

4-M-22-RZ / 4-G-22-SP TIL Version 2 3/21/2022

March 21, 2022

Mr. Mike Conger Knoxville-Knox County Planning 400 Main Street, Suite 403 Knoxville, TN 37902

Re: Traffic Letter for 7921 Thompson School Road

Dear Mr. Conger:

I-75 Land Partners, LLC is proposing a residential development at 7921 Thompson School Road in Knoxville, Tennessee. The total area of development is 95 acres and the property is currently zoned A (Agricultural and Estate). The developer plans to rezone the entire property to Planned Residential with a density of 3.2 units/acre or 300 single family lots. A preliminary site plan was not included with the rezoning application. Construction is proposed to take place this year and this analysis assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2027.

7921 Thompson School Road is located approximately 535 feet north of the intersection of Karnes Drive and Thompson School and approximately 1,000 feet south of the intersection of Lett Road. For the purpose of this traffic impact letter the Thompson School Road Subdivision was assumed to have a single driveway connection located near the center of the property at the existing single family driveway connection.

The purpose of this traffic analysis is to perform general segment-level capacity and geometric assessment of adjoining roadways per the Growth Policy Plan.

Existing Site Conditions

Thompson School Road is a two-lane road. The road width was measured by Knox County Engineering and Public Works and varies between 19 and 20 feet between the property line and Karnes Drive. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission classifies Thompson School Road between Wood Road and E. Emory Road as a Major Collector with a 60 feet right-of-way per the Major Road Plan. The posted speed limit on Thompson School Road is 30 mph. Thompson School Road has no existing sidewalks or designated bike lanes in the vicinity of the proposed development. An aerial photo of the proposed driveway location is included in the attachments.

Karnes Drive is a two-lane road that provides access to residential properties. The road width was measured by Knox County Engineering and Public Works and varies between 15.5 feet and 16 feet between Barker Road and Thompson School Road. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission does not classify Karnes Drive; therefore, it is considered a local street. The posted speed limit on Karnes Drive is 30 mph. An aerial

Mr. Conger March 21, 2022 Page 2 of 6

photo of the existing intersection of Thompson School Road at Karnes Drive is included in the attachments.

A copy of the road width measurements performed by Knox County Engineering and Public Works Staff is included in the attachments.

The Knoxville Area Transit (KAT) does not operate in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Traffic Volumes

FMA conducted a peak hour turning movement count at the unsignalized intersection of Thompson School Road and Karnes Drive on Wednesday February 16, 2022. The AM peak hour occurred between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m. with an AM PHF (peak hour factor) of 0.86. The PM peak hour occurred between 3:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m. with a PM PHF of 0.80. The traffic data collected is included in the attachments.

Background Growth

The annual growth rate for the TDOT station #47000519 between 2016 and 2020 is approximately –2.18% and the 2020 ADT was 2,972 vehicles per day. In order to calculate traffic for the background year 2027, FMA assumed an annual growth rate of 2%. The projected 2027 ADT for Thompson School Road was 3,414 vehicles per day. Figure 1: 2022 Existing Peak Hour Traffic, Figure 2: 2027 Background Peak Hour Traffic and the ADT trend line growth charts are included in the attachments.

TDOT Roadway Projects

The Tennessee Department of Transportation is currently in the preliminary engineering stage on a road widening project between East Emory Road (SR-33) to near SR-331. The scope of work includes adding two lanes with a median or center turn lane, including bicycle and pedestrian facilities. This project had a proposed letting date of the 4th quarter of 2026.

Trip Generation

FMA evaluated the trip generation for both the existing and proposed zoning. The trip generation was calculated using the fitted curve equations provided from the *Trip Generation, 11th Edition,* published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Single-Family Detached Housing or Land Use 210 was used to calculate the daily trips, along with AM and PM peak hour trips. The land use worksheets are included in the attachments. A trip generation summary is shown below in Table 1 – Trip Generation Summary.

Land Use	Density	Daily Trips	AM Peak Hour Enter Exit	PM Peak Hour Enter Exit
	Existing – Agrice	ultural & Estates	zoning – (1.0 Unit/Acr	e)
Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)	95 lots	963	18 50	60 35
	Proposed – Plan	ned Residential	Zoning – (3.2 Units/Act	re)
Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)	300 lots	2,772	53 149	176 103

Table 1 - Trip Generation Summary7921 Thompson School Road

The total number of new trips generated by the proposed residential development at 7921 Thompson School Road is estimated to be 2,772 new daily trips, 202 trips during the AM peak hour and 279 trips during the PM peak hour.

The existing 95-acre property is currently undeveloped. The rezoning of the property from Agricultural & Estates (1.0 Unit/Acre) to Planned Residential Zoning (3.2 Units/Acre) will increase the zoning density and the number of trips generated. The difference between the existing zoning (963 new trips) and the proposed zoning (2,772 new trips) will result in an additional 1,809 new daily trips, 134 trips during the AM peak hour and 184 trips during the PM peak hour.

Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of the new trips generated by the proposed subdivision on Thompson School Road was determined using existing traffic volumes at the intersection of Thompson School Road at Karnes Drive. FMA assumed that 70% of traffic would enter/exit from E Emory Road (SR 33), 20% of traffic would enter/exit from Karnes Drive towards Gibbs Schools and 10% would enter/exit from Thompson School Road north of the subdivision. Figure 3: Peak Hour Trip Distribution, Figure 4: Peak Hour Site Traffic and Figure 5: 2027 Full Buildout Site Traffic are included in the attachments.

The existing traffic count at the intersection of Thompson School Road at Karnes Drive shows evidence that traffic in the area is using Karnes Drive as a "cut-thru" to access Tazewell Pike (SR 131) and the Gibbs Schools. The trip distribution estimated that 20% of the subdivision traffic would enter/exit using Karnes Drive, adding approximately 41 trips during the AM peak hour and 56 trips during the PM peak hour to Karnes Drive.

Driveway Connection

The subdivision layout and driveway location are still under consideration as of March 2022. For the purpose of this traffic impact letter the Thompson School Road Subdivision

Mr. Conger March 21, 2022 Page 4 of 6

was assumed to have a single driveway connection located near the center of the property at the existing single family driveway connection. The approximate location of the driveway location is shown on the aerial photo for 7921 Thompson School Road.

The standard practice for a residential subdivision with 150 or more lots is to require at least two access points to provide alternative access opportunities in the event that one access is blocked by a fallen tree, crash, or other. The Thompson School Road Subdivision proposes 300 single family lots; therefore, FMA recommends that either a boulevard entrance or a roadway connection to the nearby subdivision at Bill Keaton Drive be considered to provide alternate means of access if one side is blocked.

Roadway Capacity and Level of Service

Roadway segment capacities for the existing, 2027 background and 2027 full buildout conditions were analyzed using a generalized Florida criterion for an urbanized area. A capacity of 12,480 vpd for Thompson School Road was determined for a two-lane undivided roadway with no left-turn lanes. The capacity for a two-lane undivided roadway is 15,600 vpd and was reduced by 20% to 12,480 vpd without left turn lanes. The FDOT Table 4-1 "Generalized Annual Average Daily Volumes for Florida's Urbanized Areas" is included in the attachments. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning department provided guidance in determining level-of-service for the overall segment-level capacity using the volume-to-capacity ratios. Table 2 – LOS for V/C Ratios is included below.

Table 2 – LOS for Volume to Capacity Ratios

V/C > 1.0 = LOS "F" V/C > 0.85 = LOS "E" V/C > 0.70 = LOS "D" V/C > 0.50 = LOS "C" V/C > 0.25 = LOS "B" V/C < 0.25 = LOS "A"

The capacity, V/C ratio and LOS for the roadway segments including the existing, background and full buildout conditions for both the existing Agricultural and Estates Zoning and proposed Planned Residential Zoning are shown below in Table 3 – Roadway Segments.

Delay (sec)/LOS
LOS A
LOS B
LOS B
LOS B

Table 3 - Roadway SegmentsLevel of Service (LOS) Summary

Sight Distance

The minimum required sight distance for a road with a posted speed limit of 30 mph is 300 feet in each direction in accordance with the "Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations" amended through February 13, 2020. FMA recommends that the sight distance at the proposed intersection of Thompson School Road at the driveway connection (Road "A") be measured at 15 feet from the edge of pavement looking both directions in order to ensure that the proposed driveway location meets the minimum required sight distance per the subdivision regulations.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The rezoning of the property from a combination of Commercial and Agricultural & Estates to Planned Residential Zoning (3.2 Units/Acre) will increase the zoning density and the number of trips generated. The difference between the existing zoning (963 new trips) and the proposed rezoning (2,772 new trips) will result in an additional 1,809 new daily trips, 134 trips during the AM peak hour and 184 trips during the PM peak hour.

Thompson School Road segment capacity is currently operating at an acceptable LOS A. Thompson School Road is expected to operate at an acceptable LOS B after the rezoning to Planned Residential (3.2 Units/Acre) and the construction of the subdivision at 7921 Thompson School Road with 300 proposed single family lots; Therefore, the proposed development will not unreasonably impair traffic flow in the traffic analysis zone along Thompson School Road.

The width of Karnes Drive varies between 15.5 feet and 16 feet. The minimum recommended pavement width for a local road with a 30 mph design speed and a low volume ADT is 18 feet per AASHTO's A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Street. FMA did not identify any locations where spot improvements might be necessary, but the existing roadway width does not meet the minimum recommended pavement width.

Mr. Conger March 21, 2022 Page 6 of 6

The existing signage on Karnes Drive includes a speed limit sign in each direction and a "W1-6" horizontal rectangular sign with a large horizontal arrow pointing to the left for eastbound traffic approaching the horizontal curve. FMA recommends any improvements to Karnes Drive between Thompson School Road and Barker Road including road/shoulder widening, resurfacing, increased signage, etc. be coordinated with Knox County Engineering and Public Works.

The Thompson School Road Subdivision proposes a single driveway connection for 300 single family lots; therefore, FMA recommends that either a boulevard entrance or a roadway connection to the nearby subdivision at Bill Keaton Drive be considered to provide alternate means of access if one side is blocked.

The subdivision layout and design shall be in accordance with the "Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations" amended through February 13, 2020.

I hope that this is helpful. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Addie Kirkham, P.E.

Enclosure: Attachments



KGIS makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of his map and its information nor to its fitness for use. Any user of this map product accepts the same AS IS ,WITH ALL FAULTS, and assumes all responsibility for the use thereof, and futher covenants and agrees to hold KGIS harmless from any and all damage, loss, or liability arising from any use of this map product.



KGIS makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of his map and its information nor to its fitness for use. Any user of this map product accepts the same AS IS ,WITH ALL FAULTS, and assumes all responsibility for the use thereof, and futher covenants and agrees to hold KGIS harmless from any and all damage, loss, or liability arising from any use of this map product.

Project: Thompson School Road Subdivison Intersection: Thompson School Road at Karnes Drive Date Conducted: Wednesday February 16, 2022

			ol Road		nes Driv		•		ol Road	
Start	Left	uthbour Thru	Total	Left	estboun Right	a Total	Thru	orthboui Right	na Total	Int. Total
7:00 AM	0	14	14		Nigint 0	10tai 7	2	19	21	42
7:15 AM	2	14	20	10	0	10	2	36	38	68
7:30 AM	2	10	14	15	0	15	6	25	31	60
7:45 AM	1	5	6	41	2	43	5	11	16	
Total	5	49	54	73	2	75	15	91	106	
lotui	1 9	15	1.5	7.5	-	15	15	51	100	
8:00 AM	1	7	8	9	0	9	3	22	25	42
8:15 AM	0	7	7	17	2	19	1	8	9	35
8:30 AM	0	8	8	7	0	7	2	4	6	21
8:45 AM	0	6	6	4	1	5	3	2	5	16
Total	1	28	29	37	3	40	9	36	45	114
2:00 PM	0	3	3	3	0	3	4	2	6	12
2:15 PM	1	7	8	3	0	3	4	7	11	22
2:30 PM	2	7	9	5	0	5	6	7	13	27
2:45 PM	1	1	2	4	0	4	11	10	21	27
Total	4	18	22	15	0	15	25	26	51	88
3:00 PM	1	6	7	4	2	6	7	6	13	26
3:15 PM	0	5	5	7	1	8	8	5	13	26
3:30 PM	0	10	10	36	0	36	10	4	14	60
3:45 PM	0	4	4	37	0	37	8	8	16	
Total	1	25	26	84	3	87	33	23	56	
4:00 PM	1	8	9	9	2	11	17	4	21	41
4:15 PM	0	6	6	4	2	6	17	4 9	21	34
4:30 PM	1	4	5	2	1	3	15	5	21	29
4:45 PM	1	9	10	0	0	0	8	5	13	23
Total	3	27	30	15	5	20	54	23	77	
5:00 PM	1	8	9	5	0	5	17	Л	21	35
5:15 PM	0	o 8	8	5 7	1	5 8	7	4 5	12	
5:30 PM	0	0 9	8 9	4	0	6 4	18		25	
5:45 PM	1	9 7	8	5	0	5	18	4	23	
Total	2	32	34	21	1	22	60	20	80	
	-									-
Grand Total	16	179	195	245	14	259	196	219	415	869
Approach %	8.2	91.8		94.6	5.4		47.2	52.8		
Total %	1.8	20.6	22.4	28.2	1.6	29.8		25.2	47.8	
	•		1			- 1				•

Project: Thompson School Road Subdivision Intersection: Thompson School Road at Karnes Drive Date Conducted: Wednesday February 16, 2022

AM Peak Hour	7:00 AM - 8:00 AM	235
PM Peak Hour	3:30 PM - 4:30 PM	192

	Thompson School Road		Ка	Karnes Drive			son Scho	ool Road		
	So	outhbou	nd	W	'estboun	d	N	lorthbou	ind	
Start	Left	Thru	Total	Left	Right	Total	Thru	Right	Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis from	7:00 AM	to 9:00	AM	-	-		-	-		
AM Peak Hour begins at	7:00 AM									
7:00 AM	0	14	14	7	0	7	2	19	21	42
7:15 AM	2	18	20	10	0	10	2	36	38	68
7:30 AM	2	12	14	15	0	15	6	25	31	60
7:45 AM	1	5	6	41	2	43	5	11	16	65
Total Volume	5	49	54	73	2	75	15	91	106	235
Future (2% over 5 yrs)	6	54		81	2		17	100		259
PHF	0.63	0.68		0.45	0.25		0.63	0.63		0.86
Peak Hour Analysis from	2:00 PM	to 6:00 l	PM							
PM Peak Hour begins at	3:30 PM									
3:30 PM	0	10	10	36	0	36	10	4	14	60
3:45 PM	0	4	4	37	0	37	8	8	16	57
4:00 PM	1	8	9	9	2	11	17	4	21	41
4:15 PM	0	6	6	4	2	6	13	9	22	34
Total Volume	1	28	29	86	4	90	48	25	73	192
Future (2% over 5 yrs)	1	31		95	4		53	28		212
PHF	0.25	0.70		0.58	0.50		0.71	0.69		0.80



Figure 1: 2022 Existing Peak Hour Traffic



Figure 2: 2027 Background Peak Hour Traffic



Annual Percent Growth	-2.18%
Annual Fercent Growth	-2.10 %

Project: 7921 Thompson School Road Date Conducted: 2/16/2022

> Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210) 95 Single Family Lots

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.92Ln(X) + 2.68 Ln(T) = 0.92Ln(95) + 2.68T = 963

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(X) + 0.12 Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(95) + 0.12T = 68

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(X) + 0.27 Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(95) + 0.27T = 95

		Percent		Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	963	50%	50%	482	482
AM Peak Hour	68	26%	74%	18	50
PM Peak Hour	95	63%	37%	60	35

Project: 7921 Thompson School Road Date Conducted: 2/16/2022

Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210) 300 Single Family Lots

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.92Ln(X) + 2.68 Ln(T) = 0.92Ln(300) + 2.68T = 2772

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(X) + 0.12Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(300) + 0.12 T = 202

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(X) + 0.27 Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(300) + 0.27T = 279

		Percent		Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	2772	50%	50%	1386	1386
AM Peak Hour	202	26%	74%	53	149
PM Peak Hour	279	63%	37%	176	103

Land Use: 210 Single-Family Detached Housing

Description

A single-family detached housing site includes any single-family detached home on an individual lot. A typical site surveyed is a suburban subdivision.

Specialized Land Use

Data have been submitted for several single-family detached housing developments with homes that are commonly referred to as patio homes. A patio home is a detached housing unit that is located on a small lot with little (or no) front or back yard. In some subdivisions, communal maintenance of outside grounds is provided for the patio homes. The three patio home sites total 299 dwelling units with overall weighted average trip generation rates of 5.35 vehicle trips per dwelling unit for weekday, 0.26 for the AM adjacent street peak hour, and 0.47 for the PM adjacent street peak hour. These patio home rates based on a small sample of sites are lower than those for single-family detached housing (Land Use 210), lower than those for single-family attached housing (Land Use 251), and higher than those for senior adult housing -- single-family (Land Use 251). Further analysis of this housing type will be conducted in a future edition of *Trip Generation Manual*.

Additional Data

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

For 30 of the study sites, data on the number of residents and number of household vehicles are available. The overall averages for the 30 sites are 3.6 residents per dwelling unit and 1.5 vehicles per dwelling unit.

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Ontario (CAN), Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Source Numbers

100, 105, 114, 126, 157, 167, 177, 197, 207, 211, 217, 267, 275, 293, 300, 319, 320, 356, 357, 367, 384, 387, 407, 435, 522, 550, 552, 579, 598, 601, 603, 614, 637, 711, 716, 720, 728, 735, 868, 869, 903, 925, 936, 1005, 1007, 1008, 1010, 1033, 1066, 1077,1078, 1079

Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 174

Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 246

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
9.43	4.45 - 22.61	2.13

Data Plot and Equation



Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwe	lling Units
On a: Wee	kday,
Peal	Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One	Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: Gen	eral Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 192	
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 226	
Directional Distribution: 26%	entering, 74% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.70	0.27 - 2.27	0.24

Data Plot and Equation





Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: D	Dwelling Units
On a: W	Veekday,
P	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
C	One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: G	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 2	208
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 2	248
Directional Distribution: 6	33% entering, 37% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.94	0.35 - 2.98	0.31

Data Plot and Equation





Figure 3: Peak Hour Trip Distribution



Figure 4: Peak Hour Site Traffic



Figure 5: 2027 Full Buildout Site Traffic

TABLE 4 - 1 GENERALIZED ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY VOLUMES FOR FLORIDA'S URBANIZED AREAS*

	UNINTERRUPTED FLOW HIGHWAYS							FREEWAYS						
Level of Service							Interchange spacing ≥ 2 mi. apart							
Lanes	Divided	A	B	С	D	E			Le	vel of Servi	ce			
2	Undivided	2,000	7,000	13,800	19,600	27,000	Lanes	A	в	С	D	E		
4	Divided	20,400	33,000	47,800	61,800	70,200	4	23,800	39,600	55,200	67,100	74,600		
6	Divided	30,500	49,500	71,600	92,700	105,400	6	36,900	61,100	85,300	103,600	115,300		
	ST	ATE TW	O-WAY	ARTERIA	LS		8	49,900	82,700	115,300	140,200	156,000		
Class	I (>0.00 to 1.	99 signal	ized interse	ections per	mile)		10	63,000	104,200	145,500	176,900	196,400		
				evel of Ser			12	75,900	125,800	175,500	213,500	237,100		
Lanes	Divided	A	B	С	D	E								
2	Undivided	**	4,200	13,800	16,400	16,900	Interchang	e spacing < 2 1	mi, apart					
4	Divided	4,800	29,300	34,700	35,700	***			-	vel of Servi	ce			
6	Divided	7,300	44,700	52,100	53,500	***	Lanes	A	B	С	D	E		
8	Divided	9,400	58,000	66,100	67,800	***	4	22,000	36,000	52,000	67,200	76,500		
							6	34,800	56,500	81,700	105,800	120,200		
Class	II (2.00 to 4.	50 signali	zed interse	ctions per	mile)		8	47,500	77,000	111,400	144,300	163,900		
		-		evel of Ser			10	60,200	97,500	141,200	182,600	207,600		
Lanes	Divided	A	в	C	D	E	12	72,900	118,100	170,900	221,100	251,200		
2	Undivided	**	1,900	11,200	15,400	16,300								
4	Divided	**	4,100	26.000	32,700	34,500								
6	Divided		6,500	40,300	49,200	51,800	1		BIC	YCLE MO	DE			
8	Divided	**	8,500	53,300	63,800	67,000	(Note: Let	vel of service fo				based on roa	dway	
-	Diritaca					01,000		s at 40 mph pos						
Class	III (more tha	n 45 sign	alized inte	rsections r	er mile an	d not		facility.) (Multi						
		-		usiness dist				nal roadway la						
			r 750,000)						7.5.7.9.9. S.					
							Paved	Shoulder/						
			Le	evel of Serv	rice		Bicvo	cle Lane		I	level of Ser	vice		
Lanes	Divided	A	в	С	D	E		verage	A	в	С	D	E	
2	Undivided	**	**	5,300	12,600	15,500		49%	**	**	3,200	13,800	>13.800	
4	Divided		**	12,400	28,900	32,800		-84%	**	2,500	4,100	>4.100	***	
6	Divided	**	**	19,500	44,700	49,300		-100%	3,100	7,200	>7.200	***		
B	Divided		**	25,800	58,700	63.800			2,100	.,	.,			
						,			PEDE	STRIAN M	IODE			
Class	IV (more that	n 4.5 sign	alized inte	rsections r	er mile an	d within	(Note: Level of service for the pedestrian mode in this table is based on roadway							
		-		district of				s at 40 mph pos						
	over 750	-						facility.) (Multi						
			Le	evel of Serv	rice			l roadway lanes						
Lanes	Divided	A	в	С	D	E					evel of Ser			
2	Undivided	**	**	5.200	13,700	15,000	Sidewall	k Coverage	A	в	С	D	E	
4	Divided	**	**	12,300	30,300	31,700	0-	49%	**	**	**	6,400	15,500	
6	Divided	**	**	19,100	45,800	47,600	50	-84%	**	**	**	9,900	19,000	
8	Divided	**	**	25,900	59,900	62,200	85-	-100%	**	2,200	11,300	>11,300	***	
		NON-ST	ATE ROA	DWAYS			BUS MODE (Scheduled Fixed Route) (Buses per hour)							
				ADWAYS Roadways				BI		*		te)		
		Major Ci	ity/County	Roadways	E.		(Note: Buses	B1 per hour shown are	(B)	uses per hou	ur)		ffic flow.)	
anes	Divided	Major Ci La	ity/County evel of Ser	Roadways		В	(Note: Buses		(B)	uses per hou ik hour in the s	11) ingle direction	of the higher tra	ffic flow.)	
Lanes	Divided Undivided	Major Ci	ity/County	Roadways wice C	D	E 15,600		per hour shown are	(B)	uses per hou de hour in the r I	11) ingle direction level of Ser	of the higher tra		
2		Major Ci La A	ity/County evel of Ser	Roadways		E 15,600 32,900	Sidewall		(B)	uses per hou ik hour in the s	ir) ingle direction Level of Ser C	n of the higher tra rvice D	E	
2 4	Undivided	Major Ci La A	ity/County evel of Ser B **	Roadways rvice C 9,100	D 14,600	15,600	Sidewall 0-	per hour shown are k Coverage	(Bi only for the per A	ises per hou de hour in the s I B	11) ingle direction level of Ser	of the higher tra rvice		
2 4	Undivided Divided	Major Ci La A **	ity/County evel of Ser B ** **	Roadways rvice 9,100 21,400	D 14,600 31,100	15,600 32,900	Sidewall 0-	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100%	(Ba only for the per A ** >6	nses per hou ik hour in the s I B >5 >4	rr) ingle direction Level of Ser C ≥4 ≥3	n of the higher tra rvice D <u>></u> 3 <u>></u> 2	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4	Undivided Divided	Major Ci Li A ** **	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** **	Roadways rvice 9,100 21,400 33,400	D 14,600 31,100	15,600 32,900	Sidewall 0-	per hour shown are k Coverage -84%	(Ba only for the per A ** >6 /NON-STA	ises per hou ik hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD	rr) ingle direction Level of Ser C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJ	n of the higher tra rvice D <u>></u> 3 <u>></u> 2	E ≥2 ≥1	
Lanes 2 4 6	Undivided Divided Divided	Major Ci Li A ** ** Other S	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ** **	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways	D 14,600 31,100 46,800	15,600 32,900	Sidewall 0-	per hour thown are & Coverage .84% 100% ARTERIAL	(B) only for the per A ** >6 /NON-STA' DIVID	ises per hou it hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ED/UNDIV	rr) ingle direction Level of Ser ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJ TIDED	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4	Undivided Divided Divided	Major Ci L A ** ** Other S (signalized	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ** ignalized I d intersecti	Roadways vice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi	D 14,600 31,100 46,800	15,600 32,900	Sidewall 0- 85-1	per hour shown are & Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter com	(B) only for the per A ** >6 /NON-STA' DIVID responding v	ises per hou ik hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ED/UNDIV rolume by t	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent)	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6	Undivided Divided Divided	Major C: La A ** ** Other S (signalized La	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser	Roadways vice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi vice	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s)	15,600 32,900 49,300	Sidewall 0-	per hour shown are & Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter com Median	(Bi ouly for the per A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tur	ises per hou ik hour in the B >5 >4 TE ROAD ED/UNDIV rolume by t ns Lanes	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6 Lanes	Undivided Divided Divided	Major C: La A ** ** Other S (signalized La A	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ** fignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D	15,600 32,900 49,300 E	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2	per hour shown are 84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter con Median Divided	(B) only for the per A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu	ises per hou ik hour in the B >5 >4 TE ROAD ED/UNDIV rolume by t ns Lanes es	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5%	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6 Lanes 2	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided	Major C: La A ** ** Other S (signalized La	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser	Roadways vice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi vice C 4,800	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000	15,600 32,900 49,300 E 12,600	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter com Median Divided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N	nses per hou de hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ED/UNDIV rolume by t ros Lanes es	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher transvice D 23 22 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20%	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6 Lanes 2	Undivided Divided Divided	Major Ci La A ** ** Other S (signalized A **	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ** fignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B **	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D	15,600 32,900 49,300 E	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter corr Median Divided Undivided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N Yu N Yu	ases per hou de hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ¹ ED/UNDIV rolume by the ns Lanes es o es	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher transvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5%	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided	Major C: Li A ** ** Other S (signalize: Li A **	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** **	Roadways vice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi vice C 4,800	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700	15,600 32,900 49,300 E 12,600	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter com Median Divided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N	ases per hou de hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ¹ ED/UNDIV rolume by the ns Lanes es o es	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher transvice D 23 22 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20%	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided e: Florida	Major C: Li A ** ** Other S (signalize: Li A **	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** **	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700	E 12,600 49,300 E 12,600 25,200	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter corr Median Divided Undivided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N Yu N Yu	ases per hou de hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ¹ ED/UNDIV rolume by the ns Lanes es o es	rr) ingle direction C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED be indicated	a of the higher transvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5%	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided E: Florida System	Major C: Li A ** ** Other S (signalized Li A ** ** a Departm as Plannin	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** **	Roadways vice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi vice C 4,800 11,100 msportation	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700	E 12,600 49,300 E 12,600 25,200	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter corr Median Divided Undivided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N Yu N N	ases per hou de hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ¹ ED/UNDIV rolume by the ns Lanes es o es	rr) ingle direction .evel of Ser ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT 'IDED he indicated Ad	a of the higher transvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5%	E ≥2 ≥1	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided E: Florid: Systen 605 St	Major C: Lu A ** ** Other S (signalized Lu A ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** nent of Tran	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700	E 12,600 49,300 E 12,600 25,200	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi	per hour shown are .84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter com Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N Yu N ONE-W	ases per hou de hour in the : B >5 >4 TE ROAD' FD/UNDIV rolume by f ns Lanes es o VAY FACII	rr) ingle direction .evel of Ser ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED he indicated Ad	a of the higher transvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5% -25%	E ≥ 2 ≥ 1 S	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4 Sourc	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided E: Florid: Systen 605 St	Major C: Lu A ** ** Other S (signalized Lu A ** ** ** a Departm ss Plannin iwannes S assee, FL	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** teent of Trais g Office itreet, MS 32399-045	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19 50	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700	E 12,600 25,200 25,200 02/22/02	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Deci	per hour shown are 84% 100% ARTERIAL (alter com Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided	(Bi only for the period A ** >6 /NON-STA' DIVID responding v Left Tur Yu Ni V Ni ONE-W ading two-di	uses per hou the hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD ED/UNDIV rolume by the ns Lanes es o VAY FACII irectional vertices irectional vertices irection	rr) ingle direction Level of Ser C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJ TIDED he indicated Ac LITIES plumes in th	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5% -25% his table by 4	E <u>>2</u> >1 S ctors	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4 Sourc http:	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided E: Florid: Systen 605 Sv Tallah ://www11.my	Major C: Lo A ** ** Other S (signalize: Lo A ** ** a Departm ns Plannin twannee S assee, FL rflorida.co	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** ment of Tran og Office Street, MS 32399-045 Som/plannin	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19 50 g/systems/	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700	15,600 32,900 49,300 E 12,600 25,200 02/22/02 fault.htm	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Decc of	per hour shown are -84% 100% ARTERIAL/ (alter com Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided Undivided	(Bi cally for the period A 	ases per hou de hour in the : B >5 >4 TE ROAD' ED/UNDIV FOUME by f ns Lanes es o VAY FACII rectional vo rectional vo	rr) ingle direction Level of Ser C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJT TIDED he indicated Ac LITIES plumes in the lume for on	a of the higher transvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5% -25% his table by 4 he-way facilit	E <u>>2</u> >1 S ctors 0% to ties.	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4 Sourc http: *This	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided e: Florid: Systen 605 Su Tallah ://www11.my	Major C: Lu A ** ** Other S (signalized Lu A ** ** a Departm as Plannin twannee S assee, FL yflorida.co	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersectie evel of Ser B ** ** tent of Tran og Office itreet, MS 32399-045 50m/plannin	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19 50 ng/systems/ ud be used on	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700 1 sm/los/def	15,600 32,900 49,300 E 12,600 25,200 02/22/02 fault.htm pheming applic	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Dect ol	per hour shown are a Coverage a 496 100% ARTERIAL/ (alter com Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided Undivided rease correspon btain the equiv. puter models from v	(Bi ouly for the pest A >6 (NON-STA) DIVID responding to Left Tun Yu No No ONE-W nding two-di alent one din akich this table	ases per hot dk hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD' ED/UNDIV rolume by t ns Lanes es o VAY FACII rectional vo is derived show	nr) ingle direction Level of Ser C 24 23 WAY ADJ TIDED he indicated Ad LITIES olumes in the lume for on 10 be used for m	a of the higher transvice D ≥ 3 ≥ 2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fan +5% -20% -5% -25% his table by 4 he-way facility parentific plan	E ≥2 ≥1 S ctors 0% to ties. ming	
2 4 6 Lanes 2 4 Sourc *This spplic (based	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Solution Divided Undivided Divided System 605 Sv Tallah ://www11.my table does not co cation. The table don Kuon factors)	Major C: Lo A ** ** Other S (signalize: Lo A ** ** a Departm ns Plannin twannee S assee, FL florida.co mutintwa s star and deriving for levels of	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** ment of Training office Street, MS 32399-045 com/plannin ndard and those computer mode	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19 50 g/systems/ mld be used on dels should no	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700 1 sm/los/def by for general t be used for c mobile/truck m	15,600 32,900 49,300 E 12,600 25,200 02/22/02 fault.htm plenning applic corridor or inter modes unless sp	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Dec: of ations. The com section design, w	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL/ (alter com Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided Undivided totain the equiv- patter models from or there models from or there models from or	(Bi cally for the pest A >6 (NON-STA' DIVID responding v Left Tun Yu N V N ONE-W adding two-di alent one din athick this table techniques exist.	ases per hot de hour in the : I B >5 >4 TE ROAD' ED/UNDIV ED/UNDIV for Lanes es to VAY FACII irectional vo is derived show Values shown Values shown Other stown	rr) ingle direction .evel of Ser C ≥4 ≥3 WAY ADJ TIDED he indicated Ar .ITIES plumes in th lume for on 18 be used for n are two-mayan by not comparable	a of the higher transvice D ≥3 ≥2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa +5% -20% -5% -25% his table by 4 he-way facilit more specific plan mund average dai ble scross modes	E <u>></u> 2 >1 S ctors 0% to ties. ming by volumes and, therefore	
2 4 6 6 Lanes 2 4 Sourc (based (based (based)	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided Undivided Divided Construction System 605 St Tallah ://www11.my table does not co ration. The table don Kice factors)	Major C: La A ** ** Other S (signalized La A ** ** a Departm as Plannin twannee S assee, FL /florida.com autitute a star and deriting for levels of a starlador	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** tent of Tran og Office itreet, MS 32399-045 50m/plannin adard and those computer most service and as	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19 50 ng/systems/ uld be used on dels should no re for the autoo	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 5) D 10,000 21,700 1 sm/los/def	E 12,600 49,300 E 12,600 25,200 02/22/02 fault.htm planning applic corridor or inter modes unless specific gal lavels of seri	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Dect of cations. The com- section design. w section design. w	per hour shown are k Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL/ (alter com Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided Undivided trease correspon btain the equiv. puter models from v there more refined to Level of service let	(Bi cally for the pest A >6 (NON-STA) DIVID responding ty Left Tun Yu No ONE-W nding two-di alent one din athich this table techniques exist. ther gade threak	ases per hot dk hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD' ED/UNDIV rolume by t ns Lanes es o VAY FACII irectional vo is derived show values shown olds are probable of service is	nr) ingle direction Level of Ser C 24 23 WAY ADJ TIDED he indicated Ad LITIES olumes in the lume for on 10 be used for m are two-may are hy not comparal	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥ 3 ≥ 2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa $\pm 5\%$ -20% -25% his table by 4 ne-way facilit mmal average dai ble across modes det. The table's is	E ≥2 ≥1 S ctors 0% to ties. ming ly volumes and, therefor myut value	
2 4 5 5 Lanes 2 4 Sourc (base spplic (base cross) 4 sources	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided Undivided Divided Construction System 605 St Tallah ://www11.my table does not co ration. The table don Kice factors)	Major C: Li A ** ** Other S (signalize: Li A a Departm rs Plannin twannee S assee, FL rflorida.co stitute a star and deriving for levals of as school departing	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** ment of Train of Office Street, MS 32399-045 Sum/plannin adard and shot computer moti- service and as made with can septor on the	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 msportation 19 50 ig/systems/ uld be used on dels should no re for the autor ution. Furthem	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 s) D 10,000 21,700 1 sm/los/def by for general, to some combiner to	E 12,600 49,300 E 12,600 25,200 02/22/02 fault.htm planning applic corridor or inter modes unless span ing levels of serv	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Dect ol ations. The com- section design, w ecifically stated, vice of different:	per hour shown are & Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL/ (alter corr Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided Undivided trasse correspon btain the equiv puter models from the modes into one or efficient of Lovel of service let modes into one of Highwa	(Bi cally for the pest A >6 (NON-STA) DIVID responding ty Left Tun Yu No ONE-W nding two-di alent one din athich this table techniques exist. ther gade threak	ases per hot dk hour in the s B >5 >4 TE ROAD' ED/UNDIV rolume by t ns Lanes es o VAY FACII irectional vo is derived show values shown olds are probable of service is	nr) ingle direction Level of Ser C 24 23 WAY ADJ TIDED he indicated Ad LITIES olumes in the lume for on 10 be used for m are two-may are hy not comparal	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥ 3 ≥ 2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa $\pm 5\%$ -20% -25% his table by 4 ne-way facilit mmal average dai ble across modes det. The table's is	E ≥2 ≥1 S ctors 0% to ties. ming ly volumes and, therefor myut value	
2 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 1 6 6 7 1 6 6 7 1 6 7 1 6 7 1 7 1	Undivided Divided Divided Divided Undivided Divided Divided Construction System 605 Sv Tallah ://www11.my table does not co ration. The table don K.ue, factora) It and level of se rity and Quality of	Major C: Li A ** ** Other S (signalized (signalized Li A ** ** a Departm as Planim twannee S assee, FL yflorida.co autitute a star and deriting for levels of as thould be:	ity/County evel of Ser B ** ** ignalized I d intersecti evel of Ser B ** ** ment of Tran og Office Street, MS 32399-045 Som/plannin adavd and show computer mod service and ar spear on the made with can appear on the appear on the made with can appear on the appear on	Roadways rvice C 9,100 21,400 33,400 Roadways ion analysi rvice C 4,800 11,100 nsportation 19 50 ng/systems/ ndbs used on dels should no re for the autoo following pag vely for the auto mits.	D 14,600 31,100 46,800 5) D 10,000 21,700 1 sm/los/def by for general t be used for c mobile/truck a construction pore, combining. Calculation tomobile/truck	E 12,600 49,300 E 12,600 25,200 02/22/02 fault.htm planning applic corridor or inter modes unless span galevels of sulles span galevels of spanets	Sidewall 0- 85-1 Lanes 2 2 Multi Multi Dect of ations. The com- section design, w ceifically stated ice of different planning applica- trian and but mo-	per hour shown are & Coverage -84% 100% ARTERIAL/ (alter corr Median Divided Undivided Undivided Undivided Undivided trasse correspon btain the equiv puter models from the modes into one or efficient of Lovel of service let modes into one of Highwa	(Bi cally for the pest A ** >6 (NON-STA) DIVID responding two Left Tun Yu No No ONE-W nding two-di alent one din which this table techniques exist ther gade threah athich this table	ases per hot de hour in the s I B >5 >4 TE ROAD' ED/UNDIV rolume by t ns Lanes es o VAY FACII rectional vo rectional vo is derived show olds are probab el of service is mal, Bicycle LO	nr) ingle direction Level of Ser C 24 23 WAY ADJ TIDED he indicated Ad LITIES olumes in the lume for on 10 be used for m are two-may are hy not comparador S Model, Pede	a of the higher tra rvice D ≥ 3 ≥ 2 USTMENTS d percent) djustment Fa ± 596 -20% -5% -25% his table by 4 he-way facilit mmal average dai ble across modes ded. The table's in settim LOS Mode	E 22 21 5 ctors 0% to ties. ming ly volumes and therefor put value al and Transit	





Date: March 21, 2022

Project Name: 7921 Thompson School Rd TIL

To: Knoxville-Knox County Planning

Subject: Traffic Letter Review for 7921 Thompson School Rd TIL Comments (4-M-22-RZ/4-G-22-SP)

Dear Knoxville-Knox County Planning staff,

The following comment response document is submitted to address comments dated March 14, 2022:

1. **Reviewer Comment:** Please update the road width information in the TIL based on the dimensions in the attached map that were collected in the field by Knox County EPW staff.

<u>Response:</u> Updated the existing road width for Thompson School Road and Karnes Drive and added the road width measurements performed by Knox County Engineering and Public Works.

2. Reviewer Comment: Please show the location of the proposed driveway on the aerial photo in the attachments.

<u>Response</u>: Added the following statement to the Traffic Letter. "The subdivision layout and driveway location are still under consideration as of March 2022. For the purpose of this traffic impact letter the Thompson School Road Subdivision was assumed to have a single driveway connection located near the center of the property at the existing single family driveway connection."

3. Reviewer Comment: A subdivision with more than 150 lots should generally provide more than one public road access. Please discuss options for providing secondary access or other measures to address this such as a boulevard entrance road that provides alternate means of access in one side is blocked.

<u>Response:</u> Added the following statement to the Traffic Letter. "The Thompson School Road Subdivision proposes 300 single family lots; therefore, FMA recommends that either a boulevard entrance or a roadway connection to the nearby subdivision at Bill Keaton Drive be considered to provide alternate means of access if one side is blocked."

4. Reviewer Comment: The TDOT roadway project is not currently under construction as was stated in the TIL, instead it is still in the preliminary engineering stage – please correct this section.

Response: Revised "under construction" to "preliminary engineering stage"

5. Reviewer Comment: Please include further discussion regarding the potential for traffic using Karnes Drive and Barker Road from this development to access the Gibbs Schools. It is apparent from the existing traffic count peak periods and high distribution of volume on Karnes Drive as opposed to Thompson School Road that existing subdivisions are already using this as a "cut-thru". Discuss the potential additional total daily trips from this development and any particular locations that may need spot improvements such as lane/shoulder widening or signage to improve safety for existing and future traffic volumes.

Response: Included a statement regarding additional traffic using Karnes Drive as a "cut-thru". Also added the following statements to the Traffic Letter under Conclusions and Recommendations. "FMA did not identify any locations where spot improvements might be necessary, but the existing roadway width does not meet the minimum recommended pavement width.

FMA recommends any improvements to Karnes Drive between Thompson School Road and Barker Road including road/shoulder widening, resurfacing, increased signage, etc. be coordinated with Knox County Engineering and Public Works."

Sincerely,

