E-Z STOP FOOD MART

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

SCHAAD ROAD KNOX COUNTY, TN

CCI PROJECT NO. 01634-0000

REV 2



12-D-21-UR TIS Version 3 12/1/2021 PREPARED FOR: Calloway-Hunt Real Estate P.O. Box 6618 Maryville, TN 37802

SUBMITTED BY

Cannon & Cannon, Inc. 8550 Kingston Pike Knoxville, TN 37919 865.670.8555

> REVISED DECEMBER 1 **2021**

E-Z STOP FOOD MART

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

SCHAAD ROAD KNOX COUNTY, TN

CCI PROJECT NO. 01634-0000



REVISION 2 (12/01/21)

This report replaces the previous version of the traffic impact study dated 11/22/2021 prepared for this project in its entirety. The associated changes are related to an increase in building size to approximately 6,500 square feet (from 6,200 square feet).

PREPARED FOR:

Calloway-Hunt Real Estate P.O. Box 6618 Maryville, TN 37802

.....

SUBMITTED BY Cannon & Cannon, Inc. 8550 Kingston Pike Knoxville, TN 37919 865.670.8555

.....

REVISED DECEMBER 1 **2021**

-

SECTION I	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
SECTION 2	INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY	2
SECTION 3	EXISTING CONDITIONS	5
SECTION 4	BACKGROUND CONDITIONS	11
SECTION 5	FUTURE CONDITIONS	15
SECTION 6	EVALUATIONS	25
SECTION 7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	38
SECTION 8	APPENDICES	40



TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES

FIGURE 1	LOCATION MAP	2
FIGURE 2	CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN	3
FIGURE 3	EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS	6
FIGURE 4	2021 EXISTING RAW TRAFFIC VOLUMES	8
FIGURE 4A	2021 EXISTING FACTORED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	9
FIGURE 5	2024 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES	12
FIGURE 5A	2024 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION	13
FIGURE 6	TRIP ASSIGNMENT	18
FIGURE 6A	TRIP ASSIGNMENT WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION	19
FIGURE 7	2024 COMBINED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	21
FIGURE 7A	2024 COMBINED TRAFFIC VOLUMES WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION	22

TABLES

TABLE 1	ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY	7
TABLE 2	EXISTING CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY	10
TABLE 3	BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY	14
TABLE 3A	BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY	14
	(WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION)	
TABLE 4	TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY	16
TABLE 5	FUTURE CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY	24
TABLE 5A	FUTURE CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY	24
	(WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION)	
TABLE 6-6D	CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARIES	27-35
TABLE 7-7D	95 th PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARIES	29-35



-

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	TRAFFIC DATA
APPENDIX B	TRIP GENERATION & INFORMATION
APPENDIX C	TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT FIGURES
APPENDIX D	CAPACITY ANALYSES
APPENDIX E	TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS
APPENDIX F	SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS
APPENDIX G	SITE CIRCULATION EXHIBITS
APPENDIX H	TIS COMMENT RESPONSE MEMO



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for a proposed E-Z Stop Foot Mart development to be located in northwest Knox County, Tennessee. The proposed project site is on Schaad Road in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. The conceptual development plan for this project proposes a convenience market with approximately 6,500 square feet of floor area and 16 gas pumps. The development will be built in one phase with anticipated completion in the year 2022.

The purpose of this study was the evaluation of the traffic operational and safety impacts of the proposed development upon roadways in the vicinity of the site. Appropriate intersection evaluations were conducted at the study intersections for existing and future conditions, both with and without traffic volumes generated from the proposed development, in order to determine the anticipated impacts and to establish recommended measures to mitigate these impacts. These evaluations consisted of intersection capacity analyses, turn lane warrant evaluations, traffic signal warrant evaluations, and sight distance assessments.

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated from the proposed development will have significant impacts on the existing intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, while the combination of the proposed development with the extension of Schaad Road will create extreme impacts to side street delays at this intersection. Both the development and the extension of Schaad Road will have only minimal impacts to the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road.

Based on the above conclusions, the following is a list of recommendations to mitigate traffic impacts resulting from increased traffic with the proposed development:

- 1. Install a traffic signal at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. The traffic signal will likely be warranted and would provide benefit with the extension of Schaad Road, regardless of whether the proposed development is built or not.
- 2. Install an exclusive left turn lane on the southbound Johnson Road approach of the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. This mitigation strategy would widen this approach to include a total of three lanes: one shared through / right turn lane, one exclusive left turn lane, and one departure lane. The left turn lane should have a minimum storage of 100'. If widened symmetrically to both sides of the roadway, the left turn lane should include a 50' bay taper and a 65' approach taper.
- 3. Install a right turn lane on Schaad Road for the development's eastern right-in / right-out driveway. The right turn lane should have a storage length of 150' and a taper length of 145'.
- 4. Maintain intersection corner sight distances at the site access points and the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road by ensuring that site grading, landscaping, signing, and other features do not restrict lines of sight.
- 5. Conduct follow-up traffic counts after the completion of the Schaad Road extension project and/or upon completion of the development to verify this traffic impact study's assumptions.



INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for a proposed E-Z Stop Foot Mart development to be located in northwest Knox County, Tennessee. The proposed project site is on Schaad Road in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. FIGURE 1 is a location map identifying the major roadways in the project vicinity.



FIGURE 1 LOCATION MAP

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The conceptual development plan for this project proposes a convenience market with approximately 6,500 square feet of floor area and 16 gas pumps. The development will be built in one phase with anticipated completion in the year 2022.

Access to the development will be provided via two right-in / right-out driveways on Schaad Road and one full access driveway on Johnson Road. The Schaad Road driveways will be located approximately 160 feet and 330 feet from the intersection with Johnson Road, while the full access on Johnson Road will be located approximately 100 feet from Schaad Road. FIGURE 2 is a Conceptual Site Plan which illustrates the proposed site configuration and adjacent roadways.



INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY | SECTION 2



FIGURE 2 CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN



STUDY AREA

The project study area consists of the existing intersections of Schaad Road at Johnson Road and Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road. These study intersections were selected in consultation with Knox County Engineering & Public Works and Knoxville-Knox County Planning and were identified as intersections that have potential to be significantly impacted by traffic generated by the proposed development. In addition to these existing intersections, the proposed site access driveways with Schaad Road and Johnson Road were also evaluated.

The purpose of this study was the evaluation of the traffic operational and safety impacts of the proposed development upon roadways in the vicinity of the site. Appropriate intersection evaluations were conducted at the study intersections for existing and future conditions, both with and without traffic volumes generated from the proposed development, in order to determine the anticipated impacts and to establish recommended measures to mitigate these impacts. These evaluations consisted of intersection capacity analyses, turn lane warrant evaluations, traffic signal warrant evaluations, and sight distance assessments.



EXISTING CONDITIONS

EXISTING ROADWAY CONDITIONS

<u>Schaad Road</u> is located to the immediate south of the project site and is classified as a Minor Arterial per the Knoxville-Knox County Major Road Plan. Schaad Road connects Amherst Elementary School and several residential subdivisions on the west end to Oak Ridge Highway to the east. Schaad Road continues east to Clinton Highway, where it changes names to Callahan Drive and continues past Interstate 75. Schaad Road currently dead ends just west of Amherst Elementary School; however, construction is underway to extend Schaad Road further west to Middlebrook Pike. Within the study limits, Schaad Road is a four-lane divided roadway that contains two travel lanes in each direction with a raised grass median. Curb, gutter, and sidewalk are provided on both sides of the roadway. The posted speed limit on Schaad Road is 45 mph.

<u>Johnson Road</u> is located to the immediate west of the project site and is considered a Local Street. Johnson Road connects Ball Road on its north end to Ball Camp Pike on its south end. North of Schaad Road and adjacent to the project site, Johnson Road is an undivided roadway with one travel lane in each direction and no curb, gutter, or sidewalks. The posted speed on this section of Johnson Road is 25 mph. South of Schaad Road to Ball Camp Pike, Johnson Road is an undivided three-lane roadway with one travel lane in each direction and a center two-way left-turn lane. This section of Johnson Road has curb and gutter but no sidewalk, and it has a posted speed limit of 30 mph.

<u>Ball Camp Pike</u> in the vicinity of the development is an undivided two-lane roadway, with one travel lane in each direction, and is classified as a Major Collector. It has a posted speed limit of 40 mph and contains no curb, gutter, or sidewalk. Ball Camp Pike connects Middlebrook Pike to the west with Schaad Road on its east end.

The existing intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road is a four-legged intersection with side street stop control on both Johnson Road approaches. The eastbound and westbound approaches on Schaad Road both include one exclusive left turn lane, one exclusive through lane, and one shared through / right turn lane. The northbound Johnson Road approach consists of an exclusive left turn lane and a shared through / right turn lane, while the southbound approach contains a single lane for all movements.

The existing intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road is a three-legged intersection with side street stop control on the Johnson Road southbound approach. The eastbound and westbound approaches on Ball Camp Pike both include single lanes for all movements. The southbound Johnson Road approach consists of two approach lanes with one being an exclusive left turn lane and the other being an exclusive right turn lane.



EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

The project site consists of approximately 2 acres located in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. A single-family residence currently occupies the site. The project site is relatively flat and grassy and contains a few trees. FIGURE 3 provides an aerial photograph of the existing site conditions.



FIGURE 3 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) collects annual average daily traffic (AADT) data on roadways in the study area. Two count stations were identified on study roadways in the vicinity of the project site which are likely to have particular relevance for this study. The last five years of traffic data from these two counts are summarized in TABLE 1.



COUNT YEAR	STATION 47000574 Schaad road west of SR-62	STATION 47000578 Ball Camp Pike West of Bakertown Road
2020	2,360	6,524
2019	2,298	6,421
2018	1,370	5,326
2017	1,433	6,262
2016	2,316	6,200

TABLE 1: ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

In addition to the available TDOT AADT data, traffic data was collected specifically for this study. Intersection turning movement counts (TMC) were conducted at the existing study intersections to determine the current peak hour operating volumes. The traffic counts were conducted during the hours of 7am – 9am and 4pm – 6pm on a typical weekday during August 2021 when Knox County Schools were in session.

During the time of traffic data collection, regional traffic volumes and patterns were recovering from COVID-19 pandemic restrictions including telecommuting or working from home practices. Due to this, the collected intersection turning movement count data was increased by 20% to address reductions in typical travel volumes due to the ongoing pandemic. The collected 2021 peak hour volumes for the morning (AM) and evening (PM) peak hours are summarized in FIGURE 4, while the peak hour volumes with the 20% Covid factor applied are contained in FIGURE 4A. Complete AADT and TMC data are located in APPENDIX A.



EXISTING CONDITIONS | SECTION 3



FIGURE 4 2021 EXISTING RAW TRAFFIC VOLUMES



EXISTING CONDITIONS | SECTION 3



FIGURE 4A 2021 EXISTING FACTORED TRAFFIC VOLUMES



EXISTING CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

Capacity analyses for this project were conducted for the study intersections utilizing the Version 7 of the *Highway Capacity Software* (HCS), which uses methodology from the *Highway Capacity Manual*. These evaluations were conducted for the AM and PM peak hours under existing intersection geometry and traffic control and utilizing the factored peak hour volumes contained in FIGURE 4A. A description of the fundamentals of these procedures is contained in APPENDIX D in the section titled "Capacity and Level-of-Service Concepts".

The results of these analyses for the existing traffic conditions were that all major street left turn movements and side street approaches are operating at level-of-service (LOS) "C" or better during all peak traffic periods with the exception of the northbound approach at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, which operates at LOS "F" with an average vehicle delay of approximately 50 seconds during the AM peak. Further, the southbound left turn movement at the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road experiences LOS "F" during both peak traffic periods, although this movement has very little traffic volume. TABLE 2 provides a summary of the capacity analyses results for existing conditions, and more detailed information is contained on the capacity software output summaries in APPENDIX D.

ID	INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC Control	MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
1	Schaad at	Side Street	EBL WBL	A 8.2 A 9.0	A 7.4 A 7.5
T	1 Johnson	Stop	NB SB	F 50.2 C 16.6	B 12.4 B 11.8
2	Ball Camp at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL SB	B 10.3 C 22.2	B 10.1 B 12.2

TABLE 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY





BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH

As stated previously, the proposed development is anticipated to be constructed in one general phase with completion in year 2022. Additionally, an extension of Schaad Road is being constructed to connect the roadway with Middlebrook Pike, and construction for the extension is anticipated to be completed in 2024. The extension of Schaad Road is expected to significantly affect the traffic volumes at the study intersections. Therefore, year 2024 was established as the appropriate design / analysis year for the study.

In order to determine traffic volumes resulting solely from background traffic growth to year 2024, it was necessary to establish an annual growth rate for existing traffic. The TDOT ADT values previously discussed, as well as knowledge of the area, were used to determine an appropriate annual growth rate. Based on the available data, a background annual growth rate of two and a half percent (2.5%) was established. FIGURE 5 summarizes the background traffic volumes that would result from a 2.5% annual growth rate from year 2021, when the counts were conducted, to the analysis year of 2024. The background traffic volumes shown on this figure represent background traffic conditions, which is without traffic related to the proposed development.

In addition to establishing traffic volumes resulting from background growth, this study also considered traffic that would be redistributed to Schaad Road once construction of the extension project is complete. Consistent with a traffic impact study that was previously prepared by Ajax Engineering for a proposed residential development in the area, and per travel demand data provided by Knoxville-Knox County Planning, it was assumed that through movements on Schaad Road would have an average daily traffic (ADT) volume of 14,000, a K-value of 12%, and a 65% directional distribution. The K-value was applied to both AM and PM peak hour traffic, and the 65% directional distribution was applied to westbound traffic in the AM peak and eastbound traffic in the PM peak. Intersection peak hour turning movement volumes destined to and from Ball Camp Pike were reduced by a factor of 0.8 with the anticipation that some traffic currently utilizing Ball Camp Pike would redistribute to Schaad Road. Finally, traffic on the west leg of Schaad Road going to and from the north leg of Johnson Road were increased by a factor of 1.2. FIGURE 5A summarizes anticipated background traffic volumes after the opening of the Schaad Road extension.



BACKGROUND CONDITIONS | SECTION 4



FIGURE 5 2024 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES





BACKGROUND CONDITIONS | SECTION 4



FIGURE 5A 2024 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION



BACKGROUND CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

As mentioned in the EXISTING CONDITIONS section of this report, capacity analyses for this project were conducted for the study intersections utilizing HCS. These evaluations were conducted for the AM and PM peak hours under existing intersection geometry and traffic control with the background volumes shown in FIGURES 5 and 5A, which includes evaluations both without and with completion of the Schaad Road extension.

The results of these analyses for the background traffic conditions were similar to evaluations of existing conditions, with LOS and delay slightly worsening for each approach and/or movement due to increased background traffic. The most significant delay increase was for the northbound approach at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, where the AM peak vehicle delay is expected to worsen from approximately 50 seconds (LOS F) to approximately 80 seconds (LOS F).

Once the Schaad Road extension is constructed, the Johnson Road approaches at the intersection with Schaad Road are expected to experience extreme delay due to the increase through traffic on Schaad Road. In fact, the southbound delay during the PM peak could not be computed by HCS. The delay at the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road is expected to decrease due to reduced through volumes on Ball Camp Pike.

TABLEA 3 and 3A provides a summary of the capacity analyses results for background conditions without and with the Schaad Road extension, respectively. More detailed information is contained on the capacity software output summaries in APPENDIX D.

ID	INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC Control	MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
1	Schaad at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL WBL NB SB	A 8.3 A 9.2 F 81.8 C 18.3	A 7.4 A 7.5 B 13.1 B 12.1
2	Ball Camp at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL SB	B 10.9 D 27.0	B 10.6 B 12.8

TABLE 3: BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY

TABLE 3A: BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY(WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION)

ID	INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC Control	MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
1	Schaad at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL WBL NB SB	C 18.7 B 11.4 F 2108.7 F 190.0	A 9.3 B 13.4 F 171.7 F <i>error</i>
2	Ball Camp at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL SB	A 9.6 C 17.2	A 9.4 B 11.4



FUTURE CONDITIONS

SITE CHARACTERISTICS

As previously stated, the conceptual development plan for this project proposes a convenience market with approximately 6,500 square feet of floor area and 16 gas pumps. Approximately 2,000 square feet of the building will be a food service area that will include a kitchen / food preparation area, cooler and freezer, sales counter, and a small seating area with 5 tables. The remainder of the building area will consist of public restrooms, equipment, storage area, and convenience market shelves. A drive-up window will be provided with the food service area, which will be located on the west side of the building facing Johnson Road.

The property for this site is a corner lot with 454 feet of frontage on Schaad Road and 242 feet of frontage on Johnson Road. Three driveways are proposed to access this site; two right-in / right-out driveways on Schaad Road and one full access driveway on Johnson Road. The eastern driveway on Schaad Road will serve as the main ingress for the site with traffic on Schaad Road. This driveway will capture the majority of auto traffic and allows for drive-through / delivery traffic to circulate the exterior of the building to avoid pedestrian conflicts between fueling pumps and the convenience store. The western driveway will serve as the main egress for the site, and it will also serve as ingress for fuel truck maneuvering to the refueling tanks. The proposed egress for the fuel trucks is the driveway on Johnson Road. Both driveways on Schaad Road are needed in order to accommodate fuel truck maneuvering and to encourage separation of passenger auto and drive-through traffic from truck refueling operations as well as to minimize pedestrian-vehicular conflicts within the site of delivery trucks and auto drive-through users. Site circulation exhibits for delivery trucks, fuel trucks, and general auto drive-through traffic are shown in APPENDIX G.

TRIP GENERATION

In order to estimate the expected traffic volumes to be generated by the proposed development, the procedures of the *Trip Generation Manual, Tenth Edition* (Institute of Transportation Engineers – ITE) were utilized. The generated trips were based on weekday morning and evening peak hours of adjacent street traffic trip generation rates. The Trip Generation Manual's land use code (LUC) 960, Super Convenience Market / Gas Station was utilized to estimate development traffic. This LUC was chosen based on its characteristic descriptions of the gross floor area of the convenience market having at least 3,000 square feet and the development containing at least 10 fueling positions (gas pumps). Even though this development proposes a food service are with a drive-up window, the characteristics of the food area meet that of the ITE LUC description for a Super Convenience Market / Gas Station, which states "Some commonly sold convenience items include newspapers, freshly brewed coffee, daily-made donuts, bakery items, hot and cold beverages, breakfast items, dairy items, fresh fruits, soups, light meals, ready-to-go and freshly made sandwiches and wraps, and ready-to-go salads." It is not expected that the food area will generate trips similar to a fast-food restaurant; therefore, a restaurant portion of the development was not separated out for trip generation purposes. Due to the low R^2 value (less than 0.75) with these trip generation rates, the average rate was used to calculated trips instead of the regression equation.



Per guidance from a Knoxville-Knox County memorandum regarding pass-by rates for several land uses, a 65% pass-by rate was applied to the trip generation. Pass-by trips are traffic volumes that are currently on the roadway and enter/exit the development as they "pass by" on their way to another destination. This memorandum, along with additional trip generation information from the *Trip Generation Manual* is contained in APPENDIX B. TABLE 4 below summarizes the trip generation results of the development.

LAND USE	ITE Code	SIZE	PASS-BY Rate	WEEKDAY TRIPS	AM PEAK Hour trips	PM PEAK Hour trips
Super Convenience Market / Gas Sta.	ITE	6 5 9 9		5,444	540	450
Entering	Code	6,500 SF	65%	2,722	270	225
Exiting	960	51		2,722	270	225
TOTAL TRIPS				5,444	540	450
Entering				2,722	270	225
Exiting				2,722	270	225
Pass-by Trips				3,539	351	293
Entering				1,769	176	146
Exiting				1,769	176	146
Non-Pass-by Trips				1,905	189	157
Entering				953	94	79
Exiting				953	94	79

TABLE 4: TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY



TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

Proposed trip distribution for this development was determined through a review of existing travel patterns, local knowledge of the study area, proposed site location in relation to the surrounding roadway network, and engineering judgment. Although fueling trucks will utilize the Schaad Road western driveway as ingress, and some passenger vehicles may also use this driveway as ingress, the eastern driveway is intended as the main ingress driveway. Because the expected number of ingress trips to the western driveway are very minor, it was assumed that no vehicles will enter at this driveway for simplicity of trip distribution purposes.

Trip distribution was developed for both scenarios of the development before completion of the Schaad Road extension, and for the scenario of the development after completion of the extension. Detailed trip distribution and trip assignment figures for both scenarios are located in APPENDIX C. The figures are separated by entering and exiting trips and non-pass-by and pass-by trips for each scenario. The trip distribution figures show what percent of generated trips are distributed to the site access points and surrounding roadway. The trip assignment values are calculated by multiplying the trip distribution percent by the generated trip values show in TABLE 4. FIGURES 6 and 6A summarize total peak hour generated trips without and with completion of the Schaad Road extension, respectively.





FUTURE CONDITIONS | SECTION 5



FIGURE 6 TRIP ASSIGNMENT





FUTURE CONDITIONS | SECTION 5



FIGURE 6A TRIP ASSIGNMENT WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION



FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Future projected traffic volumes were developed by adding the trip assignments shown in FIGURES 6 and 6A to the 2024 background traffic volumes developed in the previous section (FIGURES 5 and 5A). Specifically, the following scenarios were developed:

- 2024 Combined Traffic Volumes (FIGURE 7):
 - o 2024 Background Traffic Volumes (FIGURE 5), plus
 - o Trip Assignment (FIGURE 6)
- 2024 Combined Traffic Volumes with Schaad Extension (FIGURE 7A):
 - o 2024 Background Traffic Volumes with Schaad Extension (FIGURE 5A), plus
 - Trip Assignment with Schaad Extension (FIGURE 6A)

The combined year volumes reflect the existing traffic, the background traffic growth, and the anticipated newly generated traffic from the proposed development. These combined volumes shown on FIGURES 7 (without Schaad extension) and 7A (with Schaad extension) are the volumes used in the analysis of future conditions.



FUTURE CONDITIONS | SECTION 5



FIGURE 7 2024 COMBINED TRAFFIC VOLUMES



FUTURE CONDITIONS | SECTION 5



FIGURE 7A 2024 COMBINED TRAFFIC VOLUMES WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION





FUTURE CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

As mentioned in the EXISTING CONDITIONS section of this report, capacity analyses for this project were conducted for the existing study intersections of Schaad Road at Johnson Road and Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road utilizing HCS. These analyses were performed with existing geometry and traffic control in order to develop a baseline for any recommended mitigation measures. Additionally, capacity analyses were conducted for the right-in / right-out development access driveways on Schaad Road as well as the full access driveway on Johnson Road. Analyses for the development driveways were performed based on anticipated geometry and traffic control from the conceptual site plan as well as with any anticipated major street turn lanes per the turn lane warrant evaluations. The turn lane warrants are discussed in the EVALUATIONS section of this report.

The results of these analyses for the combined traffic conditions were that the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road and each of the site driveways will operate at acceptable LOS during both peak periods. However, without mitigation measures the side street approaches at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road will operate at very poor LOS with extreme delays. This is especially true once the Schaad Road extension is complete, as the side street delays are expected to be so long that HCS provided an "error" message and could not compute the value.

TABLES 5 and 5A provide a summary of the capacity analyses results for combined conditions without and with the Schaad Road extension, respectively. More detailed information is contained on the capacity software output summaries in APPENDIX D.



ID	INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC Control	MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
1	Schaad at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL WBL NB SB	A 8.5 B 10.4 F 263.6 F 317.3	A 7.5 A 7.9 C 23.5 E 42.0
2	Ball Camp at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL SB	B 11.2 D 32.4	B 10.8 C 16.8
3	Schaad at Eastern RIRO	Side Street Stop	SBR	A 9.2	A 8.7
4	Schaad at Western RIRO	Side Street Stop	SBR	B 10.2	A 9.0
5	Johnson at Full Access	Side Street Stop	WB SBL	B 15.3 A 8.0	B 12.2 A 7.9

TABLE 5: FUTURE CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY

TABLE 5A: FUTURE CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY (WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION)

ID	INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC Control	MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
1	Schaad at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL WBL NB SB	D 29.0 C 15.6 F <i>error</i> F <i>error</i>	B 10.2 E 41.7 F <i>error</i> F <i>error</i>
2	Ball Camp at Johnson	Side Street Stop	EBL SB	A 9.7 C 18.3	A 9.4 B 13.0
3	Schaad at Eastern RIRO	Side Street Stop	SBR	C 15.7	B 10.9
4	Schaad at Western RIRO	Side Street Stop	SBR	D 33.9	B 12.2
5	Johnson at Full Access	Side Street Stop	WB SBL	B 13.3 A 7.9	B 11.2 A 7.8



EVALUATIONS

INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES AND TURN LANE EVALUATIONS

Intersection capacity analyses and turn lane warrant evaluations were performed for the study intersections as appropriate. The capacity analyses employed the procedures of the *Highway Capacity Manual* as contained in the *Highway Capacity Software* (HCS7). A description of the fundamentals of these procedures is contained in the APPENDIX D section titled "Capacity and Level-of-Service Concepts." Additionally, *Synchro 10* software was utilized in cases where signalized control was analyzed as a mitigation strategy.

The above evaluations were performed for the study intersections for full build-out development conditions, both without and with the Schaad Road extension. Background conditions assuming no project site development were evaluated, also without and with the Schaad Road extension, and can be used for purposes of comparison to assess the impacts attributable to the development generated traffic. The results of these analyses for the existing, background and combined future traffic conditions are presented and discussed by individual intersection in the subsections below. Capacity analyses summaries are presented for each intersection in these individual subsections, which are accompanied by tables showing LOS and queuing results. More detailed information is contained on the capacity software output summaries contained in APPENDIX D.

Potential mitigation measures were identified at intersections experiencing poor LOS. These mitigation strategies, where applicable, are described for each intersection in their respective subsections. The accompanying LOS and queue length tables show comparisons between the intersection under existing geometry and traffic control to these mitigation strategies in order to provide a quantitative measure of effectiveness of the mitigation.

Turn lane warrant evaluations were performed under combined conditions scenarios, both without and with the Schaad Road extension, to determine if left turn or right turn lanes are warranted at any of the study intersections. Where warranted, the turn lane was included to the capacity analyses inputs. The methods employed for the turn lane warrant evaluations were those developed by M.D. Harmelink, as provided by in a series of tables from the Knox County publication, "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy."

Turn lane warrant evaluations included assessments for a westbound right turn lane at the existing intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, an eastbound left turn lane and a westbound right turn lane at the existing intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road, and right turn lanes entering the site at the eastern right-in / right-out driveway on Schaad Road and the full access driveway on Johnson Road. Additionally, southbound left turn lane warrants were evaluated at the proposed intersection of Johnson Road at the site's full access driveway. Since the existing intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road already has an eastbound left turn lane, and the site's access driveways on Schaad Road do not allow for left turning ingress movements, left turn lane evaluations were not performed at these intersections.



Per the turn lane warrant thresholds, a westbound right turn lane at the site's eastern right-in / rightout driveway on Schaad Road is warranted. The turn lane should have a storage of 150 feet and a taper of 145 feet per the guidelines from a Knoxville-Knox County Planning memo to Traffic Impact Study Reviewers and Preparers, dated March 10, 1997.

Eastbound left turn lane warrants were met at the existing intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road for combined AM and PM conditions, both without and with the Schaad Road extension. Eastbound left turn lane warrants were also met at this intersection with existing traffic in both the AM and PM peak hours. A westbound right turn lane was found to be warranted with combined conditions without the Schaad Road extension; however, the turn lane was no longer warranted after traffic reductions due to the extension being built. Left and right turn lanes were not found to be warranted at any other of the study intersections. The turn lane evaluation worksheets are contained in APPENDIX E.

Intersection #1: Schaad Road at Johnson Road

Capacity analyses show that, under existing geometry and traffic control, the side street approaches begin to experience extreme delays once either the Schaad Road extension is complete or upon full build-out of the development. The extreme delays are further exacerbated once both of these scenarios are in place.

Mitigation strategies analyzed at this intersection included the addition of an exclusive southbound left turn lane, the installation of a traffic signal, and the combination of the above strategies. With signal control, protected-permissive left turn phasing for Schaad Road and permissive-only left turn phasing for Johnson Road was assumed. Various side street phasing strategies were analyzed, but only the indicated phasing results are discussed in this report as they provided the best operation for the intersection.

The analyses indicate that the addition of an exclusive southbound left turn lane alone would do very little to mitigate the extreme delays that are expected. The installation of a traffic signal, however, appears to provide significant benefit to reducing vehicle delay at the intersection with both the extension of Schaad Road and the full build-out of the development. The addition of the southbound left turn lane along with the installation of a traffic signal provides more benefit of reduced vehicle delay, and this combination strategy also provides significant queue length reduction benefit to the southbound approach.

TABLE 6 on the following two pages shows a summary of delay and LOS results for all scenarios analyzed at this intersection. TABLE 7 summarizes the results of the 95th percentile queue lengths for the same scenarios. More detailed information this and all other intersections is contained on the capacity software output summaries in APPENDIX D.



TABLE 6: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARYSCHAAD ROAD AT JOHNSON ROAD

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2021 Existing	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	A 8.2 A 9.0 F 50.2 C 16.6	A 7.4 A 7.5 B 12.4 B 11.8
2024 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	A 8.3 A 9.2 F 81.8 C 18.3	A 7.4 A 7.5 B 13.1 B 12.1
2024 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	C 18.7 B 11.4 F 2108.7 F 190.0	A 9.3 B 13.4 F 171.7 F <i>error</i>
2024 Background	With Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	C 18.7 B 11.4 F 2108.7 F 139.4	A 9.3 B 13.4 F 171.7 F <i>error</i>
2024 Background	With Traffic Signal	With Schaad Extension	EB WB NB SB Overall	B 11.7 C 26.5 D 43.7 B 15.9 C 22.7	C 20.6 A 8.5 C 22.7 C 21.4 B 17.2
2024 Background	With Traffic Signal and Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EB WB NB SB Overall	B 11.7 C 26.5 D 44.7 B 15.7 C 22.8	B 19.7 A 7.7 C 25.7 B 18.8 B 16.9
2024 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	A 8.5 B 10.4 F 263.6 F 317.3	A 7.5 A 7.9 C 23.5 E 42.0
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	A 8.5 B 10.4 F 263.6 F 64.5	A 7.5 A 7.9 C 23.5 D 30.7
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal	Without Schaad Extension	EB WB NB SB Overall	B 12.7 B 16.8 C 22.8 B 19.1 B 17.1	B 11.5 B 11.7 B 19.3 F 81.2 C 28.6
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal and Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	Without Schaad Extension	EB WB NB SB Overall	B 11.2 B 14.9 C 25.3 B 13.2 B 15.9	B 11.4 B 11.4 C 23.0 E 63.9 C 27.2



-

TABLE 6 (CONT.): CAP	ACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY
SCHAAD ROAD	AT JOHNSON ROAD

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	D 29.0 C 15.6 F <i>error</i> F <i>error</i>	B 10.2 E 41.7 F <i>error</i> F <i>error</i>
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NB SB	D 29.0 C 15.6 F <i>error</i> F <i>error</i>	B 10.2 E 41.7 F <i>error</i> F <i>error</i>
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal	With Schaad Extension	EB WB NB SB Overall	C 21.9 C 37.3 E 67.5 E 57.5 D 36.8	C 29.0 C 21.4 B 15.8 E 62.2 C 26.9
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal and Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EB WB NB SB Overall	C 21.3 C 25.7 E 69.2 C 29.4 C 29.0	C 24.3 B 13.7 C 20.5 D 53.1 C 22.3



	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2021 Existing	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SB	5' 3' 208' 30' 35'	0' 5' 5' 65' 8'
2024 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SB	5' 3' 288' 35' 43'	0' 5' 58' 8'
2024 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SB	23, 5' 683' 185' 235'	0' 13' 23' 445' <i>error</i>
2024 Background	With Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SBL SBT/R	23' 5' 683' 185' 10' 198'	0' 13' 23' 445' <i>error</i> 30'
2024 Background	With Traffic Signal	With Schaad Extension	EBL EBT/R WBL WBT/R NBL NBT/R SB	19' 156' 10' 285' 137' 34' 41'	6' 283' 20' 126' 24' 141' 32'
2024 Background	With Traffic Signal and Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL EBT/R WBL WBT/R NBL NBT/R SBL SBT/R	19' 156' 10' 285' 143' 34' 10' 39'	5' 263' 17' 115' 24' 142' 10' 27'
2024 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SB	10' 13' 463' 193' 493'	3' 8' 5' 168' 118'
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	Without Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SBL SBT/R	10' 13' 463' 193' 123' 125'	3' 8' 5' 168' 78' 10'

TABLE 7: 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARYSCHAAD ROAD AT JOHNSON ROAD



	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal	Without Schaad Extension	EBL EBT/R WBL WBT/R NBL NBT/R SB	38' 60' 34' 66' 92' 65' 81'	17' 13' 54' 21' 17' 188' 166'
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal and Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	Without Schaad Extension	EBL EBT/R WBL/R NBL NBT/R SBL SBT/R	32' 53' 28' 58' 93' 67' 37' 41'	17' 13' 54' 21' 17' 188' 119' 30'
2024 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SB	90' 18' <i>error</i> 45' <i>error</i>	15' 73' <i>error</i> 1278' <i>error</i>
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL WBL NBL NBT/R SBL SBT/R	90' 18' <i>error</i> 45' 75' <i>error</i>	15' 73' <i>error</i> 1278' <i>error</i> 160'
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal	With Schaad Extension	EBL EBT/R WBL WBT/R NBL NBT/R SB	93' 156' 23' 342' 164' 75' 155'	56' 344' 46' 198' 19' 141' 178'
2024 Combined	With Traffic Signal and Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL EBT/R WBL WBT/R NBL WBT/R SBL SBT/R	94' 133' 18' 279' 154' 71' 74' 59'	32' 277' 130' 17' 134' 110' 35'

TABLE 7 (CONT.):95th percentile queue summarySCHAAD ROAD AT JOHNSON ROAD



Intersection #2: Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road

Capacity analyses indicate that this intersection will operate and LOS "D" or better for all approaches during all scenarios analyzed with existing geometry and traffic control. Once the Schaad Road extension is complete, it is expected that traffic volumes at this intersection will reduce. This reduction should result in decreased intersection delay, as shown in TABLE 6A where the LOS with the Schaad Road extension is "C" or better for all approaches. The intersection was also analyzed with potential mitigation, which included installation of an eastbound left turn lane. A westbound right turn lane was not included in the mitigation analysis since it was shown to no longer be warranted upon completion of the Schaad Road extension.

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2021 Existing	Existing Geometry &	Without Schaad	EBL	B 10.3	B 10.1
	Traffic Control	Extension	SB	C 22.2	B 12.2
2024 Background	Existing Geometry &	Without Schaad	EBL	B 10.9	B 10.6
2024 Background	Traffic Control	Extension	SB	D 27.0	B 12.8
	Existing Geometry &	-	EBL	A 9.6	A 9.4
	Traffic Control		SB	C 17.2	B 11.4
	Existing Geometry &	Without Schaad Extension	EBL	B 11.2	B 10.8
2024 Combined	Traffic Control		SB	D 32.4	C 16.8
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Eastbound Left Turn	Without	EBL	B 11.2	B 10.8
2024 Combined	Lane	Schaad Extension	SB	D 31.2	C 15.5
	Existing Geometry &	With Schaad Extension	EBL	A 9.7	A 9.4
	Traffic Control		SB	C 18.3	B 13.0
With Exclusive 2024 Combined Eastbound Left Tur Lane		- With Schaad	EBL	A 9.7	A 9.4
			SB	C 18.1	B 12.7

TABLE 6A: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY BALL CAMP PIKE AT JOHNSON ROAD


	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2021 Existing	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad	EB SBL	28' 15'	45' 0'
2024 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Extension Without Schaad Extension	EB SBL SBR	70' 33' 20' 93'	20' 53' 0' 23'
2024 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	EB SBL SBR	20' 8' 45'	33' 0' 15'
2024 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	EB SBL SBR	38′ 33′ 115′	58′ 13′ 28′
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Eastbound Left Turn Lane	Without Schaad Extension	EBL SBL SBR	38' 30' 115'	58' 8' 28'
2024 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	EB SBL SBR	23' 13' 50'	35' 5' 18'
2024 Combined	With Exclusive Eastbound Left Turn Lane	With Schaad Extension	EBL SBL SBR	23' 13' 50'	35' 5' 18'

TABLE 7A:95th PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARYBALL CAMP PIKE AT JOHNSON ROAD





Intersection #3: Schaad Road at Eastern Driveway

This access is proposed as a right-in / right-out; therefore, the southbound right turn movement is the only movement that would experience delay and queuing. It is assumed that the majority of vehicles exiting the development onto Schaad Road would utilize the western driveway, so any delay at this intersection would be experienced by very few vehicles. The capacity analyses show that the intersection is expected to operate at good LOS under combined traffic conditions both without and with the Schaad Road extension.

TABLE 6B: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARYSCHAAD ROAD AT EASTERN DRIVEWAY

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	SBR	A 9.2	A 8.7
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	SBR	C 15.6	B 10.9

TABLE 7B: 95^{TH} PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARY

SCHAAD ROAD AT EASTERN DRIVEWAY

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	SBR	0′	0′
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	SBR	3'	0,





Intersection #4: Schaad Road at Western Driveway

This access is proposed as a right-in / right-out; therefore, the southbound right turn movement is the only movement that would experience delay and queuing. It is assumed that the majority of vehicles exiting the development onto Schaad Road would utilize this western driveway as the main egress movement, while the eastern driveway would serve as the main ingress driveway from Schaad Road. The capacity analyses show that the intersection is expected to operate at good LOS under combined traffic conditions without Schaad Road extension. Once the Schaad Road extension is built and brings more through traffic to this intersection, the southbound movement may experience increased delay during the AM peak period. However, this LOS of "D" is still considered "fair", and the eastern driveway is available for alternate vehicle egress.

TABLE 6C: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY SCHAAD ROAD AT WESTERN DRIVEWAY

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	SBR	B 10.2	A 9.0
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	SBR	D 33.9	B 12.2

TABLE 7C: 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARY SCHAAD ROAD AT WESTERN DRIVEWAY

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	Without Schaad Extension	SBR	18′	8′
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	With Schaad Extension	SBR	113'	18'



Intersection #5: Johnson Road at Full Access Driveway

Capacity analyses indicate that under combined conditions, the intersection is expected to operate at overall LOS "B" or better on the side street for all peak traffic periods, both without and with the Schaad Road extension.

TABLE 6D:CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARYJOHNSON ROAD AT FULL ACCESS DRIVEWAY

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry &	Without Schaad	WB	B 15.3	B 12.2
2024 Combined	Traffic Control	Extension	SBL	A 8.0	A 7.9
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry &	With Schaad	WB	B 13.3	B 11.2
2024 Combined	Traffic Control	Extension	SBL	A 7.9	A 7.8

TABLE 7D: 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARY JOHNSON ROAD AT FULL ACCESS DRIVEWAY

	SCENARIO		MOVEMENT / Approach	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry &	Without Schaad	WB	48'	28′
2024 Combined	Traffic Control	Extension	SB	3'	3'
2024 Combined	Proposed Geometry &	With Schaad	WB	28′	20'
2024 Complhed	Traffic Control	Extension	SB	3'	0'



EVALUATIONS | SECTION 6

SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS

The traffic signal volume warrants presented in the latest edition of the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* were evaluated to determine for which intersections that traffic signal warrants would be legally satisfied. These evaluations were performed for the intersections of Schaad Road at Johnson Road and Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road. Because only four hours of traffic data were collected at these intersections, only AM and PM peak hour data were evaluated. Therefore, the traffic signal warrants were only evaluated to determine if the intersections meet the Peak Hour Volume warrant. The results were as follows:

- Schaad Road at Johnson Road:
 - o 2021 Existing: Peak Hour Warrant met for one hour (AM peak)
 - o 2024 Background: Peak Hour Warrant met for one hour (AM peak)
 - o 2024 Background with Schaad extension: Peak Hour Warrant met for both hours
 - o 2024 Combined: Peak Hour Warrant met for one hour (AM peak)
 - o 2024 Combined with Schaad extension: Peak Hour Warrant met for both hours
- Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road:
 - o 2021 Existing: Warrant not met
 - o 2024 Background: Warrant not met
 - o 2024 Background with Schaad extension: Warrant not met
 - o 2024 Combined: Peak Hour Warrant met for one hour (AM peak)
 - o 2024 Combined with Schaad extension: Warrant not met

Signal warrant evaluation spreadsheets are located in APPENDIX F.

SIGHT DISTANCE ASSESSMENT

Intersection sight distance was reviewed for the proposed project access driveways on Schaad Road and Johnson Road using Knox County sight distance requirements. These assessments included looking left from the two driveways on Schaad Road (right out only) and looking to both the left and right for the driveway on Johnson Road (full access). The measurements were taken for a passenger car eye height of 3.5 feet above the ground.

With a posted speed limit of 45 mph on Schaad Road, Knox County requirements state that the desirable sight distance is 450 feet, or ten times the speed limit. Visual inspection indicated that available sight distance looking left from both Schaad Road driveways is well in excess of 450 feet.

The posted speed limit on the portion of Johnson Road adjacent to the development is 25 mph; therefore, the desirable sight distance is 250 feet. Visual inspection indicated that available sight distance looking left from the Johnson Road driveway extends well beyond Schaad Road and is in excess of 250 feet. Looking to the right, sight distance was measured to be 255 feet. A vertical crest curve restricts sight distance beyond the measured value. Sight distance was measured from the existing roadway elevation, but the grading of the driveway will be slightly higher in elevation 15 feet back from Johnson Road than the existing roadway elevation. Therefore, sight distance of the constructed driveway is anticipated to be greater than the measured value.





PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION ASSESSMENT

Sidewalks currently exist on both sides of Schaad Road and are present across the entire length of the site's frontage on Schaad. The development site plan proposes a pedestrian connection from this existing sidewalk on Schaad Road to the convenience market building's entrance.





CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

There were several uncertainties in this study related to the projection of future traffic volumes. The first of these is applying a factor to existing volumes to account for traffic reductions due to Covid. While Knox County Schools are in-person, it is likely that traffic is still reduced to some extent; however, it was difficult to determine this extent as well as to determine if traffic will ever normalize. A second uncertainty was the projection of traffic once the Schaad Road extension is complete. Additionally, Johnson Road was serving as a detour route during the time of the traffic counts, which could also have an affect on existing traffic data.

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated from the proposed development will have significant impacts on the existing intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, while the combination of the proposed development with the extension of Schaad Road will create extreme impacts to side street delays at this intersection. Both the development and the extension of Schaad Road will have only minimal impacts to the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road.

The installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road is warranted for Peak Hour volumes for existing conditions as well as with either full buildout of the proposed development or the extension of Schaad Road. Additionally, traffic signal installation would improve the LOS at this intersection and would greatly reduce the amount of delay experienced on both approaches of Johnson Road.

An eastbound left turn lane at the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road was found to be warranted under existing conditions. However, due to the uncertainty of what affect the Schaad Road extension will have on this intersection, the installation of a left turn lane is not recommended with this study. Further, the intersection is showing continued good operations with existing geometry and traffic control.

Based on the above conclusions, the following is a list of recommendations to mitigate traffic impacts resulting from increased traffic with the proposed development:

- 1. Install a traffic signal at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. The traffic signal will likely be warranted and would provide benefit with the extension of Schaad Road, regardless of whether the proposed development is built or not.
- 2. Install an exclusive left turn lane on the southbound Johnson Road approach of the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road. This mitigation strategy would widen this approach to include a total of three lanes: one shared through / right turn lane, one exclusive left turn lane, and one departure lane. The left turn lane should have a minimum storage of 100'. If widened symmetrically to both sides of the roadway, the left turn lane should include a 50' bay taper and a 65' approach taper.
- 3. Install a right turn lane on Schaad Road for the development's eastern right-in / right-out driveway. The right turn lane should have a storage length of 150' and a taper length of 145'.





- 4. Maintain intersection corner sight distances at the site access points and the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road by ensuring that site grading, landscaping, signing, and other features do not restrict lines of sight.
- 5. Due to the previously mentioned uncertainty with the projected future traffic volumes, conduct follow-up traffic counts after the completion of the Schaad Road extension project and/or upon completion of the development to verify this traffic impact study's assumptions.





APPENDICES

APPENDIX A | TRAFFIC DATA

APPENDIX B | TRIP GENERATION & INFORMATION

APPENDIX C | TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT FIGURES

APPENDIX D | CAPACITY ANALYSES

APPENDIX E | TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS

APPENDIX F | SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS

APPENDIX G | SITE CIRCULATION EXHIBITS

APPENDIX H | TIS COMMENT RESPONSE MEMO



TRAFFIC DATA | APPENDIX A

-

APPENDIX A | TRAFFIC DATA





Johnson Rd & Schaad Rd

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



Project ID: 21-190048-001 Location: Johnson Rd & Schaad Rd City: Knoxville

Day: Tuesday Date: 8/31/2021

										Groups	Printed	- Cars,	PU, Var	ns - Hea	vy Truc	cks									
			Johnse							on Rd						ad Rd					Schaad				
		_	Northb					_		bound				_		bound				_	Westbo				
Start Time	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn		App. Total	Left	Thru	<u> </u>			App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt			App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt			App. Total	Int. Total
7:00 AM	41	1	7	0	0	49	1	6	8	0	0	15	3	19	27	0	0	49	3	30	0	0	0	33	146
7:15 AM	53	8	20	0	0	81	0	4	21	0	0	25	10	68	45	2	0	125	5	94	0	0	0	99	330
7:30 AM	48	7	21	0	0	76	2	6	15	0	0	23	18	81	47	0	0	146	7	57	2	1	0	67	312
7:45 AM	3	10	9	0	0	22	1	16	2	0	0	19	2	30	11	0	0	43	6	4	0	0	0	10	94
Total	145	26	57	0	0	228	4	32	46	0	0	82	33	198	130	2	0	363	21	185	2	1	0	209	882
8:00 AM	4	3	10	0	0	17	0	12	1	0	0	13	0	0	5	0	0	5	9	4	0	0	0	13	48
8:15 AM	1	2	6	0	0	9	2	11	0	0	0	13	0	3	5	0	0	8	9	5	0	0	0	14	44
8:30 AM	1	3	9	0	0	13	1	6	1	0	0	8	0	2	4	0	0	6	6	5	1	0	0	12	39
8:45 AM	1	5	8	0	0	14	0	8	2	0	0	10	0	3	3	0	0	6	9	2	0	0	0	11	41
Total	/	13	33	0	0	53	3	37	4	0	0	44	0	8	17	0	0	25	33	16	1	0	0	50	172
BREAK																									
4:00 PM	6	11	36	0	0	53	2	10	1	0	0	13	4	9	10	0	0	23	12	6	1	0	0	19	108
4:15 PM	7	7	40	0	0	54	0	7	1	Ó	0	8	1	12	7	0	0	20	12	7	3	Ó	0	22	104
4:30 PM	6	6	46	0	0	58	0	6	1	0	0	7	1	10	5	0	0	16	18	7	0	1	0	26	107
4:45 PM	1	12	60	0	0	73	1	3	1	0	0	5	1	5	6	0	0	12	12	8	3	0	0	23	113
Total	20	36	182	0	0	238	3	26	4	0	0	33	7	36	28	0	0	71	54	28	7	1	0	90	432
5:00 PM	7	10	55	0	0	72	1	4	2	0	0	7	4	6	1	0	0	11	19	7	7	0	0	33	123
5:15 PM	3	14	79	0	0	96	1	11	0	0	0	12	1	10	2	0	0	13	18	8	6	0	0	32	153
5:30 PM	10	12	59	0	0	81	1	5	2	0	0	8	1	2	7	0	0	10	13	8	2	0	0	23	122
5:45 PM	6	8	34	0	0	48	1	6	0	0	0	7	2	7	3	0	0	12	21	3	6	0	0	30	97
Total	26	44	227	0	0	297	4	26	4	0	0	34	8	25	13	0	0	46	71	26	21	0	0	118	495
Grand Total	198	119	499	0	0	816	14	121	58	0	0	193	48	267	188	2	0	505	179	255	31	2	0	467	1981
Apprch %	24.3	14.6	61.2	0.0	0.0	510	7.3	62.7	30.1	0.0	0.0	100	9.5	52.9	37.2	0.4	0.0	500	38.3	54.6	6.6	0.4	0.0	+01	1001
Total %	10.0	6.0	25.2	0.0	0.0	41.2	0.7	6.1	2.9	0.0	0.0	9.7	2.4	13.5	9.5	0.1	0.0	25.5	9.0	12.9	1.6	0.1	0.0	23.6	
Cars, PU, Vans	198	119	499	0	,	816	14	121	58	0		193	48	267	188	2		505	179	255	31	2		467	1981
% Cars, PU, Vans	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0

			nnson R rthboun					nson R uthboun					haad Ro					chaad R estboun			
art Time	Left	Thru			App. Total	Left	Thru			App. Total	Left	Thru		Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt		App. Total	Int. Tot
eak Hour Analysi	is from	07:00 AM																			
ak Hour for Enti	ire Inter	section E	Begins at	07:00	AM																
7:00 AM	41	1	7	0	49	1	6	8	0	15	3	19	27	0	49	3	30	0	0	33	1
7:15 AM	53	8	20	0	81	0	4	21	0	25	10	68	45	2	125	5	94	0	0	99	3
7:30 AM	48	7	21	0	76	2	6	15	0	23	18	81	47	0	146	7	57	2	1	67	3
7:45 AM	3	10	9	0	22	1	16	2	0	19	2	30	11	0	43	6	4	0	0	10	
Total Volume	145	26	57	0	228	4	32	46	0	82	33	198	130	2	363	21	185	2	1	209	8
% App. Total	63.6	11.4	25.0	0.0	100	4.9	39.0	56.1	0.0	100	9.1	54.5	35.8	0.6	100	10.0	88.5	1.0	0.5	100	
PHF					0.704					0.820					0.622					0.528	0.6
ars, PU, Vans	145	26	57	0	228	4	32	46	0	82	33	198	130	2	363	21	185	2	1	209	8
% Cars. PU. Vans	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100

		No	orthbou	ind			So	uthbou	ind			Ea	astbour	nd			v	/estbou	nd		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn A	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analys	sis from	04:00 P	M - 06:0	00 PM																	
Peak Hour for Ent	Peak Hour Analysis from 04:00 PM - 06:00 PM Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM																				

4:45 PM	1	12	60	0	73	1	3	1	0	5	1	5	6	0	12	12	8	3	0	23	113
5:00 PM	7	10	55	0	72	1	4	2	0	7	4	6	1	0	11	19	7	7	0	33	123
5:15 PM	3	14	79	0	96	1	11	0	0	12	1	10	2	0	13	18	8	6	0	32	153
5:30 PM	10	12	59	0	81	1	5	2	0	8	1	2	7	0	10	13	8	2	0	23	122
Total Volume	21	48	253	0	322	4	23	5	0	32	7	23	16	0	46	62	31	18	0	111	511
% App. Total	6.5	14.9	78.6	0.0	100	12.5	71.9	15.6	0.0	100	15.2	50.0	34.8	0.0	100	55.9	27.9	16.2	0.0	100	
PHF					0.839					0.667					0.885					0.841	0.835
Cars, PU, Vans	21	48	253	0	322	4	23	5	0	32	7	23	16	0	46	62	31	18	0	111	511
% Cars, PU, Vans	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0

Johnson Rd & Ball Camp Pike

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



Project ID: 21-190048-002 Location: Johnson Rd & Ball Camp Pike City: Knoxville

Day: Tuesday Date: 8/31/2021

										Groups	Printed	- Cars,	PU, Var	ns - Hea	vy Truc	ks									
			Johns							on Rd				1		np Pike					Ball Cam				
		_	North					_		bound					Eastb						Westbo		_		
Start Time	Left	Thru	<u> </u>	Uturn		op. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt		Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt			App. Total	Left	Thru	5		Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	35	39	55	0	0	0	94	0	71	8	0	0	79	208
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	51	0	0	53	78	52	0	0	0	130	0	97	12	0	0	109	292
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	55	0	0	59	54	73	0	0	0	127	0	117	13	0	0	130	316
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	34	0	0	38	23	68	0	0	0	91	0	107	0	0	0	107	236
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	175	0	0	185	194	248	0	0	0	442	0	392	33	0	0	425	1052
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	25	16	55	0	0	0	71 71	0	114 109	0	0	0	114	210
8:15 AM 8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25 19	0	0	25 19	10 14	61 57	0	0	0	71	0	97	0	0	0	109 97	205 187
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	19	14	46	0	0	0	61	0	97 67	0	0	0	97 67	147
6.45 AW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	0	0	88	55	219	0	0	0	274	0	387	0	0	0	387	749
BREAK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	00	55	219	0	0	0	2/4	0	307	0	0	0	307	749
DIVEAN																									
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	34	51	84	0	0	0	135	0	75	0	0	0	75	244
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Ó	22	0	0	23	54	96	0	0	0	150	0	64	2	Ó	0	66	239
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	28	0	0	29	55	56	0	0	0	111	0	80	0	0	0	80	220
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	20	75	82	0	0	0	157	0	73	0	0	0	73	250
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	104	0	0	106	235	318	0	0	0	553	0	292	2	0	0	294	953
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	24	71	89	0	0	0	160	0	75	1	0	0	76	260
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	31	96	86	0	0	0	182	0	85	1	0	0	86	299
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	24	77	95	0	0	0	172	0	86	2	0	0	88	284
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	30	51	62	0	0	0	113	0	92	0	0	0	92	235
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	0	0	109	295	332	0	0	0	627	0	338	4	0	0	342	1078
Grand Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	476	0	0	488	779	1117	0	0	0	1896	0	1409	39	0	0	1448	3832
Apprch %	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		2.5	0.0	97.5	0.0	0.0		41.1	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	97.3	2.7	0.0	0.0		
Total %	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	12.4	0.0	0.0	12.7	20.3	29.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.5	0.0	36.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	37.8	
Cars, PU, Vans	0	0	0	0		0	12	0	476	0		488	779	1117	0	0		1896	0	1409	39	0		1448	3832
% Cars, PU, Vans	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	100.0

City: I M	Cnoxvil										DURS								8/31/20	21	i.
			inson F					nnson F					Camp F					Camp F			
			rthbou					uthbour					stboun					estboun			
art Time	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn A	pp. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Int. Tota
eak Hour Analysi	s from ()7:00 AN	/ - 09:0	0 AM																	
eak Hour for Enti	re Inters	section E	Begins a	at 07:15 /	۹M																
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	51	0	53	78	52	0	0	130	0	97	12	0	109	29
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	55	0	59	54	73	0	0	127	0	117	13	0	130	31
	-	0					0		-	38			-			-	107		-	107	23
7:45 AM	0	-	0	0	0	4	-	34	0		23	68	0	0	91	0		0	0		
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	25	16	55	0	0	71	0	114	0	0	114	21
Total Volume	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	165	0	175	171	248	0	0	419	0	435	25	0	460	105
% App. Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	5.7	0.0	94.3	0.0	100	40.8	59.2	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	94.6	5.4	0.0	100	
PHF										0.742					0.806					0.885	0.83
Cars, PU, Vans	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	165	0	175	171	248	0	0	419	0	435	25	0	460	105
% Cars, PU, Vans	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.

		No	orthbou	nd			Southbound			Eastbound				Westbound							
Start Time	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn	App. Total	Left	Thru	Rgt	Uturn A	pp. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis from 04:00 PM - 06:00 PM																					

Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	20	75	82	0	0	157	0	73	0	0	73	250
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24	71	89	0	0	160	0	75	1	0	76	260
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31	96	86	0	0	182	0	85	1	0	86	299
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24	77	95	0	0	172	0	86	2	0	88	284
Total Volume	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	99	319	352	0	0	671	0	319	4	0	323	1093
% App. Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100	47.5	52.5	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	98.8	1.2	0.0	100	
PHF										0.798					0.922					0.918	0.914
Cars, PU, Vans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	99	319	352	0	0	671	0	319	4	0	323	1093
% Cars, PU, Vans	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0

TRAFFIC GROWTH

Source:	TDOT
Location:	Schaad Road
	West of SR-62
Route #:	Schaad Road
Route Type:	
Station:	T47000574
Capacity:	

Count Year	Volume	Growth Rate
2016	2316	
2017	1433	-38.13
2018	1370	-4.40
2019	2298	67.74
2020	2360	2.70
I	•	

Avg. 1 Year Rate 2016-2020	6.98

Source:	TDOT
Location:	Ball Camp Pike
	West of Bakertown
Route #:	Ball Camp Pike
Route Type:	
Station:	T47000578
Capacity:	

Count Year	Volume	Growth Rate
2016	6200	
2017	6262	1.00
2018	5326	-14.95
2019	6421	20.56
2020	6524	1.60

Avg. 1 Year Rate 2016-2020	2.05

Schaad Road Extension Traffic Projection



APPENDIX B | TRIP GENERATOIN & INFORMATION





FULL BUILD-OUT TRIP GENERATION

			Weekday	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Land Use	ITE Code	Size	(Trips / Day)	(Trips/HR)	(Trips/HR)
Super Convenience Market	960	6,500 sf	5,444	540	450
Entering Trips	65%	Pass-by	2,722	270	225
Exiting Trips			2,722	270	225
TOTAL TRIPS			5,444	540	450
Entering Trips			2,722	270	225
Exiting Trips			2,722	270	225
NET EXTERNAL TRIPS					
Pass-by Trips			3,539	351	293
Entering Trips			1,769	176	146
Exiting Trips			1,769	176	146
Non-Pass-by Trips			1,905	189	157
Entering Trips			953	94	79
Exiting Trips			953	94	79

Land Use: 960 Super Convenience Market/Gas Station

Description

This land use includes gasoline/service stations with convenience markets where there is significant business related to the sale of convenience items and the fueling of motor vehicles. Some commonly sold convenience items include newspapers, freshly brewed coffee, daily-made donuts, bakery items, hot and cold beverages, breakfast items, dairy items, fresh fruits, soups, light meals, ready-to-go and freshly made sandwiches and wraps, and ready-to-go salads. Stores typically also had automated teller machines (ATMs), and public restrooms. The sites included in this land use category have the following two specific characteristics:

- The gross floor area of the convenience market is at least 3,000 gross square feet
- The number of vehicle fueling positions is at least 10

Convenience market with gasoline pumps (Land Use 853) and gasoline/service station with convenience market (Land Use 945) are related uses.

Additional Data

To reflect changing characteristics of the convenience market component of this land use, only data from the past two decades have been included in this land use.

The independent variable, vehicle fueling positions, is defined as the maximum number of vehicles that can be fueled simultaneously. Gasoline/service stations in this land use include "pay-at-the-pump" and traditional fueling stations.

A multi-variable regression analysis based on both the convenience market gross floor area (GFA) and the number of vehicle fueling positions (VFP) produced a series of fitted curve equations. The equations are in the form of:

Vehicle Trips = [(VFP Factor) x (Number of VFP)] + [(GFA Factor) x (GFA)] + (Constant)

The values for the VFP factor, GFA factor, and constant are presented in the following table for each time period for which a fitted curve equation could produce an R² value of at least 0.50.

Time Period	VFP Factor	GFA Factor	Constant	R ²
Weekday, AM Peak Hour of Generator	10.3	105	-290	0.62
Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator	6.91	76.0	-133	0.68
Weekday, AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street	16.1	135	-483	0.66
Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street	11.5	82.9	-226	0.51

The sites were surveyed in the late 1990's, 2000s and the 2010s in Florida, Iowa, Maryland, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, and Wisconsin.

Source Numbers

617, 813, 844, 850, 864, 865, 867, 869, 882, 888, 904, 938, 954, 960, 962



Super Convenience Market/Gas Station (960)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA On a: Weekday

Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
-------------------	------------------------

Number of Studies:	13
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	4
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
837.58	419.93 - 1725.33	334.67

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10th Edition • Institute of Transportation Engineers

Super Convenience Market/Gas Station (960)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	39
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	5
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
83.14	14.17 - 133.96	28.07

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10th Edition • Institute of Transportation Engineers

Super Convenience Market/Gas Station (960)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	48
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	5
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
69.28	29.83 - 114.20	21.07

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10th Edition • Institute of Transportation Engineers



TO: Traffic Impact Study Reviewers and Preparers
FROM: Cindy Pionke
DATE: March 10, 1997
SUBJECT: Minutes from October 11, 1996 Meeting

Two items were presented for discussion at our last meeting. Hollis Loveday did a presentation on pass-by rates for a few specific land uses and Darcy Sullivan did a presentation on auxiliary lane issues. These specific matters seemed to cause some problems over the past year.

Percentage of pass-by trips for fast-food restaurants, supermarkets, convenience markets and shopping centers were discussed. The following percentages were agreed upon.

	LAND USE	PERCENTAGE
•	Fast-food Restaurant	40
	Supermarket	
	> 50,000 SF	10
	25,000 - 50,000 SF	35
	<25,000 SF	55
	Convenience Market	
	< 10,000 ADT	60
	10,000 - 20,000 ADT	65
	20,000 - 30,000 ADT	70
	30,000 - 40,000 ADT	75
	> 40,000 ADT	80

Shopping Center

Use GLA formula up to 30%

Attached is the draft "Procedure for Determining Need for and Design of Auxiliary Lanes on Uncontrolled Approaches to Intersections and Driveways". Please note that the bay taper rates have changed since we met. The proposed 15:1 and 20:1 taper rates were previously 14:1 and 16:1, respectively. This procedure is for left and right turn lanes on two-lane roadways. The recommendation for four-lane roadways was to exercise judgment because no particular quantification method leads to consistent results.

Suite 403 • City County Building						
					e e l	
Knox	(VIII)	a, Tea	nnes	see 3	7902	
42	3 •	2 1	5 •	25	0 0	
۶Λ	X •	2 1	5.	20	68	

There are currently no warrants for when right turn acceleration lance should be provided. The recommendation is not to provide them unless specific traffic or geometric conditions indicate a clear operational advantage.

Please review this memorandum to see if its contents agrees with what you remember from the meeting. If it does not, please call me so that I can rectify the situation.

Participant	Organization	Phone Number
Daniel Armstrong	Wilbur Smith	584-8584
Mark Best	TDOT	594-9170
Mark Geldmeier	City of Knoxville	544-5331
Robin Gorman	Consultant	457-7979
Barbara Hatcher	MPC	215-2500
John Heid	AR/TEC	681-8848
Leo LaCamera	City of Knoxville	215-2072
Hollis Loveday	Wilbur Smith	584-8584
Cindy Pionke	MPC	215-2500
Pam Porter	TDOT	594-9170
John Sexton	Allen & Hoshall	693-7881
Darcy Sullivan	Consultant	577-7965
Jeff Welch	MPC	215-2500

LIST OF ATTENDEES AT OCTOBER 11, 1996 MEETING

Minutes from October 11, 1996 Traffic Impact Study Workshop

PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING NEED FOR AND DESIGN OF AUXILIARY LANES ON UNCONTROLLED APPROACHES TO INTERSECTIONS AND DRIVEWAYS

DESIGN FEATURE	LEFT-TURN LANES				RIGHT-TURN LANES			
Design speed					Determine prevailing speed as defined in the Knox County Access Control Policy			
Need for Lane					Enter appropriate TABLE in Knox County Access Control Policy with through and right-turn volumes.			
Storage Length	61 - 91 - 121 - 151 -	/ in vph Length in feet 			None required on unconcontrolled approaches			
Prevailing Speed in mph	<u></u> -^35	36 to 45	46 to 55	>55	_ ^{\$} 35	36 to 45	48 to 55	>55
Length of Full Width Deceleration Lane	175 ft. 225 ft. 300 ft. 400 ft. 100 ft. 150 ft. 225 ft. All values include a storage length adequate for up to 30 turning vehicles per hour. All values assume a 15 mph turning speed at the end of the Appropriate adjustments should be made for speeds other than 1				eed at the end of the dea	325 ft. celeration lar.e. sph.		
Bay Taper Rate (Length : Width)	10:1 Taper rates should b feet or less: Shorten putside of the curve.	Taper rates should be adjusted where taper is located within a curve with a racius of 500 feet or lesst. Shorten Tapers located on the inside of the curve and lengthen tapers on the			10:1 12:1 15:1 20:1 Taper rates should be adjusted where taper is located within a curve with a radius of 500 feet or less: Shorten Tapers located on the inside of the curve and lengthen tapers on the putside of the curve.			
Total Length of Turn-lane	Bay taper length plus deceleration length plus storage length adjustment if required.				Bay taper length plus deceleration length plus or minus turning xpeed adjustment if required			

DRAFT

..... February 22, 1997

B-9

APPENDIX C | TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT FIGURES

- FIGURES C-1A C-1H Trip Distribution and Assignment without Schaad Road Extension
- FIGURES C-2A C-2H Trip Distribution and Assignment with Schaad Road Extension







FIGURE C-1A TRIP DISTRIBUTION – NON-PASS-BY ENTERING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1B TRIP ASSIGNMENT – NON-PASS-BY ENTERING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1C TRIP DISTRIBUTION – NON-PASS-BY EXITING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1D TRIP ASSIGNMENT – NON-PASS-BY EXITING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1E TRIP DISTRIBUTION – PASS-BY ENTERING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1F TRIP ASSIGNMENT – PASS-BY ENTERING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1G TRIP DISTRIBUTION – PASS-BY EXITING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-1H TRIP ASSIGNMENT – PASS-BY EXITING WITHOUT SCHAAD EXTENSION






FIGURE C-2A TRIP DISTRIBUTION – NON-PASS-BY ENTERING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION



PAGE C-IO



FIGURE C-2B TRIP ASSIGNMENT – NON-PASS-BY ENTERING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-2C TRIP DISTRIBUTION – NON-PASS-BY EXITING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-2D TRIP ASSIGNMENT – NON-PASS-BY EXITING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION



PAGE **C-13**



FIGURE C-2E TRIP DISTIBUTION – PASS-BY ENTERING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-2F TRIP ASSIGNMENT – PASS-BY ENTERING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-2G TRIP DISTRIBUTION – PASS-BY EXITING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION







FIGURE C-2H TRIP ASSIGNMENT – PASS-BY EXITING WITH SCHAAD EXTENSION



PAGE **C-17**

CAPACITY ANALYSES | APPENDIX D

APPENDIX D | CAPACITY ANALYSES





CAPACITY AND LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CONCEPTS

In a general sense, a roadway is similar to a pipeline or other material carrying conduit in that it has a certain capacity for the amount of material (vehicles) that it can efficiently carry. As the number of vehicles in a given time period gradually increases, the quality of traffic flow gradually decreases. On roadway sections this results in increasing turbulence in the traffic stream, and at intersections it results in increasing stops and delay. As the volumes begin to approach the capacity of the facility, these problems rapidly magnify, with resulting serious levels of congestion, stops, delay, excess fuel consumption, pollutant emissions, etc.

The Transportation Research Board has published the <u>Year 2010 Highway Capacity Manual</u> (HCM2010), which establishes theoretical techniques to quantify the capacity conditions on all types of roadways, intersections, ramps, pedestrian facilities, etc. A basic concept that is applicable to most of these techniques is the idea of level of service (LOS). This concept establishes a rating system that quantifies the quality of traffic flow, as perceived by motorists and/or passengers. The general system is similar to a school grade scale, and is outlined as follows:

Level of Service (LOS)	General Quality of Traffic Flow	Description of Corresponding Conditions
A	Excellent	Roadways – Free flow, high maneuverability Intersections – Very few stops, very low delay
В	Very Good	Roadways – Free flow, slightly lower maneuverability Intersections – Minor stops, low delay
С	Good	Roadways – Stable flow, restricted maneuverability Intersections – Significant stops, significant delay
D	Fair	Roadways – Marginally stable flow, congestion seriously restricts maneuverability Intersections – High stops, long but tolerable delay
Е	Poor	Roadways – Unstable flow*, lower operating speeds, congestion severely restricts maneuverability Intersections – All vehicles stop, very long queues and very long intolerable delay
F	Very Poor	Roadways – Forced flow, stoppages may be lengthy, congestion severely restricts maneuverability Intersections – All vehicles stop, extensive queues and extremely long intolerable delay

*Unstable flow is such that minor fluctuations or disruptions can result in rapid degradation to LOS F.

LOS	со	NTROL DELAY (S/VEH)	
203	SIGNALIZED	UNSIGNALIZED	ROUNDABOUT
A	≤10	≤10	≤10
В	>10-20	>10-15	>10-15
С	>20-35	>15-25	>15-25
D	>35-55	>25-35	>25-35
E	>55-80	>35-50	>35-50
F	>80	>50	>50

LOS CRITERIA: SIGNALIZED & UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Another measure of intersection capacity that is often used in the evaluation of intersection operations is the volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. This ratio is defined as "the ratio of flow rate to capacity", and is a good measure of how much of an intersection's available capacity has been used up by the analysis volumes. Conversely, it also provides an indication of the reserve capacity available for future growth in traffic volumes.

The Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) is another measure that expresses a value similar to the V/C ratio. Specifically, the ICU method "sums the amount of the time required to serve all movements at saturation for a given cycle length and divides by that reference cycle length." The ICU is considered a more accurate measure of volume to capacity conditions for a signalized intersection, primarily because it accounts for the effects of the signal timing on intersection capacity.

General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	TSN	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	9/23/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2021	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Existing 2021 AM		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicie volumes and Adj	ustine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	2	40	238	156	1	25	222	2		174	31	68		5	38	55	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(D				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru				1								
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		63				39				260		148			146		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1184				944				288		508			455		
v/c Ratio		0.05				0.04				0.90		0.29			0.32		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.2				0.1				8.3		1.2			1.4		
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.2				9.0				70.2		15.0			16.6		
Level of Service (LOS)		A				A				F		В			С		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		0	.8			. 0	.9			50).2		16.6				
Approach LOS											F		С				

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCSTM TWSC Version 7.9.5 2021_Johnson at Schaad_AM_Existing.xtw Generated: 9/23/2021 5:35:23 PM

General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	TSN	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	9/23/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2021	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Existing 2021 PM		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	1	Eastb	ound			Westk	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
••														1			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	T	TR		L	T	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	0	8	28	19	0	74	37	22		25	58	304		5	28	6	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(C				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16				4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23				2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		10				89				30		436			47		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1520				1539				594		917			577		
v/c Ratio		0.01				0.06				0.05		0.48			0.08		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.0				0.2				0.2		2.6			0.3		
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.4				7.5				11.4		12.4			11.8		
Level of Service (LOS)		A				A				В		В			В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1	.1		4.2					12	2.4		11.8				
Approach LOS									В		В						

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TWSC Version 7.9.5 2021_Johnson at Schaad_PM_Existing.xtw Generated: 9/23/2021 5:36:00 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	p-Control Report							
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	TSN	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County						
Date Performed	9/23/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike						
Analysis Year	2021	North/South Street	Johnson Rd						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	Existing 2021 AM								
Lanes									



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicle volumes and Adj									1	NI 41			1	C 11			
Approach			ound				bound				bound				bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		205	298				522	30						12		198	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)															0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		247												14		239	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		919												89		469	
v/c Ratio		0.27												0.16		0.51	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.1												0.6		2.8	
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.3												53.3		20.3	
Level of Service (LOS)		В												F		С	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		6	.3										22.2				
Approach LOS												С					

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 9/23/2021 5:32:59 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way	v Stop-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	TSN	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	9/23/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike
Analysis Year	2021	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Existing 2021 PM		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		383	422				383	5						0		119	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)														(0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		421												0		131	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1128												48		628	
v/c Ratio		0.37												0.00		0.21	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.8												0.0		0.8	
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.1												80.0		12.2	
Level of Service (LOS)		В												F		В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		7	.5										12.2				
Approach LOS												В					

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCSTM TWSC Version 7.9.5 2021_Johnson at Ball Camp_PM_Existing.xtw Generated: 9/23/2021 5:33:32 PM

	11637 100 000	ay stop control report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 AM		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	2	43	257	168	1	27	240	2		188	33	73		5	41	59	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(0				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		67				42				281		158			157		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1157				906				261		482			426		
v/c Ratio		0.06				0.05				1.07		0.33			0.37		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.2				0.1				11.5		1.4			1.7		
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.3				9.2				118.9		16.1			18.3		
Level of Service (LOS)		А				A				F		С			С		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		0	.8		1.0					81	1.8		18.3				
Approach LOS											F		С				

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Johnson at Schaad_AM_Background.xtw Generated: 10/12/2021 7:26:36 AM

General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 PM		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	9	30	21	0	80	40	24		27	63	328		5	30	6
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										(D				0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16				4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23				2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice											<u>.</u>		
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Τ	11				96				33		471			49	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1512				1532				571		907			554	
v/c Ratio		0.01				0.06				0.06		0.52			0.09	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.0				0.2				0.2		3.1			0.3	
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.4				7.5				11.7		13.2			12.1	
Level of Service (LOS)		A				A				В		В			В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	1.1 4.2								13	3.1		12.1				
Approach LOS								В		В						

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Johnson at Schaad_PM_Background.xtw Generated: 10/12/2021 7:28:48 AM

	HCS7 Two-W	ay Stop-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 AM		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		221	322				564	32						13		214	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)															0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u>.</u>			
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Т	266												16		258	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		878												69		438	
v/c Ratio		0.30												0.23		0.59	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.3												0.8		3.7	
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.9												71.5		24.3	
Level of Service (LOS)		В												F		С	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	6.9										-	27.0					
Approach LOS												D					

Generated: 10/12/2021 7:22:57 AM

	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	p-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 PM		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicle volumes and Adj													1	a		
Approach	<u> </u>	Eastb					oound				bound			1	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R
Volume (veh/h)		414	456				414	5						0		129
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)															0	
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo	
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		455												0		142
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1095												34		601
v/c Ratio		0.42												0.00		0.24
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		2.1												0.0		0.9
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.6												112.3		12.8
Level of Service (LOS)		В												F		В
Approach Delay (s/veh)	8.2											12.8				
Approach LOS													В			

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TM TWSC Version 7.9.5

2024_Johnson at Ball Camp_PM_Background.xtw

Generated: 10/12/2021 7:24:29 AM

		Stop Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 AM with Schaad Exte	ension	

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicle volumes and Adj	ustine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	2	52	588	134	1	22	1092	2		150	26	58		5	33	71	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(C				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		81				34				224		125			163		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		344				594				30		117			140		
v/c Ratio		0.23				0.06				7.52		1.07			1.17		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.9				0.2				27.3		7.4			9.4		
Control Delay (s/veh)		18.7				11.4				3191.7		174.8			190.0		
Level of Service (LOS)		С				В				F		F			F		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1	.3			0	.2			210)8.7		190.0				
Approach LOS										I	F		F				

Generated: 10/12/2021 8:23:53 AM

		op control kepolit	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 PM with Schaad Extension	1	

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	11	1092	17	0	64	588	24		22	50	262		5	24	7
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0				0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16				4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23				2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		13				77				27		376			43	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		858				507				110		296				
v/c Ratio		0.02				0.15				0.24		1.27				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.0				0.5				0.9		17.8				
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.3				13.4				47.9		180.4				
Level of Service (LOS)		A				В				E		F				
Approach Delay (s/veh)		0	.1			1	.3		171.7					-	-	-
Approach LOS										F						

Generated: 10/12/2021 8:25:51 AM

		у зтор-соптгот керогт	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 AM with Schaad Ex	tension with SBL	

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicie volumes and Adj	ustine	iits															
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		1	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR		L		TR	
Volume (veh/h)	2	52	588	134	1	22	1092	2		150	26	58		5	33	71	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(D				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice			<u> </u>											
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		81				34				224		125		7		155	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		344				594				30		117		57		150	
v/c Ratio		0.23				0.06				7.52		1.07		0.13		1.03	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.9				0.2				27.3		7.4		0.4		7.9	
Control Delay (s/veh)		18.7				11.4				3191.7		174.8		77.9		142.3	
Level of Service (LOS)		С				В				F		F		F		F	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		. 1	.3		0.2					21()8.7		139.4				
Approach LOS											F		F				

Generated: 10/13/2021 9:26:04 AM

General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Background 2024 PM with Schaad Extension with SBL									

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	ound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		1	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)	0	11	1092	17	0	64	588	24		22	50	262		5	24	7
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										(0				0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16				4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23				2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		13				77				27		376		6		37
Capacity, c (veh/h)		858				507				110		296				120
v/c Ratio		0.02				0.15				0.24		1.27				0.31
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.0				0.5				0.9		17.8				1.2
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.3				13.4				47.9		180.4				48.1
Level of Service (LOS)		А				В				E		F				E
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.1 1.3				171.7											
Approach LOS											F					

Generated: 10/13/2021 9:25:04 AM

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Background AM with Schaad Extension

۰ ٠ ∢ ٩ t € 渣 EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT Lane Group SBR Lane Configurations ٦ ŧÞ ٦ ŧÞ ኘ Ъ 4 Traffic Volume (vph) 52 134 22 1092 2 150 58 5 33 588 26 71 Future Volume (vph) 52 588 134 22 1092 2 150 26 58 5 33 71 1.00 1.00 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 0.972 0.896 0.922 Flt Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.997 Satd. Flow (prot) 3440 1770 3539 1669 1770 0 0 1770 0 0 1712 0 Flt Permitted 0.211 0.094 0.682 0.982 Satd. Flow (perm) 175 3440 0 393 3539 0 1270 1669 0 0 1687 0 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 49 87 77 Peak Hour Factor 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.92 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) 1078 0 224 126 0 133 78 33 1633 0 0 0 Turn Type NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt **Protected Phases** 2 5 1 6 8 4 Permitted Phases 2 6 8 4 5 2 6 8 **Detector Phase** 8 4 4 1 Switch Phase 6.0 15.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 46.0 12.0 46.0 22.0 22.0 22.0 22.0 Total Split (%) 15.0% 57.5% 15.0% 57.5% 27.5% 27.5% 27.5% 27.5% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 40.0 6.0 40.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall Mode None Min None None None None None Min Act Effct Green (s) 44.8 42.5 42.5 38.0 15.6 15.6 15.6 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.60 0.57 0.57 0.51 0.21 0.21 0.21 v/c Ratio 0.32 0.33 0.55 0.10 0.91 0.85 0.30 Control Delay 15.9 10.0 11.8 5.9 26.9 60.8 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.9 Total Delay 10.0 11.8 5.9 26.9 60.8 13.3 LOS В А С Е В В А 15.9 Approach Delay 11.7 26.5 43.7 Approach LOS D В С В Queue Length 50th (ft) 12 118 5 376 110 16 23 Queue Length 95th (ft) 19 156 10 285 #137 34 41 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 429 Base Capacity (vph) 235 2106 1935 433 336 278 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.33 0.51 0.10 0.84 0.81 0.29 0.31

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 10 Report Page 1

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 80		
Actuated Cycle Length: 74.9		
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91		
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.7	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.2%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue	e may be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Splits and Phases: 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

√ Ø1	<u>→</u> _{Ø2}	₽Ø4	
12 s	46 s	22 s	
▶ Ø5	€ Ø6	≪ ø8	
12 s	46 s	22 s	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Background PM with Schaad Extension

۰ ٠ ٩ t € 渣 EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT Lane Group SBR **ħ**₽ Lane Configurations ٦ ኘ ŧÞ ኘ Ъ 4 Traffic Volume (vph) 1092 64 24 22 262 5 24 11 17 588 50 7 Future Volume (vph) 11 1092 17 64 588 24 22 50 262 5 24 7 1.00 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 0.998 0.994 0.874 0.975 Flt Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.993 Satd. Flow (prot) 1628 1770 3532 0 1770 3518 0 1770 0 0 1803 0 Flt Permitted 0.372 0.142 0.729 0.531 Satd. Flow (perm) 693 3532 0 265 3518 0 1358 1628 0 0 964 0 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 3 8 223 8 Peak Hour Factor 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 737 27 376 0 0 43 13 1336 77 0 0 Turn Type NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt **Protected Phases** 5 2 1 6 8 4 Permitted Phases 2 6 8 4 5 2 6 8 **Detector Phase** 8 4 4 1 Switch Phase 6.0 15.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 31.0 12.0 31.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 Total Split (%) 20.0% 51.7% 20.0% 51.7% 28.3% 28.3% 28.3% 28.3% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 25.0 6.0 25.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall Mode None Min None Min None None None None Act Effct Green (s) 27.0 23.9 29.1 28.1 10.0 10.0 10.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.51 0.45 0.55 0.53 0.19 0.19 0.19 v/c Ratio 0.23 0.03 0.83 0.24 0.39 0.10 0.77 Control Delay 4.9 20.8 6.8 8.6 21.6 22.8 21.4 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 21.4 Total Delay 4.9 20.8 6.8 8.6 21.6 22.8 LOS С С С А А А С Approach Delay 20.6 8.5 22.7 21.4 Approach LOS С С А С Queue Length 50th (ft) 2 227 10 57 8 50 11 Queue Length 95th (ft) 32 #283 20 126 24 #141 6 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 215 Base Capacity (vph) 527 482 1740 324 2016 293 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.03 0.77 0.24 0.37 0.09 0.71 0.20

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 10 Report Page 1

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 60		
Actuated Cycle Length: 52.6		
Natural Cycle: 60		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83		
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.2	Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue i	may be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Splits and Phases: 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

√ Ø1	<u></u> _{Ø2}	Ø4
12 s	31s	17 s
	₩ Ø6	1 Ø8
12 s	31 s	17 s

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Background with Schaad Extension AM SBL Lane

	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	≜ †⊅		ሻ	A		٦	4Î		ሻ	4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	52	588	134	22	1092	2	150	26	58	5	33	71
Future Volume (vph)	52	588	134	22	1092	2	150	26	58	5	33	71
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.972						0.896			0.908	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3440	0	1770	3539	0	1770	1669	0	1770	1691	0
Flt Permitted	0.094			0.211			0.676			0.676		
Satd. Flow (perm)	175	3440	0	393	3539	0	1259	1669	0	1259	1691	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		49						87			77	
Peak Hour Factor	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.92
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	78	1078	0	33	1633	0	224	126	0	7	126	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	46.0		12.0	46.0		22.0	22.0		22.0	22.0	
Total Split (%)	15.0%	57.5%		15.0%	57.5%		27.5%	27.5%		27.5%	27.5%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	40.0		6.0	40.0		16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	44.8	42.5		42.5	38.0		15.6	15.6		15.6	15.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.60	0.57		0.57	0.51		0.21	0.21		0.21	0.21	
v/c Ratio	0.33	0.55		0.10	0.91		0.86	0.30		0.03	0.31	
Control Delay	10.0	11.8		5.9	26.9		62.4	13.3		26.2	15.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	10.0	11.8		5.9	26.9		62.4	13.3		26.2	15.1	
LOS	А	В		А	С		E	В		С	В	
Approach Delay		11.7			26.5			44.7			15.7	
Approach LOS		В			С			D			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	12	118		5	376		110	16		3	20	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	19	156		10	285		#143	34		10	39	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		668			807			402			378	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130			150		
Base Capacity (vph)	235	2106		336	1935		275	433		275	429	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.33	0.51		0.10	0.84		0.81	0.29		0.03	0.29	
-	-											

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 10 Report Page 1

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 80		
Actuated Cycle Length: 74.9		
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91		
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.8	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.2%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue m	ay be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Splits and Phases: 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

√ Ø1	<u></u> ø₂	Ø4	
12 s	46 s	22 s	
	▼ Ø6	√ [†] Ø8	
12 s	46 s	22 s	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Background with Schaad Extension PM SBL Lane

Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBT NBT NBT SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 1 1092 17 64 588 24 22 50 262 5 24 7 Future Volume (vph) 11 1092 17 64 588 24 22 50 262 5 24 7 Future Volume (vph) 100 0.00 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.956 0.950 0.956 0.950 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.950 0.956		٦	+	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Traffic Volume (vph) 11 1092 17 64 588 24 22 50 262 5 24 7 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00	Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Volume (vph) 11 1092 17 64 588 24 22 50 262 5 24 7 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00	Lane Configurations	ሻ	A		5	At≱		۲	ef 👘		٦	f,	
Lane UIL Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00	Traffic Volume (vph)	11		17	64		24	22		262	5		7
Fri 0.998 0.994 0.874 0.968 Fit Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 Fit Protected 0.372 0.170 3518 0.1770 1628 0.1770 1803 0.0488 Satit. Flow (perm) 693 3532 0.279 3518 0.1365 1628 0.909 1803 0.83 0.	Future Volume (vph)	11	1092	17	64	588	24	22	50	262	5	24	7
FIF Producted 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 Satk Flow (prot) 1770 3532 0 1770 3518 0 1770 1628 0 1770 1803 0 Satk Flow (prot) 693 35.2 0 279 3518 0 1365 16/28 0 999 1803 0.81 8.0 8.0	Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Satal. Flow (port) 1770 3532 0 1770 3518 0 1770 1628 0 1770 1803 0 FIL Permitted 0.372 0.150 0.733 0.488 0 0.488 0 0.488 0 0.488 0 0.488 0 0.488 0 0.83 0.84 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 46 <	Frt		0.998			0.994			0.874			0.968	
FIL Permitted 0.372 0.150 0.733 0.488 Satd. Flow (perm) 693 352 0 279 3518 0 1365 1628 0 909 1803 0 Satd. Flow (PtOR) 3 9 237 8 8 Peak Hour Factor 0.83 0.81 8.0	Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satal. Flow (perm) 693 3532 0 279 3518 0 1365 1628 0 909 1803 0 Satal. Flow (RTOR) 3 9 237 7 8 Peak Houf Factor 0.83 0.84 4 Detoctor Phases 2 1.60 Redutinum Split (S) 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0	Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3532	0	1770	3518	0	1770	1628	0	1770	1803	0
Satel. Flow (PtOR) 3 9 237 8 Peak Hour Factor 0.83 0.81 1.4 140 14	Flt Permitted	0.372			0.150			0.733			0.488		
Peak Hour Factor 0.83	Satd. Flow (perm)	693	3532	0	279	3518	0	1365	1628	0	909	1803	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) 13 1336 0 77 737 0 27 376 0 6 37 0 Turn Type pm-pt NA pm-pt NA permited NA NA NA NA	Satd. Flow (RTOR)		3			9			237			8	
		0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Turn Type pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Perm NA Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 8 4 Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Switch Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 15.0 6.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 29.0 12.0 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 20 1.0 14.0	Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 8 4 Permitted Phase 2 6 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Minimum Initial (s) 12.0 12.0 12.0 14.0 <td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td> <td>13</td> <td>1336</td> <td>0</td> <td>77</td> <td>737</td> <td>0</td> <td>27</td> <td>376</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>37</td> <td>0</td>	Lane Group Flow (vph)	13	1336	0	77	737	0	27	376	0	6	37	0
Permitted Phases 2 6 8 4 Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 15.0 6.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 29.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (%) 21.8% 52.7% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 23.0 6.0 23.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Vellow Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Ajust (s) 0.0	Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Switch Phase	Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Switch Phase Nimimum Initial (s) 6.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 29.0 12.0 29.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 21.8% 52.7% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 23.0 6.0 23.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Lead-Lag Dptimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Actarde g/C Ratio 0.52 0.45 0.56 0.54 0.17 0.17 0.17 <	Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 29.0 12.0 29.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 21.8% 52.7% 22.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 23.0 6.0 23.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Itad Lost Time (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Versite Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.52 0.45 0.56 0.54 0.17 <t< td=""><td>Switch Phase</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Switch Phase												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Total Split (%) 21.8% 52.7% 21.8% 52.7% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 23.0 6.0 23.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0	Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Maximum Green (s)6.023.06.023.08.08.08.08.0Yellow Time (s)4.04.54.04.54.04.04.04.0All-Red Time (s)2.01.52.01.52.02.02.02.0Lost Time (s)0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Lost Time (s)6.06.06.06.06.06.06.0Lead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesYesYesVehicle Extension (s)3.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.0Recall ModeNoneMinNoneMinNoneNoneNoneNoneAct Effct Green (s)25.622.427.726.78.28.28.28.2Actuated g/C Ratio0.520.450.560.540.170.170.170.17V/c Ratio0.030.830.230.390.120.800.040.12Control Delay4.219.85.97.822.026.021.018.5LOSABAACCCBApproach LOSBAACCCBQueue Length 50th (ft)120484684328Queue Length 95th (ft)57.631711524#1421027Internal Link Dist	Total Split (s)	12.0	29.0		12.0	29.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lead/Lag Vesicle Extension (s) 3.0 <td>Total Split (%)</td> <td>21.8%</td> <td>52.7%</td> <td></td> <td>21.8%</td> <td>52.7%</td> <td></td> <td>25.5%</td> <td>25.5%</td> <td></td> <td>25.5%</td> <td>25.5%</td> <td></td>	Total Split (%)	21.8%	52.7%		21.8%	52.7%		25.5%	25.5%		25.5%	25.5%	
All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0	Maximum Green (s)	6.0	23.0		6.0	23.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0	Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Total Lost Time (s) 6.0	All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall Mode None Min None None None None Act Effet Green (s) 25.6 22.4 27.7 26.7 8.2 8.2 8.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.52 0.45 0.56 0.54 0.17 0.17 0.17 v/c Ratio 0.03 0.83 0.23 0.39 0.12 0.80 0.04 0.12 Control Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 Queue Delay 0.0	Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 <	Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0	Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Recall Mode None Min None Min None None None None Act Effct Green (s) 25.6 22.4 27.7 26.7 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.52 0.45 0.56 0.54 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 v/c Ratio 0.03 0.83 0.23 0.39 0.12 0.80 0.04 0.12 Control Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 LOS A B A A C C C B Approach LOS B A A C B B Queue Length 50th (ft) 1 204 8 46 8 43	Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Act Effct Green (s)25.622.427.726.78.28.28.28.2Actuated g/C Ratio0.520.450.560.540.170.170.170.17v/c Ratio0.030.830.230.390.120.800.040.12Control Delay4.219.85.97.822.026.021.018.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay4.219.85.97.822.026.021.018.5LOSABAACCCBApproach Delay19.77.725.718.8Approach LOSBACCBQueue Length 50th (ft)120484684328Queue Length 95th (ft)5#2631711524#1421027Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378378150Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio0.520.450.560.540.170.170.170.17v/c Ratio0.030.830.230.390.120.800.040.12Control Delay4.219.85.97.822.026.021.018.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay4.219.85.97.822.026.021.018.5LOSABAACCCBApproach Delay19.77.725.718.8Approach LOSBACCBQueue Length 50th (ft)120484684328Queue Length 95th (ft)5#2631711524#1421027Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378150150Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
v/c Ratio 0.03 0.83 0.23 0.39 0.12 0.80 0.04 0.12 Control Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 LOS A B A A C C C B Approach Delay 19.7 7.7 25.7 18.8 Approach LOS B A C C B Queue Length 50th (ft) 1 204 8 46 8 43 2 8 Queue Length 95th (ft) 5 #263 17 115 24 #142 10 27 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 306 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0	Act Effct Green (s)	25.6	22.4		27.7	26.7		8.2	8.2		8.2	8.2	
Control Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 4.2 19.8 5.9 7.8 22.0 26.0 21.0 18.5 LOS A B A A C C C B Approach Delay 19.7 7.7 25.7 18.8 Approach LOS B A 6 8 43 2 8 Queue Length 50th (ft) 1 204 8 46 8 43 2 8 Queue Length 95th (ft) 5 #263 17 115 24 #142 10 27 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 50 Base Capacity (vph) 493 1688 342 1992 226 468 151 306 Starvation Cap Reductn	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.52	0.45		0.56	0.54		0.17	0.17		0.17	0.17	
Queue Delay 0.0 <th< td=""><td>v/c Ratio</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.83</td><td></td><td>0.23</td><td>0.39</td><td></td><td>0.12</td><td>0.80</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td>0.12</td><td></td></th<>	v/c Ratio	0.03	0.83		0.23	0.39		0.12	0.80		0.04	0.12	
Total Delay4.219.85.97.822.026.021.018.5LOSABAACCCBApproach Delay19.77.725.718.8Approach LOSBACCBQueue Length 50th (ft)120484684328Queue Length 95th (ft)5#2631711524#1421027Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150150Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Control Delay	4.2	19.8		5.9	7.8		22.0	26.0		21.0	18.5	
LOS A B A A C C C B Approach Delay 19.7 7.7 25.7 18.8 Approach LOS B A C B Queue Length 50th (ft) 1 204 8 46 8 43 2 8 Queue Length 95th (ft) 5 #263 17 115 24 #142 10 27 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 Base Capacity (vph) 493 1688 342 1992 226 468 151 306 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Approach Delay 19.7 7.7 25.7 18.8 Approach LOS B A C B Queue Length 50th (ft) 1 204 8 46 8 43 2 8 Queue Length 95th (ft) 5 #263 17 115 24 #142 10 27 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 Base Capacity (vph) 493 1688 342 1992 226 468 151 306 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Delay	4.2	19.8		5.9	7.8		22.0	26.0		21.0	18.5	
Approach LOSBACBQueue Length 50th (ft)120484684328Queue Length 95th (ft)5#2631711524#1421027Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	LOS	А	В		А	А		С	С		С	В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)120484684328Queue Length 95th (ft)5#2631711524#1421027Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Approach Delay		19.7			7.7			25.7			18.8	
Queue Length 95th (ft) 5 #263 17 115 24 #142 10 27 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 Base Capacity (vph) 493 1688 342 1992 226 468 151 306 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Approach LOS		В			А			С			В	
Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 Base Capacity (vph) 493 1688 342 1992 226 468 151 306 Starvation Cap Reductn 0	Queue Length 50th (ft)	1	204		8	46		8	43		2	8	
Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Queue Length 95th (ft)	5	#263		17	115		24	#142		10	27	
Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Internal Link Dist (ft)		668			807			402			378	
Base Capacity (vph)49316883421992226468151306Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130			150		
Starvation Cap Reductn 0			1688			1992			468			306	
Spillback Cap Reductn 0													
Storage Cap Reductin 0											0		
											0		

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 10 Report Page 1

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 55		
Actuated Cycle Length: 49.3		
Natural Cycle: 55		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83		
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.9	Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue ma	y be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Splits and Phases: 1: Johnson Road & Schaad Road

√ Ø1	<u></u> _{Ø2}	Ø4
12 s	29 s	14 s
	₩ Ø6	√ [†] ø8
12 s	29 s	14 s

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 AM with Schaad Extension		
lanos			

Lanes

G



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		177	258				451	26						10		171	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)														()		
Right Turn Channelized														N	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		213												12		206	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		993												132		527	
v/c Ratio		0.21												0.09		0.39	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.8												0.3		1.8	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.6												35.1		16.2	
Level of Service (LOS)		A												E		С	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		5	.4											17	17.2		
Approach LOS													С				

Generated: 10/12/2021 8:20:38 AM

	HCS7 Two-Way S	Stop-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	10/12/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Background 2024 PM with Schaad Extens	ion	
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts															
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		331	365				331	4						0		103	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)															0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	10		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		364												0		113	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1185												82		677	
v/c Ratio		0.31												0.00		0.17	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.3												0.0		0.6	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.4												49.2		11.4	
Level of Service (LOS)		A												E		В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		6	.4				-	-			-		11.4				
Approach LOS															В		

 Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.
 HCS TW TWSC Version 7.9.5

 2024_Johnson at Ball Camp_PM_Background with Extension.xtw

Generated: 10/12/2021 8:22:19 AM

General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	2	83	240	150	24	50	245	2		162	105	55		58	64	85	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(D				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice											<u> </u>			
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		127				110				242		239			309		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1161				775				133		270			198		
v/c Ratio		0.11				0.14				1.82		0.88			1.56		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.4				0.5				18.5		7.7			19.7		
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.5				10.4				455.2		69.7			317.3		
Level of Service (LOS)		A				В				F		F			F		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1.	.5			2	.4	-		26	3.6		317.3				
Approach LOS											F				F		

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TM TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Johnson at Schaad_AM_Combined.xtw Generated: 12/1/2021 2:14:30 PM

	11037 100 008	ay stop control keport	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	0	27	23	14	21	99	48	24		20	173	248		107	41	13	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)											0				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	· Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		33				145				24		507			194		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1500				1400				432		682			281		
v/c Ratio		0.02				0.10				0.06		0.74			0.69		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.1				0.3				0.2		6.7			4.7		
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.5				7.9				13.8		24.0			42.0		
Level of Service (LOS)		A				A				В		С			E		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		3	.1		4.9					23	3.5		42.0				
Approach LOS									С				E				

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TW TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Johnson at Schaad_PM_Combined.xtw Generated: 12/1/2021 2:16:46 PM

General Information		Site Information	Site Information							
Analyst	BJH	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with SBL									

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		1	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR		L		TR	
Volume (veh/h)	2	83	240	150	24	50	245	2		162	105	55		58	64	85	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										(0				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		127				110				242		239		87		222	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1161				775				133		270		101		318	
v/c Ratio		0.11				0.14				1.82		0.88		0.86		0.70	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.4				0.5				18.5		7.7		4.9		5.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.5				10.4				455.2		69.7		130.1		39.0	
Level of Service (LOS)		Α				В				F		F		F		E	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1	.5		2.4					26	3.6	64.5					
Approach LOS											F		F				

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TW TWSC Version 7.9.5

2024_Johnson at Schaad_AM_Combined_SBL.xtw

Generated: 12/1/2021 2:21:00 PM
General Information		Site Information	Site Information				
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd				
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County				
Date Performed	12/04/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd				
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83				
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25				
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with SBL	·					

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	ound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		1	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)	0	27	23	14	21	99	48	24		20	173	248		107	41	13
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)								0				0				
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	t + Thru					1						
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		33				145				24		507		129		65
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1500				1400				432		682		227		533
v/c Ratio		0.02				0.10				0.06		0.74		0.57		0.12
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.1				0.3				0.2		6.7		3.1		0.4
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.5				7.9				13.8		24.0		39.8		12.7
Level of Service (LOS)		А				A				В		С		E		В
Approach Delay (s/veh)		3	.1		4.9			23.5			30.7					
Approach LOS								С			D					

Generated: 12/1/2021 2:22:56 PM

		unuau	-									
	٦	→	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	t	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	∱ ⊅		5	A		۲	f,			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	85	240	150	74	245	2	162	105	55	58	64	85
Future Volume (vph)	85	240	150	74	245	2	162	105	55	58	64	85
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.942			0.999			0.949			0.955	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.984	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3334	0	1770	3536	0	1770	1768	0	0	1750	0
Flt Permitted	0.532			0.381			0.591				0.805	
Satd. Flow (perm)	991	3334	0	710	3536	0	1101	1768	0	0	1432	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		219			1			48			46	
Peak Hour Factor	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.92
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	127	582	0	110	369	0	242	239	0	0	275	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	20.0%	35.0%		20.0%	35.0%		45.0%	45.0%		45.0%	45.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		21.0	21.0		21.0	21.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	20.0	15.6		20.0	15.6		16.0	16.0			16.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.38	0.29		0.38	0.29		0.30	0.30			0.30	
v/c Ratio	0.27	0.51		0.28	0.35		0.73	0.42			0.59	
Control Delay	11.3	13.0		11.6	18.3		31.2	14.4			19.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Delay	11.3	13.0		11.6	18.3		31.2	14.4			19.1	
LOS	В	В		В	В		С	В			В	
Approach Delay		12.7			16.8			22.8			19.1	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	23	54		20	54		71	49			63	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	38	60		34	66		92	65			81	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		668			807			402			378	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130					
Base Capacity (vph)	466	1136		393	1042		453	757			617	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.27	0.51		0.28	0.35		0.53	0.32			0.45	

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 60	
Actuated Cycle Length: 53	
Natural Cycle: 60	
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated	
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73	
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.1	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.2%	ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15	

√ Ø1	<u></u> ø2	Ø4
12 s	21 s	27 s
	₩ Ø6	≪1 Ø8
12 s	21 s	27 s

	≯	+	*	4	Ļ	•	•	t	*	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	∱1 ≱		5	A		٦	f,			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	27	23	14	120	48	24	20	173	248	107	41	13
Future Volume (vph)	27	23	14	120	48	24	20	173	248	107	41	13
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.943			0.950			0.912			0.989	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.968	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3337	0	1770	3362	0	1770	1699	0	0	1783	0
Flt Permitted	0.698			0.641			0.672				0.290	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1300	3337	0	1194	3362	0	1252	1699	0	0	534	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		17			29			133			8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	33	45	0	145	87	0	24	507	0	0	194	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	20.0%	35.0%		20.0%	35.0%		45.0%	45.0%		45.0%	45.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		21.0	21.0		21.0	21.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	19.7	15.1		22.0	19.7		21.2	21.2			21.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.34	0.26		0.38	0.34		0.37	0.37			0.37	
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.05		0.28	0.07		0.05	0.72			0.97	
Control Delay	10.0	12.6		11.8	11.6		13.4	19.5			81.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Delay	10.0	12.6		11.8	11.6		13.4	19.5			81.2	
LOS	А	В		В	В		В	В			F	
Approach Delay		11.5			11.7			19.3			81.2	
Approach LOS		В			В			В			F	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	6	4		30	5		6	115			~68	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	17	13		54	21		17	188			#166	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		668			807			402			378	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130					
Base Capacity (vph)	495	888		517	1170		460	708			201	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.05		0.28	0.07		0.05	0.72			0.97	

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 60		
Actuated Cycle Length: 57.6		
Natural Cycle: 60		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97		
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.6	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.5%	ICU Level of Service B	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretical	y infinite.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queu	e may be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		



	٦	-	\rightarrow	4	+	*	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	≜ †⊅		۲.	A		ሻ	4		ሻ	f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	85	240	150	74	245	2	162	105	55	58	64	85
Future Volume (vph)	85	240	150	74	245	2	162	105	55	58	64	85
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.942			0.999			0.949			0.927	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3334	0	1770	3536	0	1770	1768	0	1770	1727	0
Flt Permitted	0.532			0.392			0.639			0.610		
Satd. Flow (perm)	991	3334	0	730	3536	0	1190	1768	0	1136	1727	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		224			1			48			88	
Peak Hour Factor	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.92
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	127	582	0	110	369	0	242	239	0	87	188	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		22.0	22.0		22.0	22.0	
Total Split (%)	21.8%	38.2%		21.8%	38.2%		40.0%	40.0%		40.0%	40.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	19.9	15.4		19.9	15.4		13.8	13.8		13.8	13.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.30		0.39	0.30		0.27	0.27		0.27	0.27	
v/c Ratio	0.26	0.50		0.27	0.34		0.75	0.46		0.28	0.35	
Control Delay	9.5	11.5		9.7	16.5		34.5	16.0		18.0	11.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.5	11.5		9.7	16.5		34.5	16.0		18.0	11.0	
LOS	А	В		А	В		С	В		В	В	
Approach Delay		11.2			14.9			25.3			13.2	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	21	50		18	51		70	49		22	24	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	32	53		28	58		93	67		37	41	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		668			807			402			378	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130			150		
Base Capacity (vph)	486	1175		415	1082		388	609		370	622	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.26	0.50		0.27	0.34		0.62	0.39		0.24	0.30	

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service B

√ Ø1	<u></u> → _{Ø2}	4	ō4
12 s	21 s	22 s	
	✓ Ø6	- †	08
12 s	21 s	22 s	

	٦	-	\rightarrow	4	+	*	•	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	A		<u> </u>	A		۲	el el		1	¢Î	
Traffic Volume (vph)	27	23	14	120	48	24	20	173	248	107	41	13
Future Volume (vph)	27	23	14	120	48	24	20	173	248	107	41	13
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.943			0.950			0.912			0.963	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3337	0	1770	3362	0	1770	1699	0	1770	1794	0
Flt Permitted	0.698			0.647			0.715			0.227		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1300	3337	0	1205	3362	0	1332	1699	0	423	1794	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		17			29			133			16	
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	33	45	0	145	87	0	24	507	0	129	65	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	20.0%	35.0%		20.0%	35.0%		45.0%	45.0%		45.0%	45.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		21.0	21.0		21.0	21.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	20.0	15.6		22.3	20.1		17.6	17.6		17.6	17.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.29		0.41	0.37		0.32	0.32		0.32	0.32	
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.05		0.26	0.07		0.06	0.79		0.95	0.11	
Control Delay	9.9	12.6		11.4	11.5		13.4	23.5		90.4	11.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.9	12.6		11.4	11.5		13.4	23.5		90.4	11.3	
LOS	А	В		В	В		В	С		F	В	
Approach Delay		11.4			11.4			23.0			63.9	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			E	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	6	4		30	5		6	115		43	12	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	17	13		54	21		17	188		#119	30	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		668			807			402			378	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130			150		
Base Capacity (vph)	531	965		556	1256		532	759		169	727	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.06	0.05		0.26	0.07		0.05	0.67		0.76	0.09	
	0.00	0.00		0.20	0.07		0.00	0.07		0.70	0.07	

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 60							
Actuated Cycle Length: 54.5							
Natural Cycle: 60							
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95							
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.2	Intersection LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.3%	ICU Level of Service B						
Analysis Period (min) 15							
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may	# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.						
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.							

Ø1	<u>→</u> _{Ø2}	₩Ø4	
12 s	21 s	27 s	
∕ ∕_ø5	◆ Ø6	⊲ † _{Ø8}	
12 s	21 s	27 s	

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp								
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County								
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd								
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM										
Lanes											



venicle volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R
Volume (veh/h)		244	322				564	37						18		237
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)															0	
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo	
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		294												22		286
Capacity, c (veh/h)		874												59		437
v/c Ratio		0.34												0.37		0.65
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.5												1.3		4.6
Control Delay (s/veh)		11.2												97.1		27.5
Level of Service (LOS)		В												F		D
Approach Delay (s/veh)	7.6										32.4					
Approach LOS														i)	

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 12/1/2021 3:48:08 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp								
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County								
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd								
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM										
Lanes											



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustine				1								1			
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			Southbound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R
Volume (veh/h)		433	456				414	9						4		148
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)															0	
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo	
Median Type Storage		Undivided														
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		476												4		163
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1091												29		599
v/c Ratio		0.44												0.15		0.27
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		2.3												0.5		1.1
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.8												148.1		13.2
Level of Service (LOS)	B													F		В
Approach Delay (s/veh)	8.6										16.8					
Approach LOS											С					

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 12/1/2021 3:50:41 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/10/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	ect Description Combined 2024 AM with EBL									

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	T	Fasth	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		L	T	0	0	0	- '	TR		0	0	0		L	0	R	
Volume (veh/h)		244	322				564	37						18		237	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3	522				504	51						3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked		5												5			
Percent Grade (%)														<u> </u>	0		
Right Turn Channelized															-		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided								No				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	vs															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)	-	4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	-	2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	-	2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d I eve		prvice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		294			1	1	1		1	I	1		1	22		286	
		874												69		437	
Capacity, c (veh/h)							<u> </u>			<u> </u>					<u> </u>	-	
v/c Ratio		0.34					<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	0.31	<u> </u>	0.65	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.5												1.2		4.6	
Control Delay (s/veh)		11.2												79.6		27.5	
Level of Service (LOS)	В													F D			
Approach Delay (s/veh)	4.8										31.2						
Approach LOS													[D			

Generated: 12/1/2021 3:52:24 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with EBL									
Lanes										

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		L	Т					TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		433	456				414	9						4		148	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)														()		
Right Turn Channelized														N	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice								<u>.</u>						
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		476												4		163	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1091												42		599	
v/c Ratio		0.44												0.11		0.27	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		2.3												0.3		1.1	
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.8												100.9		13.2	
Level of Service (LOS)		В												F		В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	5.3											15.5					
Approach LOS												С					

Generated: 12/1/2021 3:54:12 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Eastern Access						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County						
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Eastern RIRO Driveay						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM	<u>.</u>	- -						
Lanes									
JA A A A L L									



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1		0	0	0		0	0	1	
Configuration			Т				Т	R								R	
Volume (veh/h)			377				208	103								5	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)														(0		
Right Turn Channelized						Ν	lo							Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Left +	· Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Τ															7	
Capacity, c (veh/h)																860	
v/c Ratio																0.01	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)																9.2	
Level of Service (LOS)																Α	
Approach Delay (s/veh)													9.2				
Approach LOS														,	4		

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:11:32 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Eastern Access						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County						
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Eastern RIRO Driveay						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM		- -						
Lanes									



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1		0	0	0		0	0	1
Configuration			Т				Т	R								R
Volume (veh/h)			399				122	58								4
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)														(0	
Right Turn Channelized						Ν	10							Ν	lo	
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice											<u>.</u>		
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																5
Capacity, c (veh/h)																970
v/c Ratio																0.00
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.0
Control Delay (s/veh)																8.7
Level of Service (LOS)																Α
Approach Delay (s/veh)		-	-									8.7				
Approach LOS													A			



Generated: 12/1/2021 4:12:41 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information	General Information Site Information										
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Western Access								
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County								
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Western RIRO Driveway								
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM										
Lanes											
1412ABU											



venicle volumes and Adj	ustine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0		0	0	0		0	0	1	
Configuration			Т				Т	TR								R	
Volume (veh/h)			377				213	0								108	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)															0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																161	
Capacity, c (veh/h)																855	
v/c Ratio																0.19	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.7	
Control Delay (s/veh)																10.2	
Level of Service (LOS)																В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)													10.2				
Approach LOS												В					

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Schaad at Western Access_AM_Combined.xtw Generated: 12/1/2021 4:20:31 PM

LICEZ Two May Stop Control Depart											
	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information	General Information Site Information										
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Western Access								
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County								
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Western RIRO Driveway								
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM										
Lanes											



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0		0	0	0		0	0	1
Configuration			Т				т	TR								R
Volume (veh/h)			399				126	0								62
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)			·											()	
Right Turn Channelized														N	lo	
Median Type Storage				Left +	· Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																75
Capacity, c (veh/h)																967
v/c Ratio																0.08
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.3
Control Delay (s/veh)																9.0
Level of Service (LOS)																A
Approach Delay (s/veh)												9.0				
Approach LOS												A				

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TW TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Schaad at Western Access_PM_Combined.xtw Generated: 12/1/2021 4:21:37 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson at Full Access							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Full Access Driveway							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Road							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM									
Lanes										



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						129		28			69	130		37	87	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3						3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						(0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.43		6.23						4.13		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							234							55		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							582							1259		
v/c Ratio							0.40							0.04		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							1.9							0.1		
Control Delay (s/veh)							15.3							8.0		
Level of Service (LOS)							С							A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					15.3								2.6			
Approach LOS					С				1							

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:31:06 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson at Full Access							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Full Access Driveway							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Road							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM									
Lanes										



Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						143		16			96	144		23	34	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3						3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						(0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.43		6.23						4.13		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							192							28		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							688							1267		
v/c Ratio							0.28							0.02		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							1.1							0.1		
Control Delay (s/veh)							12.2							7.9		
Level of Service (LOS)							В							Α		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					12.2								3.3			
Approach LOS					В											



Generated: 12/1/2021 4:32:10 PM

		Stop Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Exten	sion	

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR			LTR		
Volume (veh/h)	2	138	544	125	24	31	1120	2		141	58	49		76	47	85	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)						1				(0				0		
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage				Left +	· Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		209				82				210		160			310		
Capacity, c (veh/h)		353				422						409					
v/c Ratio		0.59				0.19						0.39					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		3.6				0.7						1.8					
Control Delay (s/veh)		29.0				15.6						19.3					
Level of Service (LOS)		D				С						С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)		5	.0		0.7												
Approach LOS																	

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TWSC Version 7.9.5

Generated: 12/1/2021 2:56:48 PM

2024_Johnson at Schaad_AM_Combined with Extension.xtw

		Stop Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extens	sion	

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		0	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	т	TR		L		TR			LTR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	118	1019	10	21	72	611	24		15	76	255		100	35	18
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										(0			(0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		142				112				18		399			184	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		837				206						13				
v/c Ratio		0.17				0.55						30.66				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.6				2.9						51.1				
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.2				41.7						13910. 2				
Level of Service (LOS)		В				E						F				
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1	.0		5.3										-	
Approach LOS	1															

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

erved. HCS TM TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Johnson at Schaad_PM_Combined with Extension.xtw

D-49

General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County						
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Extension with SBL									

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicie volumes and Adj																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		1	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)	2	138	544	125	24	31	1120	2		141	58	49		76	47	85
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0				0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)	6.4	4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)	6.46	4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.5	2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	2.53	2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice			<u> </u>			<u> </u>					<u> </u>		
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		209				82				210		160		113		197
Capacity, c (veh/h)		353				422						409		205		
v/c Ratio		0.59				0.19						0.39		0.55		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		3.6				0.7						1.8		3.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)		29.0				15.6						19.3		42.5		
Level of Service (LOS)		D				С						С		E		
Approach Delay (s/veh)		. 5	.0		0.7											
Approach LOS																

Generated: 12/1/2021 3:02:16 PM

General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Schaad Rd						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County						
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Rd						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extension with SBL									

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound		Northbound					South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	1	0		1	1	0
Configuration		L	Т	TR		L	Т	TR		L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)	0	118	1019	10	21	72	611	24		15	76	255		100	35	18
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3			3	3				3	3	3		3	3	3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0				0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1			6.4	4.1				7.5	6.5	6.9		7.5	6.5	6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16			6.46	4.16				7.56	6.56	6.96		7.56	6.56	6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2			2.5	2.2				3.5	4.0	3.3		3.5	4.0	3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23			2.53	2.23				3.53	4.03	3.33		3.53	4.03	3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		142				112				18		399		120		64
Capacity, c (veh/h)		837				206						13				42
v/c Ratio		0.17				0.55						30.66				1.52
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.6				2.9						51.1				6.4
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.2				41.7						13910. 2				474.7
Level of Service (LOS)		В				E						F				F
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1	.0		5.3								-	-	-	
Approach LOS																

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TM TWSC Version 7.9.5

D-51

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Combined AM with Schaad Extension

٠ ٠ ٩ t ┛ • ۴ 渣 EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT Lane Group SBR Lane Configurations ٦ ŧÞ ٦ ۴Þ ኘ Ъ 4 Traffic Volume (vph) 140 125 55 2 141 49 76 47 85 544 1120 58 Future Volume (vph) 140 544 125 55 1120 2 141 58 49 76 47 85 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 0.972 0.932 0.955 Flt Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.980 Satd. Flow (prot) 3440 1770 3539 1770 0 0 1770 1736 0 0 1743 0 Flt Permitted 0.233 0.757 0.083 0.508 0 Satd. Flow (perm) 155 3440 434 3539 0 946 1736 0 0 1347 0 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 45 43 26 Peak Hour Factor 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.92 Shared Lane Traffic (%) 999 Lane Group Flow (vph) 209 0 82 1675 210 0 275 0 160 0 0 Turn Type NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt **Protected Phases** 5 2 1 6 8 4 Permitted Phases 2 6 8 4 5 2 6 8 **Detector Phase** 8 4 4 1 Switch Phase 6.0 15.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 14.0 52.0 12.0 50.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 Total Split (%) 15.6% 57.8% 13.3% 55.6% 28.9% 28.9% 28.9% 28.9% Maximum Green (s) 8.0 46.0 6.0 44.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall Mode None Min None Min None None None None Act Effct Green (s) 54.8 48.4 50.0 44.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.61 0.54 0.56 0.49 0.22 0.22 0.22 v/c Ratio 0.88 0.53 0.25 0.97 1.00 0.38 0.86 Control Delay 14.7 56.0 8.3 38.7 100.2 24.6 57.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 57.5 Total Delay 56.0 14.7 8.3 38.7 100.2 24.6 LOS Ε В D F С Е А Approach Delay 21.9 37.3 67.5 57.5 Approach LOS Е С D Е Queue Length 50th (ft) 69 185 16 464 120 56 138 Queue Length 95th (ft) #93 156 23 342 #164 75 155 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 319 Base Capacity (vph) 237 1870 1730 419 330 210 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.88 0.53 0.25 0.97 1.00 0.38 0.86

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Actuated Cycle Length: 90 Vatural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 ntersection Signal Delay: 36.8 Intersection LOS: D	Intersection Summary		
Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 ntersection Signal Delay: 36.8 Intersection LOS: D	Cycle Length: 90		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 ntersection Signal Delay: 36.8 Intersection LOS: D	Actuated Cycle Length: 90		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 ntersection Signal Delay: 36.8 Intersection LOS: D	Natural Cycle: 90		
ntersection Signal Delay: 36.8 Intersection LOS: D	Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
	Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00		
ntersection Capacity Utilization 72.3% ICU Level of Service C	Intersection Signal Delay: 36.8	Intersection LOS: D	
	Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.3%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15	Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.	# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be	e longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.	Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Ø1	<u></u> _{Ø2}	Ø4
12 s	52 s	26 s
	₩ Ø6	<∎ Ø8
14 s	50 s	26 s

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Combined PM with Schaad Extension

۰. ٠ € ٩ t ┛ ۴ 渣 EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL Lane Group SBT SBR **ħ**₽ Lane Configurations ٦ ኘ ŧÞ ኘ Ъ 4 Traffic Volume (vph) 118 1019 10 93 611 24 15 255 100 35 18 76 Future Volume (vph) 118 1019 10 93 611 24 15 76 255 100 35 18 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 0.999 0.994 0.885 0.984 Flt Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.968 Satd. Flow (prot) 1649 1770 3536 0 1770 3518 0 1770 0 0 1774 0 Flt Permitted 0.244 0.146 0.663 0.364 Satd. Flow (perm) 455 3536 0 272 3518 0 1235 1649 0 0 667 0 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 1 5 204 9 Peak Hour Factor 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) 142 1240 0 765 18 399 0 184 112 0 0 0 Turn Type NA NA Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt pm+pt **Protected Phases** 5 2 1 6 8 4 Permitted Phases 2 6 8 4 5 2 6 8 **Detector Phase** 8 4 4 1 Switch Phase 6.0 15.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 15.0 37.0 12.0 34.0 31.0 31.0 31.0 31.0 Total Split (%) 18.8% 46.3% 15.0% 42.5% 38.8% 38.8% 38.8% 38.8% Maximum Green (s) 9.0 31.0 6.0 28.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall Mode None Min None Min None None None None Act Effct Green (s) 35.7 29.3 31.8 27.4 23.0 23.0 23.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.48 0.40 0.43 0.37 0.31 0.31 0.31 v/c Ratio 0.86 0.39 0.88 0.46 0.58 0.05 0.61 Control Delay 62.2 12.7 30.9 16.8 22.1 19.7 15.6 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.2 Total Delay 12.7 30.9 16.8 22.1 19.7 15.6 LOS В С В С В В Е Approach Delay 29.0 21.4 15.8 62.2 Approach LOS С В С Е Queue Length 50th (ft) 33 300 26 162 6 76 82 Queue Length 95th (ft) 56 344 46 198 19 141 #178 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 241 Base Capacity (vph) 1409 714 389 1549 244 435 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.37 0.80 0.54 0.04 0.56 0.76 0.46

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 80		
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.7		
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88		
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.9	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.8%	ICU Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue	may be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

√ Ø1	A 102	↓ Ø4
12 s	37 s	31 s
▶ ø5	₩ Ø6	
15 s	34 s	31 s

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Combined AM with Schaad Extension SBL Lane

Insert Group FBI FBIT SERT VMET VMER NET NET NET SER SER SER Lane Configurations N P N P N P		≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	←	•	•	Ť	۲	1	Ļ	~
Traffic Youme (wph) 140 544 125 55 1120 2 141 58 49 76 47 85 Future Volume (wph) 140 544 125 55 1120 2 141 58 49 76 47 85 Lane Util Factor 1.00 0.950<	Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
If affi: Oblume (vph) 140 544 125 55 1120 2 141 58 49 76 477 85 Lane Utili Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00	Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	≜ 1,		<u>۲</u>	A12		ľ	4Î		٦	eî	
Future Volume (vph) 140 544 125 55 1120 2 141 58 49 76 47 85 Lane Util, Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.950 0.932 0.915 0.915 Fit Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.917 0.736 0 1704 0.034 Stati. Flow (ptrit) 1.770 1.740 0 3539 0 1172 1736 0 1174 1746 0 72 1746 0 1747 1746 0 1747 1746 0 1747 1746 0 1172 1736 0 113 1748 0 92 92 92 167 0 107 1744 0 174 174 0 174 174 0 174 174 174 0 174 174 0 174 174 0 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 17				125			2			49			85
Lane UNI Factor 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.90 0.932 0.932 0.932 Fit Protected 0.972 0.970 0.932 0.932 0.915 0.915 Satd. Flow (prot) 1770 3440 0 1770 3539 0 1770 1736 0 1770 1744 0 Satd. Flow (RDR) 52 - - 66 72 2 Peak Hour Factor 0.67		140	544	125		1120	2	141	58	49	76		85
Fri 0.972 0.932 0.915 Fil Protected 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 Stal. Flow (prot) 1770 340 0 1770 1736 0 1770 1740 0 FIP Permitted 0.092 0.234 0.629 0.634 - - Stal. Flow (perm) 171 3440 0 436 5339 0 1172 1736 0 1181 1704 0 Stal. Flow (perm) 0.67 <td< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>0.95</td><td>0.95</td><td></td><td>0.95</td><td>0.95</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td></td<>		1.00	0.95	0.95		0.95	0.95	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot) 1770 3440 0 1770 3539 0 1770 1736 0 1770 1704 0 FIL Permitted 0.092 0.234 0.629 0.629 0.634 770 1704 0 Satd. Flow (perm) 171 3440 0 436 3539 0 1172 1736 0.67 0	Frt		0.972						0.932			0.915	
Fit Permitted 0.092 0.234 0.629 0.634 Satd. Flow (perm) 171 3440 0 436 3539 0 1172 1736 0 1181 1704 0 Satd. Flow (PCRR) 52 46 72 Peak Hour Factor 0.67	Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Fit Permitted 0.092 0.234 0.629 0.634 Sald. Flow (prom) 171 3440 0 436 3539 0 1172 1736 0 1181 1704 0 Sald. Flow (PTOR) 52	Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3440	0	1770	3539	0	1770	1736	0	1770	1704	0
Satel. Flow (R1OR) 52 46 72 Peak Hour Factor 0.67		0.092			0.234			0.629			0.634		
Satel. Flow (R1OR) 52 46 72 Peak Hour Factor 0.67	Satd. Flow (perm)	171	3440	0	436	3539	0	1172	1736	0	1181	1704	0
Peak Hour Factor 0.67			52						46			72	
		0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.92
	Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
	Lane Group Flow (vph)	209	999	0	82	1675	0	210	160	0	113	162	0
Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 8 4 Permitted Phases 2 6 8 8 4 4 Switch Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Switch Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Switch Phase 5 2 1 10 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 15.0% 60.0% 15.0% 60.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 4.2 6.0 4.2 1.40 14.0 14.0 14.0 Vellow Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Detector Phase 5 2 1 6 8 8 4 4 Switch Phase Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 15.0 6.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Initial (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 48.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 48.0 12.0 48.0 20.0 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 4.2.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0					•	6							
Switch Phase Iminium Initial (s) 6.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Spit (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Spit (s) 12.0 48.0 12.0 48.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Total Spit (%) 15.0% 60.0% 15.0% 60.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 42.0 6.0 42.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 All-Red Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 0.0	Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Switch Phase Minimum Initial (s) 6.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 21.0 12.0 21.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 48.0 12.0 48.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Total Split (s) 12.0 48.0 12.0 48.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Maximum Green (s) 6.0 42.0 6.0 42.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 All-Red Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Velicot Time (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Act tated g/C fatio 0.61 0.55 0.59 0.52 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 <td>Detector Phase</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td></td>	Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Switch Phase												
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $.,												
Total Split (%) 15.0% 60.0% 15.0% 60.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% Maximum Green (s) 6.0 42.0 6.0 42.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes <td></td>													
Maximum Green (s)6.042.06.042.014.014.014.014.0Yellow Time (s)4.04.54.04.54.04.04.04.0All-Red Time (s)2.01.52.01.52.02.02.02.0Lost Time (s)0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Lost Time (s)6.06.06.06.06.06.06.0Lead/LagLeadLagLeadLagLead/LagLead/LagLead/LagLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesYesYesVehicle Extension (s)3.03.03.03.03.03.03.0Recall ModeNoneMinNoneMinNoneNoneNoneAct Effect Green (s)48.343.547.041.014.014.014.0Actuated g/C Ratio0.610.550.590.520.180.180.18Vic Ratio0.930.520.230.911.010.460.540.45Control Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4LOSEBACFCDCApproach LOSCCCECCQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372-110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)49413318 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>													
Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 AIl-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 <													
All-Red Time (s) 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.1 7.0 7.1 7.0 7.1 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.1 7.0 7.1 <		2.0			2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 8.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall Mode None Min None Min None None None Act Effct Green (s) 48.3 43.5 47.0 41.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.61 0.55 0.59 0.52 0.18 0.18 0.18 v/c Ratio 0.61 0.55 0.59 0.52 0.18 0.18 0.18 Control Delay 64.0 12.4 6.3 26.6 102.2 25.9 40.8 21.4 LOS E B A C F C D C Approach Delay 21.3 25.7 69.2 29.4 Approach LOS C C C Queue Length S0th (ft) 55 154 12		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesYesVehicle Extension (s) 3.0 <	Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Recall ModeNoneMinNoneMinNoneNoneNoneNoneNoneAct Effct Green (s) 48.3 43.5 47.0 41.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.61 0.55 0.59 0.52 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 v/c Ratio 0.93 0.52 0.23 0.91 1.01 0.46 0.54 0.45 Control Delay 64.0 12.4 6.3 26.6 102.2 25.9 40.8 21.4 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 64.0 12.4 6.3 26.6 102.2 25.9 40.8 21.4 LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay 21.3 25.7 69.2 29.4 Approach LOSCCCECQueue Length 50th (ft) 55 154 12 372 -110 51 52 40 Queue Length 95th (ft) 4133 18 279 4162 378 71 74 59 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 75 52 209 361 Base Capacity (tph) 225 1918 360	Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes								
Act Effct Green (s)48.343.547.041.014.014.014.014.014.0Actuated g/C Ratio0.610.550.590.520.180.180.180.18v/c Ratio0.930.520.230.911.010.460.540.45Control Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378150150150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio0.610.550.590.520.180.180.180.18w/c Ratio0.930.520.230.911.010.460.540.45Control Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372-110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378150150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn000000000Storage Cap Reductn000000000	Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
v/c Ratio 0.93 0.52 0.23 0.91 1.01 0.46 0.54 0.45 Control Delay 64.0 12.4 6.3 26.6 102.2 25.9 40.8 21.4 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 64.0 12.4 6.3 26.6 102.2 25.9 40.8 21.4 LOS E B A C F C D C Approach Delay 21.3 25.7 69.2 29.4 Approach LOS C C E C C C Queue Length 50th (ft) 55 154 12 372 ~110 51 52 40 Queue Length 95th (ft) #94 133 18 279 #154 71 74 59 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 361 Base Capacity (vph) <t< td=""><td>Act Effct Green (s)</td><td>48.3</td><td>43.5</td><td></td><td>47.0</td><td>41.0</td><td></td><td>14.0</td><td>14.0</td><td></td><td>14.0</td><td>14.0</td><td></td></t<>	Act Effct Green (s)	48.3	43.5		47.0	41.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
v/c Ratio0.930.520.230.911.010.460.540.45Control Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378150150150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn000000000Storage Cap Reductn000000000	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.61	0.55		0.59	0.52		0.18	0.18		0.18	0.18	
Control Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372-110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378378Turn Bay Length (ft)12014013015050Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn000000000Storage Cap Reductn000000000		0.93	0.52		0.23	0.91		1.01	0.46		0.54	0.45	
Total Delay64.012.46.326.6102.225.940.821.4LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Control Delay	64.0	12.4		6.3	26.6			25.9		40.8	21.4	
LOSEBACFCDCApproach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Approach Delay21.325.769.229.4Approach LOSCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn000000000Spillback Cap Reductn000000000Storage Cap Reductn000000000	Total Delay	64.0	12.4		6.3	26.6		102.2	25.9		40.8	21.4	
Approach LOSCCECQueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Queue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	LOS	E	В		А	С		F	С		D	С	
Oueue Length 50th (ft)5515412372~110515240Oueue Length 95th (ft)#9413318279#154717459Internal Link Dist (ft)668807402378Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn000000000Spillback Cap Reductn000000000Storage Cap Reductn000000000	Approach Delay		21.3			25.7			69.2			29.4	
Queue Length 95th (ft) #94 133 18 279 #154 71 74 59 Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 Base Capacity (vph) 225 1918 360 1881 208 345 209 361 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Approach LOS		С			С			E			С	
Internal Link Dist (ft) 668 807 402 378 Turn Bay Length (ft) 120 140 130 150 Base Capacity (vph) 225 1918 360 1881 208 345 209 361 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Queue Length 50th (ft)	55	154		12	372		~110	51		52	40	
Turn Bay Length (ft)120140130150Base Capacity (vph)22519183601881208345209361Starvation Cap Reductn00000000Spillback Cap Reductn00000000Storage Cap Reductn00000000	Queue Length 95th (ft)	#94	133		18	279		#154	71		74	59	
Base Capacity (vph) 225 1918 360 1881 208 345 209 361 Starvation Cap Reductn 0	6		668			807			402			378	
Starvation Cap Reductin 0	Turn Bay Length (ft)	120			140			130			150		
Starvation Cap Reductin 0	J 0 ()	225	1918		360	1881		208	345		209	361	
Spillback Cap Reductn 0													
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
5					0						0		
			0.52			0.89							

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 80		
Actuated Cycle Length: 79		
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.01		
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.0	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.3%	ICU Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically inf	inite.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue ma	ay be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

√ Ø1		Ø4
12 s	48 s	20 s
▶ Ø5	₩ Ø6	≜ <i>Ø</i> 8
12 s	48 s	20 s

E-Z Stop Food Mart TIS 2024 Combined PM with Schaad Extension SBL Lane

	٦	+	\rightarrow	4	ł	*	≺	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	A		۲	A		٦	4Î		۲	4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	118	1019	10	93	611	24	15	76	255	100	35	18
Future Volume (vph)	118	1019	10	93	611	24	15	76	255	100	35	18
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.999			0.994			0.885			0.948	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3536	0	1770	3518	0	1770	1649	0	1770	1766	0
Flt Permitted	0.301			0.195			0.715			0.392		
Satd. Flow (perm)	561	3536	0	363	3518	0	1332	1649	0	730	1766	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		2			8			253			22	
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	142	1240	0	112	765	0	18	399	0	120	64	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6		8	8		4	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0		8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0		12.0	21.0		14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	27.0		12.0	27.0		16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0	
Total Split (%)	21.8%	49.1%		21.8%	49.1%		29.1%	29.1%		29.1%	29.1%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	21.0		6.0	21.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.5		4.0	4.5		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	1.5		2.0	1.5		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	Min		None	Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	25.0	20.5		25.0	20.5		10.2	10.2		10.2	10.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.48	0.39		0.48	0.39		0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20	
v/c Ratio	0.34	0.89		0.33	0.55		0.07	0.76		0.85	0.18	
Control Delay	7.7	26.1		8.1	14.6		19.6	20.5		73.1	15.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.7	26.1		8.1	14.6		19.6	20.5		73.1	15.4	
LOS	А	С		А	B		В	С		E	В	
Approach Delay		24.3			13.7			20.5			53.1	
Approach LOS	47	С		10	В		_	С			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	17	195		13	98		5	44		39	12	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	32	#277		27	130		17	#134		#110	35	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	100	668		4.40	807		100	402		450	378	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	120	4 457		140	4.150		130	501		150	0/ 1	
Base Capacity (vph)	412	1457		340	1453		261	526		142	364	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.34	0.85		0.33	0.53		0.07	0.76		0.85	0.18	

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc.

Intersection Summary	
Cycle Length: 55	
Actuated Cycle Length: 51.9	
Natural Cycle: 55	
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated	
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89	
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.3	Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.0%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be	e longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.	

√ Ø1	<u> ≁</u> _{Ø2}	Ø4
12 s	27 s	16 s
▶ 05	₩ Ø6	<∎ Ø8
12 s	27 s	16 s

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Extension									

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		186	258				451	31						15		180	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)														()		
Right Turn Channelized														N	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided								•				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		224												18		217	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		988												125		525	
v/c Ratio		0.23												0.14		0.41	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.9												0.5		2.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.7												38.7		16.6	
Level of Service (LOS)		A												E		С	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	5.6											18.3					
Approach LOS										С							

Generated: 12/1/2021 3:59:43 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extension										
Lanes										



venicle volumes and Adj	ustine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		LT						TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		339	365				331	8						4		111	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)															0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23											3.53 3.3				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		373												4		122	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1180												78		675	
v/c Ratio		0.32												0.06		0.18	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.4												0.2		0.7	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.4												54.0		11.5	
Level of Service (LOS)		Α												F		В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	6.5							1				13.0					
Approach LOS															В		

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:00:46 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Extension wi	th EBL								

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		L	Т					TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		186	258				451	31						15		180	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)															0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		224												18		217	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		988												133		525	
v/c Ratio		0.23												0.14		0.41	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.9												0.5		2.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.7												36.3		16.6	
Level of Service (LOS)		A												E		С	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	4.1											18.1					
Approach LOS													С				

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:01:38 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson Rd at Ball Camp							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County							
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Ball Camp Pike							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Rd							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.91							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extension wit	h EBL								
lanos	anor									

Lanes

G



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	
Configuration		L	Т					TR						L		R	
Volume (veh/h)		339	365				331	8						4		111	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)														(0		
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23											3.53			3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice		<u>.</u>						<u>.</u>			<u>.</u>			
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		373												4		122	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1180												90		675	
v/c Ratio		0.32												0.05		0.18	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.4												0.2		0.7	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.4												47.2		11.5	
Level of Service (LOS)		A												E		В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	4.6											12.7					
Approach LOS										В							

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:02:35 PM

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Eastern Access						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County						
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Eastern RIRO Driveay						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Extension		-						
Lanes									
┛╡┼╲┿┢╘ <u></u>									



venicie volumes and Adj	ustine				1				1				1				
Approach		Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1		0	0	0		0	0	1	
Configuration			Т				Т	R								R	
Volume (veh/h)			693				1019	139								5	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)													0				
Right Turn Channelized						No								No			
Median Type Storage		Left +				Thru								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																7	
Capacity, c (veh/h)																346	
v/c Ratio																0.02	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.1	
Control Delay (s/veh)					Ì									Ì		15.6	
Level of Service (LOS)																С	
Approach Delay (s/veh)														15.6			
Approach LOS														С			

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:14:00 PM
	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	pp-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Eastern Access								
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County								
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Eastern RIRO Driveay								
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extension										
Lanes											



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	1	Eacth	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Approach				-		_		-									
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9	<u> </u>	10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1		0	0	0		0	0	1	
Configuration			Т				Т	R								R	
Volume (veh/h)			1395				632	80								4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)													0				
Right Turn Channelized		No												Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage		Left + Thru 1										1					
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice								<u> </u>						
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																5	
Capacity, c (veh/h)																614	
v/c Ratio																0.01	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)																10.9	
Level of Service (LOS)																В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)														1().9		
Approach LOS								В									

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:15:02 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	op-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Western Access
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Western RIRO Driveway
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Extension		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

venicle volumes and Adj	ustine	nts															
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0		0	0	0		0	0	1	
Configuration			Т				Т	TR								R	
Volume (veh/h)			693				1024	0								153	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)													0				
Right Turn Channelized													No				
Median Type Storage		Left + Thru											1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																228	
Capacity, c (veh/h)																344	
v/c Ratio																0.66	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																4.5	
Control Delay (s/veh)																33.9	
Level of Service (LOS)																D	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		-	-				-							33.9			
Approach LOS															D		

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TM TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Schaad at Western Access_AM_Combined with Extension.xtw Generated: 12/1/2021 4:22:40 PM

1

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Schaad at Western Access								
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County								
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Schaad Road								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Western RIRO Driveway								
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extension										
Lanes											

La



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0		0	0	0		0	0	1	
Configuration			Т				Т	TR								R	
Volume (veh/h)			1395				636	0								92	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)																3	
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)			·										0				
Right Turn Channelized														Ν	lo		
Median Type Storage				Left +	- Thru								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)																6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)																6.96	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)																3.33	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of So	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)																111	
Capacity, c (veh/h)																612	
v/c Ratio																0.18	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)																0.7	
Control Delay (s/veh)																12.2	
Level of Service (LOS)																В	
Approach Delay (s/veh)											-			12	2.2	-	
Approach LOS									В								

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TM TWSC Version 7.9.5 2024_Schaad at Western Access_PM_Combined with Extension.xtw Generated: 12/1/2021 4:23:56 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	p-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson at Full Access
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Full Access Driveway
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Road
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.67
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 AM with Schaad Extension		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Configuration							LR					TR		LT				
Volume (veh/h)						107		5			80	117		14	100			
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3						3				
Proportion Time Blocked																		
Percent Grade (%)		0																
Right Turn Channelized																		
Median Type Storage		Undivided																
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1				
Critical Headway (sec)						6.43		6.23						4.13				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice															
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							167							21				
Capacity, c (veh/h)							602							1262				
v/c Ratio							0.28							0.02				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							1.1							0.1				
Control Delay (s/veh)							13.3							7.9				
Level of Service (LOS)						B								А				
Approach Delay (s/veh)				13.3										1	1.1			
Approach LOS		В																

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:32:59 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way St	op-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	ВЈН	Intersection	Johnson at Full Access
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County
Date Performed	12/01/2021	East/West Street	Full Access Driveway
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Johnson Road
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.83
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Combined 2024 PM with Schaad Extension		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R			
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0			
Configuration							LR					TR		LT					
Volume (veh/h)						125		4			85	134		11	29				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3						3					
Proportion Time Blocked																			
Percent Grade (%)							0												
Right Turn Channelized																			
Median Type Storage		Undivided																	
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys																	
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1					
Critical Headway (sec)						6.43		6.23						4.13					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							155							13					
Capacity, c (veh/h)							737							1294					
v/c Ratio							0.21							0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.8							0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)							11.2							7.8					
Level of Service (LOS)					B								Α						
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1	1.2							2	.2				
Approach LOS		В																	

Generated: 12/1/2021 4:34:12 PM

APPENDIX E | TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS





Schaad Road at Johnson Road Combined 2024 AM Volumes without Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *												
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399							
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99		No											
108) - 149 150 - 199						Yes							
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes							
3(X) - 349 350 - 399	<u></u>		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes							
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes							
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes							
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u> </u>
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 44 9	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes `	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 245 * 0.6 = 147 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 2

Schaad Road at Johnson Road Combined 2024 PM Volumes without Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN VOLUME	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99	No							
100 - 149 150 - 199						Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Y'es	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u> </u>
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes `	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 48 * 0.6 = 29 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 24

Schaad Road at Johnson Road Combined 2024 AM Volumes with Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
108) - 149 150 - 199						Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u>;</u> *
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ 1 > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99		<u></u>	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes \	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 1120 * 0.6 = 672 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 2

Schaad Road at Johnson Road Combined 2024 PM Volumes with Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
100 - 149 150 - 199						Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
3(X) - 349 350 - 399			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Y'es	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u>;</u> *
VOLUME	350 - 399	4(X) - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 	No		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes N	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Ycs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 611 * 0.6 = 367 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 24

þ

Ì

4

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	<100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
300 - 149 150 - 199						 		
200 - 249 250 - 299					Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	<u></u>		Yes	Ves Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 149 450 - 499		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN VOLUME	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
100 - 149 150 - 199		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 564 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 37

A-7

Ì

Ì

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	<100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
100 - 149 150 - 199								
200 - 249 250 - 299					Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes	Ves Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 149 450 - 499	<u> </u>	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
YOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99	<u>, <u>111</u></u>	No		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
100 - 149 150 - 199		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 414 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 9

A-7

Þ

Ì

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	<100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
100 - 149 150 - 199						 		
200 - 249 250 - 299					Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	<u> </u>		Yes	Ves Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 149 450 - 499		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN VOLUME	THR	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99		No		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
100 - 149 150 - 199		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 451 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 31

A-7

Þ

Ì

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THRC	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	<100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99					No				
100 - 149 150 - 199						 			
200 - 249 250 - 299					Yes	Yes Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 149 450 - 499	<u> </u>	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

RIGHT-TURN	THR	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99				Yes	Y'es Yes	Yes Yes			
100 - 149 150 - 199	- <u></u>	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399	Y'es Y'es	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 331 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 8

A-7

TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROUG	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	;;;;;[00-149	150 -199	200 - 249	²²⁵⁰²²²⁹⁹²²	##300 ***349 ***	×350 - 399			
100 - 149,	250	180	140	110	80	70			
150 - 199	200	140	105	90	70	60			
2001 - 240	160	115	85	75	65	55			
2501 - 290	130	100	75	65	60	50			
301) - 309	110	90	70	60	55	45			
350 - 399		80	65	55	50	40			
-100449	9/1	70	60	50	45	35			
-15049	\$0	65	55	45	40	30			
500 - 544	70	60	45	35	35	25			
550 - 522	65	55	40	35	30	25			
600 - 649	60	45	35	30	2.5	25			
650 - 699	55	35	35	30	2.5	20			
700 749	50	35	30	25	20	20			
750 or More	45	35	25	25	20	20			

OPPOSING	THROU	GH VOLIME	PLUS RIGH	T-TURN	VOLUME	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	=/ >600
100 - 149	70	60	50	45	40	35
150 - 199	60	55	45	40	35	30
200 - 249	55	50	40	35	30	30
250 - 299	50	45	35	30	30	30
300 - 349	45	40	35	30	25	25
350 - 399	40 -	35	30	25	25	20
400 - 419	35	30	30	25	20	20
450 - 499	30	25	25	20	20	20
500 - 549	25	25	20	20	20	15
550 - 599	25	20	20	20	20	15
600 - 649	25	20	20	20	20	15
650 - 699		20	20	20	20	15
700 - 749 750 or More	20 20 20	20 20	20 20	15 15	15 15	15 15

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Westbound Volume = 552 Eastbound Through Volume = 298 Eastbound Left Turn Volume = 205

1

TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	TITROUG	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	;;;;;[00-149	150 199	200 - 249	250-2/299	##300 **349 **	×350 - 399			
100 - 149,	250	180	140	110	80	70			
150 - 199	200	140	105	90	70	60			
2001 - 240	160	115	85	75	65	55			
2501 - 290	130	100	75	65	60	50			
301) - 309	110	90	70	60	55	45			
350 - 399		80	65	55	50	40			
-100449	90	70	60	50	45	35			
-15049		65	55	45	40	30			
500 - 549	70	60	45	35	35	25			
550 - 599	65	55	40	35	30	25			
600 - 649	60	45	35	30	2.5	25			
650 - 699	55	35	35	30	2.5	20			
700 749	50	35	30	25	20	20			
750 or More	45	35	25	25	20	20			

OPPOSING	THROU	GH VOLIME	PLUS RIGH	IT-TURN Y	VOLUME	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	=/ >600
-100 - 149	70	60	50	45	40	35
150 - 199	60	55	45	40	35	30
200 - 249	55	50	40	35	30	30
250 - 299	50	45	35	30	30	30
300 - 349	45	40	35	30	25	25
350 - 399	40 .		30	25	25	20
400 - 419	35	30	30	25	20	20
450 - 499	30	25	25	20	20	20
500 - 549	25	25	20	20	20	15
550 - 599	25	20	20	20	20	15
600 - 649	25	20	20	20	20	15
650 - 699	20	20	20	20	20	15
700 - 749 750 or More	20 20 20	20 20	20 20	15 15	15 15	15 15

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Westbound Volume = 388 Eastbound Through Volume = 422 Eastbound Left Turn Volume = 383

Ì

TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * 100 = 149 200 = 249 250 = 299 300 = 349 350 - 399							
VOLUME	100 - 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250-2299	aa300mr349mr	ee 350 - 39 9			
100 - 149	250	180	140	110	80	70			
150 - 199	200	140	105	90	70	60			
2(4) - 24)	160	115	85	75	65	55			
25() - 2.99	130	100	75	65	60	50			
303) - 349	110	50	70	60	55	45			
350 - 399		80	65	55	50	40			
	90	70	60	50	45	35			
	80	65	55	45	40	30			
500 - 549	70 65	60	45	35	35	25			
550 - 599		55	40	35	30	25			
600 - 649	60	45	35	30	2.5	25			
650 - 692	55	35	35	30	2.5	20			
700 749	50	35	30	25	20	20			
750 or More	45	35	25	25	20	20			

OPPOSING	THROU	GH VOLIME	PLUS RIGH	IT-TURN	VOLUME	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	=/ >600
100 - 149	70	60	50	45	40	35
150 - 199	60	55	45	40	35	30
200 - 249	55	50	40	35	30	30
250 - 299	50	45	35	30	30	30
300 - 349	45	40	35	30	25	25
350 - 399	40 -	35	30	25	25	20
400 - 419	35	30	30	25	20	20
450 - 499	30	25	25	20	20	20
500 - 549	25	25	20	20	20	15
550 - 599	25	20	20	20	20	15
600 - 649	25	20	20	20	20	15
650 - 699	20	20	20	20	20	15
700 - 749	20	20	20	15	15	15
750 or More	20	20	20	15	15	15

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Westbound Volume = 601 Eastbound Through Volume = 322 Eastbound Left Turn Volume = 244

Ì

TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROUG	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * 100 = 149 200 = 249 250 = 299 300 = 349 350 - 399							
VOLUME	T00 = 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250-2299	##3007#349:**	×350 - 399			
100 - 149	250	180	140	110	80	70			
150 - 199	200	140	105	90	70	60			
2001 - 240	160	115	85	75	65	55			
250 - 290	130	100	75	65	60	50			
3(4) - 3(9	110	90	70	60	55	45			
350 - 399		80	65	55	50	40			
44	94)	70	60	50	45	35			
	S0	65	55	45	40	30			
500 - 549	70	60	45	35	35	25			
550 - 599	65	55	40	35	30	25			
600 - 649	60	45	35	30	2.5	25			
650 - 699	55	35	35	30	2.5	20			
700+ 749	50	35	30	25	20	20			
750 or More	45	35	25	25	20	20			

OPPOSING	THROU	GH VOLIME	PLUS RIGH	IT-TURN	VOLUME	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	=/ >600
*100 - 149	70	60	50	45	40	35
150 - 199	60	55	45	40	35	30
200 - 249	55	50	40	35	30	30
250 - 299	50	45	35	30	30	30
300 - 349	45	40	35	30	25	25
350 - 399	40 .	35	30	25	25	20
400 - 419	35	30	30	25	20	20
450 - 499	30	25	25	20	20	20
500 - 549	25	25	20	20	20	15
550 - 599	25	20	20	20	20	15
600 - 649	25	20	20	20	20	15
650 - 699	20	20	20	20	20	15
700 - 749 750 or More	20 20 20	20 20	28 20	15 15	15 15	15 15

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Westbound Volume = 423 Eastbound Through Volume = 456 Eastbound Left Turn Volume = 433

1

TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	TITROUG	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	;;;;; 1 00 - 149	150 199	200 - 249	23250-2299	#300***349***	eg 350 - 39 9			
100 - 149,	250	180	140	110	80	70			
150 - 199		540	105	90	70	60			
2001 - 240	160	115	85	75	65	55			
250 - 290	130	100	75	65	60	50			
300) - 349	110	90	70	60	55	45			
350 - 399		80	65	55	50	40			
400	90	70	60	50	45	35			
	80	65	55	45	40	30			
500 - 544	70	60	45	35	35	25			
550 - 522	65	55	40	35	30	25			
600 - 649	60	45	35	30	25	25			
650 - 699	55	35	35	30	25	20			
700 749	50	35	30	25	20	20			
750 or More		35	25	25	20	20			

OPPOSING	THROU	GH VOLIME	PLUS NIGH	IT-TURN V	VOLUME	, *
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	=/ > 600
100 - 149	70	60	50	45	40	35
150 - 199	60	55	45	40	35	30
200 - 249	55	50	40	35	30	30
250 - 299	50	45	35	30	30	30
300 - 349	45	40	35	30	25	25
350 - 399	40 .	35	30	25	25	20
400 - 419	35	30	30	25	20	20
450 - 499	30	25	25	20	20	20
500 - 549	25	25	20	20	20	15
550 - 599	25	20	20	20	20	15
600 - 649	25	20	20	20	20	15
650 - 699	20	20	20	20	20	15
700 - 749	20	20	28	15	15	15
750 or More	20	20	20	15	15	15

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Westbound Volume = 482 Eastbound Through Volume = 258 Eastbound Left Turn Volume = 186

1

TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	;·····································	150 - 199	200 - 249	250-2299	#300 ***349 ***	sz 350 - 39 9			
100 - 149	250	180	140	110	80	70			
150 - 199	200	140	105	90	70	60			
2(4) - 24)	160	115	85	75	65	55			
25() - 25()	130	100	75	65	60	50			
304) - 349	110	50	70	60	55	45			
350 - 399		80	65	55	50	40			
	901	70	60	50	45	35			
		65	55	45	40	30			
500 - 549	70	60	45	35	35	25			
550 - 599	65	55	40	35	30	25			
600 - 649	60	45	35	30	25	25			
650 - 692	55	35	35	30	25	20			
700 749	50	35	30	25	20	20			
750 or More	45	35	25	25	20	20			

OPPOSING	THROU	GH VOLIME	PLUS RIGH	T-TURN V	VOLUME	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	=/ >600
*100 - 149	70	60	50	45	40	35
150 - 199	60	55	45	40	35	30
200 - 249	55	50	40	35	30	30
250 - 299	50	45	35	30	30	30
300 - 349	45	40	35	30	25	25
350 - 399	40 ·	35	30	25	25	20
400 - 419	35	30	30	25	20	20
450 - 499	30	25	25	20	20	20
500 - 549	25	25	20	20	20	15
550 - 599	25	20	20	20	20	15
600 - 649	25	20	20	20	20	15
650 - 699	20	20	20	20	20	15
700 - 749	20	20	20	15	15	15
750 or More	20	20	20	15	15	15

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Westbound Volume = 339 Eastbound Through Volume = 365 Eastbound Left Turn Volume = 339

1

Schaad Road at Eastern RIRO Driveway Combined 2024 AM Volumes without Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99						-		
100 - 149 150 - 199		No		 		Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499	<u></u>	Yes Yes	Y'es Y'es	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Y'es	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u>;</u> *
VOLUME	350 - 399	4(X) - 44 9	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes v	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 208 * 0.6 = 125 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 103

Schaad Road at Eastern RIRO Driveway Combined 2024 PM Volumes without Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewor Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99	No							
100 - 149 150 - 199						Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
3(0) - 349 350 - 399			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u>}</u> *
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 44 9	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes `	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 122 * 0.6 = 73 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 58

Schaad Road at Eastern RIRO Driveway Combined 2024 AM Volumes with Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane IS Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99		i						
100 - 149 150 - 199						Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	······································	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes Yes	Y'es Y'es	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Y'es Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u>}</u> *
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ 1 > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes N	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 1019 * 0.6 = 611 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 139

Schaad Road at Eastern RIRO Driveway Combined 2024 PM Volumes with Schaad Road extension Westbound Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 6B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
100 - 149 150 - 199						Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499	<u> </u>	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	UGH VOLUM	E PLUS LEI	T-TURN	VOLUMI	<u> </u>
VOLUME	350 - 399	4(X) - 44 9	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99	No		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes N	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Westbound Through Volume = 632 * 0.6 = 379 Westbound Right Turn Volume = 80

Ł

TABLE 4B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS

FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99						 		
100 - 149	No							
150 - 199		ļ		-	 	1 		
200 - 249 250 - 299		}				Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99					Yes	Yes Yes			
100 - 149 150 - 199			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes	Yês Yês	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Northbound Through Volume = 69 Northbound Right Turn Volume = 130

ł

TABLE 4B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS

FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99									
100 - 149 150 - 199	No					, , , ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,			
200 - 249 250 - 299						Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99					Yes	Yes Yes			
100 - 149 150 - 199			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Northbound Through Volume = 96 Northbound Right Turn Volume = 144

È-21

ł

TABLE 4B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS

FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99								
100 - 149 150 - 199	No				 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
200 - 249 250 - 299		<u> </u>				Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99					Yes	Yes Yes			
100 - 149 150 - 199			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Northbound Through Volume = 80 Northbound Right Turn Volume = 117

È-22

ł

TABLE 4B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS

FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

RIGHT-TURN	THRO	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399			
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99						 			
100 - 149 150 - 199	No			-]]			
200 - 249 250 - 299						Yes			
300 - 349 350 - 399				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
400 - 449 450 - 499			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
500 - 549 550 - 599		Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

RIGHT-TURN	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+ / > 600		
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99					Yes	Yes Yes		
100 - 149 150 - 199			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Northbound Through Volume = 85 Northbound Right Turn Volume = 134

È-23

Johnson Road at Full Access Driveway Combined 2024 AM Volumes without Schaad Road extension Left Turn Lane NOT Warranted

TABLE 4A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

OPPOSING	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	100 - 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399		
100 - 149	300	235	185	145	120	100		
150 - 199	245	200	160	130	110	90		
200 - 249	205	170	140	115	100	80		
250 - 299	175	150	125	105	90	70		
300 - 349	155	135	110	95	80	65		
350 - 399	135	120	100	85	70	60		
400 - 449	120	105	90	75	65	55		
450 - 499	105	90	S0	70	60	50		
5(8) - 549	95	\$0	70	65	55	50		
550 - 599	85	70	65	60	50	45		
600 - 649	75	65	60	55	45	40		
650 - 699	70	60	55	50	40	35		
700 - 749	65	55	50	45	35	30		
750 or More	60	50	45	40	35	30		

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	== / > 600			
100 - 149	100	80	70	60	55	50			
< 150 - 199	90	75	65	55	50	45			
200 - 249	30	72	460	55	50	45			
250 - 299	70	65	55	50	45	40			
300 - 349	65	60	50	50	45	4()			
350 - 399	60	55	50	45	40	40			
400 - 449	55	50	45	45	40	35			
450 - 499	50	45	45	40	35	35			
500 - 549	50	45	40	40	35	35			
550 - 599	45	40	40	35	35	35			
600 - 649	40	35	35	35	35	30			
650 - 699	35	35	35	30	30	30			
700 - 749	30	30	30	30	30 ×	30			
750 or More	30	30	30	30	30	30			

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

Northbound Volume = 199 Southbound Through Volume = 87 Southbound Left Turn Volume = 37

TABLE 4A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	100 - 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399			
100 - 149	300	235	185	145	120	100			
150 - 199	245	200	160	130	110	90			
200 - 249	205	170	140	115	100	80			
250 - 299	175	150	125	105	90	70			
300 - 349	155	135	110	95	80	65			
350 - 399	135	120	100	85	70	60			
400 - 449	120	105	90	75	65	55			
450 - 499	105	90		70	60	50			
500 - 549	95	80	70	65	55	50			
550 - 599	85	70	65	60	50	45			
600 - 649	75	65	64)	55	45	40			
650 - 699	70	60	55	50	40	35			
700 - 749	65	55	50	45	35	30			
750 or More	60	50	45	40	35	30			

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	== / > 600			
100 - 149	100	80	70	60	55	50			
< 150 - 199	90	75	65	55	50	45			
200 - 249	30	72	460	55	50	45			
250 - 299	70	65	55	50	45	40			
300 - 349	65	60	50	50	45	4()			
350 - 399	60	55	50	45	40	40			
400 - 449	55	50	45	45	40	35			
450 - 499	50	45	45	40	35	35			
500 - 549	50	45	40	40	35	35			
550 - <i>5</i> 99	45	40	40	35	35	35			
600 - 649	40)	35	35	35	35	30			
650 - 699	35	35	35	30	30	30			
700 - 749	30	30	30	30	30	30			
750 or More	30	30	30	30	30	30			

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

Northbound Volume = 240 Southbound Through Volume = 34 Southbound Left Turn Volume = 23

TABLE 4A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	100 - 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399			
100 - 149	300	235	185	145	120	100			
150 - 199	245	200	160	130	110	90			
200 - 249	205	170	140	115	100	80			
250 - 299	175	150	125	105	90	70			
300 - 349	155	135	110	95	50	65			
350 - 399	135	120	100	85	70	60			
400 - 449	120	105	90	75	65	55			
450 - 499	105	90	80	70	60	50			
5(8) - 549	95	80	70	65	55	50			
550 - 599	85	70	65	60	50	45			
600 - 649	75	65	60	55	45	40			
650 - 699	70	60	55	50	40	35			
700 - 749	65	55	50	45	35	30			
750 or More	60	50	45	40	35	30			

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROU	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *							
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	== / > 600			
100 - 149	100	80	70	60	55	50			
< 150 - 199	90	75	65	55	50	45			
200 - 249	30	72	460	55	50	45			
250 - 299	70	65	55	50	45	40			
300 - 349	65	60	50	50	45	40			
350 - 399	60	55	50	45	40	40			
400 - 449	55	50	45	45	40	35			
450 - 499	50	45	45	40	35	35			
500 - 549	50	45	40 40	40	35	35			
550 - 599	45	40		35	35	35			
600 - 649	40)	35	35	35	35	30			
650 - 699	35	35	35	30	30	30			
700 - 749	30	30	30	30	30 ×	30			
750 or More	30	30	30	30	30	30			

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

Northbound Volume = 197 Southbound Through Volume = 100 Southbound Left Turn Volume = 14

TABLE 4A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

OPPOSING	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *										
VOLUME	100 - 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399					
100 - 149	300	235	185	145	120	100					
150 - 199	245	200	160	130	110	90					
200 - 249	205	170	140	115	100	80					
250 - 299	175	150	125	105	90	70					
300 - 349	155	135	110	95	50	65					
350 - 399	135	120	100	85	70	60					
400 - 449	120	105	90	75	65	55					
450 - 499	105	90	80	70	60	50					
5(8) - 549	95	80	70	65	55	50					
550 - 599	85	70	65	60	50	45					
600 - 649	75	65	60	55	45	40					
650 - 699	70	60	55	50	40	35					
700 - 749	65	55	50	45	35	30					
750 or More	60	50	45	40	35	30					

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME *										
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	== / > 600					
100 - 149	100	80	70	60	55	50					
< 150 - 199	90	75	65	55	50	45					
200 - 249	30	72	460	55	50	45					
250 - 299	70	65	55	50	45	40					
300 - 349	65	60	50	50	45	4()					
350 - 399	60	55	50	45	40	40					
400 - 449	55	50	45	45	40	35					
450 - 499	50	45	45	40	35	35					
500 - 549	50	45	40	40	35	35					
550 - 599	45	40	40	35	35	35					
600 - 649	40	35	35	35	35	30					
650 - 699	35	35	35	30	30	30					
700 - 749	30	30	30	30	30	30					
750 pr More	30	30	30	30	30	30					

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

Northbound Volume = 219 Southbound Through Volume = 29 Southbound Left Turn Volume = 11

APPENDIX F | SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS





TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - VOLUME WARRANTS

Time Beginning 6:00 am 7:00 8:00	Major Street Minor Street					Street	Warrant #		Warrant #1B		Combination		Warrant #2		Warrant #3	
	Actual Volume App #1 App #2 Total		Adjusted Actual Total Volume Volum, -		Adjusted Total Volurr ,		Min. Vol.) of Warrant Minor	(8 Hr Interruption) Percent of Warrant Major Minor		(Warrants 1A & 1B) Percent of Warrant Major Minor		(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>r Vols.)</u> Percer of Warra	
	0 434 0	0 249 0	0 683 0	0 683 0	0 273 0	0 273 0	0 163 0	0 195 0	0 108 0	0 390 0	0 136 0	0 244 0	0 140 0	***** 195 *****	0 260 0	***** 105 *****
9:00 am 0:00 1:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
2:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 55	0 0 133	0 0 188	0 0 188	0 0 387	0 0 387	0 0 45	0 0 276	0 0 30	0 0 553	0 0 37	0 0 346	0 0 0	***** ***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
- V . N	approach lumber of requireme	e than or volume hours sho ents. Add	ie minor own is th itional h	approach e ne minimum ours outside I volume leve	meeting the ou	5	420		Warranting V 630 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Met	70	Warranting 504 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	112 Meeting 1 .		D Fig. 4-8 Meeting 1 . Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4- Meeting 1 1e Yes
Comments:	Major S	any infor treet = Sc treet = Jo	haad R	oad	e useful to	the reviewer)					xisting conditio d PM peak hour					

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - VOLUME WARRANTS



TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - VOLUME WARRANTS

Time Beginning 6:00 am 7:00 8:00	Major Street Minor Street					Street	Warrant #1A		Warrant #1B		Combination		Warrant #2		Warrant #3		
	Actual Volume App #1 App #2 Total		ume	Adjusted Actual Total Volume Volum, -		Adjusted Total Volurr ,		(8 Hr Min. Vol.) Percent of Warrant Major Minor		(8 Hr Ini Percent of Major			s <u>1A & 1B)</u> of Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>ir Vols.)</u> Percer of Warrar
	0 774 0	0 1116 0	0 1890 0	0 1890 0	0 234 0	0 234 0		0 450 0	0 167 0	0 300 0	0 334 0	0 375 0	0 209 0	0 80 0	***** 293 *****	0 100 0	***** 234 *****
9:00 am 10:00 11:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
2:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 1120	0 0 676	0 0 1796	0 0 1796	0 0 334	0 0 334		0 0 428	0 0 239	0 0 285	0 0 477	0 0 356	0 0 298	0 0 80	***** ***** 418	0 0 100	***** ***** 334
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
Note: No adjus ment made Warranting Volume: - Where more than one minor approach exists use the higher approach volume 140 - Number of hours shown is the minimum meeting the MUTCD requirements. Additional hours outside of the count period may meet the MUTCD specified volume levels. Warrant Met No							140 s Meeting 2 .	Warranting 630 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	70 Meeting 2 .	Warranting 504 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M	112 Meeting 2 .		D Fig. 4-8 Meeting 2 . 1e No	Warranting From MUTC Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4- Meeting 2 1e Yes		
Comments:		any infor		,	e useful to	the reviewer)						ackground con d PM peak hou		chaad Road ex	tension.		




		Major Stree			Street		rrant #1A	Warran		Combin		Warran		Warran	
Time Beginning		l Volume p #2 Total	Adjusted Total Volum,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,		Min. Vol.) t of Warrant Minor	(8 Hr Int Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o 	s <u>1A & 1B)</u> f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>r Vols.)</u> Percen of Warrar
6:00 am 7:00 8:00		0 0 52 1055 0 0	0 1055 0	0 210 0	0 210 0	0 211 0	0 105 0	0 141 0	0 210 0	0 176 0	0 131 0	0 130 0	***** 162 *****	0 250 0	***** 84 *****
9:00 am 0:00 1:00	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
12:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 805 3	0 0 0 0 88 1193	0 0 1193	0 0 119	0 0 119	0 0 239	0 0 60	0 0 159	0 0 119	0 0 199	0 0 74	0 0 100	***** ***** 119	0 0 210	***** ***** 57
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
- W	lo adjus ment Vhere more th approach voli lumber of hou requirements meet the MU	an one mino ume rs shown is f Additional f	the minimum nours outside	meeting the cou	0	500 Total Hou Warrant	ng Volumes 200 urs Meeting = 1 . Met No	Warranting v 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	100 Meeting 2 .	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	160 Meeting 1 .		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 2 . Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ime is so low th rrant exists	D Fig. 4- Meeting 0 1e No
Comments:	` .	information	,	e useful to	the reviewer)					xisting conditio					

		Major S	Street		Minor	Street		rrant #1A	Warrant		Combin		Warran		Warran	
Time Beginning	Acti	Jal Volum	e	Adjusted Total Volurr,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,		Min. Vol.) t of Warrant Minor	(8 Hr Internet of V Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>ir Vols.)</u> Percen of Warrar
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 522 0	0 522 0	0 522 0	0 198 0	0 198 0	0 104 0	 0 132 0	0 70 0	0 264 0	0 87 0	0 165 0	0 240 0	***** 83 *****	0 400 0	***** 50 *****
9:00 am 10:00 11:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
12:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** *****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 0	0 0 383	0 0 383	0 0 383	0 0 119	0 0 119	0 0 77	0 0 79	0 0 51	0 0 159	0 0 64	0 0 99	0 0 0	***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
- W . N	lo adjus mer Vhere more approach ve lumber of he requiremen meet the MI	than one olume ours show s. Additio	n is th onal ho	e minimum i ours outside	meeting the		500		Warranting V 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Met	75	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	120 Meeting 0		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 0. Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4-! Meeting 0 le No
Comments:	(include a Major Stre Minor Stre	et = Ball	Camp	Pike	e useful to	the reviewer)					xisting condition d PM peak hour					

	M	ajor Streei			Street		ant #1A	Warrant		Combin		Warran		Warran	
Time Beginning	Actual V	olume	Adjusted Total Volurr,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,	<u>(8 Hr N</u> Percent o Major	<u>Ain. Vol.)</u> of Warrant Minor	(8 Hr Internet of V Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percen of Warrar
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0 0 543 596 0 0		0 1139 0	0 227 0	0 227 0	0 228 0	 0 114 0	0 152 0	0 227 0	0 190 0	0 142 0	0 110 0	***** 206 *****	0 230 0	***** 99
9:00 am 10:00 11:00	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
12:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 0 0 870 419		0 0 1289	0 0 129	0 0 129	0 0 258	0 0 65	0 0 172	0 0 129	0 0 215	0 0 81	0 0 90	***** ***** 143	0 0 190	***** ***** 68
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
- W	lo adjus ment ma Vhere more than approach volum lumber of hours requirements. A meet the MUTCI	one mino e shown is t dditional f	he minimum nours outside	meeting the cou	e MUTCD	Warranting 500 Total Hour Warrant = Warrant M	200 s Meeting 1 .	Warranting V 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Met	100 Meeting 2 .	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	160 Meeting 1 .		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 2 . Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M me is so low th rrant exists	D Fig. 4-! Meeting 0 e No
Comments:	(include any in Major Street =		,	e useful to	the reviewer)					ackground con d PM peak hour					

			r Street			Street		nt #1A	Warrant			ination	Warrar		Warran	
Time Beginning		ctual Volu	ume	Adjusted Total Volurr ,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volurr ,	(8 Hr Mi Percent of Major	. /	(8 Hr Inte Percent of V Major			nts 1A & 1B) of Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>ir Vols.)</u> Percen of Warrar
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 564 0	0 564 0	0 564 0	0 214 0	0 214 0	0 113 0	0 143 0	0 75 0	0 285 0	0 94 0	0 178 0	0 230 0	***** 93 *****	0 380 0	***** 56 *****
9:00 am 10:00 11:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
12:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** *****	0 0 0	***** *****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 0	0 0 414	0 0 414	0 0 414	0 0 129	0 0 129	0 0 83	0 0 86	0 0 55	0 0 172	0 0 69	0 0 108	0 0 300	***** ***** 43	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
- V . N	Vhere mo approach lumber of requireme	volume hours she ents. Add	ne minor own is tł litional h	- approach e he minimum ours outside I volume leve	meeting the ou		Warranting 500 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	150 Meeting 1.	Warranting V 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Met	75 Veeting 0.	600			D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 0. 1e No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4-5 Meeting 0 1e No
Comments:	Major S Minor S	treet = Ba treet = Jo	all Camp Inson F) Pike Road		the reviewer) urn volumes include	d		Volumes repri Volumes repri							

		Major Stree	t	Minor	Street			ant #1A	Warrant		Combin		Warran		Warran	
Time Beginning		Volume	Adjusted Total Volurr ,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volur,		(8 Hr M Percent c Major	lin. Vol.) of Warrant Minor	(8 Hr Internet of V Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	s <u>1A & 1B)</u> f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>ir Vols.)</u> Percen of Warrar
 6:00 am 7:00 8:00	435 4	0 0 77 912 0 0	912	 0 181 0	0 181 0		0 182 0	0 91 0	0 122 0	0 181 0	0 152 0	0 113 0	0 170 0	***** 106 *****	0 300 0	***** 60 *****
9:00 am 0:00 1:00	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
2:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00		0 0 0 0 35 1031	0 0 1031	0 0 103	0 0 103		0 0 206	0 0 52	0 0 137	0 0 103	0 0 172	0 0 64	0 0 140	***** ***** 74	0 0 260	***** ***** 40
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00		0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
- V . N	lo adjus ment Vhere more th approach volu lumber of hou requirements. meet the MU1	an one mind me s shown is Additional I	the minimum hours outside	meeting the cou	e MUTCD	T V	Varranting 500 Fotal Hours Varrant = Varrant Me	200 s Meeting 0 .	Warranting V 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Met	100	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	160 Meeting 1 .		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 1 . Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4-5 Meeting 0 1e No
Comments:	(include any Major Street Minor Street	= Ball Cam	p Pike	e useful to	the reviewer)						ackground con d PM peak hou		Schaad Road ex	ktension.		

		Majo	or Street			Street		rrant #1A	Warran		Combin		Warrar		Warran	
Time Beginning		ctual Volu App #2	ume	Adjusted Total Volurr,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,		Min. Vol.) t of Warrant - Minor	(8 Hr Int Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percen of Warran
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 451 0	0 451 0	0 451 0	0 171 0	 0 171 0	 0 90 0	0 114 0	0 60 0	0 228 0	0 75 0	0 143 0	0 280 0	***** 61 *****	0 440 0	***** 39 *****
9:00 am 0:00 1:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
2:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 0	0 0 331	0 0 331	0 0 331	0 0 103	0 0 103	0 0 66	0 0 69	0 0 44	0 0 137	0 0 55	0 0 86	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** *****
- W	approach lumber of requireme	e than or volume hours she	ne minor own is tł litional h	approach e ne minimum ours outside I volume leve	meeting the cou		500 Total Ho Warrant	ng Volumes 150 urs Meeting = 0. Met No	Warranting 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	75 Meeting 0.	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	120 Meeting 0.		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 0. Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4-5 Meeting 0
Comments:	Major S	any infor treet = Ba treet = Jo	all Camp	Pike	e useful to	the reviewer)					ackground con d PM peak hour		ichaad Road e	ktension.		



		,	r Street			Street		ant #1A	Warrant		Combin		Warran		Warran	
Time Beginning	A	ctual Volu	ime	Adjusted Total Volurr,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,	(8 Hr M Percent o Major	. ,	(8 Hr Inte Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percen of Warrar
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0	0 564 0	0 564 0	0 564 0	0 237 0	0 237 0	0 113 0	0 158 0	0 75 0	0 316 0	0 94 0	0 198 0	0 230 0	***** 103 *****	0 380 0	***** 62 *****
9:00 am 0:00 1:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** **** ****	0 0 0	**** **** ****
2:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** *****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 0	0 0 414	0 0 414	0 0 414	0 0 148	0 0 148	0 0 83	0 0 99	0 0 55	0 0 197	0 0 69	0 0 123	0 0 300	***** ***** 49	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
- W	Vhere mo approach lumber of requireme	volume hours she ents. Add	ie minor own is th itional h	approach e: ne minimum ours outside volume leve	meeting the cou		Warranting 500 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	150 Meeting 1.	Warranting V 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Met	75	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	120 Meeting 0.		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 1 . Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4-! Meeting 0 le No
Comments:	Major S Minor S	itreet = Ba itreet = Jo	III Camp hnson F	Pike Road		the reviewer) urn volumes included	4				ombined condi d PM peak hour					

	Ma	ior Street		Minor	Street		arrant #1A	Warran		Combin		Warrar		Warran	
Time Beginning	Actual Vo	lume	Adjusted Total Volum,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum ,			(8 Hr Int Percent of V Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	s <u>1A & 1B)</u> f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percen of Warran
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0 0 444 482 0 0	0 926 0	0 926 0	 0 195 0	0 195 0	0 185 0	0 98 0	0 123 0	0 195 0	0 154 0	0 122 0	0 160 0	***** 122 *****	0 300 0	***** 65 *****
9:00 am 10:00 11:00	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** *****	0 0 0	***** *****
12:00 noon 1:00 2:00	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** *****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0 0 0 704 339	0 0 1043	0 0 1043	0 0 115	0 0 115	0 0 209	0 0 58	0 0 139	0 0 115	0 0 174	0 0 72	0 0 130	***** ***** 88	0 0 260	***** ***** 44
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****
- V . N	lo adjus ment mac Vhere more than c approach volume Jumber of hours s requirements. Ac meet the MUTCD	one minor hown is t Iditional h	he minimum ours outside	meeting the cou	e MUTCD	500	-	Warranting 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	100 Meeting 2 .	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	160 Meeting 1 .	From MUTC Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Ma	Meeting 1 . 1e No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4-5 Meeting 0 le No
Comments:	(include any info Major Street = E Minor Street = J	Ball Camp	Pike	e useful to	the reviewer)					ombined condi d PM peak hour		naad Road exte	ension.		

		Majo	or Street		Mino	Street		ant #1A	Warran		Combin		Warran		Warran	
Time Beginning		ctual Volu App #2	ume	Adjusted Total Volurr,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,	(8 Hr M Percent o Major		(8 Hr Int Percent of \ Major		(Warrants Percent o Major	s <u>1A & 1B)</u> f Warrant Minor	(Four Hou Warrant Volume	r Vols.) Percent of Warrant	(Peak Hou Warrant Volume	<u>ir Vols.)</u> Percen of Warran
6:00 am 7:00 8:00	0	0 451 0	0 451 0	0 451 0	 0 180 0	0 180 0	 0 90 0	0 120 0	0 60 0	0 240 0	0 75 0	0 150 0	0 280 0	***** 64	0 440 0	***** 41 *****
9:00 am 10:00 11:00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** *****	0 0 0	**** **** ****
12:00 noon 1:00 2:00						0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** *****	0 0 0	***** *****	
3:00 pm 4:00 5:00	0 0				0	0 0 74	0 0 44	0 0 148	0 0 55	0 0 93	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	***** ***** ****		
6:00 pm 7:00 8:00				-				0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	*****	0 0 0	***** *****
- V . N	approach lumber of requireme	re than or volume hours sh ents. Add	ne minor own is tł litional h	approach e ne minimum ours outside I volume leve	meeting th of the cou		Warranting 500 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	150 Meeting 0.	Warranting ' 750 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	75	Warranting 600 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	120 Meeting 0.		D Fig. 4-7 Meeting 0. Ie No	Warranting From MUTCI Total Hours Warrant = Warrant M ume is so low th arrant exists	D Fig. 4- Meeting 0 1e No
Comments:	Major S Minor S	treet = Ba treet = Jo	all Camp hnson F	Pike Road		the reviewer) urn volumes included	1				Combined condi d PM peak hour		haad Road exte	ension.		

APPENDIX G | SITE CIRCULATION EXHIBITS









			THRNING MOVEMENT / CTRCIII ATTON EXHIBIT		KELUELING IKUCK (WB-DU)	
 		CCI PROJ. NO. 01634-0001	DATE NOVEMBER 22, 2021	SCALE 1" = 40'	DRAWN JRH	CHECKED DN
	G-3			CONSULTING ENGINEERS · FIELD SURVEYORS	TEL 865.670.8555 8550 Kingston Pike	www.cannon-cannon.com Knoxville, TN 37919



APPENDIX H | TIS COMMENT RESPONSE MEMO







Date: November 22, 2021

Project Name: E-Z Stop Food Mart Schaad Road Development

To: Knox County Engineering and Knoxville-Knox County Planning

Subject: TIS Comment Response Document for E-Z Stop Food Mart Schaad Road Development Review Comments Dated: November 12, 2021

Dear Knox County Engineering and Knoxville-Knox County Planning staff,

The following comment response document is submitted to address comments dated November 12, 2021:

1. <u>Reviewer Comment</u>: Please verify the proposed uses analyzed in the TIS are consistent with what are being planning for this site and update as necessary. There appears to be accommodation for a drive-thru window and previous discussion about a possible restaurant use planned on this site but the TIS states only a convenience market and fuel pumps. Provide documentation of on-site circulation needs if drive-thru restaurant is being proposed and determine the potential need for revised trip generation calculations.

<u>Response</u>: Although there is a proposed food service area and pick-up window, it is not expected that this area will generate the amount of trips that are typical with a separate restaurant land use. Additionally, the characteristics of the food service area are consistent with the ITE description of LUC 960. Additional commentary has been provided in the TIS report on Page 15 under both the new "Site Characteristics" subsection and the "Trip Generation" subsection.

2. <u>Reviewer Comment</u>: According to the Knox County Access Control and Driveway Design Policy, a corner lot with frontage on an Arterial roadway will be permitted one driveway (based on the development's frontage width) unless there is a need demonstrated in a traffic access and impact study concluding that the adverse impacts of such additional points of access will be outweighed by overall improvements in circulation and safety. Based on this, please provide justification and need for two access points on Schaad Rd as well as any operational issues that may result from the proximity of the westernmost access to Johnson Rd. Also please address the fact that the TIS assigns zero entering vehicles to the western access in terms of how it would be necessary.

<u>Response</u>: A new subsection on Page 15 titled "Site Characteristics" has been added. This subsection describes site circulation and the need for two driveways on Schaad Road. Appendix G has been added, which contains exhibits showing site circulation for various vehicle types. The only apparent operational issue is that once the Schaad Road extension is complete, the westbound through queue at the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road will likely extend beyond the western driveway during the AM peak period.



KNOXVILLE 8550 Kingston Pike Memphis Bowling green Fax 865.670.8866 3. <u>Reviewer Comment</u>: Please verify if you were specifically directed to include a 20% Covid factor to increase count volumes since it has not been required for other studies for several months now with schools being fully in-person. Wholesale revision to remove the factor from your analyses will not be specifically required, but please include a broad statement in the conclusions section about the uncertainty involved with this TIS's traffic projections for a number of aspects including the use of a Covid factor and the completion of Schaad Rd that will significantly affect traffic patterns specifically at Johnson Rd which is currently serving as a "detour" route. A recommendation for follow-up traffic counts after completion of the road project and this development to verify the TIS's assumptions and traffic signal warrants seems appropriate.

<u>Response</u>: We were not specifically directed to include the 20% Covid factor and were not aware that it was no longer being used. Commentary has been added to the Conclusions and Recommendations section regarding the uncertainty of traffic volumes along with a recommendation to collect additional traffic data at a future time.

- 4. <u>Reviewer Comment</u>: Following are several text edits that are needed:
 - a. Page 1 Please specify the "southbound Johnson Road approach" in Item 2.
 - b. Page 4 Change "at" to "and" last line of first paragraph.
 - c. Page 5 Add "/right turn" to the description of the right lanes on Schaad Road.
 - d. Page 14 Change "worse" to "worsen" last line of second paragraph.
 - e. Page 15 We typically prefer to use the trip generation regression equations over the average rates. Please explain why the average rate was used for the AM peak hour trip generation.
 - f. Pages 18 and 21 The AM southbound right turn trip assignment volume on Johnson Road at the site driveway should be 12 instead of 14 based on the associated Appendix worksheets.
 - g. Page 24 Please add turn lane warrant analyses for the intersection of Ball Camp Pike at Johnson Road.
 - h. Page 25 Change Table 6A to Table 7 in the last paragraph.
 - i. Page 35 Change the eye height from 2.5 feet to 3.5 feet in the last line of the first paragraph under SIGHT DISTANCE ASSESSMENT.
 - j. Page 37 Please specify the "southbound Johnson Road approach" in Item 2.

Response:

- a. This has been added.
- b. This has been corrected.
- c. This has been added
- d. This has been corrected.

- e. The average rate was used due to the R² value being under 0.75, which is typical practice. Commentary has been added regarding this decision to Page 15 of the "Trip Generation" subsection.
- f. This has been corrected. However, the building size has since slightly increased, which resulted in a wholesale revision of traffic assignment volumes.
- g. This has been added. Analyses indicate that a westbound right turn lane is warranted only prior to the completion of the Schaad Road extension. An eastbound left turn lane is warranted for all scenarios, including with existing traffic. An installation of an eastbound left turn lane would likely improve operations for eastbound through traffic, but it would not significantly improve operations for other movements. Because of both this and the uncertainty of future traffic once the Schaad Road extension is complete, the installation of a left turn lane was not recommended.
- h. This has been corrected.
- i. This has been corrected.
- j. This has been added.
- 5. <u>Reviewer Comment</u>: Following are inconsistencies/errors in the appendices that need to be addressed:
 - a. The turning movement count data appears to be from a different project.
 - b. The cordon line trip distribution splits do not add up to 100% in Figures C-1E, C-1G and C-2G (Appendix pages C-6, C-8 and C-16).
 - c. In Figures C-1G and C-1H (Appendix page C-8 and C-9) please include the directional splits and volumes for the pass-by traffic exiting the western site driveway on Schaad Road and showing up as westbound through traffic at the intersection of Schaad Road/Johnson Road.
 - d. In Figures C-2G and C-2H (Appendix page C-16 and C-17) please include the directional splits and volumes for the pass-by traffic exiting the western site driveway on Schaad Road and showing up as westbound through traffic at the intersection of Schaad Road/Johnson Road.

Response:

- a. This has been corrected to include the correct turning movement count data.
- b. This figure technically represents a combination of pass-by traffic and diverted link traffic, where the diverted trips will divert from Schaad Road to Johnson Road. Since the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road contains both of these types of trips, then the sum of the percentages on each leg of the intersection may not equal the sum of the percentages on upstream or downstream intersections.
- c. Since these pass-by trips are existing traffic, the westbound traffic is only shown entering and exiting the site. This traffic is already passing westbound through the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, so there is a net of zero for this movement.

d. Since these pass-by trips are existing traffic, the westbound traffic is only shown entering and exiting the site. This traffic is already passing westbound through the intersection of Schaad Road at Johnson Road, so there is a net of zero for this movement.

In addition to the above revisions, the building size slightly increased, which caused a wholesale revision to the trip assignment and combined volume values. This revision did not cause any significant changes to the analyses nor did it result in revised recommendations.

Sincerely,

Bring Ram

Brian J. Haas, P.E., PTOE

