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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for a proposed residential development to be located off of Copper Ridge Road in Northwest Knox County. This development was originally studied in 2012, and this study provides an update to that original study. The project site is approximately one-half mile north of Emory Road (State Route 131) on the east side of Copper Ridge Road. The current plans for this proposed subdivision development provide for a maximum of 135 single family dwelling units at full build-out. The development entrance will be a new three-leg intersection on Copper Ridge Road located approximately one-half mile north of Emory Road.

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated by the proposed development will result in traffic operational impacts in the project area, especially during peak traffic hours at the intersection of Emory Road and Copper Ridge Road. The resulting recommendation is that consideration should be given to implementing turning lane improvements at this location, with the following issues being considered in determining improvement scope and responsibility:

1. An eastbound left-turn lane on Emory Road will be justified during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours after full project build-out based on satisfying Knox County left-turn lane volume thresholds. It is worth noting, however, that such a lane is currently justified during existing PM peak hour conditions.
2. The southbound approach capacity analyses of unimproved year 2021 combined traffic conditions identified level-of-service "F" operation for the A.M peak hour. With the addition of a southbound right-turn lane on Copper Ridge Road approaching Emory Road, the resulting average delay values are greatly reduced, from 179.0 sec . to 50.3 sec . Although this value constitutes a marginal level-of-service (LOS) "F" condition, the associated delay would actually be anticipated to be a reduction of the year 2021 background/unimproved value ( 55.8 sec ).
3. Existing roadway right-of-way on both Emory Road and Copper Ridge Road at this intersection appears to be on the order of 50 feet and is not under the control of the project developer.

Other traffic related issues evaluated for this project included corner sight distance for the proposed subdivision access roadway intersection with Copper Ridge Road, and the general width and condition of Copper Ridge Road between Emory Road and the project site. These evaluations concluded that corner sight distance requirements will be satisfied, and that although Copper Ridge Road is narrow, its width does meet or exceed the eighteen foot minimum typically preferred by Knox County.

## INTRODUCTION \& PURPOSE OF STUDY

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for a proposed residential development to be located off of Copper Ridge Road in Northwest Knox County. This development was originally studied in 2012, and this study provides an update to that original study. The project site is approximately one-half mile north of Emory Road (State Route 131) on the east side of Copper Ridge Road. FIGURE 1 is a location map that identifies the project site in relation to the roadways in the vicinity of the proposed development.


FIGURE 1
LOCATION MAP
The current plans for this proposed subdivision development provide for a maximum of 135 single family dwelling units at full build-out. FIGURE 2 is a conceptual site plan showing the proposed site layout with access to Copper Ridge Road. The development entrance will be a new three-leg intersection on Copper Ridge Road located approximately one-half mile north of Emory Road.

The purpose of this study was to update the original study evaluation of the traffic operational and safety impacts of the proposed development upon the adjacent portion of Copper Ridge Road with the current site plan and the acquisition of current traffic data. Of particular interest was the intersection of the proposed site entrance roadway with Copper Ridge Road, as well as the intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road. This evaluation was performed assuming full buildout of all units of the subdivision, with existing and background growth conditions also evaluated for purposes of comparison. COPPER TRACE DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY


FIGURE 2
CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## EXISTING ROADWAY CONDITIONS

Copper Ridge Road is a Local access roadway that is maintained by Knox County. The roadway pavement is approximately 20 feet in width, and is striped with a center double yellow line delineating two traffic lanes of approximately 8.5 to 9.0 feet. Minimal shoulders are located beyond the white pavement edgelines. The study section of Copper Ridge Road was constructed under older design standards, and thus possesses significant horizontal curvature and non-standard roadside ditches. The posted speed limit on Copper Ridge Road is 30 mph .

## EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA

A traffic count station for collecting average daily traffic data (ADT) is located on Emory Road approximately three miles east of Copper Ridge Road. The most recent data from this station was provided by the Tennessee Department of Transportation, with resulting ADTs shown in TABLE 1.

TABLE 1: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

| COUNT YEAR | TDOT COUNT STATION O47 <br> EMORY ROAD (S.R. 131) WEST <br> OF CLINTON HIGHWAY |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 10,074 |
| 2014 | 8,866 |
| 2013 | 9,480 |
| 2012 | 9,336 |
| 2011 | 9,006 |
| 2010 | 9,512 |

In order to collect more refined data, and to establish a basis for trip distribution patterns, turning movement traffic counts were collected at the existing three-leg intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road. These counts were conducted during the A.M. and P.M. peak traffic hours. Raw data count summaries are contained in the APPENDIX.

In addition to helping establish trip distribution patterns, these turning movement counts were used to establish the existing traffic volumes for this study, as displayed in FIGURE 3.

## EXISTING CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

Intersection Capacity Analyses employing the methods of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2010) were used to evaluate the intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road for the existing roadway and traffic conditions. This intersection was chosen as the most critical of the two study intersections
from a capacity and level-of-service perspective. The results indicate that the Emory Road left-turn traffic movement is currently operating at level-of-service (LOS) "B" during the AM peak hour and LOS "A" during the PM peak hour with Copper Ridge Road turning movements operating at LOS "E" during the AM peak and LOS "B" during the PM peak. These results are summarized in detail on the "Two Way Stop Control Summary" printouts contained in the APPENDIX. Also see the APPENDIX for a discussion of Intersection Capacity and Level of Service Concepts.


FIGURE 3
2016 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

## BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

## BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH

The anticipated time for full build-out of the Copper Trace Development is estimated as five years. Therefore, year 2021 was established as the appropriate design/analysis year for this study. In order to determine traffic volumes resulting solely from background traffic growth to year 2021, it was necessary to establish an annual growth rate for existing traffic. The ADT values given previously in TABLE 1, along with engineering judgment, were used to arrive at a rate of 2 percent for this development. FIGURE 4 contains the background traffic volumes that would result from this 2 percent annual growth to year 2021.

## BACKGROUND CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

Intersection Capacity Analyses employing the methods of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2010) were used to evaluate the study intersection of Emory Road and Copper Ridge Road for the background (2021) traffic conditions, shown on FIGURE 4. The results indicate that Emory Road leftturn traffic movement would be expected to operate at level-of-service "B" during the AM peak hour and "A" during the PM peak hour, and Copper Ridge Road movements would operate at a level-ofservice "F" during the AM peak hour and "B" during the PM peak hour, if the proposed development is not constructed. These results are summarized in detail on the "Two-Way Stop Control Summary" printouts contained in the APPENDIX. Also see the APPENDIX for a discussion of intersection capacity and level-of-service concepts.


FIGURE 4
2021 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

## FUTURE CONDITIONS

## TRIP GENERATION

In order to estimate the expected traffic volumes to be generated by full build-out of the proposed development, the data and procedures of Trip Generation, Ninth Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2012) were utilized. The generated traffic volumes were determined based on the total weekday morning and evening peak hour of adjacent street traffic trip generation rates for singlefamily detached housing (Land Use Code 210, Volume 2, pages 296 to 298). As noted earlier in this report, the anticipated maximum number of units upon full build-out is 135 , which was used to determine the number of new trips generated. TABLE 2 summarizes the number and directional split of entering and exiting trips for the proposed development.

## TABLE 2: TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY

| LAND USE | ITE <br> CODE | SIZE | WEEKDAY <br> (TRIPS/DAY) | AM PEAK <br> HOUR <br> (TRIPS/HR) | PM PEAK <br> HOUR <br> (TRIPS/HR) |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single Family Detached Housing <br> Entering Trips <br> Exiting Trips | 210 | 135 units | 692 | 26 | 87 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 692 | 78 | 51 |
|  |  |  | 692 | 26 | 87 |
|  |  |  | 692 | 78 | 51 |

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

FIGURE 5 provides a summary of the trip distribution patterns developed for the study intersections, which were derived from the existing traffic patterns. In addition, FIGURE 6 provides the generated traffic volumes as assigned to the local roadway network in accordance with these distribution patterns. FIGURE 7 shows the combined year 2021 volumes reflecting the existing traffic, the background traffic growth, and the newly generated traffic from the Copper Trace Development. These are the volumes used in the analysis of full build-out conditions.

## FUTURE CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

Intersection Capacity Analyses employing the methods of the Highway Capacity Manual were used to evaluate the intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road for the year 2021 combined traffic volume conditions (FIGURE 7). The results indicate that with existing intersection turn lane geometry, the Emory Road left-turn traffic movement would be expected to operate at level-of-service "B" during the AM peak hour and "A" during the PM peak hour, and Copper Ridge Road movements would operate at a level-of-service "F" during the AM peak hour and "C" during the PM peak hour. These results are summarized in detail on the "Two-Way Stop Control Summary" printouts contained in the
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APPENDIX. The APPENDIX may also be referenced for a discussion of intersection capacity and level-of-service concepts.


FIGURE 5
TRIP DISTRIBUTION PATTERNS


FIGURE 6
GENERATED TRIPS


FIGURE 7
2021 COMBINED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

## EVALUATIONS

## INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES

As discussed in the preceding sections of this report, capacity analyses employing the methods of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) were conducted for the study intersections. These analyses were performed for existing, background, and anticipated 2021 combined traffic conditions. Existing geometry and traffic control were used in the analyses of the intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road for existing, background, and combined conditions. In addition, this intersection was evaluated with the addition of an eastbound left-turn lane and a southbound right-turn lane for Year 2021 Combined Conditions. A summary of the capacity analysis results for the Year 2016 Existing Conditions, Year 2021 Background Conditions, and Year 2021 Combined Conditions is shown in TABLE 3.

TABLE 3: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY

| EVALUATION CONDITION | LEVEL-OF-SERVICE <br> (AVG. DELAY) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOUTHBOUND APPROACH (COPPER RIDGE RD.) | EASTBOUND LEFT TURN (EMORY RD.) |
| Existing (2016) - AM | E 37.5 | B 10.6 |
| Existing (2016) - PM | B 12.3 | A 8.1 |
| Background (2021) - AM | F 55.8 | B 11.2 |
| Background (2021) - PM | B 12.9 | A 8.2 |
| Combined w/ Existing Lanes (2021) - AM | F 179.0 | B 11.5 |
| Combined w/ Existing Lanes (2021) - PM | C 18.2 | A 8.5 |
| Combined w/ EBLT \& SBRT (2021) - AM | F 50.3* | B 11.5 |
| Combined w/ EBLT \& SBRT (2021) - PM | C 16.5* | A 8.5 |
| * Southbound Breakdown by Lane: <br> AM - Left-turn lane - F(73.6), Right-turn lane - E(40.2) <br> PM - Left-turn lane - $\mathrm{E}(36.1)$, Right-turn lane - $\mathrm{B}(11.0)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

As shown in TABLE 3, the southbound approach at this intersection currently operates at level-ofservice "E" during the AM peak hour. This LOS will worsen to "F" during Year 2021 Background Conditions without traffic from the proposed development. With traffic from the proposed development added to the intersection, the southbound approach delay will worsen from an average delay of 55.8 seconds to 179.0 seconds. The addition of a southbound right-turn lane will improve the LOS grade to a very marginal LOS "F" during Year 2021 Combined Conditions.

## SIGHT DISTANCE ASSESSMENT

The proposed project development entrance on Copper Ridge Road was evaluated for corner sight distance. Based on the posted 30 mph speed limit, the required minimum sight distance in accordance with Knox County regulations would be 300 feet. Field reviews indicate that this requirement will be met at this intersection, as available sight distance was measured in excess of 450 feet looking south
and approximately 385 feet looking north. The sight distance to the north was measured looking through a horizontal curve and chain link fence. FIGURE 8 shows looking both south and north along Copper Ridge Road from the proposed development entrance.


FIGURE 8
SIGHT DISTANCE ASSESSMENT

TURN LANE ASSESSMENT
Turn lane warrant analyses were conducted for the intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road under proposed development conditions. These analyses employed Tables 5A and 5B from the Knox County Access Control and Driveway Design Policy, which are based on turn lane warrants developed by Harmelink. The results were that an eastbound left-turn lane on Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road is expected to be warranted during both peak traffic hours. As a basis of comparison, existing traffic conditions were also analyzed, with the result that the eastbound left-turn lane currently satisfies warranting conditions for the P.M. peak. A westbound right-turn lane on Emory Road was not found to be warranted. Copies of Tables 5A and 5B are located in the APPENDIX for review.

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated by the proposed development will result in short-term impacts on traffic operational conditions in the project area. Of particular concern is the existing intersection of Emory Road at Copper Ridge Road, through which the vast majority of traffic to this development will travel. The issues at this location include the fact that an eastbound left-turn lane will be clearly warranted during both AM and PM peak traffic hours, and during the AM peak hour the southbound traffic will experience level-of-service "F" operation under current intersection geometry.

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated by the proposed development will result in traffic operational impacts in the project area, especially during peak traffic hours at the intersection of Emory Road and Copper Ridge Road. The resulting recommendation is that consideration should be given to implementing turning lane improvements at this location, with the following issues being considered in determining improvement scope and responsibility:

1. An eastbound left-turn lane on Emory Road will be justified during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours after full project build-out based on satisfying Knox County left-turn lane volume thresholds. It is worth noting, however, that such a lane is currently justified during existing PM peak hour conditions.
2. The southbound approach capacity analyses of unimproved year 2021 combined traffic conditions identified level-of-service "F" operation for the A.M peak hour. With the addition of a southbound right-turn lane on Copper Ridge Road approaching Emory Road, the resulting average delay values are greatly reduced, from 179.0 sec . to 50.3 sec . Although this value constitutes a marginal level-of-service (LOS) "F" condition, the associated delay would actually be anticipated to be a reduction of the year 2021 background/unimproved value ( 55.8 sec ).
3. Existing roadway right-of-way on both Emory Road and Copper Ridge Road at this intersection appears to be on the order of 50 feet and is not under the control of the project developer.

Other traffic related issues evaluated for this project included corner sight distance for the proposed subdivision access roadway intersection with Copper Ridge Road, and the general width and condition of Copper Ridge Road between Emory Road and the project site. These evaluations concluded that corner sight distance requirements will be exceeded, and that although Copper Ridge Road is narrow, its width does meet or exceed the eighteen feet minimum typically preferred by Knox County.
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## APPENDIX A - TRAFFIC DATA

Project ID: 16-10039-001
Location: Copper Ridge Rd \& W Emory Rd (SR 131) City: Knoxville

Day: Wednesday Date: 12/14/2016

Groups Printed - Cars, PU, Vans - Heavy Trucks

|  | Copper Ridge Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Copper Ridge Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | W Emory Rd (SR 131) Eastbound |  |  |  |  | W Emory Rd (SR 131) Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Rgt | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rgt | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rgt | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rgt | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 17 |  | 45 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 225 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 301 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 364 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 29 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 183 | 1 | 0 | 184 | 285 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 96 | 22 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 826 | 1 | 0 | 827 | 1175 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 174 | 2 | 0 | 176 | 246 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 210 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 170 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 159 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 42 | 17 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 507 | 4 | 0 | 511 | 785 |

***BREAK***

| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 212 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 63 | 228 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 238 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 232 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 32 | 42 | 606 | 0 | 0 | 648 | 0 | 221 | 9 | 0 | 230 | 910 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 238 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 77 | 6 | 0 | 83 | 283 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 274 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 86 | 248 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 53 | 653 | 0 | 0 | 706 | 0 | 300 | 12 | 0 | 312 | 1043 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 195 | 134 | 1704 | 0 | 0 | 1838 | 0 | 1854 | 26 | 0 | 1880 | 3913 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 30.3 | 0.0 | 69.7 | 0.0 |  | 7.3 | 92.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.0 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 48.0 |  |
| Cars, PU, Vans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 195 | 134 | 1704 | 0 | 0 | 1838 | 0 | 1854 | 26 | 0 | 1880 | 3913 |
| \% Cars, Pu, Vans | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| \%Heavy Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Project ID: 16-10039-001
Location: Copper Ridge Rd \& W Emory Rd (SR 131 City: Knoxville

PEAK HOURS
Day: Wednesday Date: 12/14/2016

AM

|  | Copper Ridge Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Copper Ridge Rd Southbound |  |  |  | W Emory Rd (SR 131) Eastbound |  |  |  | W Emory Rd (SR 131) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Rgt | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rgt | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rgt | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rgt | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM

| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 25 | 6 | 56 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 214 | 301 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 7 | 65 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 267 | 364 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 183 | 1 | 184 | 285 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 174 | 2 | 176 | 246 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 63 | 94 | 24 | 237 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 838 | 3 | 841 | 1196 |
| \% App. Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 67.0 | 100 | 9.2 | 90.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 100 |  |
| PHF |  |  |  | 000 |  |  |  | 0.810 |  |  |  | 0.906 |  |  |  | 0.787 |  |
| Cars, PU, Vans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 63 | 94 | 24 | 237 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 838 | 3 | 841 | 1196 |
| \% Cars, PU, Vans | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \%Heavy Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 14 | 154 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 238 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 183 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 77 | 6 | 83 | 283 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 174 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 78 | 274 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 142 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 83 | 3 | 86 | 248 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 25 | 53 | 653 | 0 | 706 | 0 | 300 | 12 | 312 | 1043 |
| \% App. Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 84.0 | 100 | 7.5 | 92.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 100 |  |
| PHF |  |  |  | 000 |  |  |  | 0.781 |  |  |  | 0.910 |  |  |  | 0.907 |  |
| Cars, PU, Vans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 25 | 53 | 653 | 0 | 706 | 0 | 300 | 12 | 312 | 1043 |
| \% Cars, PU, Vans | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \%Heavy Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

National Data \& Surveying Services

## Copper Ridge Rd and W Emory Rd (SR 131), Knoxville



Total Ins \& Outs


Total Volume Per Leg


Station \# County Location Route \#
000047 Knox NEAR ANDERSON CO LINE SR131

| Record Year AADT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 201510074 | 3-Year growth' 10074:9336(1tx) | $x-2.57 \%$ |
| 2 | 20148866 |  |  |
| 3 | 20139480 |  |  |
| 4 | 20129336 |  |  |
| 5 | 20119006 | Stear $1004=9512(1+x)^{5}$ | $x=1.15 \%$ |
| 6 | 20109512 | - Year growth. 1007. ysiz |  |
| 7 | 20099426 |  |  |
| 8 | 20088792 |  |  |
| 9 | 20079077 | (ta $10074: 9142(1+x)^{10}$ | $=0.98 \%$ |
| 10 | 20068872 | 10-Yecr growth. |  |
| 11 | 20059140 |  |  |
| 12 | 20048467 |  |  |
| 13 | 20038368 |  |  |
| 14 | 20027948 | , Annuol Grouth Rete |  |
| 15 | 20017419 |  |  |
| 16 | 20007819 |  |  |
| 17 | 19997541 | S-Year Burldout (2021) |  |
| 18 | 19986908 |  |  |
| 19 | 19976865 |  |  |
| 20 | 19966427 |  |  |
| 21 | 19955941 | Fcctor: 1.10 |  |
| 22 | 19945995 |  |  |
| 23 | 19935993 |  |  |
| 24 | 19925370 |  |  |
| 25 | 19915283 |  |  |
| 26 | 19904554 |  |  |
| 27 | 19894910 |  |  |
| 28 | 19884542 |  |  |

Record Year AADT
$29 \quad 19874514$
$30 \quad 19864261$
$31 \quad 19853919$
© 2016-TDOT Applications

## APPENDIX B - TRIP GENERATION

## TRIP GENERATION

COPPER TRACE DEVELOPMENT
ITE TRIP GENERATION (210) - SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED HOUSING
135 DWELLING UNITS

## WEEKDAY

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{~T})=0.92 * \mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{X})+2.72 \\
& \mathrm{~T}=1384 \\
& 50 \% \text { ENTERING }= \\
& 50 \% \quad \text { EXITING }= 692 \\
& 592
\end{aligned}
$$

## AM PEAK

$$
\mathrm{T}=0.70^{*}(\mathrm{X})+9.74
$$

$$
T=104
$$

```
25% ENTERING =26
```

75\% EXITING = ..... 78

## PM PEAK

```
LN(T) = 0.90*LN(X) + 0.51
    T= 138
    63% ENTERING = 87
    37% EXITING = 51
```


# Land Use: 210 Single-Family Detached Housing 

## Description

Single-family detached housing includes all single-family detached homes on individual lots. A typical site surveyed is a suburban subdivision.

## Additional Data

The number of vehicles and residents had a high correlation with average weekday vehicle trip ends. The use of these variables was limited, however, because the number of vehicles and residents was often difficult to obtain or predict. The number of dwelling units was generally used as the independent variable of choice because it was usually readily available, easy to project and had a high correlation with average weekday vehicle trip ends.

This land use included data from a wide variety of units with different sizes, price ranges, locations and ages. Consequently, there was a wide variation in trips generated within this category. Other factors, such as geographic location and type of adjacent and nearby development, may also have had an effect on the site trip generation.

Single-family detached units had the highest trip generation rate per dwelling unit of all residential uses because they were the largest units in size and had more residents and more vehicles per unit than other residential land uses; they were generally located farther away from shopping centers, employment areas and other trip attractors than other residential land uses; and they generally had fewer alternative modes of transportation available because they were typically not as concentrated as other residential land uses.

The peak hour of the generator typically coincided with the peak hour of the adjacent street traffic.
The sites were surveyed between the late 1960s and the 2000s throughout the United States and Canada.

## Source Numbers

[^0]
## Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 355
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 198
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.52 | $4.31-21.85$ | 3.70 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Number of Studies: 292
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 194 Directional Distribution: $25 \%$ entering, $75 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.75 | $0.33-2.27$ | 0.90 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 321
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 207
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | $0.42-2.98$ | 1.05 |

Data Plot and Equation


## APPENDIX C - ANALYSES

## CAPACITY AND LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CONCEPTS

In a general sense, a roadway is similar to a pipeline or other material carrying conduit in that it has a certain capacity for the amount of material (vehicles) that it can efficiently carry. As the number of vehicles in a given time period gradually increases, the quality of traffic flow gradually decreases. On roadway sections this results in increasing turbulence in the traffic stream, and at intersections it results in increasing stops and delay. As the volumes begin to approach the capacity of the facility, these problems rapidly magnify, with resulting serious levels of congestion, stops, delay, excess fuel consumption, pollutant emissions, etc.

The Transportation Research Board has published the Year 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM2010), which establishes theoretical techniques to quantify the capacity conditions on all types of roadways, intersections, ramps, pedestrian facilities, etc. A basic concept that is applicable to most of these techniques is the idea of level of service (LOS). This concept establishes a rating system that quantifies the quality of traffic flow, as perceived by motorists and/or passengers. The general system is similar to a school grade scale, and is outlined as follows:

| Level of Service (LOS) | General Quality of Traffic Flow | Description of Corresponding Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Excellent | Roadways - Free flow, high maneuverability Intersections - Very few stops, very low delay |
| B | Very Good | Roadways - Free flow, slightly lower maneuverability Intersections - Minor stops, low delay |
| C | Good | Roadways - Stable flow, restricted maneuverability Intersections - Significant stops, significant delay |
| D | Fair | Roadways - Marginally stable flow, congestion seriously restricts maneuverability <br> Intersections - High stops, long but tolerable delay |
| E | Poor | Roadways - Unstable flow*, lower operating speeds, congestion severely restricts maneuverability Intersections - All vehicles stop, very long queues and very long intolerable delay |
| F | Very Poor | Roadways - Forced flow, stoppages may be lengthy, congestion severely restricts maneuverability <br> Intersections - All vehicles stop, extensive queues and extremely long intolerable delay |

LOS CRITERIA: SIGNALIZED \& UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LOS | CONTROL DELAY (S/VEH) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SIGNALIZED | UNSIGNALIZED | ROUNDABOUT |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ | $>25-35$ |
| F | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ | $>35-50$ |

Another measure of intersection capacity that is often used in the evaluation of intersection operations is the volume to capacity $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C})$ ratio. This ratio is defined as "the ratio of flow rate to capacity", and is a good measure of how much of an intersection's available capacity has been used up by the analysis volumes. Conversely, it also provides an indication of the reserve capacity available for future growth in traffic volumes.

The Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) is another measure that expresses a value similar to the V/C ratio. Specifically, the ICU method "sums the amount of the time required to serve all movements at saturation for a given cycle length and divides by that reference cycle length." The ICU is considered a more accurate measure of volume to capacity conditions for a signalized intersection, primarily because it accounts for the effects of the signal timing on intersection capacity.

| General Information | TSN | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | CCI | Intersection | Emory/Copper Ridge |
| Agency/Co. | $12 / 22 / 2016$ | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | 2016 | East/West Street | W. Emory Road |
| Analysis Year | A.M. - Existing | North/South Street | Copper Ridge Road |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | Existing Geometry | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



Major Street: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | TSN | Intersection | Emory/Copper Ridge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CCI | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $12 / 22 / 2016$ | East/West Street | W. Emory Road |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Copper Ridge Road |
| Time Analyzed | A.M. - Background | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Existing Geometry |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



Major Street: East-West

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 26 | 261 |  |  |  | 922 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  | 69 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | TSN | Intersection | Emory/Copper Ridge |
| Agency/Co. | CCI | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $12 / 22 / 2016$ | East/West Street | W. Emory Road |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Copper Ridge Road |
| Time Analyzed | P.M. - Background | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Existing Geometry |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



Major Street: East-West

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 58 | 718 |  |  |  | 330 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 23 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  |  | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Analyst | TSN | Intersection | Emory/Copper Ridge |  |
| Agency/Co. | CCI | Jurisdiction | Knox County |  |
| Date Performed | $2 / 23 / 2017$ | East/West Street | W. Emory Road |  |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Copper Ridge Road |  |
| Time Analyzed | A.M. - Combined | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |  |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |  |
| Project Description | Existing Geometry |  |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | $L$ | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 44 | 261 |  |  |  | 922 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  | 124 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | TSN | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Analyst | CCI | Intersection | Emory/Copper Ridge |  |
| Agency/Co. | $2 / 23 / 2017$ | Jurisdiction | Knox County |  |
| Date Performed | 2021 | East/West Street | W. Emory Road |  |
| Analysis Year | P.M. - Combined | North/South Street | Copper Ridge Road |  |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |  |
| Intersection Orientation | Existing Geometry | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |  |
| Project Description |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |  |



Major Street: East-West

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | TSN | Intersection | Emory/Copper Ridge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CCI | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $2 / 23 / 2017$ | East/West Street | W. Emory Road |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Copper Ridge Road |
| Time Analyzed | A.M. - Combined | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Eastbound Left and Southbound Right-Turn Lanes |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 44 | 261 |  |  |  | 922 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  | 124 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | TSN |
| :--- | :---: |
| Agency/Co. | CCI |
| Date Performed | $2 / 2$ |
| Analysis Year | 2021 |
| Time Analyzed | P.M |
| Intersection Orientation | Eas |
| Project Description | Eas |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 119 | 718 |  |  |  | 330 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  | 58 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Emory Rood (Sin 131) at Copper Ridge Road

## Vestband Right. Turns Lane Ukarront Assessment

 TABLE SBRIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH



* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.
* The above based on Combined (20ai) Traffic

Westbound Right Thin Lane Not Warranted.

AS

Emory Road (SR 131) at Copper Ridge Rood Eustboxend. Left-Turn Lane Warrant Assessment TABLE SA

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is weeded)



-     * Or through volume only if a dight-turn lane exists

1. Existing (2016) A.M, Peak - Volume $=24$, Required $: 25$ - Not Warranted 2. Existing (2016) P.M, Peak - Volume $=53$, Required $=25$ Warranted
2. Combined (2021) A.M, Perak - Volume: 44, Required $=25$ - Varranted
3. Combined (2021) P, M, Prank Valumb: 119, Required. 20-Varrantec-13

[^0]:    $1,4,5,6,7,8,11,12,13,14,16,19,20,21,26,34,35,36,38,40,71,72,84,91,98,100,105$, $108,110,114,117,119,157,167,177,187,192,207,211,246,275,283,293,300,319,320,357$, 384, 435, 550, 552, 579, 598, 601, 603, 611, 614, 637, 711, 735

