



fax: 865.524-5311

March 16, 2022 Revised March 28, 2022

Mr. John Anderson P.E. SITE Inc. 10215 Technology Drive, Suite 304 Knoxville, Tennessee 37932

RE: NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER TOWN CENTER SHOPS, TRAFFIC STUDY, KNOXVILLE, TN.

Dear Mr. Anderson:

This letter report is a summary of the anticipated trip generation for the proposed development of a strip retail site with a coffee shop within the Northshore Town Center located in southwest Knoxville adjacent to the Pellissippi Parkway (I-140) and Northshore Drive (SR 332) interchange. **Figure 1** illustrates this location. The proposed use is consistent with the uses assumed for the original Northshore Town Center traffic study approved March of 2011 and previous updates. The trip generation of the Northshore Town Center is updated in this letter to reflect the development of the proposed 8,173 square foot strip retail including a 2,000 square foot coffee shop with a drive-thru. The proposed site plan is illustrated in **Figure 2**. The trip generation included in this letter report builds upon an October 2020 transportation analysis for the Northshore Town Center that was completed for the Clingmans Dome residential land use revision. The proposed development of the retail strip is proposed within Zone D of the original 2011 traffic study (See **Figure 3** for the analysis zones). The trip generation for the Northshore Town Center was updated by applying trip generation rates published in the current 11th edition of **Trip Generation**, the recognized reference published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).

The trip generation for the proposed strip retail is presented in **Table 1**. This trip generation is compared to the **Table 2** trip generation updated the October 2020 study prepared for the Clingmans Dome land use revision. The updated trip generation for the Northshore Town Center reflecting the changes for Zone D is presented in the **Table 3**.

G



TABLE 1 Town Center Shops Trip Generation

		SIZE	DAILY	ŀ	AM PEAK		Р	PM PEAK		
	L.0.0	SIZE	TRAFFIC	ENTER	ENTER EXIT		ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	
SHOPPING CENTER (<40K sqft)	822	6,173	490	13	8	21	28	28	55	
COFFEE/DONUT SHOP (w. drive thru)	937	2,000	1,067	88	84	172	39	39	78	
TOTAL		8,173	1,557	100	93	193	67	67	133	
Pass-By Trips Primary Trips	50%		779 779	50 50	46 46	96 96	33 33	33 33	67 67	

REFERENCE: Trip Generation 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

The trip generation for this site is reduced 50-percent for pass-by trips assumed for the retail strip and with the drive-thru coffee shop. This reduction reflects a pass-by rate for the remaining proposed Zone D land uses of approximately 34-percent, an increase from 30-percent assumed for the previous and original traffic study. This increase from the previous pass-by rate reflects the included drive-thru coffee shop which would capture more traffic from the adjacent transportation network.

The increased pass-by rate applied is based on the much higher pass-by rates exhibited by the drive-thru coffee shop land uses. A fast-food restaurant use exhibits an average of 50-percent pass-by rates. Rather than a full retail land use previously studied in 2011, the proposed use with a coffee drive-thru would have a higher pass-by rate. The **Trip Generation Handbook**, **3**rd **Edition** identifies pass-by rates for coffee drive-thru services exceeding 80-percent. **Trip Generation Handbook**, **3**rd **Edition** identifies a pass-by rate of 34-percent for retail shopping centers (LUC 820), though smaller retail sites may exhibit higher pass-by rates. These pass-by rates from **Trip Generation Handbook**, **3**rd **Edition** are attached. Appling a pass-by rate of 65-percent for the coffee shop use and a 30-percent for the retail use results in a pass-by rate of approximately 54-percent on the daily, 61-percent AM peak-hour, and 50-percent PM peak-hour trips. This study, therefore, applied a rate of 50-percent for the proposed Town Center Shops trip generation. This is a conservative reduction as an 80-percent pass-by rate for the coffee shop with drive-thru use could result in a pass-by rate of approximately 60-percent.















TABLE 2 NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER Trip Generation-October 2020

ZONE	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL
	DISCOUNT STORE FASTFOOD REST, (w. drive thru)	815 934	135,320 2,900			EXIS EXIS	TING TRA TING TRA	FFIC FFIC		
А	DRIVE-IN BANK SUB-TOTAL	912	5,500 143,720	552 552	32 32	23 23	55 55	58 58	58 58	116 116
	Internal Trips Pass-By Trips Primary Trips	10% 30%		55 166 331	3 10 19	2 7 14	5 16 33	6 17 35	6 17 35	12 35 69
в	SUPERMARKET SPECIAL RETAIL FASTFOOD RESTAURANT DRIVE-IN BANK	850 814 934 912	54,000 25,900 4,992 4,500			ZOI EXIS	NE BUILTO TING TRA	OUT FFIC		
с	OFFICE BLDG SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft) H.T. RESTAURANT MULTIPLEX THEATER SUB-TOTAL	710 821 932 445	57,500 87,000 14,000 8 158,500	8,108 1,501 1,760 11,369	190 74 - 264	<i>EXIS</i> 117 60 - 177	<i>TING TRA</i> 307 134 - 441	FFIC 377 77 55 510	409 49 57 515	786 127 112 1,025
	Internal Trips Pass-By Trips Primary Trips	10% 25%		1, 137 2,842 7,390	26 66 172	18 44 115	44 110 287	51 127 331	52 129 335	102 256 666
	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft) MEDICAL OFFICE	821 720	24 79,100 <i>24,000</i>	265 7,500	3 173	11 106 <i>EXIS</i> 117	14 279 TING TRA	14 348 FFIC	12 377	26 726
D	Internal Trips Pass-By Trips Primary Trips	10% 30%	103,100	7,765 776 2,329 4,659	176 18 53 106	12 35 70	294 29 88 176	363 36 109 218	39 39 117 233	752 75 226 451
E	SINGLE FAMILY Existing Single-Family Units Single Family Subdivision Buildout	210 <i>210</i>	193 <u>88</u> 105	1,904 <u>924</u> 980	35 <u>17</u> 18	106 <i>50</i> 56	141 <u>67</u> 74	120 <u>57</u> 63	71 <u>33</u> 38	191 <u>90</u> 101
TOTAL	TOTAL TRIP GENERATION PRIMARY TRIP GENERATION			20,665 13,360	490 315	373 255	863 569	993 647	1,000 641	1,993 1,288

REFERENCE: Trip Generation, 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

G



TABLE 3 NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER Trip Generation-March 2022

ZONE	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL
	DISCOUNT STORE	815	135,320			EXIS	TING TRA	FFIC		
	FASTFOOD REST. (w. drive thru)	934	2,900			EXIS	TING TRA	FFIC		
	DRIVE-IN BANK	912	5,500	552	32	23	55	58	58	116
Α	SUB-TOTAL		143,720	552	32	23	55	58	58	116
	Internal Trips	10%		55	3	2	5	6	6	12
	Pass-By Trips	30%		166	10	7	16	17	17	35
	Primary Trips			331	19	14	33	35	35	69
	SUPERMARKET	850	54,000							
в	SPECIAL RETAIL	814	25,900			ZON	VE BUILTO	DUT		
В	FASTFOOD RESTAURANT	934	4,992			EXIST	TING TRA	FFIC		
	DRIVE-IN BANK	912	4,500							
	OFFICE BLDG	710	57,500			EXIS	TING TRA	FFIC		
	SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft)	821	87,000	8,108	190	117	307	377	409	786
	H.T. RESTAURANT	932	14,000	1,501	74	60	134	77	49	127
C	MULTIPLEX THEATER	445	_ 8	1,760	-	-	-	55	57	112
	SUB-TOTAL		158,500	11,369	264	177	441	510	515	1,025
	Internal Trips	10%		1,137	26	18	44	51	52	102
	Pass-By Trips	25%		2,842	66	44	110	127	129	256
	Primary Trips			7,390	172	115	287	331	335	666
	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY		24	265	3	11	14	14	12	26
	SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft)	821	51,173	5,351	112	69	181	245	266	511
	COFFEE/DONUT SHOP (w. drive thru)	937	2,000	1,067	88	84	172	39	39	78
п	MEDICAL OFFICE	720	24,000			EXIS	TING TRA	FFIC		
	SUB-TOTAL		77,173	6,683	203	164	367	299	317	615
	Internal Trips	10%		668	20	16	37	30	32	62
	Pass-By Trips	34%		2,272	69	56	125	102	108	209
	Primary Trips			3, 742	114	92	205	167	177	345
	SINGLE FAMILY	210	193	1,904	35	106	141	120	71	191
E	Existing Single-Family Units	210	88	924	17	50	67	57	33	90
	Single Family Subdivision Buildout		105	980	18	56	74	63	38	101
				19,583	517	419	936	930	927	1,857
TOTAL	PRIMARY TRIP GENERATION			12,443	322	276	599	597	585	1,181

REFERENCE: Trip Generation, 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

G



TABLE 4Northshore Town CenterTrip Generation Comparison

	DATE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL
NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER Primary Trips	Oct-20	20,665 13,360	490	373 255	863 569	993 647	1,000 641	1,993 1,288
		10,000	010	200	000	041	041	1,200
NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER	Mar-22	19,583	517	419	936	930	927	1,857
Primary Trips		12,443	322	276	599	597	585	1,181
Change in Trips Generated		-1,082	26	47	73	-64	-72	-136
Change in Primary Trips		-917	8	21	29	-50	-56	-106

REFERENCE: Trip Generation 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

The comparison of the Zone D trip generation is presented in Table 5.

TABLE 5Zone D Trip Generation Comparison

ZONE	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	F ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL
D March 2022	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft) COFFEE/DONUT SHOP (w. drive thru)	821 937	24 51,173 2,000	265 5,351 1,067 6,683	3 112 <u>88</u> 203	11 69 <u>84</u> 164	14 181 172 367	14 245 <u>39</u> 299	12 266 <u>39</u> 317	26 511 78 615
D October 2020	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY SHOPPING CENTER	821	24 79,100	265 7,500 7,765	3 <u>173</u> 176	11 <u>106</u> 117	14 279 294	14 348 363	12 <u>377</u> 389	26 726 752
	Trip Generation Reduction			-1,082	26	47	73	-64	-72	-136

REFERENCE: Trip Generation 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

The comparison of the current updated trip generation with the trip generation of 2020 finds that the trip generation is increased during the AM peak hour due to the drive-thru coffee shop use but is a reduction during the PM peak hour and the daily trips generated. After some adjustment for the pass-by and internal trips, the increase in the new trips generated is a total of 29 additional trips during the AM peak hour and a total reduction of 106 trips during the PM peak hour. The daily generated trips for Zone D are reduced 917 trips. The PM peak hour is the critical peak hour for the Northshore Town Center. The increase during the AM peak hour would not affect any previous findings and/or recommendations of the original traffic study. The trip



generation of the proposed site accounts for approximately 3-percent of the daily and 2.5 percent of the PM peak hour of the original trip generation for the Northshore Town Center. The comparison of the trip generation reflected in Tables 2 and 3 compared the previous planned land uses with this proposed development relative **Trip Generation 11th Edition** and not a direct comparison of the previous trip generation as the previous generated trips were based on the 10th edition of Trip Generation. A further analysis was, however, conducted comparing the original trip generation from 2011 with the current 2022 trip generation reflecting both changes in various Northshore Town Center revised land uses and/or densities and the changes from the trip rates published in **Trip Generation 8th Edition**. **Table 6** below presents the differences that are reflected in this most current trip generation of the existing and proposed land uses based on **Trip Generation 11th Edition** with the trip generation of 2011, based on **Trip Generation 8th Edition**.

TABLE 6NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTERTrip Generation Comparison from 2011

	DATE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL
NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER ¹	Jan-11	37,211	834	666	1,500	1,823	1,938	3,761
Primary Trips		26,155	575	510	1,085	1,286	1,336	2,622
NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER ²	Mar-22	39,483	1,097	811	1,909	1,834	1,905	3,739
Primary Trips		24,751	680	532	1,212	1,162	1,186	2,348
Change in Trips Generated		2,272	263	145	409	11	-33	-22
Change in Primary Trips		-1,404	105	22	127	-124	-150	-274

 $\label{eq:REFERENCE: 1.) Trip \ Generation \ 8th \ Edition, \ published \ by \ the \ Institute \ of \ Transportation \ Engineers.$

2.) Trip Generation 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

The primary trips generated difference from 2011 indicates, as previously identified, an increase during the AM peak hour but a reduction in the daily and PM peak hour. The detailed trip generation tables for both the current and the 2011 land uses, densities, and trip rates are attached. This comparison reflects all the changes in the Northshore Town Center and not just the current proposed Town Center Shops. The more significant changes have included a couple of fast food restaurants which are higher trip generators but also exhibit higher pass-by rates.

The study of this proposed site, in addition to the analysis of its trip generation, did analyze the roundabout intersection of Town Center Boulevard and Boardwalk Boulevard and proposed site access from two planned access easements, one to Boardwalk Boulevard and another to the adjacent Target store access street. The following **Figures 4-9** illustrate the current traffic control, 2022 traffic turning movements, trip distribution and assignment for AM and PM peak hours, based on the current distribution to and from the north approach of the Town Center Boulevard roundabout, and projected traffic with the proposed shops.



Analyses of the proposed site access determined that levels of service (LOS) A would be provided with the proposed development. **Table 7** presents the analyses conducted for this study.

TABLE 7

Capacity and Level of Service

INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC CONTROL	PEAK PERIOD	V/C	DELAY	LOS
Town Center Blvd &	ROUNDABOUT	AM	0.220 max	4.8	Α
Boardwalk Blvd		РМ	0.285 max	4.8	Α
Boardwalk Blvdd &	STOP	AM	0.025	9.5	Α
NS Access Easement	SB	РМ	0.006	9.6	Α
Boardwalk Blvd &	STOP	AM	0.102	8.7	А
EW Access Easement		РМ	0.083	8.8	Α

Note: Average vehicle delay estimated in seconds.

The trips generated by the proposed site as identified in Table 1 and the analysis of the site accesses, the traffic impact to the public street system is minimal and would be reduced during the PM peak hour.



































Drive-thru queuing was analyzed to determine if the available storage of 11 vehicles (275-feet) is adequate for the proposed operation. Using a simple queuing model with a single-service window was used to evaluate the coffee service drive thru.

 $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the average vehicle queue length:

$$E[L_q] = \frac{r^2}{1-r}$$

Drive-thru coffee service is recognized as less than 60 second per vehicle Queuing were therefore modeled from 30-60 seconds. **Table 7** presents the model results for these various service rates.

Table 7Service Window Queues

SERVIC	E RATE	ARRIVAL		AVERAGE	% OF
	μ	RATE	RATIO	QUEUE	ENTERING
seconds	veh/hr	λ	r	$E[L_q]$	TRIPS
60	60	52 55	0.867 0.917	6 10	59% 63%
55	65.5	57 60	0.871 0.917	6 10	65% 68%
50	72	63 66	0.875 0.917	6 10	72% 75%
45	80	70 73	0.875 0.913	6 10	80% 83%
40	90	78 83	0.867 0.922	6 11	89% 94%
35	102.9	88	0.856	5	100%
30	120	88	0.733	2	100%

The available storage is more than sufficient to store more than half of the entering trips. With the greater service rate of 60 vehicles/hour, the available widow queue storage can accommodate 63-percent of the entering trips during the AM peak hour. A widow time of 45 seconds, providing a service rate of 80 vehicles/hour, would accommodate as much as 83-percent of the entering trips. The available storage should accommodate the traffic generated by the coffee shop as it is estimated that 60-80% of the AM peak-hour entering trips would utilize the drive thru and the average window service times should range between 45-60 seconds.



The access easement of the Target access is from an existing cross access stub. There is not any adverse geometry restricting lines of sight from the EW access easement to Target access street. The Target access street is a low functioning access street with both low volume and speeds. The speeds should be less than 30mph, thereby a minimum stopping sight-distance less than 200 feet, which is more than available. With the traffic from the access easement to the Target access street being a right-turn movement, the conflict is also very minimal. The lines of sight are unlimited to either direction. **Figures 10 and 11** are images of the lines of sight available.



Figure 10 Line of Sight LEFT From EW Access Easement



Figure 11 Line of Sight RIGHT From EW Access Easement



The proposed development's impact to the Thunderhead Road intersection with Northshore Drive (S.R. 332) will be minimal. The trip generation is not greater than 2.5 percent of the original total trip generation of the Northshore Town Center PM peak hour traffic. Primary trips of the proposed site are an estimated 50 vehicles during the AM peak hour; any trips traveling through this intersection should be less than 15 vehicles during this peak, and less would enter using the left-turn lane from Northshore Drive to Thunderhead Road thereby having negligible impact on the eastbound left-turn queue. With access for this site provided from the Target access street, much of the traffic from Northshore Drive should enter using Town Center Boulevard and not Thunderhead Road.

In addition, the recently completed Northshore Drive Corridor Study did not determine the need for improving current eastbound left-turn lane from Northshore Drive to Thunderhead Road. A review of the final report found that the eastbound left-turn queues appeared managed, and existing and future 95th percentile queues did not exceed the available storage. With the minimal impact of this proposed development, the current conditions as analyzed by the Northshore Drive Corridor Study, will not change.

The existing 2021 traffic, including much of the Northshore Town Center traffic, for the Northshore Drive and Thunderhead Road intersection is lower than the projected 2016 background traffic in the 2011 Northshore Town Center traffic study. The critical AM peak of the eastbound left-turn and the southbound left-turn movements are significantly less. These reduced traffic volumes and buildout of the assumed 2016 background conditions including the development of the Knox County elementary school, the traffic impacts are much reduced than the projected background and site related projected traffic conditions than originally identified. During the critical AM peak hour, the eastbound left-turn demand is 75 vehicles and the southbound left-turn movement is 220 vehicles lower than the original background traffic conditions and these movements currently include some traffic generated by the Northshore Town Center. The impact from both the background and Northshore Town Center is, therefore, much less than originally estimated. The recommended geometric improvement for the eastbound left-turn lane and southbound left-turn lane can be modified which would be predicated with buildout of Zone C and Zone E residential development. A second left-turn movement from Thunderhead Road is probably not required as the demand is much reduced.

The construction of a northbound right-turn lane from Thunderhead Road to Boardwalk Boulevard is another previous 2011 recommendation that remains which need would probably be predicated on buildout of Zone C of the Northshore Town Center. A recommended southbound left-turn lane from Thunderhead Road to Boardwalk Boulevard should have been constructed in conjunction with the Knox County elementary school. This left-turn lane is precluded as the school constructed a left-turn lane to the school where this lane should have been provided.

The proposed development and its access is found to have minimal if any impact to the adjacent streets. Levels of service for the site accesses are A with acceptable lines of sight. Queue



storage for the proposed coffee drive thru should be more than adequate for management of approximately 85-percent of the entering trips to the coffee shop during the AM peak hour. This development should not have any adverse impact to the current Thunderhead Road intersection with Northshore Drive (S.R. 332).

Should you have any questions, please call me.



John F. Gould, P.E. Senior Transportation Engineer

Enclosures: March 2022 Northshore Town Center Cumulative Trip Generation WSA 2011 TIA 2011 Table 4 Trip Generation Site Trip Generation Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition Pass-By Reference Pages Pass-By Rate Estimation Calculation WSA 2011 TIA 2016 Background Traffic Figure 6B Synchro HCM Reports 2022 Turning Movement Count

NORTHSHORE TOWN CENTER

Trip Generation

March 2022

ZONE	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL
	DISCOUNT STORE	815	135,320	6,829	91	39	129	308	308	615
	FASTFOOD REST. (w. drive thru)	934	2,900	1,356	66	63	129	50	46	96
	DRIVE-IN BANK ³	912	5,500	552	32	23	55	58	58	116
Α	SUB-TOTAL		143,720	8,736	188	125	313	415	412	827
	Internal Trips	10%		874	19	13	31	42	41	83
	Pass-By Trips	30%		2,621	56	38	94	125	123	248
	Primary Trips			5,242	113	75	188	249	247	496
	SUPERMARKET	850	54,000	5,042	91	63	154	235	235	469
в	SHOPPING CENTER (<40K sqft)	822	25,900	1,323	32	22	54	77	77	153
_	FASTFOOD RESTAURANT	934	4,992	2,334	114	109	223	86	79	165
	DRIVE-IN BANK	912	4,500	452	26	19	45	47	47	95
	SUB-TOTAL		89,392	9,150	263	213	476	444	438	882
	Internal Trips	10%		915	26	21	48	44	44	88
	Pass-By Trips	30%		2,745	79	64	143	133	131	264
	Primary Trips			5,490	158	128	286	266	263	529
	OFFICE BLDG	710	57,500	717	92	12	104	18	87	105
	SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft)	821	87,000	8,108	190	117	307	377	409	786
	H.T. RESTAURANT	932	14,000	1,501	74	60	134	77	49	127
с	MULTIPLEX THEATER	445	8	1,760	-	-	-	55	57	112
	SUB-TOTAL		158,500	12,086	356	189	545	527	602	1,130
	Internal Trips	10%		1,209	36	19	55	53	60	113
	Pass-By Trips	25%		3,022	89	47	136	132	151	282
	Primary Trips			7,856	231	123	354	343	391	734
	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY		24	265	3	11	14	14	12	26
	SHOPPING CENTER (40-150K sqft)	821	51,173	5,351	112	69	181	245	266	511
	MEDICAL OFFICE	937	2,000	1,067	88 52	84 17	172	39	39	/ 8 05
D	SUB-TOTAL	720	77 173	7 606	255	178	433	327	383	710
		100/	11,110	7,000	200	110	40	221	000	74
	Internal Trips	10%		2 5 8 6	20 97	18	43	33	30 120	2/1
	Pass-by Tips Primary Trips	34%		2,000	07 143	100	243	183	214	398
				1,200	, 10	,00	270	,00	217	000
	SINGLE FAMILY	210	193	1,904	35	106	141	120	71	191
E	Existing Single-Family Units	210	88	924	17	50	67	57	33	90
	Single Family Subdivision Buildout		105	980	18	56	74	63	38	101
	TOTAL TRIP GENERATION			39,483	1,097	811	1,909	1,834	1,905	3,739
TOTAL	PRIMARY TRIP GENERATION			24,751	680	532	1,212	1,162	1,186	2,348

REFERENCE: Trip Generation, 11th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Generated trips reflected in the existing traffic violumes.

Zone D revised trip generation.

TABLE 4 TRIP GENERATION

70115			0175	DAILY		AM PEAK			PM PEAK		
ZONE	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	TRAFFIC	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	
	DISCOUNT STORE	815	135,320	8.347	98	46	143	338	338	677	
	H.T. RESTAURANT	932	6.500	826	39	36	75	43	30	72	
	DRIVE-IN BANK	912	4,500	667	31	24	56	58	58	116	
А	SUB-TOTAL		146,320	9,840	168	106	274	439	426	865	
	Internal Trips	10%		984	17	11	27	44	43	87	
	Pass-By Trips	20%		1,968	34	21	55	88	85	173	
	Primary Trips			6,888	117	74	192	307	298	606	
	SUPERMARKET	850	54,000	5,007	118	76	194	302	290	592	
	SPECIAL RETAIL	814	25,900	1,146	16	10	27	37	47	84	
	SERVICE STA w CONV. MARKET	945	1,000	1,271	40	39	79	49	49	97	
	DRIVE-IN BANK	912	4,500	667	31	24	56	58	58	116	
в	SUB-TOTAL		85,400	8,090	206	149	355	445	443	889	
	Internal Trips	10%		809	21	15	36	45	44	89	
	Pass-By Trips	20%		1,618	41	30	71	89	89	178	
	Primary Trips			5,663	144	105	249	312	310	622	
		710	57 500	071	106	14	100	24	110	142	
с		200	57,500	6 202	100	14	120	24	201	143 570	
		020	67,000	0,203	0/	22	142	270	301	579	
		932	14,000	1,700	04		101	92	60	100	
	SUB-TOTAL	445	158.500	8.855	276	- 147	424	44	544	988	
	Internal Tring	109/	,	005	20	15	10	11	54	00	
	Paga By Trips	250/		2 214	20	10	42	44	126	99	
	Primary Trips	2570		5,756	180	96	275	288	354	642	
	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY	225	24	265	3	11	14	14	12	26	
	SHOPPING CENTER	820	79,100	5,831	82	52	134	261	283	544	
	OFFICE BLDG.	710	17,500	349	41	6	47	17	82	98	
D	SUB-TOTAL		96,600	6,444	126	69	195	292	376	668	
	Internal Trips	10%		644	13	7	19	29	38	67	
	Pass-By Trips	30%		1,933	38	21	58	88	113	200	
	Primary Trips			3,867	76	41	117	175	226	401	
					-	_	_				
	SINGLE FAMILY	210	120	1,230	23	70	94	78	46	124	
	KNOX CO MULTI-FAMILY	225	325	2,753	35	124	159	125	102	227	
F	SUB-TOTAL		445	3,983	58	194	252	203	148	351	
-	Internal Trips			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Pass-By Trips			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Primary Trips			3,983	58	194	252	203	148	351	
	TOTAL TRIP GENERATION			37.211	834	666	1.500	1.823	1.938	3.761	
TOTAL P	TOTAL PRIMARY TRIP GENERATION 26,155 575 510 1,085 1,286 1,336 2,622										
REFEREN	CE: Trip Generation 8th Edition of	ublished b	v the Institute	of Transpo	rtation En	nineere					





_
0
-
100
-
5
·M
σ
ē
8
5
<u> </u>
-
22
το Ο
õ

Caution – Small Sample Size









Data Plot and Equation





Data Plot and Equation

Table F.9 (Cont'd) Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips	Weekday, PM
Peak Period Land Use Code 820—Shopping	Center

SIZE		MEEKDAY	NO OF	and the second	DACE DV	NON-	ASS-BY TRIP	(%)	ADJ. STREET	AVERAGE	
FT. GLA)	LOCATION	SURVEY DATE	INTERVIEWS	TIME PERIOD	TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	VOLUME	TRAFFIC	SOURCE
921	Albany, NY	July & Aug. 1985	196	4:00-6:00 p.m.	23	42	35	77	-	60,950	Raymond Keyes Assoc.
108	Overland Park, KS	July 1988	111	4:30-5:30 p.m.	26	61	13	74	-	34,000	-
118	Overland Park, KS	Aug. 1988	123	4:30-5:30 p.m.	25	55	20	75			-
256	Greece, NY	June 1988	120	4:00-6:00 p.m.	38	62		62	_	23,410	Sear Brown
160	Greece, NY	June 1988	78	4:00-6:00 p.m.	29	71		71	-	57,306	Sear Brown
550	Greece, NY	June 1988	117	4:00-6:00 p.m.	48	52	1.5	52	-	40,763	Sear Brown
51	Boca Raton, FL	Dec. 1987	110	4:00-6:00 p.m.	33	34	33	67		42,225	Kimley-Horn and Assoc. Inc.
1,090	Ross Twp, PA	July 1988	411	2:00-8:00 p.m.	34	56	10	66		51,500	Wilbur Smith and Assoc.
97	Upper Dublin Twp, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	41	-	-	59	-	34,000	McMahon Associates
118	Tredyffrin Twp, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	24		-	76	_	10,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
122	Lawnside, NJ	Winter 1988/89		4:00-6:00 p.m.	37	-	-	63	-	20,000	Pennoni Associates
126	Boca Raton, FL	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	43	-		57	-	40,000	McMahon Associates
150	Willow Grove, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	39	-		61	-	26,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
153	Broward Cnty., FL	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	50	-		50		85,000	McMahon Associates
153	Arden, DE	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	30		0.000	70	070	26,000	Orth-Rodgers & Assoc. Inc.
154	Doylestown, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	32	-	-	68		29,000	Orth-Rodgers & Assoc. Inc.
164	Middletown Twp, PA	Winter 1988/89		4:00-6:00 p.m.	33	-	-	67	-	25,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
166	Haddon Twp, NJ	Winter 1988/89	<u>19</u> 27	4:00–6:00 p.m.	20	-	-	80	-	6,000	Pennoni Associates
205	Broward Cnty., FL	Winter 1988/89	1777 N	4:00-6:00 p.m.	55	-		45	: :	62,000	McMahon Associates

Table F.9 (Cont'd) Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 820—Shopping Center

1.1.1.						NON-F	ASS-BY TRIP (%)	ADJ. STREET	AVERAGE	
SIZE (1,000 SO: FT, GLA)	LOCATION	WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE	NO. OF	TIME PERIOD	PASS-BY TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	24-HOUR TRAFFIC	SOURCE
237	W. Windsor Twp, NJ	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	48	373	, , , ,	52	-	46,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
242	Willow Grove, PA	Winter 1988/89	<u></u>	4:00-6:00 p.m.	37	1 <u>—</u> 1	1 <u>11</u>	63	-	26,000	McMahon Associates
297	Whitehall, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	33			67	3 -	26,000	Orth-Rodgers & Assoc. Inc.
360	Broward Cnty., FL	Winter 1988/89	-	4:006:00 p.m.	44		$\sim - 1$	56	-	73,000	McMahon Associates
370	Pittsburgh, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	19		-	81		33,000	Wilbur Smith
150	Portland, OR	-	519	4:00-6:00 p.m.	68	6	26	32	100	25,000	Kittelson and Associates
150	Portland, OR		655	4:00-6:00 p.m.	65	7	28	35	2 22	30,000	Kittelson and Associates
760	Calgary, Alberta	OctDec. 1987	15,436	4:00-6:00 p.m.	20	39	41	80		12 -	City of Calgary DOT
178	Bordentown, NJ	Apr. 1989	154	2:00-6:00 p.m.	35		0 — 3	65		37,980	Raymond Keyes Assoc.
144	Manalapan, NJ	July 1990	176	3:30–6:15 p.m.	32	44	24	68	—	69,347	Raymond Keyes Assoc.
549	Natick, MA	Feb. 1989	_	4:45–5:45 p.m.	33	26	41	67	-	48,782	Raymond Keyes Assoc.

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 34

"-" means no data were provided

Table F.31 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, AM Peak Period Land Use Code 934—Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window

SIZE (1,000		WEEKDAY			0400 000	NON-P	ASS-BY TRIPS	5 (%)	ADJ. STREET		
SEATS	SQ. FT. GFA)	LOCATION	DATE	INTERVIEWS	TIME PERIOD	TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	VOLUME	SOURCE
	<5	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	84	7:00-9:00 a.m.	44	-	-	56	-	Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock
88	1.4	Louisville area, KY	1993	-	7:00-9:00 a.m.	62	22	16	38	1,407	Barton-Aschman Assoc.
100	3.6	Louisville, KY	1993	-	7:00-9:00 a.m.	32	47	21	68	437	Barton-Aschman Assoc.
87	4.2	New Albany, IN	1993	-	7:00–9:00 a.m.	46	23	31	54	1,049	Barton-Aschman Assoc.
150	3.0	Louisville area, KY	1993	<u> </u>	7:00-9:00 a.m.	43	14	43	57	2,903	Barton-Aschman Assoc.
-	3.3	varies	1996	-	6:00–9:00 a.m.	68	-		32	-	Oracle Engineering

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 49

"-" means no data were provided

Table F.32 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 934—Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window

	SIZE (1,000 SQ. FT.		WEEKDAY SURVEY NO. OF DATE INTERVIEWS TIME PERIOD		NON-I	PASS-BY TRIPS	(%)	ADJ. STREET PEAK			
SEATS	GFA)	LOCATION	DATE	INTERVIEWS	TIME PERIOD	(%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	HOUR	SOURCE
-	~2.6	Minn-St. Paul, MN	1987	50	3:00–7:00 p.m.	25	27	48	75	_	_
-	<5.0	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	80	3:00–6:00 p.m.	38	_	-	62	-	Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock
-	<5.0	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	100	3:00-6:00 p.m.	55	-	-	45	-	Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock
0 — 0)	<5.0	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	159	3:00–6:00 p.m.	56	-	-	44	_	Kenig, O'Hara, Humes Flock
-	<5.0	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	225	3:00-6:00 p.m.	48	_	-	52	-	Kenig, O'Hara, Humes Flock
-	<5.0	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	88	3:00–6:00 p.m.	35	::	_	65	_	Kenig, O'Hara, Humes Elock
-	<5.0	Chicago suburbs, IL	1987	84	3:00–6:00 p.m.	44	-	-	56		Kenig, O'Hara, Humes Flock
88	1.3	Louisville area, KY	1993		4:00-6:00 p.m.	68	22	10	32	2,055	Barton- Aschman Assoc.
120	1.9	Louisville area, KY	1993	33	4:00-6:00 p.m.	67	24	9	33	2,447	Barton- Aschman Assoc.
87	4.2	New Albany, IN	1993	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	56	25	19	44	1,632	Barton- Aschman Assoc.
150	3.0	Louisville area, KY	1993	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	31	31	38	69	4,250	Barton- Aschman Assoc,
-	3.1	Kissimmee, FL	1995	28	2:00-6:00 p.m.	71	-	-	29	_	TPD Inc.
-	3.1	Apopka, FL	1996	29	2:00–6:00 p.m.	38	-		62	_	TPD Inc
-	2.8	Winter Springs, FL	1995	47	2:00-6:00 p.m.	66	-	-	34	_	TPD Inc.
-	4.3	Longwood, FL	1994	304	2:00-6:00 p.m.	62	-	_	38	_	TPD Inc.
-	3.2	Altamonte Springs, FL	1996	202	2:00-6:00 p.m.	40	39	21	60	_	TPD Inc.
-	2.9	Winter Park, FL	1996	271	2:00-6:00 p.m.	41	41	18	59	-	TPD Inc.
-	3.3*	several	1996	varies	4:00-6:00 p.m.	62	-	_	38	-	Oracle

Average of several combined studies.

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 50

"---" means no data were provided

Table F.33 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday Land Use Code 938—Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window and No Indoor Seating (Coffee/Espresso Stand)

SIZE (1.000		WEEKDAY				NON			
SQ. FT. GFA)	LOCATION	SURVEY DATE	NO. OF INTERVIEWS	TIME PERIOD	PASS-BY TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	SOURCE
0.1	Vancouver, WA	Nov. 1997	69	6:00 a.m6:00 p.m.	83	_	-	17	Kittelson & Associates Inc.

-" means no data were provided

Table F.34 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday Land Use Code 938—Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window and No Indoor Seating (Coffee/Espresso Stand)

		WEEKDAY				NON	I-PASS-BY TRIPS	(%)	
EMPLOYEES	LOCATION	SURVEY DATE	NO. OF	TIME PERIOD	PASS-BY TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	SOURCE
1	Vancouver, WA	Nov. 1997	70	6:00 a.m6:00 p.m.	83		-	17	Kittelson & Associates Inc.
1	Woodburn, OR	Feb. 1998	109	6:00 a.m.–6:00 p.m.	95	-	-	5	Kittelson & Associates Inc.
1	Vancouver, WA	Feb. 1998	83	6:00 a.m1:00 p.m.	89	-	-	11	Kittelson & Associates Inc.

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 89

"-" means no data were provided

Table F.35 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, AM Peak Period Land Use Code 944—Gasoline/Service Station

917E	SIZE	HERE EN					NON	PASS-BY TRIPS ((%)	ADJ.	
(1,000 SQ. FT. GFA)	VEHICLE FUELING POSITIONS	LOCATION	WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE	NO. OF	TIME PERIOD	PASS-BY TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	SOURCE
2.3	6	Gaithersburg, MD	1992	37	7:00–9:00 a.m.	32	41	27	68	2,080	RBA
2.1	6	Bethesda, MD	1992	26	7:00–9:00 a.m.	58	23	19	42	2,080	RBA
1.7	6	Wheaton, MD	1992	21	7:00–9:00 a.m.	67	14	19	33	900	RBA
2.0	8	Gaithersburg, MD	1992	46	7:00–9:00 a.m.	87	13	0	13	2,235	RBA
1.2	6	Damascus, MD	1992	21	7:00–9:00 a.m.	43	28	29	57	870	RBA
0.3	12	Wheaton, MD	1992	36	7:00–9:00 a.m.	61	8	31	39	3,480	RBA

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 58

"-" means no data were provided

LAND USE LU.C SIZE DAILY TRAFFIC ENTER AM PEAK EXIT TOTAL ENTER PM PEAK EXIT TOTAL LOOPING CENTER (-40) 822 6,173 30 9 6 15 20 20 41 0	AVERAGE DAILY AVERAGE DAILY AMPEAK PM PEAK CONTRACTOR OF (w. div) 937 2.000 IDAILY AVERAGE PM PEAK FLATE IC ENTER PM PEAK CONTRACTOR OF (w. div) 937 2.000 0 <th colspa<="" th=""><th>25-Mar-22</th><th></th><th></th><th>Ir</th><th>NF GEI</th><th>NERATIC</th><th>/IN</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th>	<th>25-Mar-22</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Ir</th> <th>NF GEI</th> <th>NERATIC</th> <th>/IN</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	25-Mar-22			Ir	NF GEI	NERATIC	/IN				
LAND USE LUC SIZE TARFIC ENTR	LAND USE LUC SIZE TRAFIC ENTR EXT TOTAL ENTR EXIT TOTAL EFIDONUT SHOP (w. div) 937 2,000 1,067 98 84 172 39 39 78 HOPPING CENTER (s40) 822 6,173 336 9 6 15 20 20 41 0 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>AVERAGE</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>							AVERAGE					
EEDONUT SHOP (w. diffy 937 2.000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EEDONUT SHOP (v. div. 937 2.000 1.067 9 6 172 39 39 78 0	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL		
LAND USE L.U.C SIZE TRAFFIC ENTER AM PEAK PM PEAK PM PEAK EEDONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A N/A </td <td>I.403 96 90 186 59 59 119 REGRESSION AM PEAK PM PEAK CONUT SHOP (w. dirv 937 2,000 N/A <</td> <td>EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv HOPPING CENTER (<40) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>937 822 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>2,000 6,173 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>1,067 336 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>88 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>84 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>172 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>39 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>39 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>78 41 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td></td>	I.403 96 90 186 59 59 119 REGRESSION AM PEAK PM PEAK CONUT SHOP (w. dirv 937 2,000 N/A <	EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv HOPPING CENTER (<40) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	937 822 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,000 6,173 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,067 336 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	88 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	84 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	172 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	78 41 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
LAND USE LU.C SIZE TRAFFIC ENTER AM PEAK EXIT TOTAL ENTER PM PEAK EXIT PM PEAK TOTAL PM PEAK EEDONUT SHOP (w. driv HOPPING CENTER (<40) 822 6,173 0 <th>REGRESSION REGRESSION DAILY AM PEAK PM PEAK DAILY AM PEAK PM PEAK ECONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A N</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1,403</th> <th>96</th> <th>90</th> <th>186</th> <th>59</th> <th>59</th> <th>119</th> <th></th>	REGRESSION REGRESSION DAILY AM PEAK PM PEAK DAILY AM PEAK PM PEAK ECONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A N				1,403	96	90	186	59	59	119		
LAND USE LU.C SIZE TRAFFIC ENTER EXIT TOTAL ENTER EXIT TOTAL EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv HOPPING CENTER (<40) 937 2,000 N/A	LAND USE LU.C SIZE TRAFFIC ENTER EXIT TOTAL ENTER EXIT TOTAL ENTER EXIT TOTAL EEDONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A N/A </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>P</th> <th>EGRESSIO</th> <th>N</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th>						P	EGRESSIO	N			1	
LAND USL LOUC SLL INALITIO LINTER LAND N/A	EXAMPOSE EXIL INAL TIC EXIL INAL EXIL INAL INA N/A			SIZE	DAILY		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	τοται		
IO III IIII IIII IIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Ind IO IO <thio< th=""> IO IO IO<</thio<>	EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv HOPPING CENTER (<40ł 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	937 822 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,000 6,173 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 490 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 55 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
SATURDAY SUNDAY DAILY PEAK DAILY PEAK LAND USE L.U.C SIZE TRAFFIC ENTER EXIT TOTAL EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A 88 88 176 N/A N/A N/A 0	SATURDAY SUNDAY DAILY PEAK DAILY PEAK DAILY PEAK LAND USE L.U.C SIZE TRAFFIC ENTER EXIT TOTAL TRAFFIC ENTER EXIT TOTAL TRAFFIC ENTER EXIT TOT EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A 88 88 176 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0				490	15		21	20	20		l 	
EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A 88 88 176 N/A N/A N/A N/A HOPPING CENTER (<40) 822 6,173 N/A 21 20 40 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0	EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv 937 2,000 N/A 88 88 176 N/A N/A N/A N/A HOPPING CENTER (<401 822 6,173 N/A 21 20 40 N/A	LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	SATURDAY PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	SUNDAY PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	
0 0		EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv HOPPING CENTER (<40) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	937 822 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,000 6,173 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	88 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	88 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	176 40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
					0	109	108	216	0	0	0	0	

TRIP GENERATION

TRIP GENERATION

25-Mar-22

LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	PASS-BY RATE	
EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv	937	2,000	1,067	88	84	172	39	39	78	65%	
HOPPING CENTER (<40ł	822	6,173	490	13	8	21	28	28	55	30%	
			1 557	100	02	102	67	67	100		
			1,557	100	93			07	155		
			DAILY		AM PEAK	D TRIP GEI	NERATION	PM PEAK			
LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	TRAFFIC	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL		
EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv	937 822	2,000 6,173	373 343	31 9	29 6	60 15	14 19	14 19	27 39	54%	
	022	0,110	010	0	Ũ	10	10	10			
			717	39	35	75	33	33	66		
					PASS-B)	TRIP GEN	ERATION				
LAND USE	L.U.C	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	ENTER	AM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	PM PEAK EXIT	TOTAL	-	
EE/DONUT SHOP (w. driv	937	2,000	694	57	55	112	25	25	51		
HOPPING CENTER (<40)	822	6,173	147	4	3	6	8	8	17		
									J		
					" 	1			1		
			0.41	61	EZ	110	24	24	67		
			841	01	57	118	34	34	67		



WilburSmith

Intersection								
Intersection Delay, s/veh	4.8							
Intersection LOS	А							
Approach		EB		WB		SE	NW	1
Entry Lanes		2		2		2	2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1		1		1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		260		384		234	163	}
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		266		392		239	166)
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		320		198		283	32	2
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		202		0		307	554	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	C)
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		5.3		4.7		5.3	3.6)
Approach LOS		А		А		А	Ą	l
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	
Designated Moves	L	TR	LT	R	LT	R	LT	
Assumed Moves	L	TR	LT	R	LT	R	LT	
RT Channelized								
Lane Util	0.120	0.880	0.661	0.339	0.975	0.025	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	
Critical Headway, s	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	
Entry Flow, veh/h	32	234	259	133	233	6	166	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1061	1061	1186	1186	1098	1098	1379	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.969	0.979	0.979	0.977	0.980	1.000	0.983	
Flow Entry, veh/h	31	229	254	130	228	6	163	
Cap Entry, veh/h	1028	1039	1161	1159	1076	1098	1356	
V/C Ratio	0.030	0.220	0.218	0.112	0.212	0.005	0.120	
Control Delay, s/veh	3.8	5.5	5.1	4.1	5.3	3.3	3.6	
LOS	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	
95th %tile Queue, veh	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	٦.	f		<u>۲</u>	4			- 44			- 44		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	151	0	0	160	6	0	0	0	1	0	16	
Future Vol, veh/h	11	151	0	0	160	6	0	0	0	1	0	16	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	60	60	60	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	18	252	0	0	188	7	0	0	0	1	0	19	

Major/Minor	Major1		1	Major2			Vinor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	195	0	0	252	0	0	489	483	252	480	480	192	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	288	288	-	192	192	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	201	195	-	288	288	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1378	-	-	1313	-	-	489	483	787	496	485	850	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	720	674	-	810	742	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	801	739	-	720	674	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1378	-	-	1313	-	-	473	477	787	491	479	850	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	473	477	-	491	479	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	711	665	-	799	742	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	783	739	-	711	665	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.5			0			0			9.5			
HCM LOS							А			А			
Minor Lane/Maior Mvn	nt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)		-	1378	-	-	1313	-	-	815				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			0.013	-	-	-	-	-	0.025				
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	7.6	-	-	0	-	-	9.5				
HCM Lane LOS	/	A	A	-	-	A	-	-	A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ı)	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.1				

7

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			\$			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	4	0	89	4	21	0	0	77	7	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	4	0	89	4	21	0	0	77	7	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	6	0	127	6	30	0	0	110	10	0	0

Maior/Minor	Maior1			Maior2			Minor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	6	0	0	281	296	6	336	281	21	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	275	275	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-				275	290	-	61	6	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1575	-	-	1615	-	-	671	616	1077	618	627	1056	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1016	891	-	731	683	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	731	672	-	950	891	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1575	-	-	1615	-	-	629	566	1077	520	576	1056	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	629	566	-	520	576	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1016	891	-	731	628	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	672	618	-	853	891	-	
Approach	SE			NW			NF			SW			
HCM Control Delay s	0			5.8			87			12.1			
HCMIOS	U			0.0			A			B			
										2			
NA'NANA			N I) A /I				OFT						
IVIINOR Lane/IVIajor IVIVM	nt r	VELNI	INVVL	INVVI	NWR	SEL	SEI	SER	SWLNI				
Capacity (veh/h)		1077	1615	-	-	1575	-	-	520				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.102	0.079	-	-	-	-	-	0.019				
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.7	7.4	0	-	0	-	-	12.1				
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	-	A	-	-	В				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0.3	-	-	0	-	-	0.1				

Intersection								
Intersection Delay, s/veh	4.8							
Intersection LOS	А							
Approach		EB		WB		SE	NW	
Entry Lanes		2		2		2	, ,)
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1		1		1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		69		323		334	208	}
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		70		329		340	212)
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		452		234		239	22	2
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		127		0		324	500)
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	()
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000)
Approach Delay, s/veh		4.3		4.6		5.8	3.9	}
Approach LOS		А		А		А	Ļ	4
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	
Designated Moves	L	TR	LT	R	LT	R	LT	
Assumed Moves	L	TR	LT	R	LT	R	LT	
RT Channelized								
Lane Util	0.314	0.686	0.653	0.347	0.959	0.041	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535	
Critical Headway, s	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	4.544	
Entry Flow, veh/h	22	48	215	114	326	14	212	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	941	941	1148	1148	1142	1142	1392	
Entry HV Adj Factor	1.000	0.979	0.983	0.982	0.980	1.000	0.983	
Flow Entry, veh/h	22	47	211	112	320	14	208	
Cap Entry, veh/h	941	922	1128	1128	1120	1142	1368	
V/C Ratio	0.023	0.051	0.187	0.099	0.285	0.012	0.152	
Control Delay, s/veh	4.0	4.4	4.9	4.0	5.9	3.3	3.9	
LOS	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	
95th %tile Queue, veh	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	

0.5

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦.	1		٦.	1			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	38	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
Future Vol, veh/h	5	38	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	60	60	60	86	86	86	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	8	63	0	0	128	0	0	0	0	3	0	1

5.4

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	28	0	63	21	21	0	0	60	7	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	28	0	63	21	21	0	0	60	7	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	40	0	90	30	30	0	0	86	10	0	0

Major/Minor	Major1		ſ	Major2		I	Vinor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	60	0	0	40	0	0	265	280	40	308	265	45	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	40	-	225	225	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	225	240	-	83	40	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1544	-	-	1570	-	-	688	628	1031	644	640	1025	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	975	862	-	778	718	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	778	707	-	925	862	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1544	-	-	1570	-	-	656	590	1031	564	602	1025	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	656	590	-	564	602	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	975	862	-	778	675	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	731	665	-	848	862	-	
Annroach	SE						NE			SW			
HCM Control Dolay				1400			0.0			11 5			
HCIVI CUITII UI Delay, S	0			4.0			0.0 A			11.0 D			
							A			D			
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt l	VELn1	NWL	NWT	NWR	SEL	SET	SERS	SWLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)		1031	1570	-	-	1544	-	-	564				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.083	0.057	-	-	-	-	-	0.018				
HCM Control Delay (s))	8.8	7.4	0	-	0	-	-	11.5				
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	А	-	А	-	-	В				

0

0.1

_

0.3

0.2

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Town Center Blvd & Boardwalk Blvd City: Knoxville Control: 4-Way Yield

Project ID: 22-190011-001 **Date:** 3/8/2022

-								Data -	Total								
NS/EW Streets:		Town Cer	nter Blvd			Town Cen	iter Blvd			Boardwa	IK Blvd			Boardwa	alk Blvd		
	1	NORTH	IBOUND	,	,	SOUTH	BOUND	,	1	EASTB		,	,	WESTB	BOUND	,	
AIVI	0.5 NL	NT N	NR 0	0 NN	SL 0	ST ST	SR SR	0 SU	0.5 EL	ET 0	ER 1.5	EU 0	ML 0	0.5 WT	1.5 WR	0 MU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	ر م	2	0	0	0	0	 -1 ·	0	, 1	0	13	0	4	35	ŝ	0	69
7:15 AM		16	0 0	0 0	0 0	10	⊷ •	0 0	ы	0 0	41	0 0	16	99	∞ ;	0 0	174
7:45 AM	00	21 21	00	00	00	14 20	1 0	00	4 ע	00	31 31	00	11 29	17	14 24	00	061 146
8:00 AM	n M	14	0	0	0	27	2	0	0	0	œ	0	22	13	20	0	109
8:15 AM	9	12	0	0	0	15	1	0	4	0	11	0	15	10	19	0	93
8:30 AM	m	12	0	0	0	23		0	1	0	ø	0	11	15	19	0	93
8:45 AM		15	0	0	0	25	0	0	-	0	4	0	15	16	16	0	93
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	E	ET	ER	EU	ML	WΤ	WR	MU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	35	117 76 0702	0	0	0	134 05 0402	70502	0	25 17 5002	0	175 07 E004	0	123 75 6002	233	123 75 6002	0	972
PEAK HR :	0/.00.07	07:15 AM -	· 08:15 AM	0/-00-0	04-00-0	0/10.00	0/06.4	02-00-0	0/.02.71	02-00-0	0/ DC /0	0/-00-0	0/-00.62	0/-+0.0+	0/-00.62	04-00-0	TOTAL
PEAK HR VOL :	20	71	0	0	0	71	4	0	18	0	139	0	78	157	99	0	624
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.455	0.845	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.657 0.64	0.500 47	0.000	0.500	0.000	0.589	0.000	0.672	0.595	0.688 36	0.000	0.800
			2				:								2		
		NORTH	IBOUND			SOUTH	BOUND			EASTB	OUND.			WESTB	BOUND		
ΡM	0.5	1.5	0	0	0;	1.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1.5	0 ;	0	0.5	1.5	0	
	NL	IN	NK	N	SL	SI	SK 1	NS o	II (ц П	EK X	Э ¢	ML	M	MK S	Ŋ	IUIAL
3:15 PM	01 4	21 21	00	00	0 0	59 59	იო	00	0 70	00	34 25	0 0	26 26	13 14	20 20	00	173
3:30 PM	m	25	0	0	0	54	m	0	7	0	21	0	33	15	21	0	182
3:45 PM	9	34	0	0	0	48	2	0	2	0	11	0	34	16	27	0	185
4:00 PM	<u>∿</u> 4	17	00	0 0	0 0	66 67	רא ע	0 0	∞ 4	0 0	14 α	00	26 36	14	21	0 0	175 183
4:30 PM	- 9	34	0	0	0	61	2 10	0	r m	0	- 12 0	0	5 <mark>7</mark>	16	27 51	0	176
4:45 PM	9	30	0	0	0	62	-	0	9	0	e	0	35	14	13	0	170
5:00 PM	6 -	32	00	00	00	47 c.4	4 c	0 0	0 5	0 0	9	00	31 77	28 77	19 26	0 0	176 196
5:30 PM	- m	59	0	0	0	43	9	0	F	0		0	27	22	15	0	153
5:45 PM	S	32	0	0	0	54	1	0	e	0	13	0	28	18	17	0	171
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	MU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	62 15 35%	342 84 65%	0 0	0	0	668 03 56%	46 6 44%	0	46 22.01%	0	163 77 99%	0	345 43 73%	208 26 36%	236 29 01%	0	2116
PEAK HR :	0,000	- Md 00:E0	· 04:00 PM	0,000	0,000		2	0,000	0/ 10:17	0,000	0/00.44	0/00/0	0/0/01	0.000	0/ 10/ 1	0,00.0	TOTAL
PEAK HR VOL :	23	106	0	0	0	209	18	0	17	0	91	0	114	58	06	0	726
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.575	0.779 0.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.886 0.9	0.643 15	0.000	0.607	0.000 0.67	0.669 75	000.0	0.838	0.906 0.85	0.833 51	0.000	0.976
	4:30 PM -	5:30 PM															
	22	129	0	0	0	234	13	0	13	0	30	0	114	80	73	0	70
				151				247				43				267	



March 28, 2022

Mike Conger, P.E. Knoxville-Knox County Planning 400 Main Street, Suite 403 Knoxville, TN 37902

RE: TOWN CENTER SHOPS TRAFFIC IMPACT LETTER (TIL) COMMENT RESPONSE

Dear Mr. Conger:

Please find enclosed attached the revised traffic impact study prepared for the above referenced site with responses to the TIS Review comments received November 12th. The following comments received related to the TIL are addressed as indicated in red.

1. The study does not compare/validate the running total of expected trip generation as was requested. Please tabulate and include the trip generation for the "existing" uses in the bottom line tally and compare those with the 2011 report to the current expected amount based on the latest information and actual uses. Existing uses can be denoted as such in the table and noted that actual trip generation may not match exactly with the ITE calculated amount.

The Attachments of the TIL includes a comprehensive 2022 trip generation update with the proposed Town Center Shops and reflecting ITE trip generation rates from Trip Generation, 11th Edition and the Trip generation of the original 2011 trip generation. A summary of a comparison of total trips generated are provided in Table 6 (page 9) of the revised TIL.

2. Pass-by rates should be verified with Knox Planning/City staff if not using previously regionally-accepted percentages. Please provide additional justification for the application of a 50% pass-by rate for the entire development since the typical maximum allowed pass-by rate for a shopping center use is 30%.

A paragraph was added to the TIL, page 2, detailing the approach of determining the pass-by rate of 50-percent used in adjusting for primary trips for the Town Center Shops. The trip generation in the Attachments shows the means for this calculation. The retail shops reflected a pass-by rate of 30% but the coffee shop with drive-thru reflected a pass-by rate of 65%. Drive-thru coffee establishments can exhibit pass-by rates in excess of 80%. Also attached are the recognized pass-by rates published in the ITE reference **Trip Generation Handbook**, 3rd Edition for the study documentation.

3. Please document the status of all recommendations from the 2011 Northshore Town Center TIS and which ones remain uncompleted and this development's impact and



Mike Conger, P.E. Knoxville-Knox County Planning March 28, 2022 Page 2

> potential triggering of such. In terms of the critical intersection of Thunderhead Rd at Northshore Dr where remaining improvements are called for, a 2021 TMC is being attached with this letter that was conducted as part of the Northshore Corridor Study that was referenced in your report. Please compare these volumes with the amounts shown to warrant additional improvements in the 2011 TIS such as the eastbound left turn lane storage and separate southbound left turn lane to further demonstrate that this development will not trigger these improvements beyond the narrative included in the current version of this TIL.

> The TIL further expanded the discussion of the left-turn movements for the Northshore Drive and Thunderhead Road intersection (Page 21). The existing 2021 traffic, including much of the Northshore Town Center traffic, for the Northshore Drive and Thunderhead Road intersection is lower than the projected 2016 background traffic in the 2011 Northshore Town Center traffic study. The critical AM peak of the eastbound left-turn and the southbound left-turn movements are significantly less. These reduced traffic volumes and buildout of the assumed 2016 background conditions including the development of the Knox County elementary school, the traffic impacts are much reduced than the projected background and site related projected traffic conditions than originally identified. During the critical AM peak hour, the eastbound left-turn demand is 75 vehicles and the southbound left-turn movement is 220 vehicles lower than the original background traffic conditions and these movements currently include some traffic generated by the Northshore Town Center. The impact from both the background and Northshore Town Center is, therefore, much less than originally estimated.

4. Please revise the summary of the TIL to clarify that the original 2011 Northshore Town Center TIS is the basis for identifying improvements needed at the intersection of Thunderhead Rd at Northshore Dr and not the recent Northshore Drive Corridor Study.

Revised

5. Please revise the ITE land use code that was referenced as 820 to 822, which is the new designation for shopping center < 40,000 square feet in the 11th Edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual.

Revised



Mike Conger, P.E. Knoxville-Knox County Planning March 28, 2022 Page 3

If you have any questions regarding this study, please call me.

Sincerely,

CDM SMITH INC. F. Hould m

John F Gould, P.E. Senior Transportation Engineer

pc: John Anderson, SITE Inc