the capacity analysis worksheets.

The results in Table 5 show slightly worse vehicle delays for all the approaches in the 2025 projected conditions without the developments' generated trips versus the existing 2023 conditions. Figure 5 shows the projected 2025 traffic volumes without the project at the
intersection during the AM and PM peak hours. The volumes in Figure 5 and the results in Table 5 include the calculated "missing" vehicles from South College and the assumed future growth rates of $1 \%$ and $3 \%$.

TABLE 5
2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITHOUT THE PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (seconds) | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (seconds) | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB \& WB) at Lonas Drive (NB) and Private Driveway (SB) | $8 \text { 淽 }$ | Eastbound | B | 12.9 |  | B | 15.1 |  |
|  |  | Westbound | A | 5.0 |  | A | 8.4 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | E | 43.6 |  | F | 101.2 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 0.0 |  | D | 54.0 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 15.4 | 0.660 | C | 27.8 | 0.770 |

[^1]

- TRIP GENERATION:

A generated trip is a single or one-direction vehicle movement entering or exiting the study site. The estimated amount of traffic the proposed gas station/convenience market will generate was calculated based on rates and equations provided by the Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, an Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) publication. The Trip Generation Manual is the most popular resource for determining trip generation rates when transportation impact studies are produced. The Manual includes data for various land uses and provides a method for calculating
 generated trips based on development variables such as the number of dwelling units and building square footage. The data and calculations from ITE for the proposed land use in this study are shown in Appendix I.

For Land Use 945, Convenience Store / Gas Station, many subcategories with different independent variables are presented in the Trip Generation Manual to calculate generated trips. These units include rates based on the number of vehicle fueling positions (VFP) at the site, the convenience store's gross floor area (GFA), the number of employees, and the peak hour traffic of the adjacent roads. For the study, trip generation results were calculated in several ways based on the different subcategories and independent variables. The variables included twelve vehicle fueling positions, a $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ convenience market, an expectation of three employees, and peak hour traffic on the adjacent Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive. The peak hour traffic for the adjacent streets was surmised from the nearby TDOT counting station data. All these alternate results were shared with the reviewing agency for their input.

After consultation with Knoxville/Knox County Planning and the Knoxville Engineering Department, it was determined that the most reasonable trip generation results were obtained by classifying the subcategory based on a GFA of between $4-5.5 \mathrm{k}$ square feet and using VFPs as the independent variable. A summary of this information is presented in the following:

TABLE 6a
TRIP GENERATION FOR SHELL FOOD MART

| ITE LAND <br> USE CODE | LAND USE DESCRIPTION | LAND USE | INDEPENDENT <br> VARIABLE | TRIPS GENERATED ON WEEKDAY | TRIPS GENERATED IN AMPEAK HOUR |  |  | TRIPS GENERATEDIN PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#945 | Convenience | GFA (4-5.5k) | 12 Vehicle Fueling Positions | 3,086 | 50\% | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
|  | Store / Gas <br> Station |  |  |  | 162 | 162 | 324 | 137 | 136 | 273 |
| Total New Volume Site Development Trips |  |  |  | 3,086 | 162 | 162 | 324 | 137 | 136 | 273 |

ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition - Average Rates

As shown in Table 6a, the total weekday traffic volumes generated by this development could be expected to be 3,086 vehicles.

Furthermore, as a broad category, generated trips can be categorized further as primary (new), pass-by, and internal trips.

## Methodology:

Not all trips generated by a development are primary (new) trips. For some land uses, the trips generated by a proposed development are captured from the adjacent street system and do not generate an entirely "new" trip. A pass-by trip is an intermediate stop between an existing origin and a destination without a route diversion. These types of trips are known as pass-by trips and are assumed to already occur on the adjacent street. Considerable research has examined these trip types, and national and local rates have been published. Research has shown that fast food restaurants and larger convenience markets can experience pass-by trip rates of $75 \%$ and greater.

Other trips a development generates that should not be added to the adjacent street or intersections are internal. These trips are self-explanatory and can be categorized for developments with complementary land uses such as retail, residential, office, hotel, and restaurants. For example, a customer of a retail shop may decide to eat at the adjacent restaurant in the same development. Similarly, nearby residents within a reasonable distance may walk and eat at an adjacent restaurant instead of using a vehicle for travel.

ITE has documented significant pass-by trip data for gas station/convenience markets. ITE lists average pass-by trip percentages of $60 \%$ in the AM peak hour and $56 \%$ in the PM peak hour for gas station/convenience markets with two to eight vehicle fueling stations in the Trip Generation,
$11^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Pass-by trip percentage data and averages from ITE for the proposed land use are shown in Appendix I. Knoxville/Knox County Planning also agreed to these pass-by trip percentages used in this study. While it could be expected that some minor amounts of trips could technically be considered internal between the convenience market and the gas pumps, no internal trip discounts were applied. Thus, once considering pass-by trips, the overall trip generation volumes for the Shell Food Mart development can be tabulated as the following:

TABLE 6b
TRIP GENERATION FOR SHELL FOOD MART WITH PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIPS

| ITE LAND <br> USE CODE | LAND USE DESCRIPTION | LAND USE | INDEPENDENTVARIABLE | TRIPS GENERATED IN AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | TRIPS GENERATED IN PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#945 | Convenience | GFA (4-5.5k) | 12 Vehicle Fueling Positions | 50\% | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
|  | Store/Gas Station |  |  | 162 | 162 | 324 | 137 | 136 | 273 |
| External Vehicle Trips - Total |  |  |  | 162 | 162 | 324 | 137 | 136 | 273 |
| Pass-by Vehicle Trips |  |  |  | -97 | -97 | -194 | -77 | -76 | -153 |
| Total New Primary Vehicle Trips |  |  |  | 65 | 65 | 130 | 60 | 60 | 120 |

ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition
For Land Use \#945 $=60 \%$ AM Peak Hour / 56\% PM Peak Hour based on ITE Trip Generation 2021 Pass-by Trip Rates

For the proposed development, it is estimated that 65 vehicles will enter and 65 will exit, for a total of 130 new trips during the AM peak hour in the year 2025. Similarly, it is estimated that 60 vehicles will enter and 60 will exit, for a total of 120 new trips during the PM peak hour in the year 2025. The difference between the total external and new primary trips will be the pass-by trips comprised of motorists traveling on Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive and already passing by the development property.

- TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT:

The projected trip distribution and assignment for the Shell Food Mart development are based on the existing traffic volumes, observed directional vehicle flows, and engineering judgment.

As with the trip generation methodology approval, the projected distribution of primary and pass-by trips presented in the following was discussed with Knoxville/Knox County Planning and approved for this study.

For the primary trips, the vast majority were assumed to be comprised of traffic from the south via Lonas Drive. This majority would include the single-family houses and apartment developments along Kim Watt Drive and Lonas Drive. In addition to the residences, the primary trips from the south would include students and employees from the nearby South College. Due to the presence of an existing Weigel's gas station/convenience market a bit further to the west on Middlebrook Pike and similar developments to the north at Western Avenue via Ed Shouse Drive, it is assumed that there would be much less potential for primary trips to and from the west on Middlebrook Pike. Primary trips to and from the east on Middlebrook Pike were also assumed to be minimal. However, the potential for primary trips is assumed to be slightly more significant to and from the east than the west due to the residences and businesses around Middlebrook Pike further to the east. The residences would include Big Oak Apartments and the single-family houses around Keith Avenue on the north side of Middlebrook Pike. As seen in Figure 6a, for primary trip distribution, it is assumed primary trips for the Shell Food Mart will consist of $10 \%$ to and from the west, $20 \%$ to and from the east, and $70 \%$ to and from the south.

For pass-by trips, many aspects were considered to assume the distribution and assignment for these types of trips. The development will have two entrances: the Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike and the Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive. The Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike will be RIRO only since there is a raised center median on Middlebrook Pike. The Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive will allow full-turning movements. Figure 6b shows the assumed trip distribution for pass-by trips and notes the logic applied for the entering and exiting pass-by trips. The following is a further explanation of the assumptions that were made for passby trips:

Entering Pass-by Trip Assumptions:

- $65 \%$ of pass-by trips will enter the development from the west side of Middlebrook Pike in the AM peak hour: 50\% comprises eastbound Middlebrook Pike traffic, and $15 \%$ comprises southbound Lonas Drive traffic. $60 \%$ of pass-by trips will enter the development from the west side of Middlebrook Pike in the PM peak hour: 50\% comprises eastbound Middlebrook Pike traffic, and 10\% comprises southbound Lonas Drive traffic. The slight reduction of pass-by trips from the west on Middlebrook Pike in the PM peak hour is due to the overall observed pattern of heavier eastbound travel toward downtown Knoxville in the morning.
- $15 \%$ of pass-by trips will enter the development from the east side of Middlebrook Pike in the AM peak hour: 5\% comprises westbound Middlebrook Pike traffic, and $10 \%$ comprises southbound Lonas Drive traffic. $20 \%$ of pass-by trips will enter the development from the east side of Middlebrook Pike in the PM peak hour: 5\% comprises westbound Middlebrook Pike traffic, and $15 \%$ comprises southbound Lonas Drive traffic. The slight increase from the east in the PM peak hour is due to the observed pattern of heavier westbound travel away from downtown Knoxville in the afternoon.
- $20 \%$ of pass-by trips will enter the development from the south side via Lonas Drive in the AM and PM peak hours.

Exiting Pass-by Trip Assumptions:

- $75 \%$ of pass-by trips from Middlebrook Pike's west side with an eastbound destination are assumed to exit at the Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike and $25 \%$ at the Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive.
- For pass-by trips from the south on Lonas Drive, if their overall destination is towards the east on Middlebrook Pike, $75 \%$ are assumed to exit at the Main Entrance, with the remaining $25 \%$ assumed to exit at the Secondary Entrance. For pass-by trips from the south on Lonas Drive, all are assumed to exit at the Secondary Entrance if their overall destination is towards the west on Middlebrook Pike. The overall east or west destination designation was based on the existing tabulated splits observed during the AM and PM peak hours, either to the left or right from Lonas Drive. In the AM peak hour, northbound left and right turns on the Lonas Drive at Middlebrook Pike were observed with a split of $45 \%$ and $55 \%$, respectively, and in the PM peak hour, the left and right turns were observed with a split of $60 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively.

The percentages shown in Figures 6 a and 6b only pertain to the trips generated by the development calculated from the ITE data shown in Tables 6a and 6b. Since the development will have two entrances with several directions of movement, several Microsoft Excel spreadsheets were developed for this study to calculate trip distribution and volumes at all the intersections based on the assumed percentages. These spreadsheets are presented in Appendix J.

Figures 7a and 7b show the Traffic Assignment of the computed trips generated by the development (from Tables 6a and 6b) based on the assumed distribution of trips shown in Figures 6 a and 6 b . Figure 7a shows the assignment of the primary generated trips, and Figure 7 b shows the assigned pass-by trips to the study intersections.
(Due to rounding in the Excel spreadsheet calculating process, the trips shown in Figures 7a and 7 b and the subsequent traffic volumes entering and exiting the site in Figure 8 may have $\mathrm{a}+/$ - one vehicle variance.)





- PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT):

Overall, several additive steps were taken to estimate the total projected traffic volumes at the studied intersections when the Shell Food Mart development is constructed and open for business in 2025. The steps are illustrated below for clarity and review:


The calculated peak hour trips generated by the Shell Food Mart development were added to the 2025 projected horizon year traffic (Figure 5) by following the predicted trip distributions and assignments (Figures $6 \mathrm{a} \& 6 \mathrm{~b}$ and $7 \mathrm{a} \& 7 \mathrm{~b}$ ). This procedure was completed to obtain the total projected traffic volumes at the studied intersections when the proposed development is fully built and open for business in 2025. Figure 8 shows the projected 2025 AM and PM peak hour volumes with the generated development traffic at the studied intersections.


Capacity analyses were conducted to determine the projected LOS at the intersections with the development traffic in 2025. The projected 2025 peak hour capacity results for the intersections with the project resulted in good to average LOS and vehicle delays, as shown in Table 7. The exception is, once again, the northbound approach of Lonas Drive at Middlebrook Pike. Based on the capacity analyses, both proposed entrances are calculated to have minimal vehicle delays. Appendix $G$ includes the worksheets for these capacity analyses. There was a minimal increase in vehicle delays at the signalized intersection shown in Table 7 with the development's generated traffic compared to the results shown in Table 5 without the project.

TABLE 7
2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DELAY }{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (seconds) | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB \& WB) at | $8 \text { 范 }$ | Eastbound | B | 13.9 |  | B | 15.4 |  |
| Lonas Drive (NB) and |  | Westbound | A | 5.7 |  | A | 8.7 |  |
| Private Driveway (SB) |  | Northbound | D | 44.2 |  | F | 108.3 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 0.0 |  | D | 54.0 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 16.6 | 0.700 | C | 30.2 | 0.810 |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB) at |  | Northbound Right | B | 13.6 | 0.100 | B | 12.3 | 0.070 |
| Main Entrance (NB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lonas Drive (SB \& NB) at |  | Eastbound Left/Right | C | 19.6 | 0.350 | C | 16.9 | 0.270 |
| Secondary Entrance (EB) |  | Northbound Left | A | 3.9 | 0.070 | A | 2.7 | 0.060 |

[^2]
## - POTENTIAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY ISSUES:

The study area was investigated for potential existing and future safety issues when the development is completed. The adjacent transportation system's features are discussed in the following pages.

## - Evaluation of Sight Distance

For intersections, sight distance evaluations have two categories: Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) and Intersection Sight Distance (ISD).

## Methodology:

SSD is the distance required for a motorist on a major street to perceive, react, and the vehicle to come to a complete stop before colliding with an object on the road. For evaluating intersections, this object would be another vehicle entering the intersection from a minor street. SSD can be considered the minimum visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection.

ISD is the required visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection per section 3.04.J. 5 in the Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations. ISD is based on the time required to perceive, react, and complete the desired traffic maneuver once a motorist on a minor street
 decides to perform a traffic maneuver. Three traffic maneuvers are available for vehicles stopped on a minor street at a 4-way intersection: (1) left-turn, (2) right-turn, (3) or a crossing maneuver across the major street. For turns from the minor street, ISD is needed to allow a stopped motorist to turn onto a major street without being overtaken by an approaching vehicle. The most critical ISD is for left turns from the minor street. The ISD for this maneuver includes the time to turn left and clear half of the intersection without conflicting with the oncoming traffic from the left and accelerating to the road's operating speed without causing the approaching vehicles from the right to reduce their speed substantially.

With a posted speed limit of $45-\mathrm{mph}$ on Middlebrook Pike at the proposed Main Entrance, the ISD is 430 feet calculated based on AASHTO's (American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials) and TDOT's guidance. This distance is required for a motorist to safely make a right turn to exit the Main Entrance at Middlebrook Pike. The Main Entrance will be RIRO only, and left turns will not be allowed.

Lonas Drive is posted with a speed limit of $35-\mathrm{mph}$. The Shell Food Mart development proposes a Secondary Entrance on this existing road. With a posted speed limit of $35-\mathrm{mph}$ on Lonas Drive at the proposed Secondary Entrance, the ISD is calculated to be 390 feet. This distance is required, looking to the south for exiting left-turning vehicles to the north towards Middlebrook Pike.

Visual observations of the sight distances at the proposed entrances were undertaken. Using a Nikon Laser Rangefinder at the proposed Main Entrance location, the available sight distance was visually estimated to be 375 feet to the west on Middlebrook Pike. The sight distance is reduced to the west due to vegetation growing from the adjacent cut slope along Middlebrook Pike. Based on visual observation, the available sight distance from the proposed Main Entrance at Middlebrook Pike will be less than adequate for exiting right-turn motorists.

At the proposed Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive, the available sight distance was visually estimated to be 325 feet to the south. The current available sight distance is less than adequate for exiting left-turning vehicles. The sight distance is reduced to the south due to the horizontal curvature of Lonas Drive and the vegetation growing on the east side of Lonas Drive.

It can be assumed that vehicles turning from Middlebrook Pike at the traffic signal to the south onto Lonas Drive will have reduced speeds necessary to complete their turning movements. The available sight distance to the north at the proposed Secondary Entrance location on Lonas Drive for exiting turns will be 170 feet, which is the distance to the north from the proposed entrance to Middlebrook Pike. Based on an assumed turning vehicle speed of $15-\mathrm{mph}$ approaching the Secondary Entrance from the north on Lonas Drive, the ISD can be calculated to be 170 feet for exiting left turns and 145 feet for exiting right turns, thus adequate.

Images of the existing sight distances at the proposed entrance locations are labeled below with the ISD and rangefinder-measured sight distances.


View of Sight Distance on Lonas Drive at the Proposed Secondary Entrance Location (Looking South)

## - Evaluation of Turn Lane Thresholds

The need for separate turn lanes was evaluated in the projected 2025 conditions for the proposed Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike and the proposed Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive. The evaluation did not include left turns on Middlebrook Pike since Middlebrook Pike has a raised concrete median, and the Main Entrance will be RIRO. The evaluation also did not include left turns on Lonas Drive at the Secondary Entrance since there is already a separate northbound leftturn lane for the traffic signal that would allow turns.

The criteria used for the turn lane evaluation on Middlebrook Pike was based on TDOT's "Highway System Access Manual" since it is a State Route. The evaluation was based on the posted speed limit of $45-\mathrm{mph}$ on Middlebrook Pike. The criteria used for the turn lane evaluation on Lonas Drive was based on Knox County's "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy" since it is not a State Route. The location of the Secondary Entrance on Lonas Drive is within a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ speed zone; thus, it was evaluated based on this speed. These design policies relate vehicle volume thresholds based on prevailing speeds for two- and four-lane roadways.

According to TDOT's guidelines, a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike at the proposed Main Entrance is warranted based on the projected 2025 peak hour traffic volumes. According to Knox County's guidelines, a southbound right-turn lane on Lonas Drive at the Secondary Entrance is not warranted in the projected 2025 conditions. The worksheets for these evaluations are provided in Appendix K.

## - Projected Vehicle Queues

An additional software program was used to calculate the 2025 AM and PM peak hour projected vehicle queues at the studied intersections. The previously mentioned Synchro Traffic Software includes SimTraffic. The Synchro portion of the software performs the macroscopic calculations for intersections, and SimTraffic performs micro-simulation and animation of vehicular traffic. SimTraffic (Version 11) software was utilized to estimate the projected vehicle queues.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue is the recognized measurement in the traffic engineering profession as the design standard used when considering vehicle queue lengths. A $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue length means $95 \%$ certainty that the vehicle queue will not extend beyond that point. The calculated vehicle queue results were based on averaging the outcome obtained
during ten traffic simulations. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths at the studied intersections are shown in Table 8 for the projected 2025 conditions with the project. The vehicle queue worksheet results from the SimTraffic software are in Appendix L.

TABLE 8
TURN LANE STORAGE \& VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY 2025 PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC (WITH THE PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | STORAGE <br> LENGTH (ft) | SIMTRAFFIC $95^{\text {th }}$ PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft) |  | ADEQUATE LENGTH? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |  |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB \& WB) at Lonas Drive (NB) and Private Driveway (SB) | Eastbound Left/Thru | n/a | 199 | 220 | n/a |
|  | Eastbound Thru/Right | n/a | 209 | 216 | n/a |
|  | Westbound Left | 110 | 131 | 158 | No |
|  | Westbound Thru | n/a | 115 | 166 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | Westbound Thru/Right | n/a | 68 | 135 | n/a |
|  | Northbound Left | 400 | 168 | 198 | Yes |
|  | Northbound Right | n/a | 179 | 178 | n/a |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB) at Main Entrance (NB) | Northbound Right | n/a | 59 | 55 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lonas Drive (SB \& NB) at Secondary Entrance (EB) | Eastbound Left/Right | n/a | 90 | 142 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues were calculated in SimTraffic 11 software

For the 2025 conditions with the project, the westbound left-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike at the traffic signal is calculated to have vehicle queues slightly longer than the provided storage length of 110 feet, which would cause a spill back onto the inside westbound lane of Middlebrook Pike. In the 2025 PM peak hour, the results in Table 8 show that the westbound left-turn lane is calculated to have a $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue of 158 feet, 48 feet longer than the storage currently provided. However, a subsequent analysis determined that this lane will also experience spill back in the 2025 projected condition peak hours even without the project being constructed. These vehicle queue results without the project are also included in Appendix L.

In Table 8, the maximum exiting queue at the proposed Main Entrance is shown to occur in the AM peak hour with a distance of 59 feet. This queue length translates to just over two passenger vehicles, assuming a passenger car length of 25 feet. For the proposed Secondary Entrance, the maximum exiting queue is calculated to be 142 feet in the PM peak hour. This queue length translates to just under six passenger vehicles. Ultimately, the calculated vehicle queues at the proposed entrances better indicate their projected operations rather than the capacity analyses. This is because the vehicle queue results take into account the impedance produced by the vehicle queues that form on the eastbound and northbound approaches at the traffic signal.

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is an overview of recommendations to minimize the transportation impacts of the proposed Shell Food Mart development on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and improved safety.

Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway: The projected 2025 level of service calculations for the intersection of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway resulted in reasonable vehicle delays for all the approaches except for the northbound approach of Lonas Drive, particularly in the PM peak hour. The trips generated by the proposed development are not expected to impact this intersection in the future appreciably. No specific recommendations are offered for this intersection due to the inclusion of the proposed development; however, it is believed that the calculated northbound vehicle delays could be reduced by modifying the existing signal timing.

The signal timing for the projected 2025 PM peak hour volumes was modified in the Synchro software to reduce vehicle delays for the northbound approach but kept the same cycle length of 110 seconds. Ten seconds of green time was added to the northbound approach to reduce the vehicle delay in the PM peak hour and subsequently reduced the green time for Middlebrook Pike's eastbound and westbound approaches, resulting in the mainline having slightly increased vehicle queue lengths.

Increasing the green time by 10 seconds for the northbound approach of Lonas Drive resulted in a significant delay reduction for the vehicles on this approach. The results of this modified PM signal timing are shown below. The capacity analysis results are included in Appendix G. The results in Tables 9 and 10 show the potential reduction in vehicle delays and queues in the PM peak hour for the northbound approach compared to the PM peak hour results (Tables 7 and 8 ) obtained by leaving the traffic signal timing as-is (the AM signal timing was not changed). Green and red denote the table changes, showing the decreases and increases, respectively.

TABLE 9
2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -
PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH THE PROJECT) - REVISED SIGNAL TIMING

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ <br> MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{LOS}^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (seconds) | CHANGE ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> (seconds) | $\operatorname{LOS}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DELAY }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | CHANGE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (seconds) |
| Middlebrook Pike (EB \& WB) at | $8 \begin{aligned} & \text { च } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N゙ } \\ & \text { ज゙ } \\ & \text { जn } \end{aligned}$ | Eastbound | B | 13.4 | NO <br> CHANGES <br> MADE | C | 19.5 | 4.1 |
| Lonas Drive (NB) and |  | Westbound | A | 5.3 |  | B | 11.2 | 2.5 |
| Private Driveway (SB) |  | Northbound | D | 44.1 |  | D | 52.1 | -56.2 |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 0.0 |  | D | 54.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Summary | B | 16.1 |  | C | 22.0 | -8.2 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology
${ }^{a}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{c}$ Difference between 2025 Projected Vehicle Delay (Table 7) versus 2025 Projected Vehicle Delay with Revised Signal Timing (Table 9)

TABLE 10
TURN LANE STORAGE \& VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -
2025 PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC (WITH THE PROJECT) - REVISED SIGNAL TIMING


Note: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile quetues were calculated in SimTraffic 11 software

Based on these results, the City of Knoxville is recommended to slightly modify the traffic signal timing to reduce the vehicle delays for the northbound approach on Lonas Drive for the existing and projected conditions. As shown in Table 10, this signal timing modification also decreased the projected vehicle queues for the eastbound exiting movements at the Secondary Entrance.

Furthermore, due to the projected vehicle queues on the Middlebrook Pike westbound left-turn lane at the signalized intersection calculated to extend past the provided lane
storage, even without the project being constructed, TDOT and the City should consider extending the storage an additional 65 feet minimum, for a total storage length of 175 feet. Also, in the future, with continued overall traffic growth, TDOT and the City may need to consider adding an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and a second northbound left-turn lane on Lonas Drive due to existing and projected high left and right-turn vehicular volumes.

However, some drawbacks of providing an eastbound right-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike include costs and lack of vehicle storage availability. The expenses would include relocating underground utilities, including an existing fire hydrant, relocating the strain pole for the traffic signal at the intersection, and relocating a large pole for overhead electric power transmission. This overhead electric pole supports the powerlines that cross Lonas Drive and Middlebrook Pike. Adding a turn lane would also impact the location of the existing KAT bus stop. The distance between the Proposed Main Entrance exiting lane and Lonas Drive will be approximately 100 feet, which would provide minimal vehicle storage. The updated proposed site plan by Ardurra for the Shell Food Mart indicates that the site property could absorb a new eastbound right-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike but would require a modification of the proposed internal sidewalk from the existing Greenway to the building. Adding a turn lane on Middlebrook Pike would most likely also require modification of the Proposed Main Entrance's exiting lane. Adding an eastbound right-turn lane on Middlebrook Pike should not be determinantal to the site's driveway throat lengths or internal circulation.

A summary of the Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive and Private Driveway intersection capacity analysis results is presented in Table 11. This table provides a side-by-side summary and comparison of the intersection for the 2023 existing conditions, projected conditions in 2025 without the project, the projected conditions in 2025 with the project, and the projected conditions in 2025 with the slightly modified signal timing in the PM peak hour.

TABLE 11
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY
MIDDLEBROOK PIKE AT LONAS DRIVE AND PRIVATE DRIVEWAY

| APPROACH/PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT | 2023 EXISTING |  |  | 2025 WITHOUT THE PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH THE PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH THE PROJECT (REVISED TIMING) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $L^{\text {LOS }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | B | 11.4 |  | B | 12.9 |  | B | 13.9 |  | B | 13.4 |  |
| Westbound | A | 4.3 |  | A | 5.0 |  | A | 5.7 |  | A | 5.3 |  |
| Northbound | D | 43.4 |  | D | 43.6 |  | D | 44.2 |  | D | 44.1 |  |
| Southbound | A | 0.0 |  | A | 0.0 |  | A | 0.0 |  | A | 0.0 |  |
| Summary | B | 14.2 | 0.620 | B | 15.4 | 0.660 | B | 16.6 | 0.700 | B | 16.1 | 0.680 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | B | 14.7 |  | B | 15.1 |  | B | 15.4 |  | C | 19.5 |  |
| Westbound | A | 7.9 |  | A | 8.4 |  | A | 8.7 |  | B | 11.2 |  |
| Northbound | F | 86.2 |  | F | 101.2 |  | F | 108.3 |  | D | 52.1 |  |
| Southbound | D | 54.0 |  | D | 54.0 |  | D | 54.0 |  | D | 54.0 |  |
| Summary | C | 24.3 | 0.710 | C | 27.8 | 0.770 | C | 30.2 | 0.810 | C | 22.0 | 0.780 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology
${ }^{a}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c V Volume-to-Capacity Ratio }}$


Middlebrook Pike at the Proposed Main Entrance: The 2025 projected level of service calculations for this intersection resulted in low vehicle delays with the exit operating with RIRO only conditions. Based on the capacity analysis, the intersection will appropriately handle entering and exiting traffic. However, it should be noted that during peak hours, vehicles exiting this entrance will experience queues due to having to wait for gaps in the eastbound Middlebrook Pike streams and queues that form at the traffic signal. During the existing conditions, vehicle queues on the eastbound outside lane at the traffic signal were observed to extend past the proposed location of the Main Entrance and are expected to occur in future conditions.

2a) The 2025 projected eastbound right-turn volumes on Middlebrook Pike entering the Main Entrance are just over the threshold to warrant an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane based on TDOT's guidelines. However, the outside eastbound lane on Middlebrook Pike at the proposed Main Entrance location currently operates as a de facto right-turn lane for vehicles turning south onto Lonas Drive. In the AM peak hour, over 300 vehicles were observed turning right, which caused vehicle queues even when the eastbound approach had a green indication at the traffic signal and not just when there was a red (stop) indication due to the high number of right-turns. In general, a right-turn lane at a signalized intersection should be considered when the right-turn volume and adjacent thru-lane volumes are more than 300 vehicles per hour. Theoretically, the number of right-turning vehicles on Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive during peak hours currently justifies the need for an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane.

The primary purpose of warranting an exclusive right-turn lane is to reduce the potential for rear-end crashes and reduce the time that turning vehicles impacts the flow of thru vehicles. Since the outside eastbound lane on Middlebrook Pike is already operating as a de facto right-turn lane, an exclusive right-turn lane built just for the proposed development's Main Entrance is not justified. Any advantage of providing an exclusive right-turn lane specifically for the proposed development would be negated by the traffic flow blockage and turbulence already occurring from the high number of right-turns onto Lonas Drive.

2b) This entrance intersection will be designed as RIRO only. This entrance is proposed as RIRO due to the existing raised grassed center median on Middlebrook Pike. It is
recommended that the proposed Main Entrance follow TDOT driveway entrance guidelines, and the following should be considered in the design and construction:
i) The entering and exiting lanes should have a width and inner radius to facilitate the largest expected-sized vehicle entering and exiting at Middlebrook Pike, which is expected to be gas delivery trucks, most likely from the gas terminals located a mile west along Middlebrook Pike. The layout and elements of the intersection should follow all TDOT and City of Knoxville standards.
ii) The island separating the entering and exiting movements should be raised concrete with traversable curbs to facilitate large trucks delivering convenience market items and gasoline.
iii) The image below shows a revised site layout for the Main Entrance from what is shown in Figure 3. As shown below, traffic signage with breakaway posts at this intersection should include a Stop Sign (R1-1), a Keep Right Sign (R4-7), a No Left Turn Sign (R3-2), a Do Not Enter Sign (R5-1) and One Way Signs (R6-1R). These signs should be installed facing the appropriate direction. Three reflective raised pavement markers should be located at the island corner radius points - one in the center and 2-foot spacing on either side of the corners. The Stop Sign (R1-1) should be supplemented with a $24^{\prime \prime}$ white stop bar on the exiting lane approaching Middlebrook Pike, a minimum of 4 feet away from the proposed crosswalk for the sidewalk/greenway.

While it was not observed to be heavily traveled, the sidewalk (Middlebrook Greenway) has a high potential for pedestrian and bicyclist conflicts. The eastbound grade on Middlebrook Pike approaching the proposed Main Entrance is downhill, approximately $2 \%$. With this road grade and a posted speed limit of 45 mph , right-turning vehicles into the Main Entrance could turn at a fairly high speed. It would be beneficial to reduce the entering curb radius to slow turning speeds; however, a larger radius ( $40^{\prime}$ ) will be necessary to allow large trucks to enter the development, even with a traversable center island.

It is recommended that the sidewalk at the Main Entrance be installed with pavement markings and other items to reduce the potential turning vehicle conflicts with pedestrians and bicyclists on the Middlebrook Greenway. It is recommended that these pavement markings be installed with thermoplastic materials. The recommended pavement markings in the image include
designated white crosswalks, detectable surfaces, and advance pavement markings on the sidewalk/greenway. The pavement markings on the sidewalk are shown with white yield symbols and wording to include "Path Xing". Alternative sidewalk delineations across the entrance could include green-colored pavement. Details regarding the appropriate and desired treatments to reduce pedestrian and bicycle conflicts should be discussed during the detailed design review with TDOT and the City of Knoxville.


2c) Intersection sight distance for pedestrians and motorists at the Main Entrance at Middlebrook Pike must not be impacted by future landscaping or signage. The sidewalk approaches to the Main Entrance should have clear sight lines to fully allow greenway users to see approaching entering and exiting vehicles.

Based on a posted speed limit of $45-\mathrm{mph}$ on Middlebrook Pike, the required ISD is 430 feet, looking to the west for exiting right-turning vehicles at the Main Entrance. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be 375 feet to the west on Middlebrook Pike. The sight distance is reduced to the west due to vegetation growing from the adjacent cut slope along the south side of Middlebrook Pike. This vegetation must be removed or reduced to allow the maximum sight distance. A licensed land surveyor must measure the currently available sight distance to confirm the visual approximation and define the amount of vegetation removal needed to meet the required sight distance to the west. The site designer must


View of Vegetation Obstruction on the South Side of Middlebrook Pike and West of Proposed Main Entrance Location also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.

2d) The 95th percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for the exiting northbound approach at this intersection for the 2025 projected conditions with the project, and the calculated vehicle queues are reasonable. The northbound exiting lane at Middlebrook Pike will be right-turn-out only. The longest queue in the projected 2025 conditions (with the modified PM signal timing) is calculated to be 59 feet in the AM peak hour and 46 feet in the PM peak hour. These queue lengths translate to around two passenger vehicles at their maximum, assuming a passenger car length of 25 feet.

2e) The City of Knoxville requires specific corner clearance distances between intersecting streets. The proposed Main Entrance will be a private driveway located 190 feet (centerline to centerline) away from Lonas Drive. This distance exceeds the City of Knoxville spacing requirement of 150 feet from Lonas Drive, a collector street.

2f) The construction of the Main Entrance on Middlebrook Pike will require a TDOT Highway Entrance Permit. The developer will need to apply for this permit and coordinate with TDOT regarding their specific requirements for this entrance.

Lonas Drive at the Proposed Secondary Entrance: The 2025 projected level of service calculations for this intersection resulted in low vehicle delays with the exit operating with full turning movements. Based on the capacity analysis, the intersection will appropriately handle entering and exiting traffic. However, it should be noted that during peak hours, vehicles exiting this entrance will experience queues due to waiting for gaps in the northbound Lonas Drive streams and queues that form at the traffic signal to exit to the left towards Middlebrook Pike. During the existing conditions, vehicle queues on the northbound approach at the traffic signal were observed to extend past the proposed location of the Secondary Entrance and are expected in future conditions. Furthermore, vehicle queues during peak periods at this entrance may persuade exiting left-turning motorists to re-route to the Main Entrance.

3a) It is recommended that this entrance be constructed with different-sized radii. It is recommended that the entrance's southern edge radius be 15 feet to reduce the exiting vehicle speeds, thus reducing the potential of cut-thru traffic. On the entrance's northern side, it is recommended that the radius be constructed at 25 feet to help facilitate entering vehicles from the north. Due to the limited spacing between the proposed Secondary Entrance and the intersection of Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive, it is imperative that vehicles from the north not be hindered from entering. Any entering disruption could easily spill back to the signalized intersection operations. If curbs are constructed at this entrance, they should be traversable to allow for potential large trucks to enter and exit.

3b) Sight distances from the proposed Secondary Entrance at Lonas Drive must not be impacted by future landscaping and signage.

The required ISD is 390 feet looking to the south and 170 feet to the north for exiting left and rightturning vehicles at the Secondary Entrance. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be 325 feet to the south on Lonas Drive. The sight distance is reduced to the south due to the
horizontal curvature of Lonas Drive and the vegetation growing on the east side of Lonas Drive. This vegetation must be removed or reduced to allow the maximum sight distance. A licensed land surveyor must measure the currently available sight distance to confirm the visual approximation and define the amount of vegetation removal needed to meet the required sight distance to the south. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.

3c) The 95th percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for the exiting eastbound approach at this intersection for the 2025 projected conditions with the project, and the calculated vehicle queues are reasonable. The eastbound exiting lane at Lonas Drive will allow both left and right turns. The longest queue in the projected 2025 conditions (with the modified PM signal timing) is calculated to be 58 feet in the AM peak hour and 75 feet in the PM peak hour. These queue lengths translate to just under three passenger vehicles in the AM and three in the PM peak hour.

3d) The proposed Secondary Entrance will be a private driveway located 200 feet (centerline to centerline) away from Lonas Drive and 100 feet from the centerline of Kim Watt Drive. This distance exceeds the City of Knoxville spacing requirement of 150 feet from Middlebrook Pike, an arterial street, and 50 feet spacing required from Kim Watt Drive, a local street.

3e) It is not explicitly recommended that the Secondary Entrance not be constructed with dual exiting lanes, allowing separate left and right-turning movements. However, an additional exiting lane would help reduce vehicle queue lengths and delays, particularly for right-turning movements toward the south onto Lonas Drive. If a dual exiting lane is desired, it should be taken under advisement due to the proximity to the signalized intersection and the high-level decision-making required by exiting motorists to find gaps in the oncoming traffic. The horizontal curvature of Lonas Drive at the proposed location could be detrimental, and side-by-side vehicles in dual exiting lanes could restrict each other's sight distance.

Shell Food Mart Internal Drives and Parking Areas: The current site plan shows two entrance driveways constructed for the development with pavement areas to facilitate customers, market, and gasoline deliveries, as shown in Figure 3 and below. (Note: Ardurra has since updated the preliminary plan layout shown in Figure 3 to reflect the proposed minor layout modifications recommendations in this study; however, the preliminary plan is used in this report for illustrative purposes.)

4a) With the high number of existing eastbound right-turns occurring on Middlebrook Pike to Lonas Drive, the potential for cut-thru traffic at this location is very high. Cut-thru traffic would be potentially dangerous due to the nature of gas station/convenience market activities, which include walking customers, vehicles backing out of parking spaces, gas pump maneuvers, and delivery trucks. It is recommended that the site designer include a raised crosswalk that would dissuade motorists from cutting through the development. The most appropriate location for placing a raised crosswalk is shown in the image below. The site design layout has been slightly revised to fit the raised crosswalk at the location. The image below overall shows a revised site layout for the development from what is shown in Figure 3.


The revisions to the site layout included shifting the parking spaces adjacent to the store, the three parking spaces near the underground gasoline storage tanks, and modifying the sidewalk. Typically, a raised crosswalk consists of a 10 -footwide raised pavement section with 6 -foot ramped approaches on each end, and these dimensions were used in the revised layout shown in the image. The raised sidewalk should be at a height to allow the adjacent sidewalks outside the aisleway to be flush. The revised layout includes a sidewalk from the existing KAT bus stop on Middlebrook Pike to the convenience market building. Installing a sidewalk across the property to and from the


KAT Bus Stop on Middlebrook Pike at Lonas Drive - Route 13, building would facilitate pedestrian or bicycle traffic to and from the transit stop and the greenway without forcing these potential customers to cross the parking lot and internal drives, which would be hazardous due to potential vehicle conflicts.

4b) Sidewalks are proposed along the front and adjacent to the convenience market and are recommended to be installed across the property to and from the KAT bus stop and greenway. Sidewalks should have appropriate ADA-compliant ramps at intersection corners, and the internal sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width to meet the City of Knoxville regulations. Sidewalk ramps must include detectable surfaces to meet ADA requirements.

4c) According to the City of Knoxville regulations, bicycle spaces must be provided for this proposed development. The number of spaces required is based on the land use category and the total required motor vehicle parking spaces. With nineteen vehicle parking spaces, four bicycle parking spaces are required for this proposed development. These spaces should be designed according to the regulations listed in Section 11.9 of the City of Knoxville's Zoning Code User's Manual.

4d) The construction of this development with the two entrances, with one restricted to RIRO, may lead to increased illegal U-turns occasionally occurring at this intersection from vehicles heading eastbound and turning back to the west. This movement is
already posted as being illegal. Unfortunately, for this location, increased law enforcement is the only reasonable means of reducing this illegal movement.

4e) All road grade and intersection elements should be designed to AASHTO, TDOT, and City of Knoxville specifications and guidelines to ensure proper transportation operations.

## APPENDIX A

Historical Traffic Count Data

## Historical Traffic Counts

Organization: TDOT
Station ID \#: 47000266
Location: Middlebrook Pike, east of Interstate 640

| YEAR | ADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 15,268 |  |
| 2013 | 15,608 |  |
| 2014 | 14,050 |  |
| 2015 | 16,453 |  |
| 2016 | 17,986 |  |
| 2017 | 18,286 |  |
| 2018 | 14,205 |  |
| 2019 | 16,839 |  |
| 2020 | 15,323 |  |
| 2021 | 13,522 |  |
| 2022 | 14,141 |  |



2012-2022 Growth Rate =
$-7.4 \%$
Average Annual Growth Rate $=-0.8 \%$


## Historical Traffic Counts

Organization: TDOT
Station ID \#: 47000486
Location: Lonas Drive, east of Hollywood Road

| YEAR | ADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 5,107 |  |
| 2013 | 5,337 |  |
| 2014 | 5,698 |  |
| 2015 | 5,378 |  |
| 2016 | 6,284 |  |
| 2017 | 5,876 |  |
| 2018 | 5,613 |  |
| 2019 | 5,801 |  |
| 2020 | 3,820 |  |
| 2021 | 5,958 |  |
| 2022 | 6,628 |  |



2012-2022 Growth Rate = 29.8\%

Average Annual Growth Rate $=2.6 \%$


APPENDIX B

WALK Score

## WALKSCORE

(from walkscore.com)





| Walk Score |  | Transit Score | Bike Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transit Score measures how well a location is served by public transit based on the distance and type of nearby transit lines. |  |  |  |
| 90-100 |  | ctransportation |  |
| 70-89 |  | ent for most trips |  |
| 50-69 | Good | lic transportation |  |
| 25-49 | Som <br> A fe | lic transportation |  |
| 0-24 |  | on a bus |  |



| Walk Score | Transit Score | Bike Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bike Score measures whether an area is good for biking based on bike |  |  |
| lanes and trails, hills, road connectivity, and destinations. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9 0 - 1 0 0}$ | Biker's Paradise <br> Daily errands can be accomplished on a bike <br> Very Bikeable <br> Biking is convenient for most trips <br> Bikeable <br> 50-89 |  |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 4 9}$ | Some bike infrastructure <br> Somewhat Bikeable <br> Minimal bike infrastructure |  |

## Travel Time Map

Explore how far you can travel by car, bus, bike and foot from 3709 Lonas Drive.



## APPENDIX C

Knoxville Area Transit Map and Information



Route 13 - Beaumont: Weekdays
Going from the Health Department

| Health <br> Department <br> Dameron | Beaumont <br> @ Reed | Cherokee <br> Health | Public <br> Works <br> Complex | Middlebrook <br> @ 3rd Creek | State <br> Office <br> Bldg. | Cherokee <br> Health | Beaumont <br> @ Reed | Health <br> Department <br> Dameron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $9: 25 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 32 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 39 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 52 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 59 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 03 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 07 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| $10: 25 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 32 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 39 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 52 \mathrm{AM}$ | $10: 59 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 03 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 07 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| $11: 25 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 32 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 39 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 52 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 59 \mathrm{AM}$ | $12: 03 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 07 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| $12: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 32 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 39 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 52 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 59 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 03 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 07 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| $1: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 32 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 39 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 52 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 59 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 03 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 07 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| $2: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 32 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 39 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 52 \mathrm{PM}$ | $2: 59 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 03 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 07 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| $3: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 32 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 39 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 52 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 59 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 03 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 07 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| $4: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 32 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 39 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4: 52 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

Zoning MAP


## APPENDIX E

## Manual Traffic Count Data

## TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Major Street: Middlebrook Pike (EB and WB)
8/24/2023 (Thursday)
Minor Street: Lonas Drive (NB) / Private Driveway (SB)
Traffic Control: Traffic Signal

|  | Private Driveway |  |  | Middlebrook Pike |  |  | Lonas Drive |  |  | Middlebrook Pike |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME <br> BEGIN | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | VEHICLE TOTAL | PEAK <br> HOUR |
|  | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 121 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 86 | 28 | 287 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 153 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 112 | 50 | 412 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 116 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 157 | 55 | 454 | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 146 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 210 | 83 | 546 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 122 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 163 | 99 | 495 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 135 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 155 | 82 | 486 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 127 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 135 | 77 | 427 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 123 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 111 | 80 | 390 |  |
| TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 1 | 244 | 1043 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 271 | 2 | 1129 | 554 | 3497 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 129 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 91 | 28 | 331 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 119 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 118 | 41 | 362 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 155 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 104 | 36 | 383 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 166 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 113 | 35 | 442 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 173 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 131 | 31 | 445 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 140 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 115 | 46 | 423 |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 148 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 130 | 43 | 441 |  |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 202 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 133 | 46 | 500 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 159 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 166 | 58 | 499 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 178 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 136 | 46 | 479 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 213 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 150 | 61 | 536 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 211 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 153 | 50 | 553 | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 245 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 182 | 47 | 656 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 246 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 170 | 46 | 621 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 222 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 156 | 57 | 585 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 151 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 157 | 49 | 477 |  |
| TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 1 | 649 | 2857 | 1 | 833 | 0 | 459 | 7 | 2205 | 720 | 7733 |  |

2023 AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

|  | Private Driveway |  |  | Middlebrook Pike |  |  | Lonas Drive |  |  | Middlebrook Pike |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 116 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 157 | 55 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 146 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 210 | 83 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 122 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 163 | 99 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 135 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 155 | 82 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 152 | 519 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 170 | 1 | 685 | 319 |
| PHF | - | - | 0.25 | 0.81 | 0.89 | - | 0.82 | - | 0.77 | 0.25 | 0.82 | 0.81 |
| TRUCK \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.3\% |

2023 PM Peak Hour
4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

|  | Private Driveway |  |  | Middlebrook Pike |  |  | Lonas Drive |  |  | Middlebrook Pike |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 211 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 153 | 50 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 245 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 182 | 47 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 246 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 170 | 46 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 222 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 156 | 57 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 924 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 661 | 200 |
| PHF | - | - | - | 0.77 | 0.94 | - | 0.94 | - | 0.88 | - | 0.91 | 0.88 |
| TRUCK \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% |




* Includes 1 U-Turn Movement


Major Street: Lonas Drive (SB and NB)
Minor Street: Kim Watt Drive (EB)
Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Kim Watt Drive

8/24/2023 (Thursday)
Mostly Sunny and Hot / Brief Afternoon Shower Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

|  | Lonas Drive |  | Lonas Drive |  | Kim Watt Drive |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME BEGIN | SOUTHBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  | VEHICLE TOTAL | PEAK HOUR |
|  | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | LT | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 37 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 8 | 1 | 81 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 65 | 4 | 2 | 61 | 17 | 0 | 149 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 80 | 5 | 0 | 71 | 25 | 0 | 181 | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| 7:45 AM | 108 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 17 | 0 | 190 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 139 | 3 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 3 | 212 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 123 | 6 | 0 | 55 | 11 | 0 | 195 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 110 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 164 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 98 | 6 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 156 |  |
| TOTAL | 760 | 39 | 2 | 412 | 111 | 4 | 1328 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 49 | 6 | 1 | 46 | 8 | 0 | 110 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 58 | 6 | 2 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 126 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 56 | 7 | 1 | 53 | 8 | 2 | 127 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 67 | 7 | 1 | 82 | 5 | 1 | 163 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 65 | 9 | 2 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 143 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 78 | 8 | 0 | 78 | 3 | 2 | 169 |  |
| 3:30 PM | 66 | 8 | 0 | 81 | 8 | 0 | 163 |  |
| 3:45 PM | 92 | 7 | 1 | 59 | 7 | 2 | 168 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 83 | 12 | 0 | 71 | 7 | 0 | 173 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 74 | 18 | 0 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 163 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 95 | 11 | 2 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 175 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 72 | 20 | 1 | 84 | 13 | 1 | 191 | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| 5:00 PM | 88 | 23 | 0 | 111 | 7 | 0 | 229 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 72 | 18 | 0 | 99 | 16 | 0 | 205 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 83 | 20 | 1 | 98 | 6 | 0 | 208 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 77 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 10 | 1 | 170 |  |
| TOTAL | 1175 | 195 | 12 | 1169 | 123 | 9 | 2683 |  |

2023 AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

|  | Lonas Drive |  | Lonas Drive |  | Kim Watt Drive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  |
|  | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | LT | RT |
| 7:30 AM | 80 | 5 | 0 | 71 | 25 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 108 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 17 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 139 | 3 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 3 |
| 8:15 AM | 123 | 6 | 0 | 55 | 11 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| PHF | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |


|  | Lonas Drive |  | Lonas Drive |  | Kim Watt Drive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  |
| BEGIN | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | LT | RT |
| 4:45 PM | 72 | 20 | 1 | 84 | 13 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 88 | 23 | 0 | 111 | 7 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 72 | 18 | 0 | 99 | 16 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 83 | 20 | 1 | 98 | 6 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| PHF | $\mathbf{0 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |

Major Street: Lonas Drive (SB and NB)
Minor Street: South College Entrance (WB)
Traffic Control: Stop Control on South College Entrance

8/24/2023 (Thursday)
Mostly Sunny and Hot / Brief Afternoon Shower Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

|  | Lonas Drive |  | South College Entrance |  | Lonas Drive |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME BEGIN | SOUTHBOUND |  | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | VEHICLE TOTAL | PEAK HOUR |
|  | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 3 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 73 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 64 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 2 | 128 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 9 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 155 | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| 7:45 AM | 8 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 4 | 170 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 22 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 18 | 208 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 25 | 98 | 0 | 4 | 51 | 15 | 193 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 22 | 88 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 7 | 155 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 12 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 10 | 155 |  |
| TOTAL | 102 | 662 | 0 | 8 | 404 | 61 | 1237 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 49 | 3 | 4 | 42 | 2 | 100 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 1 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 53 | 3 | 119 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 3 | 55 | 2 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 113 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 1 | 67 | 4 | 5 | 77 | 3 | 157 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 1 | 64 | 2 | 3 | 56 | 0 | 126 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 2 | 78 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 2 | 162 |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 66 | 0 | 4 | 77 | 3 | 150 |  |
| 3:45 PM | 3 | 91 | 5 | 4 | 55 | 4 | 162 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 82 | 4 | 4 | 67 | 1 | 159 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 71 | 3 | 3 | 63 | 2 | 145 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 54 | 2 | 158 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 73 | 3 | 6 | 78 | 1 | 161 | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 88 | 8 | 8 | 103 | 1 | 208 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 69 | 2 | 2 | 97 | 0 | 173 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 81 | 5 | 6 | 92 | 1 | 187 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 78 | 3 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 148 |  |
| TOTAL | 22 | 1162 | 50 | 61 | 1108 | 25 | 2428 |  |

2023 AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

|  | Lonas Drive |  | South College Entrance |  | Lonas Drive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |
| 7:30 AM | 9 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 4 |
| 7:45 AM | 8 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 4 |
| 8:00 AM | 22 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 18 |
| 8:15 AM | 25 | 98 | 0 | 4 | 51 | 15 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |
| PHF | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ |


|  | Lonas Drive |  | South College Entrance |  | Lonas Drive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |
| $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 73 | 3 | 6 | 78 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 88 | 8 | 8 | 103 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 69 | 2 | 2 | 97 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 81 | 5 | 6 | 92 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| PHF | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |

## APPENDIX F

## Vehicle Occupancy Data and ITE Time of Day Distribution Data

## 6. Vehicle Information

This chapter presents general vehicle characteristics, including occupancy levels, vehicle age, and popular vehicle makes and models.

### 6.1 Vehicle Occupancy

As presented in Table 6.1, the average vehicle occupancy for personal vehicles per trip was 1.70 persons. Of all trip purposes, trips to grade school had the highest vehicle occupancy, with two and a half persons per vehicle (2.50). Trips to college/university locations had the lowest average vehicle occupancy, with 1.02 persons per vehicle.

Table 6.2 shows a similar pattern. Home-based school trips had the highest average vehicle occupancy, while home-based work trips had the lowest.

Table 6.1: Average Vehicle Occupancy by Trip Purpose (Travel To)

| Travel To: | Occupancy |
| :--- | :--- |
| School - grade | 2.50 |
| Pick up/drop off at work | 2.29 |
| Church activities | 2.26 |
| Pick up/drop off at school | 2.22 |
| Change mode of transportation | 2.11 |
| Pick up/drop off at other place | 2.10 |
| Loop trip | 2.00 |
| Social/recreational | 1.99 |
| Eat meals outside of home | 1.90 |
| Civic activities | 1.78 |
| Medic al/dental | 1.76 |
| Shopping - major | 1.70 |
| Personal activities at home | 1.69 |
| Shopping - incidental | 1.62 |
| Personal business | 1.51 |
| Volunteer | 1.41 |
| Work (other than at home) | 1.13 |
| Work at home | 1.11 |
| School - university | 1.02 |
|  | Overall |
|  | .70 |

Base: 11,522 vehicle trips.

| Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips by Land Use |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition |  |  |  |
| Land Use Code |  | 550 |  |
| Land Use |  | ersity/Co |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 1 |  |  |
|  | \% of 24-Hour Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| Time | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| 12:00-1:00 AM | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| 1:00-2:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2:00-3:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 3:00-4:00 AM | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| 4:00-5:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 5:00-6:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 6:00-7:00 AM | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| 7:00-8:00 AM | 6.9\% | 12.8\% | 0.9\% |
| 8:00-9:00 AM | 4.8\% | 7.3\% | 2.3\% |
| 9:00-10:00 AM | 9.1\% | 11.8\% | 6.3\% |
| 10:00-11:00 AM | 13.7\% | 16.4\% | 11.0\% |
| 11:00-12:00 PM | 7.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.8\% |
| 12:00-1:00 PM | 14.0\% | 11.0\% | 17.2\% |
| 1:00-2:00 PM | 9.2\% | 8.3\% | 10.1\% |
| 2:00-3:00 PM | 6.1\% | 3.9\% | 8.4\% |
| 3:00-4:00 PM | 8.1\% | 5.3\% | 11.0\% |
| 4:00-5:00 PM | 3.6\% | 3.1\% | 4.0\% |
| 5:00-6:00 PM | 4.8\% | 4.9\% | 4.7\% |
| 6:00-7:00 PM | 5.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.1\% |
| 7:00-8:00 PM | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% |
| 8:00-9:00 PM | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% |
| 9:00-10:00 PM | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% |
| 10:00-11:00 PM | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 11:00-12:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| $12: 00-1: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12: 15-1: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |
| $12: 30-1: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |
| $12: 45-1: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| $1: 00-2: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |
| $1: 15-2: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |
| $1: 30-2: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| $1: 45-2: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| $2: 00-3: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |


| 2:15-3:15 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:30-3:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 2:45-3:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 3:00-4:00 AM | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| 3:15-4:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 3:30-4:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 3:45-4:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 4:00-5:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 4:15-5:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 4:30-5:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 4:45-5:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 5:00-6:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 5:15-6:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 5:30-6:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 5:45-6:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 6:00-7:00 AM | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| 6:15-7:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 6:30-7:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 6:45-7:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00 AM | 6.9\% | 12.8\% | 0.9\% |
| 7:15-8:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 7:30-8:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 7:45-8:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 8:00-9:00 AM | 4.8\% | 7.3\% | 2.3\% |
| 8:15-9:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 8:30-9:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 8:45-9:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 9:00-10:00 AM | 9.1\% | 11.8\% | 6.3\% |
| 9:15-10:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 9:30-10:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 9:45-10:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 10:00-11:00 AM | 13.7\% | 16.4\% | 11.0\% |
| 10:15-11:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 10:30-11:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 10:45-11:45 AM |  |  |  |
| 11:00-12:00 PM | 7.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.8\% |
| 11:15-12:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 11:30-12:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 11:45-12:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 12:00-1:00 PM | 14.0\% | 11.0\% | 17.2\% |
| 12:15-1:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 12:30-1:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 12:45-1:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 1:00-2:00 PM | 9.2\% | 8.3\% | 10.1\% |
| 1:15-2:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 1:30-2:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 1:45-2:45 PM |  |  |  |


| 2:00-3:00 PM | 6.1\% | 3.9\% | 8.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:15-3:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 2:30-3:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 2:45-3:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 3:00-4:00 PM | 8.1\% | 5.3\% | 11.0\% |
| 3:15-4:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 3:30-4:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 3:45-4:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 4:00-5:00 PM | 3.6\% | 3.1\% | 4.0\% |
| 4:15-5:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 4:30-5:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 4:45-5:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 5:00-6:00 PM | 4.8\% | 4.9\% | 4.7\% |
| 5:15-6:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 5:30-6:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 5:45-6:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 6:00-7:00 PM | 5.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.1\% |
| 6:15-7:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 6:30-7:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 6:45-7:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00 PM | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% |
| 7:15-8:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 7:30-8:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 7:45-8:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 8:00-9:00 PM | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% |
| 8:15-9:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 8:30-9:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 8:45-9:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 9:00-10:00 PM | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% |
| 9:15-10:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 9:30-10:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 9:45-10:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 10:00-11:00 PM | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 10:15-11:15 PM |  |  |  |
| 10:30-11:30 PM |  |  |  |
| 10:45-11:45 PM |  |  |  |
| 11:00-12:00 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 11:15-12:15 AM |  |  |  |
| 11:30-12:30 AM |  |  |  |
| 11:45-12:45 AM |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G

## Capacity Analyses - HCM Worksheets (Synchro 11)

## Existing Conditions



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Lonas Drive/Private Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike


Analysis Period (min)
15
c Critical Lane Group



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Lonas Drive/Private Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike

c Critical Lane Group


## Projected Conditions (Without the Project)

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Lonas Drive/Private Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike


C Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Lonas Drive/Private Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike


Analysis Period (min)
15
C Critical Lane Group

## Projected Conditions (With the Project)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

c Critical Lane Group




Analysis Period (min)
15
C Critical Lane Group



Projected Conditions (With the Project) - Revised Signal Timing


C Critical Lane Group

## APPENDIX H

## City of Knoxville Traffic Signal Information

Intersection Name : Middlebrook Pike \& Lonas Drive


## Middlebrook Pk \& Lonas

Additional 3-Sec Added For EB Approach


## APPENDIX I

## ITE Trip Generation Rates and Pass-By Trip Rates

# Land Use: 945 Convenience Store/Gas Station 

## Description

A convenience store/gas station is a facility with a co-located convenience store and gas station. The convenience store sells grocery and other everyday items that a person may need or want as a matter of convenience. The gas station sells automotive fuels such as gasoline and diesel.

A convenience store/gas station is typically located along a major thoroughfare to optimize motorist convenience. Extended hours of operation (with many open 24 hours, 7 days a week) are common at these facilities.

The convenience store product mix typically includes pre-packaged grocery items, beverages, dairy products, snack foods, confectionary, tobacco products, over-the-counter drugs, and toiletries. A convenience store may sell alcohol, often limited to beer and wine. Coffee and premade sandwiches are also commonly sold at a convenience store. Made-to-order food orders are sometimes offered. Some stores offer limited seating.

The sites in this land use include both self-pump and attendant-pumped fueling positions and both pre-pay and post-pay operations.

Convenience store (Land Use 851), gasoline/service station (Land Use 944), and truck stop (Land Use 950) are related uses.

## Land Use Subcategory

Multiple subcategories were added to this land use to allow for multi-variable evaluation of sites with single-variable data plots. All study sites are assigned to one of three subcategories, based on the number of vehicle fueling positions (VFP) at the site: between 2 and 8 VFP , between 9 and 15 VFP, and between 16 and 24 VFP. For each VFP range subcategory, data plots are presented with GFA as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both GFA and VFP (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of Trip Generation Manual.

Further, the study sites were also assigned to one of three other subcategories, based on the gross floor area (GFA) of the convenience store at the site: between 2,000 and 4,000 square feet, between 4,000 and 5,500 square feet, and between 5,500 and 10,000 square feet. For each GFA subcategory range, data plots are presented with VFP as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both VFP and GFA (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of Trip Generation Manual.

When analyzing the convenience store/gas station land use with each combination of GFA and VFP values as described above, the two sets of data plots will produce two estimates of sitegenerated trips. Both values can be considered when determining a site trip generation estimate.

Data plots are also provided for three additional independent variables: AM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, PM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, and employees. These independent variables are intended to be analyzed as single independent variables and do not have subcategories associated with them. Within the data plots and within the ITETripGen web app, these plots are found under the land use subcategory "none."

## Additional Data

ITE recognizes there are existing convenience store/gas station sites throughout North America that are larger than the sites presented in the data plots. However, the ITE database does not include any site with more than 24 VFP or any site with gross floor area greater than 10,000 square feet. Submission of trip generation data for larger sites is encouraged.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

## Source Numbers

$221,245,274,288,300,340,350,351,352,355,359,385,440,617,718,810,813,844,850,853$, $864,865,867,869,882,883,888,904,926,927,936,938,954,960,962,977,1004,1024,1025$, 1027, 1052

# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions <br> On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 5
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257.13 | $193.00-324.17$ | 57.53 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Small Sample Size


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 18
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 13
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.04 | $7.78-44.38$ | 9.88 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 23
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.76 | $9.78-37.50$ | 8.49 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Vehicle Pass-By Rates by Land Use

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition

| Land Use Code | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Convenience Store/Gas Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday AM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 16 Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | 28 Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
| Average Pass-By Rate | 60\% for Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | $76 \%$ for Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pass-By Characteristics for Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VFP | State or <br> Province | Survey Year | \# Interviews | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pass-By } \\ & \text { Trip (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Non-Pass-By Trips |  |  | Adj Street Peak Hour Volume | Source |
| GFA (000) |  |  |  |  |  | Primary (\%) | Diverted (\%) | Total (\%) |  |  |
| 2 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 46 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 2235 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 26 | 58 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 26 | 58 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.2 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 31 | 47 | 34 | 19 | 53 | 1785 | 25 |
| 2.2 | < 8 | Indiana | 1993 | 79 | 56 | 6 | 38 | 44 | 635 | 2 |
| 2.2 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 35 | 78 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 7080 | 25 |
| 2.3 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 37 | 32 | 41 | 27 | 68 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.3 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 58 | 64 | 5 | 31 | 36 | 1255 | 2 |
| 2.3 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 37 | 32 | 41 | 27 | 68 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.4 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 48 | 17 | 35 | 52 | 1210 | 2 |
| 2.6 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 72 | 15 | 13 | 28 | 940 | 2 |
| 2.8 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 54 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 1240 | 2 |
| 3 | < 8 | Indiana | 1993 | 62 | 74 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 790 | 2 |
| 3.6 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 49 | 67 | 4 | 29 | 33 | 1985 | 2 |
| 3.7 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 49 | 66 | 16 | 18 | 34 | 990 | 2 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 72 | - | - | 28 | 2440 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 78 | - | - | 22 | 1561 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 79 | - | - | 21 | 2764 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 55 | - | - | 45 | 1398 | 30 |
| 5.06 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 3219 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 74 | - | - | 26 | 1160 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 71 | - | - | 29 | 548 | 30 |
| 5.488 | 12 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 80 | - | - | 20 | - | 30 |


| 5.5 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 85 | - | - | 15 | 2975 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.2 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 47 | 62 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 1705 | 2 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 90 | - | - | 10 | 2278 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 74 | - | - | 26 | 2185 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 58 | - | - | 42 | 962 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 2956 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 79 | - | - | 21 | 1859 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 20 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 3864 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 68 | - | - | 32 | 2106 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 85 | - | - | 15 | 2676 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 75 | - | - | 25 | 3244 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 71 | - | - | 29 | 1663 | 30 |
| 4.993 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 75 | - | - | 25 | 1991 | 30 |
| 5.094 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 86 | - | - | 14 | 1260 | 30 |
| 5.5 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 82 | - | - | 18 | 1570 | 30 |
| 5.543 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 1933 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 77 | - | - | 23 | 2262 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 68 | - | - | 32 | 2854 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 58 | - | - | 42 | 1253 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 79 | - | - | 21 | 1928 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | --- | 84 | --- | --- | 16 | 1953 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Pass-By Rates by Land Use

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition

| Land Use Code | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Convenience Store/Gas Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday PM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 12 Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | 28 Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
| Average Pass-By Rate | 56\% for Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | $75 \%$ for Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pass-By Characteristics for Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VFP | State or <br> Province | Survey Year | \# Interviews | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass-By } \\ & \text { Trip (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Non-Pass-By Trips |  |  | Adj Street Peak Hour Volume | Source |
| GFA (000) |  |  |  |  |  | Primary (\%) | Diverted (\%) | Total (\%) |  |  |
| 2.1 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 31 | 52 | 13 | 35 | 48 | 1785 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 30 | 53 | 20 | 27 | 47 | 1060 | 25 |
| 2.2 | < 8 | Indiana | 1993 | 115 | 48 | 16 | 36 | 52 | 820 | 2 |
| 2.3 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 67 | 57 | 16 | 27 | 43 | 1954 | 2 |
| 2.3 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 55 | 40 | 11 | 49 | 60 | 2760 | 25 |
| 2.4 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 58 | 13 | 29 | 42 | 2655 | 2 |
| 2.6 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 68 | 67 | 15 | 18 | 33 | 950 | 2 |
| 2.8 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 62 | 11 | 27 | 38 | 2875 | 2 |
| 3 | < 8 | Indiana | 1993 | 80 | 65 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 1165 | 2 |
| 3.6 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 60 | 56 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 2505 | 2 |
| 3.7 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 70 | 61 | 16 | 23 | 39 | 2175 | 2 |
| 4.2 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 61 | 58 | 26 | 16 | 42 | 2300 | 2 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 78 | - | - | 22 | 3549 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 67 | - | - | 33 | 2272 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 66 | - | - | 34 | 3514 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 71 | - | - | 29 | 2350 | 30 |
| 5.06 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 91 | - | - | 9 | 4181 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 70 | - | - | 30 | 2445 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 56 | - | - | 44 | 950 | 30 |
| 5.488 | 12 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 73 | - | - | 27 | - | 30 |
| 5.5 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 4025 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 89 | - | - | 11 | 2755 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 73 | - | - | 27 | 1858 | 30 |


| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 59 | - | - | 41 | 1344 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 72 | - | - | 28 | 3434 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 81 | - | - | 19 | 1734 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 20 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 76 | - | - | 24 | 1616 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 67 | - | - | 33 | 2.954 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 78 | - | - | 22 | 3086 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 83 | - | - | 17 | 4143 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 73 | - | - | 27 | 2534 | 30 |
| 4.993 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 72 | - | - | 28 | 2917 | 30 |
| 5.094 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 86 | - | - | 14 | 1730 | 30 |
| 5.5 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 90 | - | - | 10 | 2616 | 30 |
| 5.543 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 87 | - | - | 13 | 2363 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 81 | - | - | 19 | 2770 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 76 | - | - | 24 | 3362 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 61 | - | - | 39 | 1713 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 86 | - | - | 14 | 1721 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | --- | 81 | --- | --- | 19 | 2227 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX J

Trip Distribution and Assignment Spreadsheets

## 2023 AM PEAK HOUR - Peak Hour Volumes

(from traffic count)


## 2023 PM PEAK HOUR - Peak Hour Volumes

(from traffic count)


## 2025 AM PEAK HOUR - Peak Hour Volumes



## 2025 PM PEAK HOUR - Peak Hour Volumes













## APPENDIX K



Figure 3-18: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Two-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control) ${ }^{24}$


Figure 3-19: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Four-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control) ${ }^{25}$

[^3]

Figure 3-18: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Two-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control) ${ }^{24}$


Figure 3-19: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Four-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control) ${ }^{25}$

[^4]TABLE 4B
RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Y'es Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { RIGHT-TURN } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | $400 \cdot 419$ | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550.600 | $+1>600$ |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\}$ Lon | at | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $2025$ | $\mathbf{A M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | Right |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | K, | yew | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-509 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a lefl-turn lane exists.

TABLE 4B
RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Y'es <br> Yes | y'es <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & Y_{e s} \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | $400 \cdot 449$ | 450-499 | 500-549 | $550-600$ | $+1>600$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | at | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 200-249 \\ 250-299 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Yes | $202$ | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \mathrm{Yes} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | Right | NOT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Curas |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-509 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a lefl-turn lane exists.


## APPENDIX L

## SimTraffic Vehicle Queue Worksheets

Intersection: 1: Lonas Drive/Private Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | T | TR | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 244 | 253 | 154 | 145 | 94 | 201 | 221 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 119 | 111 | 66 | 46 | 30 | 97 | 112 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 208 | 210 | 118 | 104 | 74 | 168 | 188 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1024 | 1024 |  | 1297 | 1297 | 202 | 202 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Lonas Drive/Private Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | T | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 216 | 194 | 159 | 184 | 146 | 284 | 194 | 9 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 115 | 88 | 75 | 78 | 62 | 246 | 97 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 189 | 167 | 130 | 139 | 119 | 334 | 165 | 9 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1024 | 1024 |  | 1297 | 1297 | 202 | 202 | 157 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 111 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Lonas Drive/Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | T | TR | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 215 | 218 | 158 | 150 | 85 | 174 | 177 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 121 | 121 | 74 | 49 | 27 | 102 | 113 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 199 | 209 | 131 | 115 | 68 | 168 | 179 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 139 | 139 |  | 1300 | 1300 | 112 | 112 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 10 | 12 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 23 | 26 |  |  |  | 18 | 22 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Lonas Drive \& Secondary Entrance

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 112 | 82 | 46 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 50 | 27 | 3 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 90 | 66 | 25 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 111 | 307 | 307 | 112 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Main Entrance \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 64 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 5 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 35 | 59 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 803 | 803 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 100 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Lonas Drive/Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | T | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 222 | 214 | 178 | 200 | 167 | 192 | 179 | 18 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 143 | 135 | 91 | 86 | 67 | 176 | 105 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 220 | 216 | 158 | 166 | 135 | 198 | 178 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 139 | 139 |  | 1300 | 1300 | 112 | 112 | 159 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 | 11 |  |  |  | 76 | 12 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 34 | 48 |  |  |  | 188 | 30 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 34 | 3 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Lonas Drive \& Secondary Entrance

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 125 | 320 | 298 | 122 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 102 | 233 | 102 | 64 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 142 | 400 | 338 | 155 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 111 | 307 | 307 | 112 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 87 | 35 | 8 | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Main Entrance \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 98 | 98 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 10 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 64 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 803 | 803 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Lonas Drive/Driveway \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | T | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 222 | 211 | 165 | 225 | 190 | 191 | 175 | 25 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 152 | 131 | 82 | 105 | 88 | 158 | 96 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 227 | 208 | 139 | 184 | 164 | 207 | 165 | 11 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 139 | 139 |  | 1300 | 1300 | 112 | 112 | 159 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 10 | 6 |  |  |  | 35 | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 44 | 28 |  |  |  | 87 | 19 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 19 | 10 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Lonas Drive \& Secondary Entrance

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 117 | 186 | 45 | 115 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 52 | 57 | 2 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 99 | 135 | 21 | 84 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 111 | 307 | 307 | 112 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 |  |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 7 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Main Entrance \& Middlebrook Pike

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 72 | 40 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 3 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 38 | 21 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 803 | 803 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX M

## Response Letter to Address Comments

October 23, 2023

PROJECT NAME: Shell Food Mart (11-B-23-U)
TO: Knoxville-Knox County Planning
SUBJECT: Response Document for Shell Food Mart TIS Review Comments
Knoxville-Knox County Planning, City of Knoxville Engineering, and TDOT:
The following response document addresses the comments in an email from Mike Conger, PE, dated October 19, 2023. This letter is added to the end of the revised report in Appendix M.

1. The site engineer needs to address the sight distance requirements to determine whether or not they can all be achieved and what specific areas must be cleared and if that can be maintained moving forward. If not achievable then see the additional TDOT comments copied below regarding removal of a right turn egress from the site. This comment does not affect the TIA unless determined that right turn egress is not permitted to Middlebrook Pk and that traffic would have to be accounted for at the other study intersections.

Response: The site engineer's land surveyor has measured the available sight distance at the proposed entrance locations on Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive. According to the land surveyor, the required sight distance is achievable. The site engineer and land surveyor will identify any vegetation that needs to be removed and/or maintained to provide the required sight distance. Since the required sight distance has been deemed achievable, no modifications to the TIS have been made.
2. Pages 62 and 63 include multiple references to "westbound" right turns, which likely should have been noted as eastbound instead. Please review and revise as necessary.

Response: This correction has been made on Pages 62 and 63.
3. Please provide all study-area existing roadway lane width and right-of-way width (if known) measurements in the Existing Conditions section.

Response: A sentence has been added in the last paragraph on Page 8 to describe the lane widths and the ROW on Middlebrook Pike. A sentence has been added in the second to last paragraph on Page 10 to describe the lane widths and the ROW on Lonas Drive.
4. Regarding the potential need for an eastbound right turn lane on Middlebrook Pk at Lonas Dr - the reviewing agencies believe that this can be left as a situation to monitor moving forward without requiring any immediate mitigation other than to ensure that the site plan is designed in such a way to accommodate a future potential right turn lane, i.e. the driveway throats and other site circulation features would still function adequately if a right turn lane was constructed. Please discuss this in the TIA as to any potential issues that the additional width on Middlebrook Pk from a new right turn lane might have in terms of impact to the access and on-site circulation and address accordingly.

Response: A discussion regarding this turn lane has been added on Page 60.

## TDOT Comments:

- If 430' of intersection sight distance cannot be obtained at the entrance to SR-169, Middlebrook Pike, then TDOT will not allow right turns out of this driveway and it will be restricted to a right-in only
- If they can show that by clearing vegetation, they can obtain the necessary sight distance, an exit onto SR-169 may be considered. They would also need to ensure their driveway still meets our corner clearance requirements from the ROW line along Lonas which would be a minimum of 150 ' so simply shifting the driveway closer to Lonas may not be an option.

Response: As stated previously, the site engineer's land surveyor has measured the available sight distance at the proposed entrance locations on Middlebrook Pike and Lonas Drive. According to the land surveyor, the required sight distance is achievable. The site engineer and land surveyor will identify any vegetation that needs to be removed and/or maintained to provide the required sight distance. Since the required sight distance has been deemed achievable, no modifications to the TIS have been made.

- (Info Only) While the westbound left turn lane from SR-169 to Lonas is shorter than we would like, there is not much room to lengthen it with the bridge to I-75/I-640. From a quick review of the crashes at the intersection, there were none directly related to this turn lane (primarily side swipe or rear end on WB SR-169). This may be a situation that we need to continue to monitor and if conditions change, then we can look at a larger project to modify the bridge and lengthen the turn lane.

Response: This comment by TDOT is noted and understood. No changes to the TIS have been made based on this comment.

- (Info Only) For the discussed right turn lane onto Lonas Drive, his projections show 205 right turns in the PM peak hour and 335 right turns in the AM peak hour. TDOT's HSAM currently recommends a right turn lane at a signalized intersection with 300 right turns per hour and with the adjacent through lane carrying at least 300 vehicles per hour. I recommend this be another item that TDOT and the City monitors for future growth.

Response: This comment by TDOT is noted and understood. No changes to the TIS have been made based on this comment.

In addition to the revisions listed above, other changes in the report include the following:

- Updated Title Page
- Updated Table of Contents
- Updated Page Footers
- On Page 21, added additional information about the updated site plan and noted its inclusion in Appendix M
- Added Appendix M to include this response letter

If you have any questions or further comments, please get in touch with me. I look forward to your approval.

Sincerely,
Ajax Engineering, LLC Robert W. Jacks, P.E.



Ajax Engineering, LLC
11812 Black Road
Knoxville, TN 37932
ajaxengineering@gmail.com
© 2023 Ajax Engineering, LLC


[^0]:    Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio }}$

    * $=$ No Reportable Volumes

[^1]:    Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c V Volume-to-Capacity Ratio }}$

[^2]:    Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio }}$

[^3]:    ${ }^{24}$ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)
    ${ }^{25}$ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)

[^4]:    ${ }^{24}$ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)
    ${ }^{25}$ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)

