ROCK POINTE CROSSING Transportation Impact Analysis Rutledge Pike (SR 1) Knoxville, TN

A Transportation Impact Analysis for Rock Pointe Crossing

Submitted to

Knoxville – Knox County Planning Commission

Updated June 22, 2020 May 26, 2020 FMA Project No. 398.007



Submitted By:



TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 2 3 4 TABLE 4-1 ROCK POINTE CROSSING TRIP GENERATION STUDY 5 TABLE 5-1 INTERSECTION ANALYSIS LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) SUMMARY 6 7 8

FIGURES

1	LOCATION MAP	5
2	Site Plan	6
3	COK 2020 Existing Peak Hour Traffic	9
4	Combined 2020 Existing Peak Hour Traffic	.11
5	Combined 2025 Background Peak Hour Traffic	. 15
6	LUC 945 PEAK HOUR TRIP DISTRIBUTION	. 19
6 a	ROCK POINTE CROSSING PEAK HOUR TRIP DISTRIBUTION	. 20
7	Pass-By Peak Hour Trip Distribution	. 21
8	LUC 945 Rock Pointe Crossing Site Trips	. 22
8 a	ROCK POINTE CROSSING SITE TRIPS	. 23
9	ROCK POINTE CROSSING PASS-BY TRIPS	. 24
10	Combined Peak Hour Site Traffic	. 25
11	Full Buildout Peak Hour Traffic	. 26

ATTACHMENTS

- 1 AERIAL PHOTO
- 2 TRAFFIC COUNTS
- 3 ADT TRENDS
- 4 TRIP GENERATION
- 5 SIGNAL TIMING
- 6 INTERSECTION WORKSHEETS EXISTING AM/PM PEAKS
- 7 INTERSECTION WORKSHEETS BACKGROUND AM/PM PEAKS
- 8 INTERSECTION WORKSHEETS FULL BUILDOUT AM/PM PEAKS
- 9 TURN LANE WARRANT ANALYSIS
- 10 TRANSIT & BICYCLE NETWORKS
- 11 SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS
- 12 SIGHT TRIANGLES

Executive Summary

Southern Shores Development, LLC is proposing a new roadway that will connect McCalla Avenue at Rutledge Pike (SR 1) to Spring Hill Road. The proposed Rock Pointe Crossing development is located on Rutledge Pike (SR 1) south of Interstate 40 in Knox County, Tennessee. Construction is proposed to take place this year and this study assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2025.

FMA made some assumptions about future land uses along Rock Pointe Drive. The full buildout of the development assumes a convenience market w/ a gasoline station, non-climate controlled self-storage, climate controlled self-storage, a general office building and a construction equipment rental store (i.e. Sunbelt Rentals).

Traffic from the Rock Pointe Crossing development will enter/exit the roadway network via Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive and at the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road. As a part of the Rock Pointe Crossing construction the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue will be closed and replaced by the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive. All proposed new development will access directly onto Rock Pointe Drive.

In order to maintain or provide an acceptable level-of-service for each of the intersections studied, some recommendations are presented.

Rutledge Pike @ Timothy Avenue / I-40E Interchange

After the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Timothy Ave / I-40 E Interchange will operate at a LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville. The traffic from the Rock Pointe Crossing development will only cause a minor increase in delay to the signalized intersection; therefore there are no recommended improvements.

Rutledge Pike @ McCalla Avenue / Rock Pointe Drive

As a part of the Rock Pointe Crossing roadway project the section of McCalla Avenue at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) will be renamed Rock Pointe Drive. At the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive the westbound approach will operate at a LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound left turn lane will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours after the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development.

A northbound right turn lane is not warranted after the completion of the Rock Pointe Crossing development and the existing southbound left turn lane with a storage length of 115 feet will provide an adequate length based on Harmelink turn lane recommendations and the queue analysis for the unsignalized intersection.

The need for a traffic control signal was analyzed using the "Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices" (MUTCD) published by the Federal Highway Administration in 2009. The intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive does not meet the conditions for Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume, but does meet the conditions for Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume and Warrant 3, Peak Hour. FMA recommends re-evaluating the need for a traffic signal after the land uses for the parcels along Rock Pointe Drive are finalized.

Rutledge Pike @ Spring Hill Road

After the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road will operate at a LOS C during the AM peak hour and a LOS A during the PM peak hour using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville.

An intersection LOS C is considered an acceptable amount of delay for a signalized intersection; however consideration should be made for adding a separate westbound left turn lane in the future to improve the overall function of the intersection. The analysis of the existing and background conditions indicated that the westbound approach is currently at or near capacity.

Sight Distance

The sight distance was measured at the existing intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue and at the proposed intersections along Rock Pointe Drive. All studied intersections are expected to meet the minimum sight distance requirements stated in the AASHTO "Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" and comply with City of Knoxville requirements.

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Description

This report provides a summary of a transportation impact analysis that was performed for the Rock Pointe Crossing development. The concept plan proposes a new roadway that will connect McCalla Avenue at Rutledge Pike (SR 1) to Spring Hill Road. The proposed development is located on Rutledge Pike (SR 1) south of Interstate 40 in Knox County, Tennessee. The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.

FMA made some assumptions about future land uses along Rock Pointe Drive. The full buildout of the development assumes a convenience market w/ a gasoline station, non-climate controlled self-storage, climate controlled self-storage, a general office building and a construction equipment rental store (i.e. Sunbelt Rentals). Construction is proposed to take place this year and this study assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2025.

Traffic from the Rock Pointe Crossing development will enter/exit the roadway network via Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive and at the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road. As a part of the Rock Pointe Crossing construction the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue will be closed and replaced by the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive. All proposed new development will access directly onto Rock Pointe Drive.

Rock Pointe Drive will begin at the existing intersection of McCalla Avenue at Rutledge Pike (SR 1) and will end at the intersection with Spring Hill Road at station 31+92. The proposed width of Rock Pointe Drive is 32 feet and the concept plan layout shows sidewalks on both sides of the road. The existing intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue is approximately 580 feet south of the signalized intersection at the I-40E Interchange and 270 feet north of the intersection of Shoney's Driveway.

The proposed roadway layout is shown in Figure 2.

The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the impacts to the traffic conditions caused by the proposed development.



Figure 1: Location Map



1.2 Existing Site Conditions

Rutledge Pike (SR 1) is a four-lane road at the intersection with McCalla Avenue. At the intersection with McCalla Avenue there is an existing southbound left turn lane with a 115 foot storage length and a 70 foot taper length. Knoxville-Knox County Planning classifies Rutledge Pike (SR 1) as a Major Arterial with a 112 foot right-of-way per the Major Road Plan and the Tennessee Department of Transportation classifies Rutledge Pike (SR 1) as a Principal Arterial and is labeled State Route 1 as a part of the National Highway System. The posted speed limit on Rutledge Pike (SR 1) is 45 mph.

McCalla Avenue is a two-lane road at the intersection with Rutledge Pike (SR 1). The existing width of McCalla Avenue at Rutledge Pike (SR 1) is approximately 30 feet. Knoxville-Knox County Planning does not classify this segment of McCalla Avenue; therefore it is considered a local street. The posted speed limit on McCalla Avenue is 30 mph.

Pelham Road is a two-lane road at the intersection with McCalla Avenue. The existing width of Pelham Road east of McCalla Avenue is approximately 17 feet. Knoxville-Knox County Planning does not classify Pelham Road; therefore, it is considered a local street. The posted speed limit on Pelham Road is 25 mph.

Nash Road is a two-lane road in the vicinity of the development. Knoxville-Knox County Planning does not classify Nash Road; therefore it is considered a local street. The posted speed limit on Nash Road is 25 mph.

Spring Hill Road is a two-lane road at the intersection with Nash Road. Knoxville-Knox County Planning does not classify Spring Hill Road south of Rutledge Pike (SR 1); therefore it is considered a local street. The posted speed limit on Spring Hill Road north of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) is 30 mph.

Aerial photos of the existing intersections are included in Attachment 1.

1.3 Transit Network

The Knoxville Area Transit (KAT) operates in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Route 33 (MLK Jr. Avenue) stops include Knoxville Station – Platform N, Austin East High School and Knoxville Center Mall. Traveling along Martin Luther King Jr Avenue, Rutledge Pike (SR 1) and Washington Pike this route provides headways of approximately 60 minutes on Weekdays, Saturdays and Sundays.

The nearest KAT stop along Rutledge Pike (SR 1) northbound is currently located near the intersection of McCalla Avenue at the existing Shoney's Restaurant. The nearest southbound KAT stop is across the street at the Kenjo Gas Station.

A map of KAT bus route 33 is included in Attachment 10.

1.4 Pedestrian & Bicycle Network

There are existing sidewalks along northbound Rutledge Pike (SR 1) and southbound Rutledge Pike (SR 1) south of the intersection with Timothy Avenue / I-40E Interchange.

There are designated bike lanes on both sides of the street starting west of the intersection of Timothy Avenue at Rutledge Pike (SR 1) and continuing from Prosser Road along E Magnolia Avenue. The "Knoxville Bicycle Map 2017" classifies McCalla Avenue, Pelham Road, Nash Road and Spring Hill Road as local/neighborhood streets which are generally comfortable for biking.

A copy of the Knoxville Bicycle Map 2017 is included in Attachment 10.

2 Existing Traffic Volumes

Due to the altered traffic patterns from COVID-19 FMA did not collect any new turning movement counts for the Rock Pointe Crossing transportation impact analysis.

The City of Knoxville Traffic Engineering Department conducted a turning movement count at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road in September 2012. The AM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 4:45 p.m. and 5:45 p.m. A copy of the 2012 turning movement counts are included in Attachment 2.

In order to calculate existing traffic conditions for the year 2020 FMA assumed a 1.5% growth rate. The growth rate was determined by analyzing the nearby TDOT count station on Rutledge Pike (SR 1). The ADT trend line growth charts for the TDOT count station are included in Attachment 3 and Figure 3 shows the projected 2020 traffic volumes at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road including both the AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes.

Gresham Smith & Partners collected turning movement counts on January 21, 2020 from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. as part of a larger study "Magnolia Avenue / Rutledge Pike / Asheville Highway Interchange Study" Traffic Data and Projection Summary. Out of the thirteen count locations collected by Gresham Smith & Partners only three locations were within the scope of the proposed Rock Pointe Crossing development. The intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at the I-40E Interchange, the intersection of McCalla Drive at Linden Avenue, the Rutledge Pike (SR 1) Westbound Ramp and the Rutledge Pike (SR 1) Southbound Ramp.

The peak hour varied depending on the studied intersection. At the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at the I-40E Interchange the AM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 4:45 p.m. and 5:45 p.m. At the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at the Westbound Ramp the AM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 4:30 p.m. and 5:30 p.m. At the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at the Southbound Ramp the AM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. and the PM peak hour occurred between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. At the intersection of McCalla Avenue at Linden Avenue the AM peak hour occurred between 4:15 p.m. and 5:15 p.m. A copy of the 2020 field collected counts are included in Attachment 2.

In addition to the traffic counts Gresham Smith & Partners also estimated traffic volumes at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue for the year 2025. In order to calculate 2020 existing traffic conditions for the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue FMA assumed a 1.5% growth Rate. The growth rate was determined by analyzing the nearby TDOT count station on Rutledge Pike (SR 1). The ADT trend line growth charts for the TDOT count station are included in Attachment 3 and the 2025 Existing Geometry Turning Movements are included in Attachment 2.

The traffic at the existing intersection of McCalla Avenue at Pelham Road was estimated using a combination of the field data collected at the intersection of McCalla Avenue at Linden Avenue and the estimated traffic at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue. FMA assumed a trip distribution of 90% traffic entering/exiting from McCalla Avenue to Rutledge Pike (SR 1).

Figure 4 shows the traffic volumes from both the City of Knoxville 2012 traffic counts and the 2020 Gresham Smith & Partners traffic counts including both the AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes.



Figure 3: COK 2020 Existing Peak Hour Traffic



Figure 4: Combined 2020 Existing Peak Hour Traffic

3 Background Growth

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) maintains a count station in the vicinity of the proposed development.

TDOT count station number 000358 is located on Rutledge Pike (SR 1) south of the intersection with McCalla Avenue. The annual growth rate for this station over the last ten years is approximately 0.45% and the 2018 ADT was 10,214 vehicles per day.

For the purpose of this study, an annual growth rate of 1.5% was assumed for traffic at all of the studied intersections until full occupancy is reached in 2025. Attachment 3 shows the trend line growth charts for the TDOT count station.

Figure 5 shows the projected 2025 background peak hour traffic volumes at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road after applying the background growth rate to the 2020 existing conditions.

Also included in Figure 5 are the projected background peak hour traffic volumes for the intersections of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at I-40E Interchange and Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue. Gresham Smith & Partners calculated 2025 traffic volumes as part of the "Magnolia Avenue / Rutledge Pike / Asheville Highway Interchange Study" Traffic Data and Projection Summary. Figure 2: 2025 Turning Movements (No-Build / Existing Geometry) is included in Attachment 2.

Gresham Smith & Partners is currently working on an Interchange Study at Magnolia Avenue, Rutledge Pike & Asheville Highway. The traffic projections were developed for two primary concepts, an alternative that either would or would not provide a direct connection to the Burlington Commercial District near the intersection of McCalla Avenue at Magnolia Avenue. No decision has been made regarding this intersection but if a direct connection to the Burlington Commercial District is made and a new intersection at McCalla Avenue at Magnolia Avenue is constructed that has the potential to lesson any existing cut-through traffic on McCalla Avenue. The traffic volumes that FMA referenced in the report were for the no-build scenario which shows the worst case scenario of projected traffic at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue.



Figure 5: Combined 2025 Background Peak Hour Traffic

4 Trip Generation and Trip Distribution

The Rock Pointe Crossing development proposes a new roadway connection between Rutledge Pike (SR 1) and Spring Hill Road. FMA made some assumptions about future land uses on Rock Pointe Drive in order to estimate the trip generation. FMA assumed a Convenience Market with 16 service stations, a 30,000 SF of nonclimate controlled self-storage, a 70,200 SF three-story building of climate controlled self-storage, a 36,510 SF General Office Building and a 12,200 SF Construction Equipment Rental Store (i.e. Sunbelt Rentals). Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market (LUC 945), Mini-Warehousing (LUC 151), General Office Building (LUC 710) and Construction Equipment Rental Store (LUC 811) were used to calculate site trips for the development using both the average rates and fitted curve equations when available from the *Trip Generation*, 10th Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. The land use worksheets are included in Attachment 4.

A pass-by trip occurs when a proposed development diverts traffic that is already traveling on a street adjacent to the site. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning issued a memo on March 10, 1997 outlining recommended pass-by rates for certain land uses including fast-food restaurants and shopping centers. A pass-by rate reduction of 65% was used for the Convenience Market because the ADT on Rutledge Pike (SR 1) is between 10,000-20,000 vehicle trips per day as referenced in the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Memo.

The total new trips generated by the Rock Pointe Crossing development was estimated to be 1,700 daily trips. The estimated trips are 141 trips during the AM peak hour and 151 trips during the PM peak hour. A trip generation summary is shown in Table 4-1.

		-	-			
Land Use	Density	Daily Trips	AM Pe Enter	eak Hour Exit	PM Pe Enter	ak Hour Exit
Gasoline Station w/ Convenience Market (LUC 945)	16 Stations	3,286	102	98	114	110
65% Pass-By Trips 35% New Trips		2,136 1,150	66 36	64 34	74 40	71 38
Mini-Warehouse (LUC 851)	100,200 SF	151	6	4	8	9
General Office Bldg (LUC 710)	36,510 SF	399	52	9	7	37
Sunbelt Rentals (LUC (811)	12,200 SF	N/A	-	-	6	6
Total New Trips		1,700	94	47	61	90

Table 4-1 Rock Pointe Crossing Trip Generation Summary

Spring Hill Road south of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) has a trip distribution of 40% northbound and 60% southbound during the AM peak hour and 35% northbound and 65% southbound during the PM peak hour.

Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at the TDOT count station 000358 has a trip distribution of 30% northbound and 70% southbound during the AM peak hour and 70% northbound and 30% southbound during the PM peak hour.

The trip distribution of the traffic generated by the Rock Pointe Crossing development was determined using the existing traffic volumes along Rutledge Pike (SR 1), Spring Hill Road and McCalla Avenue in combination with the concept plan layout. For the Rock Pointe Crossing development including the non-climate controlled self-storage, climate controlled self-storage, a general office building and a construction equipment rental store (i.e. Sunbelt Rentals) FMA assumed 20% of traffic would enter/exit at the intersection with Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive, 5% would enter/exit at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road.

For the gasoline/service station and convenience market FMA assumed 80% of traffic would enter/exit at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe

Drive and 20% of traffic would enter/exit at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road. Figure 6 shows the peak hour trip distribution for the Rock Pointe Crossing development and Figure 6a shows the peak hour trip distribution for the gasoline/service station with convenience market (LUC 945).

FMA assumed that the 80% of the traffic from the pass-by trips would enter/exit at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive and 20% would enter/exit at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road. Figure 7 shows the pass-by peak hour trip distribution.

Figure 8 shows the peak hour site trips from the development and Figure 9 shows the peak hour pass-by trips from the development. Figure 10 shows the combined peak hour site traffic and Figure 11 shows the peak hour full buildout traffic.



Figure 6: LUC 945 Peak Hour Trip Distribution



Figure 6a: Rock Pointe Crossing Peak Hour Trip Distribution



Figure 7: Pass-By Peak Hour Trip Distribution



Figure 8: LUC 945 Rock Pointe Crossing Site Trips



Figure 8a: Rock Pointe Crossing Site Trips



Figure 9: Rock Pointe Crossing Pass-By Trips



Figure 10: Combined Peak Hour Site Traffic



Figure 11: Full Buildout Peak Hour Traffic

5 **Projected Capacity and Level of Service**

Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue / Rock Pointe Crossing and Rock Pointe Crossing at McCalla Avenue are both two-way stop controlled intersections. The existing intersections of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at I-40E Interchange and Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road are signalized.

Unsignalized intersection capacity analyses were performed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7) for the AM and PM peak hours to evaluate the traffic conditions at the intersections of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue / Rock Pointe Crossing and Rock Pointe Crossing at McCalla Avenue for the existing, background and full buildout conditions.

Signalized intersection capacity analyses were performed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7) at the intersections of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at I-40E Interchange and Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road. Existing signal timing was provided by the City of Knoxville and is included in Attachment 5.

The results from the analyses are expressed with a term "level of service" (LOS), which is based on the amount of delay experienced at the intersection. The LOS index ranges from LOS A, indicating excellent traffic conditions with minimal delay, to LOS F indicating very congested conditions with excessive delay. LOS D generally is considered the minimum acceptable condition in urban areas. The existing, background and full buildout HCS7 worksheets are included in Attachments 6, 7 and 8.

Table 5-1 shows the results of the capacity analyses.

Table 5-1 Intersection Analysis Level of Service (LOS) Summary

		Delay (sec)/LOS					
Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ 1-40E Interchange (Existing 2020)							
AM Peak	Intersection	36.7 / D					
PM Peak	Intersection	40.0 / D					
Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ McCalla Avenue (Existing 2020)							
AM Peak	WB Approach SB Left Turn	9.8 / A 8.0 / A					
PM Peak	WB Approach SB Left Turn	10.9 / B 8.8 / A					
МсСа	McCalla Avenue @ Pelham Road (Existing 2020)						
AM Peak	WB Approach NB Approach	7.3 / A 8.9 / A					
PM Peak	WB Approach NB Approach	7.5 / A 9.3 / A					
Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ Spring Hill Road (Existing 2020)							
AM Peak	Intersection	9.3 / A					
PM Peak	Intersection	5.4 / A					
Rutledge Pik	xe (SR 1) @ 1-40E	Interchange (Background 2025)					
AM Peak	Intersection	37.3 / D					
PM Peak	Intersection	40.6 / D					
Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ McCalla Avenue (Background 2025)							
AM Peak	WB Approach SB Left Turn	9.9 / A 8.1 / A					
PM Peak	WB Approach SB Left Turn	11.1 / B 8.9 / A					

М	McCalla Avenue @ Pelham Road (Background 2025)								
AM Peak	WB Approach NB Approach	7.3 / A 8.9 / A							
PM Peak	WB Approach NB Approach	7.5 / A 9.4 / A							
Rutle	Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ Spring Hill Road (Background 2025)								
AM Peak	Intersection	15.5 / B							
PM Peak	Intersection	6.6 / A							
Rutled	ge Pike (SR 1) @ 1-40E	Interchange (Full Buildout 2025)							
AM Peak	Intersection	37.4 / D							
PM Peak	Intersection	40.7 / D							
Rutledge	Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ Rock Pointe Crossing (Full Buildout 2025)								
AM Peak	WB Approach SB Left Turn	11.7 / B 8.4 / A							
PM Peak	WB Approach SB Left Turn	13.6 / B 9.3 / A							
Rock	Pointe Drive @ McCal	la Avenue (Full Buildout 2025)							
AM Peak	WB Approach NB Approach	7.6 / A 9.9 / A							
PM Peak	WB Approach NB Approach	7.7 / A 10.8 / B							
Rutlec	Rutledge Pike (SR 1) @ Spring Hill Road (Full Buildout 2025)								
AM Peak	Intersection	20.7 / C							
PM Peak	Intersection	8.7 / A							

6 Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

The intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive was evaluated to determine if a right turn lane or a left turn lane are warranted.

Per TDOT Roadway Design Guidelines revised March 2, 2020 "exclusive right-turn lanes shall be considered when the right-turn volume exceeds 300 vph and the adjacent thru-lane volume also exceeds 300 vphpl." The right turn lane volume at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive as shown on Figure 11: Full Buildout Peak Hour Site Traffic is 30 vehicles turning right during the AM peak hour and 56 vehicles turning right during the PM peak hour; therefore a right turn lane is not warranted for this intersection.

There is an existing left turn lane on Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at the proposed intersection with Rock Pointe Drive. The existing southbound left turn lane has a 115 foot storage length and a 70 foot taper. Figure 6, Warrant for 40% Left-Turn Storage Lanes at 40 mph and Figure 12, Warrant for 40% Left-Turn Storage Lanes at 50 mph referenced from the Harmelink Guide and the TDOT Roadway Design Guidelines revised March 2, 2020 were used to determine the need for left turn lane storage at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive. Based on the Harmelink figures the existing storage of 115 feet is adequate during both the AM and PM peak hours. A copy of the Harmelink figures are included in Attachment 9.

7 Signal Warrant Analysis

The intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive was evaluated to determine if a traffic signal is warranted for the full buildout conditions. The "Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices" (MUTCD) published by the Federal Highway Administration in 2009 was used to determine if the intersection met a warrant for a signal. The volume based warrants including Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume, Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume and Warrant 3, Peak Hour were evaluated based on existing, background and full buildout conditions. The traffic signal warrant worksheet is included in Attachment 11.

The intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive does not meet the conditions for Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume, but does meet the conditions for Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume and Warrant 3, Peak Hour.

8 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Rutledge Pike @ Timothy Ave/I-40E Interchange

The existing traffic conditions at the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Timothy Ave / I-40 E Interchange operate at a LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville.

The background traffic conditions at the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Timothy Ave / I-40 E Interchange operate at a LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville.

After the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Timothy Ave / I-40 E Interchange will operate at a LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville. The traffic from the Rock Pointe Crossing development will only cause a minor increase in delay to the signalized intersection; therefore there are no recommended improvements.

8.2 Rutledge Pike @ McCalla Avenue / Rock Pointe Drive

The existing, background and full buildout conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue / Rock Pointe Drive were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7).

The existing traffic conditions for the westbound approach currently operate at a LOS A during the AM peak hour and a LOS B during the PM peak hour and the

southbound left turn lane operates at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The background traffic conditions for the westbound approach will operate at a LOS A during the AM peak hour and a LOS B during the PM peak hour and the southbound left turn lane will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

As a part of the Rock Pointe Crossing roadway project the section of McCalla Avenue at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) will be renamed Rock Pointe Drive. At the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive the westbound approach will operate at a LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound left turn lane will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours after the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development.

A northbound right turn lane is not warranted at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive per TDOT Roadway Design Guidelines revised March 2, 2020.

The unsignalized intersection capacity analyses shows a 95% queue length at the full buildout for the existing southbound left turn lane of less than one car length during the AM peak hour and two car lengths during the PM peak hour; therefore the existing left turn storage length of 115 feet will be adequate and no change is necessary. With a 115 foot storage length the existing turn lane has the capacity to hold either four passenger vehicles or one passenger vehicle and one interstate semitrailer.

The need for a traffic control signal was analyzed using the "Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices" (MUTCD) published by the Federal Highway Administration in 2009. The intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive does not meet the conditions for Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume, but does meet the conditions for Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume and Warrant 3, Peak Hour. According to the MUTCD the "satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal."

Consideration also needs to be made for the 60% of right turns from Rock Pointe Drive onto Rutledge Pike (SR 1) during both the AM and PM peak hours. If any portion of the right turn traffic is subtracted from the minor street traffic Warrant 3, Peak Hour will no longer be met. FMA recommends re-evaluating the need for a traffic signal after the land uses for the parcels along Rock Pointe Drive are finalized.

8.3 McCalla Avenue @ Pelham Road / Rock Pointe Drive

The existing, background and full buildout conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Rock Pointe Drive at McCalla Avenue were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7).

The existing traffic conditions for the westbound approach currently operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours and the northbound approach operates at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The background traffic conditions for the westbound approach operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours and the northbound approach operates at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

As a part of the Rock Pointe Crossing roadway project the section of Pelham Road at the intersection with McCalla Avenue will be renamed Rock Pointe Drive. The full buildout traffic conditions for the westbound approach operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours and the northbound approach operates at a LOS A during the AM peak hour and LOS B during the PM peak hour.

8.4 Rutledge Pike @ Spring Hill Road

The existing traffic conditions at the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville.

The background traffic conditions at the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road operate at LOS B during the AM peak hour and a LOS A during the PM peak hour using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville.

After the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development the signalized intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road will operate at a LOS C during the AM peak hour and a LOS A during the PM peak hour using the existing signal timing provided from the City of Knoxville.

An intersection LOS C is considered an acceptable amount of delay for a signalized intersection; however consideration should be made for adding a separate westbound left turn lane in the future to improve the overall function of the intersection. The analysis shows that the existing and background conditions have a volume to capacity ratio of 0.9 or higher during the AM peak hour which indicates that the westbound approach is currently at or near capacity. The westbound left

turn lane will go from operating at a LOS B during the background conditions to a LOS F after the full buildout of the Rock Pointe Crossing development during the AM peak hour and will operate at a LOS A during both the background and full buildout conditions during the PM peak hour.

8.5 Rock Pointe Drive

Rock Pointe Drive will be built as a part of the Rock Pointe Crossing development. A 30 mph design speed was used and AASHTO's "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" seventh edition dated 2018 was referenced in determining the design criteria for the roadway project.

Rock Pointe Drive will begin at the existing intersection of McCalla Avenue at Rutledge Pike (SR 1) and will end at the intersection with Spring Hill Road at station 31+92. The proposed width of Rock Pointe Drive is 36 feet including a 2 foot shoulder, 5 foot bike lane and 11 foot travel lane in each direction. The concept plan layout also shows 5 foot sidewalks on both sides of the road and a 70 foot right-of-way. The existing intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue is approximately 580 feet south of the signalized intersection at the I-40E Interchange and 270 feet north of the intersection of Shoney's Driveway.

FMA recommends four (4) stop signs be installed at the new intersections along Rock Pointe Drive. A stop sign should be installed on northbound McCalla Avenue, on northbound Pelham Road, on northbound Nash Road and on westbound Nash Road.

8.6 Sight Distance

The Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations amended through February 13, 2020 were referenced in determining the minimum sight distance requirements for the studied intersections. The subdivision regulations state in Section 3.04.J.5 that within the City of Knoxville "the minimum sight distance at an intersection (in both directions along the major street) shall be measured using intersection sight distance identified in AASHTO Geometric Design of Highways and Streets."

Rutledge Pike (SR 1) is a four-lane highway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph. Based on the concept plan layout the existing intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue will be in the approximate location as the proposed intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive. Per AASHTO the required stopping sight distance is 360 feet and the required intersection sight distance is 530 feet for a left turn and 463 feet for a right turn at the intersection with Rock Pointe Drive. FMA measured the sight distance at the existing intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at McCalla Avenue in May 2020. At 15 feet from the edge of pavement the sight distance at the existing intersection is greater than 1,000 feet northbound and 625 feet southbound. This intersection is in compliance with AASHTO and the City of Knoxville sight distance requirements and no change is necessary.

The design speed for Rock Pointe Drive is 30 mph. At the intersection with McCalla Avenue and both intersections with Nash Road the required stopping sight distance is 200 feet and the required intersection sight distance is 335 feet for a left turn and 290 feet for a right turn for a road with a 30 mph design speed and a less than 3% grade per AASHTO requirements. The intersection sight triangles show a clear line of sight for the proposed intersections of Rock Pointe Drive at McCalla Avenue, and both intersections of Rock Pointe Drive at Nash Road; therefore the intersections are in compliance with AASHTO and the City of Knoxville sight distance requirements and no change is necessary. The intersection sight triangles are included in Attachment 12.

At the proposed intersection of Rock Pointe Drive at Pelham Road the design speed is 30 mph and the proposed grade is 10%. The required stopping sight distance for a road with a 10% grade is 117 feet traveling uphill and 231 feet traveling downhill. The required intersection sight distance is 393 feet for a left turn and 318 feet for a right turn per AASHTO requirements. The intersection sight triangles show a clear line of sight for the proposed intersection of Rock Pointe Drive at Pelham Road; therefore the intersection is in compliance with AASHTO and the City of Knoxville sight distance requirements and no change is necessary. The intersection sight triangles are included in Attachment 12.
Attack	nment 1
Aeria	l Photo



Knoxville - Knox County - KUB Geographic Information System

KGIS makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of his map and its information nor to its fitness for use. Any user of this map product accepts the same AS IS ,WITH ALL FAULTS, and assumes all responsibility for the use thereof, and further covenants and agrees to hold KGIS harmless from any and all damage, loss, or liability arising from any use of this map product.

ft





Attachment 2
Traffic Counts

City of Knoxville

Traffic Engineering 1400 Loraine St Knoxville, TN 37921

Rutledge Pk at Spring Hill Rd Counted by: Daniel Dyer Weather: Cool Time: 7am - 9am File Name : Rutledge_Spring Hill_1 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/19/2012 Page No : 1

									Grou	ps Printed-	Unshifte	d									
		Sp	ring Hill	Rd			R	utledge	Pk			Sp	ring Hill	Rd			F	utledge l	Pk		
		Ś	outhbou	nd			Ν	/estbour	nd			Ň	orthbour	nd				Eastbour	d		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
07:00 AM	12	0	2	0	14	4	246	0	0	250	4	2	0	0	6	6	131	16	0	153	423
07:15 AM	10	8	1	0	19	3	514	1	0	518	3	4	5	0	12	4	150	9	0	163	712
07:30 AM	11	4	10	0	25	7	561	5	0	573	2	5	1	0	8	5	152	10	0	167	773
 07:45 AM	12	2	7	0	21	4	515	2	0	521	2	3	1	0	6	6	191	10	0	207	755
Total	45	14	20	0	79	18	1836	8	0	1862	11	14	7	0	32	21	624	45	0	690	2663
1			_	_	1										- 1			_	_		
08:00 AM	12	2	8	0	22	2	432	1	0	435	1	3	1	0	5	4	159	4	0	167	629
08:15 AM	10	2	2	0	14	3	497	2	0	502	2	0	4	0	6	0	156	7	0	163	685
08:30 AM	7	2	3	0	12	3	207	1	0	211	0	3	2	0	5	7	115	11	0	133	361
 08:45 AM	10	3	4	0	17	3	232	1	0	236	1	0	1	0	2	2	128	2	0	132	387
Total	39	9	17	0	65	11	1368	5	0	1384	4	6	8	0	18	13	558	24	0	595	2062
Grand Total	84	23	37	0	144	29	3204	13	0	3246	15	20	15	0	50	34	1182	69	0	1285	4725
Apprch %	58.3	16	25.7	Õ		0.9	98.7	0.4	Õ	02.0	30	40	30	Õ		2.6	92	5.4	Õ	.200	0
Total %	1.8	0.5	0.8	0	3	0.6	67.8	0.3	0	68.7	0.3	0.4	0.3	0	1.1	0.7	25	1.5	0	27.2	

City of Knoxville Traffic Engineering 1400 Loraine St

Knoxville, TN 37921

File Name : Rutledge_Spring Hill_1 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/19/2012 Page No : 2

		Sp	oring Hill	Rd			R	utledge	Pk			Sp	oring Hill	Rd			F	Rutledge Eastbour	Pk		
Stort Time	Diaht	Thru		Dede	Ann Total	Dight	Thru	L off	Dodo	Ann Tatal	Dight	Thru	Loft	Dodo	Ann Tatal	Dight	Thru		Dodo	Ann Tatal	Int Total
Start Time	Right	Thru	Leit	Peas	App. Total	Right	Thru	Leit	Peas	App. Total	Right	Thru	Leit	Peas	App. Total	Right	Thru	Leit	Peus	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analys	sis From (7:00 AM	to 08:45	5 AM - P	eak 1 of 1																
Peak Hour for En	tire Inters	ection Be	gins at ()7:15 AN	1																
07:15 AM	10	8	1	0	19	3	514	1	0	518	3	4	5	0	12	4	150	9	0	163	712
07:30 AM	11	4	10	0	25	7	561	5	0	573	2	5	1	0	8	5	152	10	0	167	773
07:45 AM	12	2	7	0	21	4	515	2	0	521	2	3	1	0	6	6	191	10	0	207	755
08:00 AM	12	2	8	0	22	2	432	1	0	435	1	3	1	0	5	4	159	4	0	167	629
Total Volume	45	16	26	0	87	16	2022	9	0	2047	8	15	8	0	31	19	652	33	0	704	2869
% App. Total	51.7	18.4	29.9	0		0.8	98.8	0.4	0		25.8	48.4	25.8	0		2.7	92.6	4.7	0		
PHF	.938	.500	.650	.000	.870	.571	.901	.450	.000	.893	.667	.750	.400	.000	.646	.792	.853	.825	.000	.850	.928

City of Knoxville

Traffic Engineering 1400 Loraine St Knoxville, TN 37921

Rutledge Pk at Spring Hill Rd Counted by: Daniel Dyer Weather: Sunny Time: 11am - 1pm File Name : Rutledge_Spring Hill_2 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/19/2012 Page No : 1

									Grou	ps Printed-	Unshifte	d									
		Sp	oring Hill	Rd			R	utledge	Pk			Sp	ring Hill	Rd			F	Rutledge	Pk		
		Ś	outhbou	nd			V	/estbour	nd			Ň	orthbour	nd				Eastbour	ld		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
11:00 AM	7	1	1	0	9	2	103	5	0	110	6	1	2	0	9	4	129	7	0	140	268
11:15 AM	6	3	1	0	10	2	179	3	0	184	3	2	1	0	6	4	119	9	0	132	332
11:30 AM	5	5	1	0	11	2	199	3	0	204	4	1	5	0	10	0	204	10	0	214	439
11:45 AM	14	6	4	0	24	4	252	4	0	260	3	5	0	0	8	5	181	8	0	194	486
Total	32	15	7	0	54	10	733	15	0	758	16	9	8	0	33	13	633	34	0	680	1525
12:00 PM	7	4	3	0	14	1	188	3	0	192	0	2	2	0	4	6	145	9	0	160	370
12:15 PM	7	2	0	0	9	0	169	4	0	173	1	2	2	0	5	5	128	7	0	140	327
12:30 PM	7	10	3	0	20	1	168	2	0	171	4	1	3	2	10	5	148	11	0	164	365
12:45 PM	8	2	7	0	17	1	114	2	0	117	1	6	1	0	8	4	123	12	0	139	281
Total	29	18	13	0	60	3	639	11	0	653	6	11	8	2	27	20	544	39	0	603	1343
Grand Total	61	33	20	0	114	13	1372	26	0	1411	22	20	16	2	60	33	1177	73	0	1283	2868
Apprch %	53.5	28.9	17.5	0		0.9	97.2	1.8	0		36.7	33.3	26.7	3.3		2.6	91.7	5.7	0		
Total %	2.1	1.2	0.7	0	4	0.5	47.8	0.9	0	49.2	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.1	2.1	1.2	41	2.5	0	44.7	

City of Knoxville Traffic Engineering 1400 Loraine St

Knoxville, TN 37921

File Name : Rutledge_Spring Hill_2 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/19/2012 Page No : 2

		Sp S	oring Hill	Rd nd			R	utledge Vestbour	Pk nd			Sp	oring Hill Iorthbou	Rd nd			F	Rutledge Eastbour	Pk nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analys	sis From 1	1:00 AM	l to 12:45	5 PM - P	eak 1 of 1											•	•				
Peak Hour for En	tire Interse	ection Be	egins at 1	1:15 AN	1																
11:15 AM	6	3	⁻ 1	0	10	2	179	3	0	184	3	2	1	0	6	4	119	9	0	132	332
11:30 AM	5	5	1	0	11	2	199	3	0	204	4	1	5	0	10	0	204	10	0	214	439
11:45 AM	14	6	4	0	24	4	252	4	0	260	3	5	0	0	8	5	181	8	0	194	486
12:00 PM	7	4	3	0	14	1	188	3	0	192	0	2	2	0	4	6	145	9	0	160	370
Total Volume	32	18	9	0	59	9	818	13	0	840	10	10	8	0	28	15	649	36	0	700	1627
% App. Total	54.2	30.5	15.3	0		1.1	97.4	1.5	0		35.7	35.7	28.6	0		2.1	92.7	5.1	0		
PHF	.571	.750	.563	.000	.615	.563	.812	.813	.000	.808	.625	.500	.400	.000	.700	.625	.795	.900	.000	.818	.837

City of Knoxville

Traffic Engineering 1400 Loraine St Knoxville, TN 37921

Rutledge Pk at Spring Hill Rd Counted by: Daniel Dyer Weather: Rain Time: 4pm - 6pm File Name : Rutledge_Spring Hill_3 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/17/2012 Page No : 1

										Grou	ps Printed-	Unshifte	d									
Γ			Sp	ring Hill	Rd			R	utledge	Pk			Sp	ring Hill	Rd			R	utledge	Pk		
			Ś	outhbou	nd			V	/estbour	nd			Ň	orthbour	nd			E	Eastbour	ld		
	Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
	Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
	04:00 PM	8	0	2	0	10	3	178	4	0	185	9	1	5	0	15	6	237	12	0	255	465
	04:15 PM	17	3	3	0	23	2	123	4	0	129	4	2	5	0	11	6	238	14	0	258	421
	04:30 PM	9	5	2	0	16	3	154	0	0	157	3	7	3	0	13	7	234	22	0	263	449
_	04:45 PM	7	3	1	0	11	0	175	2	0	177	4	2	1	0	7	11	352	15	0	378	573
	Total	41	11	8	0	60	8	630	10	0	648	20	12	14	0	46	30	1061	63	0	1154	1908
	05:00 PM	11	1	7	0	19	2	208	4	0	214	1	1	3	0	5	6	378	22	0	406	644
	05:15 PM	9	1	4	0	14	1	171	3	0	175	7	3	1	0	11	10	447	27	0	484	684
	05:30 PM	9	3	4	0	16	2	185	8	0	195	4	2	3	0	9	11	316	11	0	338	558
	05:45 PM	4	1	0	0	5	0	90	4	0	94	4	6	5	0	15	3	170	14	0	187	301
	Total	33	6	15	0	54	5	654	19	0	678	16	12	12	0	40	30	1311	74	0	1415	2187
	Grand Total	74	17	23	0	114	13	1284	29	0	1326	36	24	26	0	86	60	2372	137	0	2569	4095
	Apprch %	64.9	14.9	20.2	0		1	96.8	2.2	0		41.9	27.9	30.2	0		2.3	92.3	5.3	0		
	Total %	1.8	0.4	0.6	0	2.8	0.3	31.4	0.7	0	32.4	0.9	0.6	0.6	0	2.1	1.5	57.9	3.3	0	62.7	

City of Knoxville Traffic Engineering 1400 Loraine St

Knoxville, TN 37921

File Name : Rutledge_Spring Hill_3 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 9/17/2012 Page No : 2

		Sp S	oring Hill outhbou	Rd nd			R V	utledge Vestboui	Pk nd			S N	oring Hill Northbou	Rd nd			F	Rutledge Eastboui	Pk nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analys	sis From (04:00 PM	to 05:45	5 PM - P	eak 1 of 1																
Peak Hour for En	tire Inters	ection Be	gins at ()4:45 PN	1																
04:45 PM	7	3	1	0	11	0	175	2	0	177	4	2	1	0	7	11	352	15	0	378	573
05:00 PM	11	1	7	0	19	2	208	4	0	214	1	1	3	0	5	6	378	22	0	406	644
05:15 PM	9	1	4	0	14	1	171	3	0	175	7	3	1	0	11	10	447	27	0	484	684
05:30 PM	9	3	4	0	16	2	185	8	0	195	4	2	3	0	9	11	316	11	0	338	558
Total Volume	36	8	16	0	60	5	739	17	0	761	16	8	8	0	32	38	1493	75	0	1606	2459
% App. Total	60	13.3	26.7	0		0.7	97.1	2.2	0		50	25	25	0		2.4	93	4.7	0		
PHF	.818	.667	.571	.000	.789	.625	.888	.531	.000	.889	.571	.667	.667	.000	.727	.864	.835	.694	.000	.830	.899

Location: Magnolia Avenue / Rutledge Pike / Asheville Highway Condition: 2020 Field Collected Counts, 7:30 to 8:30 AM Page 2 of 2

Magnolia Ave.



Acc

 \rightarrow 9

D: 0.5 0.6 DHV: 20

0 ← Right

88

Ļ

6 119 8 ←Left ↑Thru Right→

133 Martin Luther King

Location: Magnolia Avenue / Rutledge Pike / Asheville Highway Condition: 2020 Field Collected Counts, 4:30 to 5:30 PM



Acc

Ę

 \rightarrow

D: 0.3 0.7 DHV: 22

Ξ

8 172 6 ←Left ↑Thru Right→

186 Martin Luther King

JThru Left→ 0

← Right

120 ↓

0

Shelby St.

26 Linden Ave.



FIGURE 2: 2025 TURNING MOVEMENTS (NO- BUILD / EXISTING GEOMETRY)

Attachment 3 ADT Trends



Annual Percent Growth 0.45%

Project: Rock Pointe Crossing Date Conducted: 6/17/2020

> Gasoline/Service Station With Convenience Market LUC 945 16 Vehicle Fueling Stations

Average Daily Traffic

Average Rate = 205.36T = 205.36*(16)T = 3286

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Average Rate = 12.47T = 12.47*(16)T = 200

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Average Rate = 13.99 T = 13.99 * (16) T = 224

Total Trips

		Per	cent	Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	3286	50%	50%	1643	1643
AM Peak Hour	200	51%	49%	102	98
PM Peak Hour	224	51%	49%	114	110

65% Pass-By Trips

		Per	cent	Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	2136	50%	50%	1068	1068
AM Peak Hour	130	51%	49%	66	64
PM Peak Hour	146	51%	49%	74	71

35% New Trips

		Per	cent	Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	1150	50%	50%	575	575
AM Peak Hour	70	51%	49%	36	34
PM Peak Hour	78	51%	49%	40	38

Project: Rock Pointe Crossing Date Conducted: 5/14/2020

> Mini-Warehouse (LUC 151) 100,200 SF

Average Daily Traffic

Average Rate = 1.51T = 1.51*(100.20)T = 151

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. Average Rate = 0.10 T = 0.10 * (100.20)T = 10

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Average Rate = 0.17T = 0.17 * (100.2)T = 17

		Per	cent	Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	151	50%	50%	76	76
AM Peak Hour	10	60%	40%	6	4
PM Peak Hour	17	47%	53%	8	9

Project: Rock Pointe Crossing Date Conducted: 6/17/2020

General Office Building (LUC 710) 36,510 SF

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.97 Ln(X) + 2.50Ln(T) = 0.97 Ln(36.51) + 2.50T = 399

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

T = 0.94(X) + 26.49T = 0.94(36.51) + 26.49 T = 61

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Ln(T) = 0.95 Ln(X) + 0.36Ln(T) = 0.95 Ln(36.51) + 0.36 T = 44

		Per	cent	Nur	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Weekday (24 hours)	399	50%	50%	200	200
AM Peak Hour	61	86%	14%	52	9
PM Peak Hour	44	16%	84%	7	37

Project: Rock Pointe Crossing Date Conducted: 6/17/2020

Construction Equipment Rental Store (LUC 811) 12,200 SF

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Average Rate = 0.99T = 0.99 * (12.20)T = 12

		Pere	cent	Nun	nber
Time Period	Total Trips	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
PM Peak Hour	12	47%	53%	6	6

Gasoline/Service Station With Convenience Market

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs:	Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a:	Weekday,
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
	One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	14
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions:	15
Directional Distribution:	51% entering, 49% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
12.47	6.19 - 25.57	5.56

Data Plot and Equation



Gasoline/Service Station With Convenience Market

10	Λ	5	١
13	-	J,	I

Vehicle Trip Ends vs:	Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a:	Weekday,
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
	One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	16
vg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions:	15
Directional Distribution:	51% entering, 49% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
13.99	7.67 - 27.35	6.18

Data Plot and Equation



370 Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition • Volume 2: Data • Services (Land Uses 900–999)

Gasoline/Service Station With Convenience Market (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions On a: Weekday

Setting/Location:	General	Urban/Suburban
oothingitooution	ounoran	or warn ou war warn

Number of Studies:	5
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions:	18
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
205.36	129.50 - 316.45	73.80

Data Plot and Equation

Caution – Small Sample Size



368 Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition • Volume 2: Data • Services (Land Uses 900–999)

(1	51)
Vehicle Trip Ends vs:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a:	Weekday,
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
	One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	11
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	65
Directional Distribution:	60% entering, 40% exiting

_	Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation		
	0.10	0.04 - 0.17	0.05		

Data Plot and Equation



84 Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition • Volume 2: Data • Industrial (Land Uses 100–199)

Mini-Warehouse (151) Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA On a: Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban Number of Studies: 16 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: Directional Distribution: 47% entering, 53% exiting

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.17	0.04 - 0.64	0.14

Data Plot and Equation

ite=



Mini-Warehouse

(151)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: Gen	ieral Urban/Suburban
-----------------------	----------------------

Number of Studies:	15
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	52
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
1.51	0.38 - 3.25	0.95

Data Plot and Equation





General Office Building (710)	
Vehicle Trip Ends vs:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a:	Weekday,
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
	One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	35
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	117
Directional Distribution:	86% entering, 14% exiting

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
1.16	0.37 - 4.23	0.47

Data Plot and Equation



4 Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition • Volume 2: Data • Office (Land Uses 700–799)

(7	10)
Vehicle Trip Ends vs:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a:	Weekday,
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
	One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	32
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	114
Directional Distribution:	16% entering, 84% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
1.15	0.47 - 3.23	0.42

Data Plot and Equation

ite=



General Office Building (710)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday

Setting/Location:	General	Urban/Suburban
-------------------	---------	----------------

Number of Studies:	66
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	171
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
9.74	2.71 - 27.56	5.15



ite=



Construction Equipment Rental Store (811)

Vehicle Tri	Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	
	On a: Weekday,	
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,	
	One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.	
Setting	Location: General Urban/Suburban	
Number	of Studies: 3	
1000 S	1. Ft. GFA: 20	
Directional D	istribution: 28% entering, 72% exiting	

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation		
0.99	0.81 - 1.40	1.30		

Data Plot and Equation

Caution – Small Sample Size





Attachment 5	
Signal Timing	

ID: 964 Name: Rultledge & Springhill Configuration: Permanent

Param	Phs 1	Phs 2	Phs 3	Phs 4	Phs 5	Phs 6	Phs 7	Phs 8	Phs 9	Phs 10	Phs 11
Walk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped Clearance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Min Green	6	10	6	6	6	10	6	6	0	0	0
Gap Ext	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	0	0
Max1	25	50	30	30	25	50	25	30	0	0	0
Max2	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	0
Yellow Clr	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3.5	3.5	3.5
Red Clr	1.5	2	2	2	1.5	2	1.5	2	1.5	1.5	1.5
Red Revert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Added Initial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Max Initial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time Before Reduce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cars Before Reduce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce By	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Min Gap	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dynamic Max Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dynamic Max Step	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Startup	RED	GREEN	RED	RED	RED	GREEN	RED	RED	RED	RED	RED
Enable	Off	On	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off
Auto Flash Entry	Off	Off									
Auto Flash Exit	Off	Off									
Non-Actuated 1	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off
Non-Actuated 2	Off	Off									
Lock Call	Off	On	On	On							
Min Recall	Off	Off									
Max Recall	Off	On	Off	Off							
Ped Recall	Off	Off									
Soft Recall	Off	Off									
Dual Entry	Off	On	Off	On	Off	On	Off	On	Off	Off	Off
Sim Gap Enable	On	On									
Guar Passage	Off	Off									
Rest In Walk	Off	Off									
Cond Service	Off	Off									
Add Init Calc	Off	Off									
Concurrent Ps	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
Concur 1	5	5	7	7	1	1	3	3	0	0	0
Concur 2	6	6	8	8	2	2	4	4	0	0	0
Concur 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Phs 12	Phs 13	Phs 14	Phs 15	Phs 16		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5		
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
RED	RED	RED	RED	RED		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
On	On	On	On	On		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
On	On	On	On	On		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0		

ID: 964 Name: Rultledge & Springhill Configuration: Permanent

Configuration	I. Permane	-m		
Pattern	Cycle Time	Offset Time	Split Number	Seq Number
Pattern 1	140	0	1	1
Pattern 2	160	0	2	1
Pattern 3	140	0	3	1
Pattern 4	160	0	4	1
Pattern 5	0	0	0	1
Pattern 6	0	0	0	1
Pattern 7	0	0	0	1
Pattern 8	0	0	0	1
Pattern 9	0	0	0	1
Pattern 10	0	0	0	1
Pattern 11	0	0	0	1
Pattern 12	0	0	0	1
Pattern 13	0	0	0	1
Pattern 14	0	0	0	1
Pattern 15	0	0	0	1
Pattern 16	0	0	0	1
Pattern 17	0	0	0	1
Pattern 18	0	0	0	1
Pattern 19	0	0	0	1
Pattern 20	0	0	0	1
Pattern 21	0	0	0	1
Pattern 22	0	0	0	1
Pattern 23	0	0	0	1
Pattern 24	0	0	0	1
Pattern 25	0	0	0	1
Pattern 26	0	0	0	1
Pattern 27	0	0	0	1
Pattern 28	0	0	0	1
Pattern 29	0	0	0	1
Pattern 30	0	0	0	1
Pattern 31	0	0	0	1
Pattern 32	0	0	0	1
Pattern 33	0	0	0	1
Pattern 34	0	0	0	1
Pattern 35	0	0	0	1
Pattern 36	0	0	0	1
Pattern 37	0	0	0	1
Pattern 38	0	0	0	1
Pattern 39	0	0	0	1
Pattern 40	0	0	0	1
Pattern 41	0	0	0	1
Pattern 42	0	0	0	1
Pattern 43	0	0	0	1
Pattern 44	0	0	0	1
Pattern 45	0	0	0	1
Pattern 46	0	0	0	1
Pattern 47	0	0	0	1
Pattern 48	0	0	0	1



ID: 968 Name: Rutledge & Zoo Configuration: Permanent

Param	Phs 1	Phs 2	Phs 3	Phs 4	Phs 5	Phs 6	Phs 7	Phs 8	Phs 9	Phs 10
Walk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped Clearance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Min Green	6	15	6	6	6	15	6	6	0	0
Gap Ext	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	0	0
Max1	25	45	30	30	25	45	25	30	0	0
Max2	30	50	35	35	50	50	50	50	0	0
Yellow Clr	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3.5	3.5
Red Clr	0.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	1.5	1.5
Red Revert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Added Initial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Max Initial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time Before Reduce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cars Before Reduce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce By	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Min Gap	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dynamic Max Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dynamic Max Step	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Startup	RED	GREEN	RED	RED	RED	GREEN	RED	RED	RED	RED
Enable	On	On	On	On	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	Off
Auto Flash Entry	Off									
Auto Flash Exit	Off									
Non-Actuated 1	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	Off
Non-Actuated 2	Off									
Lock Call	Off	On	On							
Min Recall	Off									
Max Recall	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	On	Off	Off	Off	Off
Ped Recall	Off									
Soft Recall	Off									
Dual Entry	Off	On	Off	On	Off	On	Off	On	Off	Off
Sim Gap Enable	On									
Guar Passage	Off									
Rest In Walk	Off									
Cond Service	Off									
Add Init Calc	Off									
Concurrent Ps	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	0	0
Concur 1	5	5	7	7	1	1	3	3	0	0
Concur 2	6	6	8	8	2	2	4	4	0	0
Concur 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concur 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Phs 11	Phs 12	Phs 13	Phs 14	Phs 15	Phs 16					
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5					
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
RED	RED	RED	RED	RED	RED					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
On	On	On	On	On	On					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
On	On	On	On	On	On					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	0	0					

ID: 968 Name: Rutledge & Zoo Configuration: Permanent

Pattern		Offset Lime	Split Number	Seq Number
Pattern 2	140	40	1	1
Pattern 2	140	40	2	1
Pattern 4	140	100	3	1
Pattern 4	160	120	4	1
Pattern C	0	0	0	1
Pattern 7	0	0	0	1
Pattern 8	0	0	0	1
Pattern 0	0	0	0	1
Pattern 10	0	0	0	1
Pattern 11	0	0	0	1
Pattern 12	0	0	0	1
Pattern 12	0	0	0	1
Pattern 14	0	0	0	1
Pattern 15	0	0	0	1
Pattern 16	0	0	0	1
Pattern 17	0	0	0	1
Pattern 18	0	0	0	1
Pattern 19	0	0	0	1
Pattern 20	0	0	0	1
Pattern 21	0	0	0	1
Pattern 22	0	0	0	1
Pattern 23	0	0	0	1
Pattern 24	0	0	0	1
Pattern 25	0	0	0	1
Pattern 26	0	0	0	1
Pattern 27	0	0	0	1
Pattern 28	0	0	0	1
Pattern 29	0	0	0	1
Pattern 30	0	0	0	1
Pattern 31	0	0	0	1
Pattern 32	0	0	0	1
Pattern 33	0	0	0	1
Pattern 34	0	0	0	1
Pattern 35	0	0	0	1
Pattern 36	0	0	0	1
Pattern 37	0	0	0	1
Pattern 38	0	0	0	1
Pattern 39	0	0	0	1
Pattern 40	0	0	0	1
Pattern 41	0	0	0	1
Pattern 42	0	0	0	1
Pattern 43	0	0	0	1
Pattern 44	0	0	0	1
Pattern 45	0	0	0	1
Pattern 46	0	0	0	1
Pattern 47	0	0	0	1
Pattern 48	0	0	0	1

		-								-	-																												
General Information								Inte	rsect	ion Infe	ormatio	on		* 7 4 1 1	- L																								
Agency	FMA							Dura	ation,	h	0.25			7+7																									
Analyst	Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e May 2	1, 2020)	Area	а Туре	е	Other		4		4																								
Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville		Time F	Period	Existi	ng AM F	Peak	PHF	:		0.92			W	↓ +																								
Urban Street	Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	r 2020	0		Anal	lysis I	Period	1> 7:0	00																											
Intersection	Rutledge Pike at I-4	40E I	File Na	ame	Existi	ng AM F	Peak	Zoo.x	xus					5 4 7																									
Project Description	398.007 Rock Poin	te Cross	sing			0								4 1 40 179 1	* (*																								
· ·			J																																				
Demand Information			EB			W				NB			SB																										
Approach Movement	oach Movement				R	L	-	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R																								
Demand (v), veh/h	/h			42	8	83	1	12	401	7	257	100	175	320	125																								
						1 1								_	-																								
Signal Information		1	-	12		5	12	Кļ	21					κ.																									
Cycle, s 120.	0 Reference Phase		R	⊨ ⊨ *	5			LΩ	2		1		3	4																									
Offset, s 0	Reference Point	End	Green	6.0	56.8	1.3	7.	8	20.5	0.0			5	1																									
Uncoordinated No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.	0	4.0	0.0		~	Y	S	1																								
Force Mode Fixed	d Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.	5	1.5	0.0		5	6	7	8																								
				1																																			
Timer Results			EBL	-	EBI	WB		WB	31	NBL		NBI	SBL	· -	SBT																								
Assigned Phase			5		2		_	6	_	3		8	/		4																								
Case Number			2.0		3.0	<u> </u>	_	5.3	3	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0																								
Phase Duration, s			11.5	,	73.8		_	62.	.3	6.8		26.0	20.2	2	39.4																								
Change Period, (Y+	₹ c), S		5.5		5.5		_	5.5	5	5.5		5.5	5.5		5.5																								
Max Allow Headway	(<i>MAH</i>), s		3.0		0.0		_	0.0	0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0																								
Queue Clearance Tin	ne (g s), s		6.5	6.5			_			2.5		19.3	14.5		20.5																								
Green Extension Tim	e (g e), s		0.1		0.0				0	0.0		1.2	0.2		1.3																								
Phase Call Probabilit	у		0.90)		<u> </u>	_		_	0.22	2	1.00	1.00) .	1.00																								
Max Out Probability			0.00	0.00						0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00																								
Movement Group R	esults			FB			W	B			NB			SB	_																								
Approach Movement				Т	R	1	Т		R	1	Т	R	1	Т	R																								
Assigned Movement			5	2	12	1	6	-	16	3	8	18	7	4	14																								
Adjusted Flow Rate (v) veh/h		68	46	9	90	12	2	0	8	279	0	190	348	136																								
Adjusted Saturation F	Flow Rate (s), veh/h/	In	1781	1870	1585	1360	187	- '0 1!	585	1781	1870	1585	1781	1870	1585																								
Queue Service Time	(a_s) , s	r Rate (s), veh/h/ln			v Rate (s), veh/h/ln			w Rate (s), veh/h/ln s). s			s), s			s), s			s), s			v Rate(s), veh/h/ln s), s			v Rate (s), veh/h/ln			/ Rate (s), veh/h/ln s), s		0.7	0.2	3.2	3.2		0.0	0.5	17.3	0.0	12.5	18.5	7.2
Cycle Queue Clearar	(g c), c		4.5	0.7	0.2	3.2	3.2	> (0.0	0.5	17.3	0.0	12.5	18.5	7.2																								
Green Ratio (q/C)	(g ;), c		0.05	0.57	0.57	0.47	0.4	7 0).47	0.01	0.17	0.17	0.12	0.28	0.28																								
Capacity (c), veh/h			88	1064	902	704	880	67	751	20	320	271	218	528	447																								
Volume-to-Capacity F	Ratio (X)		0.775	0.043	0.010	0.128	0.13	37 0.	.000	0.381	0.873	0.000	0.872	0.659	0.304																								
Back of Queue (Q),	ft/In (95 th percentile))	95.8	13.8	2.6	45.6	60.	7	0	11.3	311	0	239.5	304.5	119.5																								
Back of Queue (Q),	veh/ln (95 th percent	ile)	3.8	0.5	0.1	1.8	2.4	1 C	0.0	0.4	12.2	0.0	9.4	12.0	4.7																								
Queue Storage Ratio	(RQ) (95 th percent	tile)	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.4	6 0	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	1.37	0.00	0.00																								
Uniform Delay (d 1),	s/veh	55.4	6.3	6.3	12.2	12.	2 0	0.0	58.7	45.1	0.0	49.3	32.6	29.1																									
Incremental Delay (c	ay (d 2), s/veh			0.1	0.0	0.4	0.3	3 0	0.0	4.4	4.4	0.0	6.2	0.5	0.1																								
Initial Queue Delay (ay (<i>d</i> ₃), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0																								
Control Delay (d). s/	Delay (d), s/veh			6.4	6.3	12.6	12.	5 0	0.0	63.1	49.4	0.0	55.5	33.2	29.3																								
Level of Service (LOS	vel of Service (LOS)			Α	A	В	В		_	E	D		E	С	С																								
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			36.7	,	D	12.6	3	В		49.8	;	D	38.7		D																								
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					36	6.7							D																										
Multimodal Results	Multimodal Results							WB		/B				NB		SB																							
Pedestrian LOS Scor	e / LOS		2.5		С	2.4		В		3.0	С		2.4		В																								
Bicycle LOS Score / I	LOS		0.7		A	0.8		A		1.0		A	1.6		В																								

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	McCalla at Pelham							
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville							
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	Pelham Road							
Analysis Year	2020	North/South Street	McCalla Avenue							
Time Analyzed	Existing AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing										

. . ..



Major Street: East-West

venicle volumes and Adjustments																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume, V (veh/h)			6	50		1	39			10		1				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0					
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	iys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)					2.22					3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	ervic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						1					12					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1541					932					
v/c Ratio						0.00					0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.3					8.9					
Level of Service, LOS					A			A								
Approach Delay (s/veh)		-	-	-		0	.2			8	.9	-		-	-	
Approach LOS						A										

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	Rutledge Pike at McCalla								
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville								
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	McCalla Avenue								
Analysis Year	2020	North/South Street	Rutledge Pike								
Time Analyzed	Existing AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing											



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justmo	ents															
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	U L T R			U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т		
Volume, V (veh/h)						9		40			291	5		51	318		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)							0										
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	No No No								Ν	10					
Median Type/Storage				Left	Only								5				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ays															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1			
Critical Headway (sec)						6.84		6.94						4.14			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22			
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	ervic	e													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							53							55			
Capacity, c (veh/h)							808							1236			
v/c Ratio							0.07							0.04			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.2							0.1			
Control Delay (s/veh)							9.8							8.0			
Level of Service, LOS							А							А			
Approach Delay (s/veh)					9.8									1.1			
Approach LOS		A															

											,				
General Inforn	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on		4741	۶. L.
Agency		FMA							Duration	, h	0.25			-¢•	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e May 2	1, 2020		Area Typ)e	Other		4		~
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time P	eriod	Existi	ng AM P	eak	PHF		0.92			WAE	* 5 *
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	r 2020	0		Analysis	Period	1> 7:0	00	7		-
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at Sp	pring	File Na	me	Existi	ng AM P	eak	Spring Hi	II Road.	xus			.	
Project Descrip	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing					1 0					4147	7
							1			- I					
Demand Information					EB			N	/B		NB			SB	1
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		r R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), v	/eh/h			37	734	21	10	22	.78 18	9	17	9	29	18	51
Signal Informa	ation														
Cycle s	140.0	Reference Phase	2	-		243	_						2		<u> </u>
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End		`	î	^					1	Y 2	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult, Gap E/W	On	Green	117.2	2 11.3	0.0	0.0		0.0	_		Ð		-
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult, Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	1.5	0.0	0.	0 0.0	0.0	_	5	6	7	Y
			-				1	1 -	- 1						
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	WBI	L	WBT	NB	L	NBT	SBI	_	SBT
Assigned Phas	е					2			6			8			4
Case Number						8.0			8.0			8.0			8.0
Phase Duration	1, S					123.2			123.2			16.8			16.8
Change Period	, (Y+R	c), S				6.0			6.0			5.5			5.5
Max Allow Hea	dway(<i>I</i>	<i>MAH</i>), s				0.0			0.0			3.1			3.1
Queue Clearan	ce Time	e (g s), s										4.8			11.1
Green Extensio	on Time	(g _e),s				0.0			0.0			0.2			0.2
Phase Call Pro	bability											1.00			1.00
Max Out Proba	bility											0.00			0.00
Movement Gre		- ulte	_		ED			۱۸/۲	2		ND			CD.	
Approach Move	mont	Suits		1	<u>ЕВ</u> Т	R			- R	1		R	1	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12		6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow I	Rate (v) veh/h		265	2	595	1312		1194		38			107	14
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s) veh/h/l	n	696		1583	1742		1589		1718			1597	
Queue Service	Time (o	as). S		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			6.4	
Cvcle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>a</i> c), s		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		2.8			9.1	
Green Ratio (d	r/C)			0.84		0.84	0.84		0.84		0.08			0.08	
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h			612		1325	1484		1331		171			162	
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	tio(X)		0.434		0.449	0.884		0.897		0.223			0.657	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	(In (95 th percentile)		17.1		18.3	149.1		162.4		57.8			171.4	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	0.7		0.7	6.0		6.5		2.3			6.7	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			0.00	
Uniform Delay	(d1), s/	/veh		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		60.5			63.3	
Incremental De	lay (<i>d</i> 2), s/veh		2.2		1.1	8.0		9.8		0.2			1.7	
Initial Queue D	elay(d	з), s/veh		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/ve	eh		2.2		1.1	8.0		9.8		60.7			65.0	
Level of Service	vel of Service (LOS)			A		Α	Α		A		E			E	
Approach Delay	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			1.5		А	8.9		Α	60.7	7	E	65.0)	E
Intersection De	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					9	.3						A		
														05	
Nultimodal Re	sults	/1.02		0.0	EB	P	W		5	0.7	NB		0.7	SB	0
Pedestrian LOS		/ LUS		2.0		B	2.0		в	2.7			2.7		
Dicycle LUS SC	ore / LC	13		1.2		А	2.6		U	0.6		А	0.7		А

			-								-					
General Inform	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on		4.441	× L	
Agency		FMA							Duration	, h	0.25			7+7		
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e May 2	1, 2020)	Area Typ	e	Other		4		4.	
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time F	Period	Existi	ng PM F	Peak	PHF		0.92			W		
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	r 2020	•		Analysis	Period	1> 7:0	00				
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at I-4	0E I	File Na	ame	Existi	ng PM F	Peak	Zoo.xus					5 1 7		
Project Descrip	tion	398.007 Rock Point	te Cross	sing										41471	× 11	
				-			1			14						
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	/B		NB			SB		
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		T R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Demand (v), v	I (<i>v</i>), veh/h			65	42	13	110	5	2 1046	6 12	357	155	132	218	31	
						-					_				-	
Signal Informa	tion			-	2		5	121	15 21 .					κ.		
Cycle, s	e, s 120.0 Reference Phase 2				R	⊨ 📑 '	5			12		1		3	4	
Offset, s	0	Reference Point End			6.1	53.3	2.1	3.9	9 27.0	0.0			<u>.</u>			
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.(0 4.0	0.0					V	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.	5 1.5	0.0		5	6	7	8	
T D K			_	EDI		EDT			MIDT			NDT	0.01		ODT	
Timer Results				EBL		EBI	VVB		WBI	NB		NBT	SBL	-	SBI	
Assigned Phase	9			5	+	2		\rightarrow	6	3	-	8	/		4	
Case Number				2.0		3.0	<u> </u>	\rightarrow	5.3	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0	
Phase Duration	, S	\ -		11.6	,	70.4		\rightarrow	58.8	7.6	_	32.5	17.0	· ·	41.9	
Change Period,	(Y+R	c), S		5.5	_	5.5	<u> </u>	\rightarrow	5.5	5.5		5.5	5.5	_	5.5	
Max Allow Head	dway(/	VIAH), S		3.0	+	0.0		\rightarrow	0.0	3.0	_	2.9	3.0		2.9	
Queue Clearan		e (gs), s		6.7	6.7		<u> </u>	\rightarrow		2.9	_	26.1	11.4	· · ·	12.9	
Green Extensio	n Time	(<i>g</i> e), s		0.1		0.0	<u> </u>	\rightarrow	0.0	0.0	_	1.0	0.2		1.1	
Phase Call Proi	Dability			0.91	0.00		<u> </u>			0.3		1.00	0.99		1.00	
Max Out Proba	bility			0.00						0.00)	0.01	0.00		0.00	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults	_		EB			WE	3		NB			SB		
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14	
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		71	46	14	120	57	0	13	388	0	143	237	34	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	1781	1870	1585	1360	187	0 1585	1781	1870	1585	1781	1870	1585	
Queue Service	Time (d	q s), S		4.7	0.8	0.3	4.9	1.5	5 0.0	0.9	24.1	0.0	9.4	10.9	1.6	
Cvcle Queue C	learanc	e Time (<i>q</i> _c), s		4.7	0.8	0.3	4.9	1.5	5 0.0	0.9	24.1	0.0	9.4	10.9	1.6	
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.05	0.54	0.54	0.44	0.4	4 0.44	0.02	0.23	0.23	0.10	0.30	0.30	
Capacity (c), v	/ reh/h			91	1012	858	664	831	1 704	31	422	357	171	568	481	
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	itio(X)		0.777	0.045	0.016	0.180	0.06	0.000	0.415	0.921	0.000	0.839	0.417	0.070	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	/In (95 th percentile))	98.6	16.1	5	69.1	30.0	6 0	18.7	434.9	0	191.2	202.1	26.5	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	3.9	0.6	0.2	2.7	1.2	2 0.0	0.7	17.1	0.0	7.5	8.0	1.0	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.23	3 0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	1.09	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (ay (d_1), s/veh			55.2	7.8	7.7	14.6	13.9	9 0.0	58.0	40.9	0.0	51.4	28.1	25.3	
Incremental De	nental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh			5.2	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.2	2 0.0	3.2	13.7	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.0	
Initial Queue De	ueue Delay (<i>d</i> ₃), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (y (<i>d</i>), s/veh			60.5	7.9	7.7	15.2	14.	1 0.0	61.2	54.6	0.0	55.6	28.3	25.3	
Level of Service	el of Service (LOS)			Е	Α	Α	В	В		E	D		Е	С	С	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			36.4		D	14.8	3	В	54.8	3	D	37.5		D		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					4).0						D				
													-			
Multimodal Re	Multimodal Results				EB		WB		3	NB		NB		SB		
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.6		С	2.4		В	3.9		D	2.4		В	
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		0.7		А	0.8		A	1.1		А	1.2		A	

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	McCalla at Pelham							
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville							
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	Pelham Road							
Analysis Year	2020	North/South Street	McCalla Avenue							
Time Analyzed	Existing PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing									

. . ..



Major Street: East-West

venicle volumes and Adjustments																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume, V (veh/h)			11	95		19	21			27		3				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0					
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	10			Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	iys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)					2.22					3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	ervice	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						21					32					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1473					866					
v/c Ratio						0.01					0.04					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.5					9.3					
Level of Service, LOS						A			A							
Approach Delay (s/veh)		-	-	-		3	.6			9	.3	-		-	-	
Approach LOS						A										

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	Rutledge Pike at McCalla							
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville							
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	McCalla Avenue							
Analysis Year	2020	North/South Street	Rutledge Pike							
Time Analyzed	Existing PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing										



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justmo	ents														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume, V (veh/h)						15		33			449	28		78	205	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	10			Ν	10			Ν	10			Ν	10	
Median Type/Storage				Left	Only								5			
Critical and Follow-up H																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.84		6.94						4.14		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	Servic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							52							85		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							667							1044		
v/c Ratio							0.08							0.08		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.3							0.3		
Control Delay (s/veh)							10.9							8.8		
Level of Service, LOS							В							A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						10	0.9							2	.4	
Approach LOS							В									

											,				
General Inform	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on		4741	۶. L
Agency		FMA							Duration	h	0.25			*\$*	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e May 2	1, 2020		Area Typ	е	Other		4		~
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time P	eriod	Existi	ng PM P	eak	PHF		0.92			W A E	* 5 *
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	r 2020	0		Analysis	Period	1> 7:	00	7		-
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at Sp	pring	File Na	ime	Existi	ng PM P	eak	Spring Hil	I Road.:	kus				
Project Descrip	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing										4 1 4 7 1	M 19
										16					
Demand Inform	nation				EB			N	/B		NB			SB	1
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		T R	L	Т	R	L.	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			84	1682	2 43	19	83	32 6	9	9	18	18	9	41
Signal Informa	tion									_					
	160.0	Poforonco Phase	2			<u> </u>							X		ት
Offset s	0.0	Reference Point	Z End		F .		7					1	Y 2	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult Gap E/M	On	Green	139.2	2 9.3	0.0	0.	0 0.0	0.0	_		5		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult, Gap N/S	On	Red	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	-	5	¥ 6	7	Y
	TIXEd	Sindit. Cap N/S	OII	INCO	2.0	1.5	0.0	10.	0 0.0	0.0		<u> </u>	Ŭ		
Timer Results				FBI		FBT	WB		WBT	NBI		NBT	SBI		SBT
Assigned Phase	9					2	110	-	6		-	8		-	4
Case Number					+	8.0		-	8.0			8.0			8.0
Phase Duration	. S				-	145.2		-	145.2			14.8			14.8
Change Period	Change Period $(Y+R_c)$ s				+	6.0			6.0			5.5			5.5
Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s						0.0			0.0			3.1			3.1
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s												5.5			9.2
Green Extension Time ($g \in$), s						0.0			0.0			0.2			0.1
Phase Call Pro	Phase Call Probability											0.99	1		0.99
Max Out Proba	bility											0.00			0.00
	_						_						_		
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB		<u> </u>	WE	3		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement					R			R	L		R			R
Assigned Move	ment	<u> </u>		5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18		4	14
Adjusted Flow I), ven/n		1030		936	445		486		39		<u> </u>	74	
Adjusted Satura	ation Fic	w Rate (s), ven/n/l	n	1503		1580	1455		1590		1680		<u> </u>	1608	
Queue Service	Time (g	Js), S - Time - ()		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		<u> </u>	3.7	
Cycle Queue C		e Time (<i>g</i> c), s		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		3.5			1.2	
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.07		1275	1200		1204	<u> </u>	126		<u> </u>	0.00	
Volume-to-Cap		tio (X)		0 773		0.681	0.345		0 351		0.312			0.607	
Back of Oueue	(0) ft/	(In (95 th percentile)		73.6		47 1	11.8		12.2		71			138.4	
Back of Queue	(Q), W	h/ln (95 th percenti	اه)	2.9		1 9	0.5		0.5		28			5.5	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			0.00	
Uniform Delay	(d_1) s	/veh		0.00		0.0	0.0		0.0		72 7			74.3	
Incremental De	lav (<i>d</i> 2) s/veh		4.4		27	0.7		0.7		0.5			1.8	
Initial Queue De	ncremental Delay (d 2), s/ven			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			0.0	
Control Delav (Control Delay (d), s/veh			4.4		2.7	0.7		0.7		73.2			76.1	
Level of Service	Level of Service (LOS)			A		A	A		A		E			E	
Approach Delay	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			3.6		A	0.7		A	73.2	2	E	76.1		E
Intersection De	Itersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					5	.4						A		
	,														
Multimodal Re	ultimodal Results				EB			W	3		NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.0		В	2.0		В	2.8		С	2.8		С
Bicycle LOS So	ycle LOS Score / LOS			2.1		В	1.3		A	0.6		А	0.6		A

Attachment 7 Intersection Worksheets – Background AM/PM Peaks

			Ū								,				
General Inform	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on		4.4411	× (.
Agency		FMA							Duration	h	0.25			717	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	sis Date	e May 2	1 2020		Area Tvp	e.	Other				\
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time F	Period	Backg	pround A	M	PHF	0	0.92		_ * * _	w ∳ E	1 † (1 * 1
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	sis Yea	Peak r 2025			Analysis	Period	1> 7.	00			7
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at I-4		File Na	ame	Backo	Iround A	M Pe	ak Zoo x		1.2.1.		-	<u>ነተሰ</u>	
Project Descrip	tion	398 007 Rock Point		sing		Ducky	jiounu /		an_200.x	45				al 1 ata 1 1	
T Toject Descrip		330.007 TOCK I OIII	01033	sing											
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	В		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Г	- R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	reh/h			68	45	9	89	12	20 431	8	276	108	188	344	134
				16	1	_					_				
Signal Informa	tion		0		La		5	24	5 21					ς.	
Cycle, s	120.0	Reference Phase	2	-	R	- ⊨ •	1		1	[7]		1	↓ 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	6.4	54.1	1.5	8.6	6 21.8	0.0			<u>5</u>		
Uncoordinated	NO	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0) 4.0	0.0		~			P
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Red	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	5 1.5	0.0	_	5	6	7	8	
Timer Results		EBI	_	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBI	_	NBT	SBI	_	SBT		
Assigned Phase	signed Phase					2			6	3		8	7		4
Case Number	Case Number					3.0			5.3	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration	i, s			11.9)	71.5			59.6	7.0		27.3	21.1		41.5
Change Period,		5.5		5.5			5.5	5.5		5.5	5.5		5.5		
Max Allow Head		3.0		0.0			0.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		
Queue Clearan		6.9						2.6		20.5	15.4	L :	21.6		
Green Extensio	n Time	(g _e), s		0.1		0.0			0.0	0.0		1.3	0.2		1.4
Phase Call Prol	bability			0.91						0.25	5	1.00	1.00)	1.00
Max Out Proba	bility			0.00)					0.00)	0.01	0.00)	0.00
Mayamant Cra									2		ND			00	
Approach Move	oup Res	suits			EB	D	1					В		5B T	D
Approach Move	mont				1 2	12		I E	16		0	10		1	К 14
Adjusted Flow) veh/h		74	 	12	07	130		3	300	0	7	4	14
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo), ven/n w Rate (s) veh/h/li	n	1781	1870	1585	1356	187	0 1585	1781	1870	1585	1781	1870	1585
Queue Service	Time ((τ_s) s		4.9	0.9	0.2	3.8	37		0.6	18.5	0.0	13.4	19.6	7.5
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time (a_c) s		4.9	0.9	0.2	3.8	3.7	0.0	0.6	18.5	0.0	13.4	19.6	7.5
Green Ratio (g	/C)	<u> </u>	_	0.05	0.55	0.55	0.45	0.45	5 0.45	0.01	0.18	0.18	0.13	0.30	0.30
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h			95	1029	872	672	843	3 715	22	341	289	232	561	475
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	tio(X)		0.778	0.048	0.011	0.144	0.15	5 0.000	0.388	0.881	0.000	0.880	0.667	0.307
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	(In (95 th percentile)		102.8	16.5	3.3	53.7	71.3	3 0	12.8	338.4	0	259.4	316.4	123.2
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	4.0	0.6	0.1	2.1	2.8	0.0	0.5	13.3	0.0	10.2	12.5	4.9
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.54	4 0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	1.48	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s	/veh		55.0	7.3	7.2	13.9	13.9	9 0.0	58.5	44.2	0.0	48.7	31.1	27.5
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				5.1	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	4.0	7.6	0.0	9.4	0.6	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (<i>d</i> ₃), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (Control Delay (d), s/veh				7.4	7.2	14.3	14.3	3 0.0	62.6	51.8	0.0	58.1	31.7	27.6
Level of Service	e (LOS)			E	A	A	В	В		E	D		E	С	С
Approach Delay	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				3	D	14.3	3	В	52.1		D	38.3	3	D
Intersection De	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					37	7.3						D		
Multimodal Po	ultimodal Basulta				ER			\//□	3		NR			SB	
Pedestrian I OS	Score	/105		26		C	21	VVL	R	31		C	21		В
Bicycle LOS Sc	core / LC)S				A	0.9		A	1.0		A	1.7		B

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	McCalla at Pelham									
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville									
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	Pelham Road									
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	McCalla Avenue									
Time Analyzed	Background AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92									
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing												

. . ..



Major Street: East-West

venicle volumes and Ad	justme	ents														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume, V (veh/h)			6	54		1	42			11		1				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0					
Right Turn Channelized		No				Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	iys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	ervic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						1					13					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1535					925					
v/c Ratio						0.00					0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.3					8.9					
Level of Service, LOS						A					A					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					0	.2			8	.9	-		-			
Approach LOS											Ą					

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7™ TWSC Version 7.2.1 Background AM Peak_McCalla.xtw

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	Rutledge Pike at McCalla								
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville								
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	McCalla Avenue								
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	Rutledge Pike								
Time Analyzed	Background AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing											



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justm	ents														
Approach		Eastk	bound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume, V (veh/h)						10		43			313	5		55	343	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized		١	١o			Ν	lo			١	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Left	Only								5			
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.84		6.94						4.14		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	Servic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							58							60		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							790							1211		
v/c Ratio							0.07							0.05		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.2							0.2		
Control Delay (s/veh)							9.9							8.1		
Level of Service, LOS							A							A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						9	.9	-		-	-	-		. 1	.1	
Approach LOS							A									

			Ū								-	,				
General Inform	nation								Inte	ersecti	ion Info	ormatio	on		4441	ЪL
Agency		FMA							Dur	ation,	h	0.25			* \$ *	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e May 2	21, 2020		Are	a Type)	Other				4
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time P	eriod	Backo	ground A	М	PH	F		0.92			WHE	* <u>-</u> * -
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	r 2025			Ana	alysis F	Period	1> 7:	00			
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at Sp	oring	File Na	ime	Back	ground A	M Pe	eak_S	Spring	Hill Ro	ad.xus			4147	\$r 1"
Project Descript	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing												
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	/B	_		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment			L	Т	R	L	-	Г	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			40	791	23	11	24	54	19	10	18	10	32	19	55
Signal Informa	tion			1						1						
	140.0	Reference Phase	2				_							Z		<u>ሉ</u>
Offset s	0	Reference Point	End		1	<u> </u>							1	2	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult Gap E/W	On	Green	116.4	1 12.1	0.0	0.	0	0.0	0.0			Ð-		-+-
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.	0	0.0	0.0 5			6	7	Y.
		·		17	^											
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	WBI	-	W	BT	NBL	-	NBT	SB	L	SBT
Assigned Phase	e					2			6	3			8			4
Case Number						8.0			8.	.0			8.0			8.0
Phase Duration	, S					122.4			122	2.4			17.6			17.6
Change Period,	(Y+R	c), S				6.0			6.	.0			5.5			5.5
Max Allow Head	Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s					0.0			0.	.0			3.1			3.1
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s													5.0			11.9
Green Extensio	Green Extension Time (g_{e}), s				_	0.0			0.	.0		\rightarrow	0.2		_	0.2
Phase Call Prot	bability				+		<u> </u>			_			1.00	<u> </u>		1.00
Max Out Probal	oility												0.00			0.00
Movement Gro	un Res	ults			FB			W	3			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment		_	L	Т	R	L	Т		R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	+	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		241		687	1414		1	286		41	1		115	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	549		1584	1740		1	590		1712			1594	
Queue Service	Time (g	gs), s		0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0			6.9	
Cycle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s		0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0		3.0			9.9	
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.83		0.83	0.83		(0.83		0.09			0.09	
Capacity (c), v	eh/h			487		1317	1473		1	322		180			171	
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	tio (<i>X</i>)		0.496		0.522	0.960		0	.973		0.229			0.673	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	In (95 th percentile)		21.8		24.4	264.1		2	84.1		62.4			185.2	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	0.9		1.0	10.6		1	11.4		2.5			7.3	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.00		0.00	0.00		(0.00		0.00			0.00	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s	/veh		0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0		59.8			62.9	
Incremental De	lay (<i>d</i> 2), s/veh		3.6		1.5	15.7		1	19.1		0.2			1.7	
Initial Queue De	Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh			0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0			0.0	
Control Delay (Control Delay (d), s/veh			3.6		1.5	15.7		1	19.1 D		60.1 F			64.6	
Level of Service	Level of Service (LOS)			A		A	В 47.0			в	60.4	E		EA.		-
Approaction Delay	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			2.0		A 1	5.5		E	, ,	60.1		C	04.0 R	_ ر	C
	itersection Delay, s/veh / LOS															
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			W	3			NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.0		В	2.0		B	3	2.7		С	2.7		С
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		1.3		А	2.7		C	;	0.6		А	0.7		А

											,				
General Inform	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on		4741	× L.
Agency		FMA							Duration	h	0.25			717	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	sis Date	May 2	1 2020		Area Tvp	e.	Othe				\
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time F	Period	Backg	round F	PM	PHF	<u> </u>	0.92		1 † ľ	$W \overset{N}{\underset{B}{{}{}{}{}{}{}$	ריידי ריידי ריידי
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	sis Year	· 2025			Analysis	Period	1> 7:	00		5 4 6	
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at I-4	0E I	File Na	ame	Backg	round F	PM Pe	eak_Zoo.x	us				11	× /*
Project Descrip	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing		0									
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	B		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			70	45	14	118	5	6 1124	13	384	167	142	234	33
														1	
Signal Informa	tion				2		<u> </u>	2	5 21					F	
Cycle, s	120.0	Reference Phase	2		Ŕ.	⊨	5			2		_	₹.	``] ''	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	6.6	50.3	2.3	4.5	5 28.9	0.0			S C		~
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0) 4.0	0.0		↗ `	\mathbf{r}	5	Þ
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Red	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	5 1.5	0.0		5	6	7	8	
			_	1				_		1	_	_		1	
Timer Results		EBL	-	EBT	WB		WBT	NBI	-	NBT	SBI	-	SBT		
Assigned Phase	nase					2		_	6	3		8	7		4
Case Number			2.0		3.0			5.3	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0	
Phase Duration	, S			12.1		67.9			55.8	7.8		34.4	17.8	3 .	44.4
Change Period,	(Y+R	c), S		5.5		5.5			5.5	5.5		5.5	5.5		5.5
Max Allow Head	Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					0.0			0.0	3.0		2.9	3.0		2.9
Queue Clearan		7.0						2.9		27.9	12.2	2	13.3		
Green Extensio	n Time	(g _e), s		0.1		0.0			0.0	0.0		1.0	0.2		1.2
Phase Call Prol	oability			0.92	2					0.38	3	1.00	0.99)	1.00
Max Out Proba	bility			0.00)					0.00)	0.05	0.00)	0.00
	_	н.						14/5						05	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB		<u> </u>	WE	3		NB -		<u> </u>	SB	
Approach Move	ement			L		R	L		R	L		R			R
Assigned Move	ment	<u> </u>		5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	1	4	14
Adjusted Flow I	Rate (v), veh/h		76	49	15	128	61	0	14	417	0	154	254	36
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	1/81	1870	1585	1356	187	0 1585	1/81	1870	1585	1/81	1870	1585
Queue Service	lime (g	gs), s — ()		5.0	1.0	0.4	5.7	1.8	0.0	0.9	25.9	0.0	10.2	11.3	1.6
Cycle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s		5.0	1.0	0.4	5.7	1.8	0.0	0.9	25.9	0.0	10.2	11.3	1.6
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.05	0.52	0.52	0.42	0.4	2 0.42	0.02	0.24	0.24	0.10	0.32	0.32
Capacity (c), v	en/n	4:- ()()		97	972	824	628	784	664	33	450	381	182	606	514
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra			0.781	0.050	0.018	0.204	0.07	8 0.000	0.422	0.928	0.000	0.848	0.420	0.070
Back of Queue	(Q),π/	n (95 th percentile)	la)	105.7	19.3	6	81.7	36.		20.2	4/2.2	0	202	206.4	26.8
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	4.2	0.0	0.2	0.62	0.2	7 0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	1.15	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s	/veh		54.9	9.0	8.9	16.6	15.8	3 0.0	57.9	39.7	0.0	50.9	26.3	23.5
Incremental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh				5.0	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.0	3.1	16.9	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.0
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (Control Delay (d), s/veh				9.1	8.9	17.4	16.0	0.0	61.0	56.7	0.0	55.1	26.4	23.5
Level of Service	Level of Service (LOS)				Α	Α	В	В		Е	E		Е	С	С
Approach Delay	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				7	D	16.9		В	56.8	3	E	36.1		D
Intersection De	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					4().6						D		
Multimodal Re	Iultimodal Results				EB			WE	3		NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.7		С	2.4		В	4.1		D	2.4		В
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		0.7		A	0.8		A	1.2		А	1.2		А

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	McCalla at Pelham								
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville								
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	Pelham Road								
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	McCalla Avenue								
Time Analyzed	Background PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing											

. . ..



Major Street: East-West

venicle volumes and Adj	ustme	ents														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume, V (veh/h)			11	103		20	23			29		3				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0					
Right Turn Channelized		No				Ν	lo			Ν	10			N	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	ervice	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						22					35					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1462					854					
v/c Ratio						0.02					0.04					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.5					9.4					
Level of Service, LOS						A					A					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					3	.6			9	.4						
Approach LOS											A					

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7™ TWSC Version 7.2.1 Background PM Peak_McCalla.xtw

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	Rutledge Pike at McCalla								
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville								
Date Performed	5/22/2020	East/West Street	McCalla Avenue								
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	Rutledge Pike								
Time Analyzed	Background PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing											



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justm	ents														
Approach	Τ	Eastk	bound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume, V (veh/h)						16		36			484	30		84	221	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized		No				Ν	١o			١	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Left	Only				5							
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ays														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.84		6.94						4.14		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	Servic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							56							91		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							644							1008		
v/c Ratio							0.09							0.09		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.3							0.3		
Control Delay (s/veh)							11.1							8.9		
Level of Service, LOS						В							A			
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1	1.1							2	.5	
Approach LOS							В									

			J								-	,				
General Inform	nation								Inter	rsecti	on Infe	ormatio	on		147¢t	ЪL
Agency		FMA							Dura	ation.	h	0.25			4	
Analvst		Addie Kirkham		Analvs	is Da	te Mav 2	21. 2020		Area		;	Other				\
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time P	eriod	Back	ground F	M	PHF			0.92			W H E	→ * *
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	ar 2025			Anal	lysis F	Period	1> 7:	00			
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at Sp	pring	File Na	ime	Back	ground F	M P	eak_S	Spring	Hill Ro	ad.xus			14147	30 1
Project Descrip	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing												
							1				1					
Demand Inform	nation				EB			N	/B			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		T	R	L	T	R		T	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			91	181	2 46	21	8	97	6	10	10	19	19	10	44
Signal Informa	tion							1								
Cycle s	160.0	Reference Phase	2			242	_							2		小
Offset s	0	Reference Point	End		1	- îî	<u> </u>						1	Y 2	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult Gap E/W	On	Green	138.	7 9.8	0.0	0.	0	0.0	0.0	_		ð-		-+-
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult Gap N/S	On	Red	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.	0	0.0	0.0	-	5		7	Y
T OICE MODE	TIXEU	Sindit. Cap N/S	OII	INCO	2.0	1.0	0.0			0.0	0.0		Ŭ	Ŭ		
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	WBI	-	WB	вт	NBL	-	NBT	SB	L	SBT
Assigned Phase	e					2			6				8			4
Case Number						8.0			8.0)			8.0			8.0
Phase Duration	, S					144.7			144.	.7			15.3			15.3
Change Period,	(Y+R	c), S				6.0			6.0)			5.5			5.5
Max Allow Head	dway(/	<i>MAH</i>), s				0.0			0.0)			3.1			3.1
Queue Clearan	ce Time	(<i>g</i> s), s											5.8			9.7
Green Extensio	n Time	(g _e), s				0.0			0.0)			0.2			0.2
Phase Call Prol	oability												1.00			1.00
Max Out Proba	oility												0.00			0.00
	_				= =										0.5	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults		<u> </u>	EB		<u> </u>	WI	В			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	1	R 40	L	1		R 10	L	1	R			R
Adjusted Flow) voh/h		5 1110	2	12	1	0	5	24	3	0	10	/	4	14
Adjusted Flow r	tion Ele), ven/n w Pata (a) vob/b/l	n	1477		1580	471) 16	501		42		<u> </u>	1610	$\left \right $
	Time ()			0.0		0.0	0.0					0.0			30	
	learance	$g(a_{c})$, s		0.0		0.0	0.0		0	10		3.8		<u> </u>	77	
Green Ratio (o	$\frac{1}{C}$			0.0		0.87	0.87		0	87		0.06			0.06	
Capacity (c) y	/eh/h			1304		1369	1238		1.3	379		131			127	
Volume-to-Cap	acitv Ra	tio (X)	_	0.851		0.736	0.380		0.3	387		0.323			0.624	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	In (95 th percentile)		116.2		61	13.8		14	4.2		76.7			148.5	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	4.6		2.4	0.6		0).6		3.0			5.8	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.	.00		0.00			0.00	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s	/veh		0.0		0.0	0.0		0	0.0		72.3			74.0	
Incremental De	lay (<i>d</i> 2), s/veh		7.1		3.6	0.9		0).8		0.5			1.9	
Initial Queue Delay (d ȝ), s/veh				0.0		0.0	0.0		0).0		0.0			0.0	
Control Delay (Control Delay (<i>d</i>), s/veh					3.6	0.9		0).8		72.8			75.9	
Level of Service	Level of Service (LOS)					Α	А			A		Е			E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				5.4		А	0.9		А		72.8	3	Е	75.9	9	E
ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS						6	5.6							A		
Multimer del D								14/							00	
Dedestriar L CC	Suits	/1.08		0.0	EB	P	0.0	VVI			2.0	INB	C	0.0	28	<u> </u>
Biovela LOS So				2.0		B	2.0		D A		2.0		Δ	2.8		Δ
Dicycle LOG 30	JIG/LC			2.2		J	1.3		А		0.0		Л	0.0		Л

Attachment 8 Intersection Worksheets – Full Buildout AM/PM Peaks

			Ŭ							, and the second se					
General Inform	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on		47411	× (.
Agency		FMA							Duration	, h	0.25			717	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Date	Jun 20	0, 2020		Area Typ	е	Othe	-	-		4
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time F	Period	Full B Peak	uildout A	١M	PHF		0.92		1 ↓ ∫	W H E	1 † [1 * 1 *
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Year	2025			Analysis	Period	1> 7:	00		ካተ r	
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at I-4	0E I	File Na	ame	Full B	uildout A	AM Pe	eak_Zoo.>	us				1147	* (*
Project Descript	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing											
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	В		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			68	45	9	99	12	.0 431	8	292	116	188	366	134
	() a			1	1	_		- T TT		_					
Signal Informa	tion	Deference Dhees	0	e			5	121	5 20					κ.	
Cycle, s	120.0	Reference Phase	Z	-	R.	5.	1			[2]		1	2 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	Ena	Green	6.4	53.0	1.5	8.6	3 23.0	0.0			<u>5</u>		
Uncoordinated		Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0) 4.0	0.0			Y		P
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	o 1.5	0.0	_	5	6	7	8
Timer Results			EBL	_	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBI	-	NBT	SBI	-	SBT	
Assigned Phase	Phase					2			6	3		8	7		4
Case Number	umber					3.0			5.3	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration	ase Duration is)	70.4			58.5	7.0		28.5	21.1		42.6
Change Period.	(Y+R)	c). S		5.5		5.5		+	5.5	5.5		5.5	5.5		5.5
Max Allow Head	dwav (/	MAH). s	_	3.0		0.0			0.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0
Queue Clearan	ce Time	(α_s) , s		6.9				+		2.6		21.6	15.4		22.9
Green Extensio	n Time	(ge), s		0.1		0.0		-	0.0	0.0		1.4	0.2		1.5
Phase Call Prot	ability			0.91				-		0.25	5	1.00	1.00)	1.00
Max Out Probal	bility			0.00	,					0.00)	0.02	0.00)	0.00
	,													I	
Movement Gro	oup Res	ults			EB			WE	3		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		74	49	10	108	130	0	9	317	9	204	398	146
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	1781	1870	1585	1356	1870) 1585	1781	1870	1585	1781	1870	1585
Queue Service	Time (g	() s), S		4.9	0.9	0.2	4.4	3.8	0.0	0.6	19.6	0.5	13.4	20.9	7.4
Cycle Queue C		e Time (<i>g c</i>), s		4.9	0.9	0.2	4.4	3.8	0.0	0.6	19.6	0.5	13.4	20.9	7.4
Green Ralio (g	/C)			0.05	1011	0.54	0.44	0.44	700	0.01	0.19	0.19	0.13	570	400
Volume to Con	en/n	tio (X)		95	1011	0.011	0.162	020	× 0.000	0.200	0 006	303	232	0.699	490
Back of Oueue		(In (95 th percentile)		102.0	17 /	3.5	62.3	73 0	0.000	12.8	358.8	8.7	250 /	33/1 1	120.4
Back of Queue	(Q), W	h/ln (95 th percenti	اها	4.0	0.7	0.1	2.5	29		0.5	14 1	0.7	10.2	13.2	4 7
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.56	6.00 6 0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	1.48	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s	/veh		55.0	7.8	7.7	14.7	14.6	6 0.0	58.5	43.4	36.3	48.7	30.5	26.5
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh				5.1	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	4.0	9.4	0.0	9.4	1.0	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d ȝ), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (Control Delay (d), s/veh				7.9	7.7	15.2	15.0	0.0	62.6	52.8	36.3	58.1	31.5	26.7
Level of Service	Level of Service (LOS)				Α	Α	В	В		E	D	D	Е	С	С
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				37.0)	D	15.1		В	52.6	6	D	37.8	3	D
Intersection Del	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					37	7.4						D		
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			WF	3		NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.6		С	2.4		В	3.1		С	2.4		В
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		0.7		А	0.9		А	1.0		А	1.7		В

	HCS7 Two-Wa	ay Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	McCalla at Rock Pointe								
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville								
Date Performed	6/20/2020	East/West Street	Rock Pointe Drive								
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	McCalla Avenue								
Time Analyzed	Full Buildout AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing											



Major Street: East-West

Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme	ents														
Approach	Τ	Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume, V (veh/h)			100	54		1	123			11		4				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0					
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	iys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	ervic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						1					16					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1409					755					
v/c Ratio						0.00					0.02					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.6					9.9					
Level of Service, LOS						A					А					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0	.1			9	.9					
Approach LOS											Δ					

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7[™] TWSC Version 7.2.1

Full Buildout AM Peak_McCalla.xtw

	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	p-Control Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	Rutledge Pike at Rock P							
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville							
Date Performed	6/20/2020	East/West Street	Rock Pointe Drive							
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	Rutledge Pike							
Time Analyzed	Full Buildout AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing										



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justm	ents														
Approach		Eastb	oound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume, V (veh/h)						52		82			297	30		124	306	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized		No				Ν	lo			١	٩o			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Left	Only				5							
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ays														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.84		6.94						4.14		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	Servic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							146							135		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							681							1200		
v/c Ratio							0.21							0.11		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.8							0.4		
Control Delay (s/veh)							11.7							8.4		
Level of Service, LOS							В							A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1	1.7							2	.4	
Approach LOS							B									

			J								-	,				
General Inform	nation								Inte	ersect	ion Info	ormatio	on		14741	۶.
Agency		FMA							Dura	ation.	h	0.25			4	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e Jun 2	0. 2020		Area	a Type	<i>.</i>	Other				<u>∼</u> ≜
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time P	eriod	Full B	uildout A	M	PHF	=	-	0.92		***	W HE	→ * *
Lirban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Yea	Peak			Ana	alvsis F	Period	1 > 7.0	0			1.
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at Sr	ring	File Na	mo	Full B	uildout A	MP	ook (Spring				-	*	
Project Descript	tion	208 007 Pock Point	o Croce						ear_	oping	, i iii i i i	Jau.xus		- "	I #I I #Fe A.	<u>n (* </u>
Project Descrip		398.007 NOCK POIN		sing												
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	/B			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	-	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			40	787	52	42	24	45	19	26	18	24	32	22	55
				li l	_			-11				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	_
Signal Informa	tion				,	<u> </u>								_		
Cycle, s	140.0	Reference Phase	2		H	<u>n</u> 1	2						1	€ ₂	3	K J J
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	116.3	3 12.2	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_		- 5		
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.	0	0.0	0.0			7		- V
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	1.5	0.0	0.	0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Desertion				EDI	_	FDT			\^/				NDT	0.01		ODT
Assigned Dhoor				EBL	·	EBI	VVBI	-+	VVE	51	INBL			5B		SBI
Coop Number				<u> </u>	-	2		\rightarrow	0) 0			0			4
Case Number					_	0.0	<u> </u>	\rightarrow	400				0.0 17.7			0.0
Phase Duration	, S			<u> </u>	+	122.3	<u> </u>	\rightarrow	122	2.3		_	5.5	<u> </u>		5.5
Change Period,	(Y+R)	c), S			_	6.0		\rightarrow	6.0	0			5.5		_	5.5
	Jway (/	VIAH), S			+	0.0		\rightarrow	0.0	0		+	3.1			3.1
Queue Clearan		(gs), s			_	0.0	<u> </u>	\rightarrow	0.1	0			8.1			11.9
Green Extensio	n Time	(ge),s			-	0.0		\rightarrow	0.0	0			0.3	<u> </u>		0.3
Phase Call Pro					_		<u> </u>	\rightarrow		_			1.00			1.00
Max Out Probai	ollity												0.00			0.00
Movement Gro	oup Res	ults			EB			W	В			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment			L	Т	R	L	Т		R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6		16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		263		693	1427		1	297		74			118	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	590		1571	1670		1	590		1557			1627	
Queue Service	Time (g	g s), S		0.0		0.0	87.6		(0.0		0.0			3.8	
Cycle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s		0.0		0.0	116.3		(0.0		6.1			9.9	
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.83		0.83	0.83		0	0.83		0.09			0.09	
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h			520		1305	1414		1	321		171			175	
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	itio(X)		0.505		0.531	1.009		0.	.982		0.432			0.677	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	In (95 th percentile)		22.6		25.3	393.2		30	05.3		114.5			190.2	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	0.9		1.0	15.7		1	12.2		4.5			7.5	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)	0.00		0.00	0.00		0	0.00		0.00			0.00	
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s	/veh		0.0		0.0	1.1		(0.0		61.0			62.8	
Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh				3.5		1.5	26.1		2	20.9		0.6			1.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0		0.0	0.0		(0.0		0.0		<u> </u>	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				3.5		1.5	27.2		2	20.9		61.7			64.5	
Level of Service (LOS)				A		A	F			С		E				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				2.1		A	24.2		С	;	61.7		E	64.	5	E
Intersection Del	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					20).7							C		
Multimodal Re	sults				FB			W/F	B			NR			SB	
Pedestrian I OS	Score	/105		20		В	20		R	3	27		С	27		С
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		1.3		А	2.7		C	;	0.6		А	0.7	·	А

			Ū								-	,				
General Inform	nation								Inte	ersect	ion Info	ormatio	on		47411	× 4
Agency		FMA							Dura	ation,	h	0.25			717	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	sis Date	Jun 20	0, 2020		Area	a Type	Э	Other		4		
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time F	Period	Full B Peak	uildout F	РΜ	PHF	=		0.92			W = E	111 1413
Urban Street		Rutledge Pike		Analys	is Year	2025			Anal	ılysis l	Period	1> 7:	00		٦†٢	
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at I-4	0E I	File Na	ame	Full B	uildout F	PM P	eak_2	Zoo.x	us				11471	* 1
Project Descript	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing												
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	/B	_		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment			L	Т	R	L		т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			70	45	14	127	5	6 ·	1124	13	406	177	142	254	33
	() a			1	T						_	_				
Signal Informa	tion	Deference Dhees	0		2		5	121	K	24					ς.	
Cycle, s	120.0	Reference Phase	Z		R.	5.	5			l ↑	7		1	2 2	3	4
Unset, s	U	Reference Point	Ena	Green	6.6	48.8	2.3	4.	5	30.4	0.0			5_		
Uncoordinated		Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0	4.0	0.0			Y		P
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Simult. Gap N/S On				1.5	1.	5	1.5	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results	ults				-	EBT	WB	L	WB	3T	NBL	-	NBT	SBL	-	SBT
Assigned Phase	e		5		2			6	;	3		8	7		4	
Case Number				2.0		3.0			5.3	3	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration	hase Duration, s					66.4			54.	.3	7.8		35.9	17.8	; .	45.9
Change Period,	(Y+R	c), S		5.5		5.5			5.5	5	5.5		5.5	5.5		5.5
Max Allow Head	dway (/	MAH), s		3.0		0.0			0.0	0	3.0		2.9	3.0		2.9
Queue Clearan	ce Time	e (g s), s		7.0							2.9		29.4	12.2	2	14.2
Green Extensio	n Time	(ge),s		0.1		0.0			0.0	0	0.0		1.0	0.2		1.3
Phase Call Prol	oability			0.92	2						0.38	;	1.00	0.99)	1.00
Max Out Probal	bility			0.00)						0.00)	0.12	0.00) (0.00
	_								-							
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults		<u> </u>	EB			WE	B	_		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement					R	L			R	L		R		1	R
Assigned Move	ment)		5	2	12	1	6		16	3	8	18	1	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	tion Ele), ven/n	n	1701	49	15	138	107	0 1	0	14	441	1595	154	270	30
			n	5.0	1070	0.4	6.5	107		0.0	0.0	27 /	0.6	1/01	1070	1565
	learance	g(s), s		5.0	1.1	0.4	6.5	1.0		0.0	0.9	27.4	0.0	10.2	12.2	1.5
Green Ratio (a	$\frac{1}{C}$			0.05	0.51	0.4	0.0	0.4	1 0) 41	0.02	0.25	0.25	0.10	0.34	0.34
Capacity (c), y	eh/h			97	949	804	612	76	1 6	645	33	473	401	182	629	533
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	itio (X)		0.781	0.052	0.019	0.226	0.08	30 0.	.000	0.422	0.933	0.027	0.848	0.439	0.067
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	(In (95 th percentile)		105.7	20.5	6.3	92.1	37.	6	0	20.2	500.2	9.5	202	216	25.9
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	4.2	0.8	0.2	3.6	1.5	5 0	0.0	0.8	19.7	0.4	8.0	8.5	1.0
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.2	9 0	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	1.15	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d 1), s	/veh		54.9	9.7	9.6	17.8	16.	7 (0.0	57.9	38.8	29.9	50.9	25.3	22.4
incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh				5.0	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.2	2 0	0.0	3.1	18.8	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.0
Initial Queue De	nitial Queue Delay (<i>d</i> 3), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (ontrol Delay (d), s/veh			60.0	9.8	9.7	18.6	16.9	9 (0.0	61.0	57.6	29.9	55.1	25.5	22.4
Level of Service	Level of Service (LOS)				A	A	В	В			E	E	C	E	C	С
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				37.0		D	18.1		В	5	57.0		E	35.0		D
Intersection Del	ay, s/ve	en / LOS				4().7									
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			WE	В			NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.7		С	2.4		В	3	4.0		D	2.4		В
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		0.7		A	0.8		А	`	1.3		A	1.3		А

	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	p-Control Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	McCalla at Rock Pointe							
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville							
Date Performed	6/20/2020	East/West Street	Rock Pointe Drive							
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	McCalla Avenue							
Time Analyzed	Full Buildout PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing										

. . ..



Major Street: East-West

venicle volumes and Adj	ustme	ents														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume, V (veh/h)			107	103		22	121			29		4				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)											0					
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	10			Ν	lo			Ν	10			Ν	lo	
Median Type/Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	iys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	el of S	ervice	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						24					36					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1339					654					
v/c Ratio						0.02					0.06					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1					0.2					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.7					10.8					
Level of Service, LOS						A					В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)							.3			10	0.8					
Approach LOS											В					

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7™ TWSC Version 7.2.1

	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	p-Control Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	Addie Kirkham	Intersection	Rutledge Pike at Rock P							
Agency/Co.	FMA	Jurisdiction	City of Knoxville							
Date Performed	6/20/2020	East/West Street	Rock Pointe Dr							
Analysis Year	2025	North/South Street	Rutledge Pike							
Time Analyzed	Full Buildout PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description 398.007 Rock Pointe Crossing										



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justm	ents														
Approach		Eastb	oound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume, V (veh/h)						65		85			466	56		154	180	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized		١	١o			Ν	١o			١	lo		No			
Median Type/Storage				Left	Only						5					
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ays														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.84		6.94						4.14		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	el of S	Servic	e												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							163							167		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							582							1000		
v/c Ratio							0.28							0.17		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							1.1							0.6		
Control Delay (s/veh)							13.6							9.3		
Level of Service, LOS							В							A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1	3.6							4	.3	
Approach LOS							В									

			Ŭ									Ì	,				
General Inform	nation						Inte	ersecti	ection Information								
Agency FMA										Dur	ration,	h	0.25			4	
Analyst		Addie Kirkham		Analys	is Dat	e Jun 2	20, 2	2020		Are	a Type	;	Other	,	4		
Jurisdiction		City of Knoxville		Time P	eriod	Full I Peak	3uild	lout P	М	PHF			0.92	0.92		W A E	* *
Urban Street Rutledge Pike					is Yea	ear 2025				Ana	alysis F	Period 1>7:		00			r.
Intersection		Rutledge Pike at Sp	oring	File Na	me	Full I	Build	lout P	ΜP	eak_	_Spring	g Hill R	oad.xus	;		14147	1
Project Descrip	tion	398.007 Rock Point	e Cross	sing													
Demand Inform	nation				EB				W	/B			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	Ť	L	-	Г	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			91	180	7 64		40	88	87	6	40	13	47	19	11	44
	_										_						
Signal Informa	tion	D (D	-			<u>H</u> 205											\mathbf{A}
Cycle, s	160.0	Reference Phase	2		#	• •	7							1		3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	135.	3 13.2	C	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			5		
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_				∇
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	1.5	0	5.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	Г	WBL		W	'BT	NBI	_	NBT	SB	L	SBT
Assigned Phase	Э					2	Г			6	6			8			4
Case Number						8.0	T			8.	.0			8.0			8.0
Phase Duration	, S					141.3				14	1.3			18.7			18.7
Change Period,	(Y+R	c), S			6.0 6.0 5.5				5.5			5.5					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s						0.0				0.	.0			3.2			3.2
Queue Clearan	ce Time	(g s), s												13.0			9.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s						0.0				0.	.0			0.3			0.3
Phase Call Pro	bability													1.00			1.00
Max Out Probal	bility													0.00			0.00
					50				14/5	~			ND			0.0	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB		┢	1		3	D		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement				1 	R 40	┢		1	+	К 10	L	1	K 10			R
Adjusted Flow	ment Poto (v) voh/h		Э 1117	2	1015	1	1	0		10 612	3	0	10	/	4	14
Adjusted Flow r), ven/n wy Rate (s) veh/h/l	n	1/181		1575	4	038			1501		1556			1630	
	Time ((0.0		0.0		0.00		+	0.0		3.5			0.0	
	learance	$a = Time(a_c) = s$		0.0		0.0		0		+	0.0		11.0			7.5	
Green Ratio (a	\sqrt{C}	5 mile (g e), 6		0.85		0.85	0	85		- (0.85		0.08			0.08	
Capacity (c) , y	/eh/h			1277		1332	9	903			1346		160			163	
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	tio (X)		0.875		0.762	2 0.4	444		0).455	_	0.680			0.494	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	(In (95 th percentile)		136.5		69.5	1	7.9		Ť	18.7		200.3			145.7	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	5.5		2.8	C).7		+	0.7		7.9			5.7	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)	0.00		0.00	0.	.00		(0.00		0.00			0.00	
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s/	/veh		0.0		0.0	C	0.0			0.0		72.3			70.7	
Incremental De	lay (<i>d</i> 2), s/veh		8.6		4.2	1	1.6			1.1		1.9			0.9	
Initial Queue De	elay (<i>d</i>	3), s/veh		0.0		0.0	C	0.0			0.0		0.0			0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/ve	eh		8.6		4.2	1	1.6			1.1		74.2			71.6	
Level of Service	e (LOS)			A		A		A			А		E			E	
Approach Delay	, s/veh	/ LOS		6.5		А		1.3		A	4	74.2	2	E	71.6	6	E
Intersection Del	lay, s/ve	h / LOS					8.7								A		
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			\\/F		B		NB		3		SB	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/ LOS		2.0		В	1	2.0		E	3	2.8		С	2.8		С
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						В		1.3		A	4	0.7		А	0.6		Α

Attachment 9 Turn Lane Warrant Analysis





Figure 12 Warrant for 40% Left-Turn Storage Lanes at 50 mph



MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. AVENUE

(Weekdays and Weekends)

SERVES:

Austin East High School **Knoxville Center Mall Knoxville Station/Downtown**

Target

Vine Middle Magnet School Walter P. Taylor Homes



Information Updated: January 6, 2020

	Going away from Downtown							Going toward Downtown						
	Transfer t	to:		Rts. 31 & 34		Rt. 90				Rts. 31 & 34				
	Knoxville Station— Platform N	MLK at Bertrand	Austin East High	Kirkwood St Superstop	Target	Knoxville Center Mall (Arrives)	Bus	Knoxville Center Mall (Leaves)	Target	Kirkwood St Superstop	MLK at Beal Bourne St.	MLK at Bertrand	Knoxville Station	
		2	3	4	5	6	Goes On To Serve	6	7	8	9	10	11	
					WEE	KDAY	SCHE	DULE						
A.M.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	6:24	6:27	6:34	6:40	
	_	_	_		_	_	_	7:00	7:07	7:24	7:27	7:34	7:40	
	6:45	6:48	6:57	7:03	7:16	7:25	Rt. 23	8:00	8:07	8:24	8:27	8:34	8:40	
	7:45	7:48	7:57	8:03	8:16	8:25	Rt. 23	9:00	9:07	9:24	9:27	9:34	9:40	
	8:45	8:48	8:57	9:03	9:16	9:25	Rt. 23	10:00	10:07	10:24	10:27	10:34	10:40	
	9:45	9:48	9:57	10:03	10:16	10:25	Rt. 23	11:00	11:07	11:24	11:27	11:34	11:40	
	10:45	10:48	10:57	11:03	11:16	11:25	Rt. 23	12:00	12:07	12:24	12:27	12:34	12:40	
	11:45	11:48	11:57	12:03	12:16	12:25	Rt. 23	1:00	1:07	1:24	1:27	1:34	1:40	
P.M.	12:45	12:48	12:57	1:03	1:16	1:25	Rt. 23	2:00	2:07	2:24	2:27	2:34	2:40	
	1:45	1:48	1:57	2:03	2:16	2:25	Rt. 23	3:00	3:07	3:24	3:27	3:34	3:40	
	2:45	2:48	2:57	3:03	3:16	3:25	Rt. 23	4:00	4:07	4:24	4:27	4:34	4:40	
	3:45	3:48	3:57	4:03	4:16	4:25	Rt. 23	5:00	5:07	5:24	5:27	5:34	5:40	
	4:45	4:48	4:57	5:03	5:16	5:25	Rt. 23	6:00	6:07	6:24	6:27	6:34	6:40	
	5:45	5:48	5:57	6:03	6:16	6:25	Rt. 23	7:00	7:07	7:24	7:27	7:34	7:40	
	6:45	6:48	6:57	7:03	7:16	7:25	Rt. 23	8:00	8:07	8:24	8:27	8:34	8:40	
	7:45	7:48	7:57	8:03	8:16	8:25	Rt. 23	9:00	9:07	9:24	9:27	9:34	9:40	
	8:45	8:48	8:57	9:03	9:16	9:25	Rt. 23	10:00	10:07	10:24	10:27	10:34	10:40	
	9:45	9:48	9:57	10:03	10:16	10:25	Rt. 23	_						
	10:45	10:48	10:57	11:00	To Garage									
	11:15	11:18	11:27	11:30	To Garage									
				_	SATU	JRDAY	SCHE	DULE						
A.M.	_	—	—		-	—	_	_		7:24	7:27	7:34	7:40	
	—	—	—		—	_	—	8:00	8:07	8:24	8:27	8:34	8:40	
	7:45	7:48	7:57	8:03	8:16	8:25	Rt. 23	9:00	9:07	9:24	9:27	9:34	9:40	
	8:45	8:48	8:57	9:03	9:16	9:25	Rt. 23	10:00	10:07	10:24	10:27	10:34	10:40	
	9:45	9:48	9:57	10:03	10:16	10:25	Rt. 23	11:00	11:07	11:24	11:27	11:34	11:40	
	10:45	10:48	10:57	11:03	11:16	11:25	Rt. 23	12:00	12:07	12:24	12:27	12:34	12:40	
	11:45	11:48	11:57	12:03	12:16	12:25	Rt. 23	1:00	1:07	1:24	1:27	1:34	1:40	
P.M.	12:45	12:48	12:57	1:03	1:16	1:25	Rt. 23	2:00	2:07	2:24	2:27	2:34	2:40	
	1:45	1:48	1:57	2:03	2:16	2:25	Rt. 23	3:00	3:07	3:24	3:27	3:34	3:40	
	2:45	2:48	2:57	3:03	3:16	3:25	Rt. 23	4:00	4:07	4:24	4:27	4:34	4:40	
	3:45	3:48	3:57	4:03	4:16	4:25	Rt. 23	5:00	5:07	5:24	5:27	5:34	5:40	
	4:45	4:48	4:57	5:03	5:16	5:25	Rt. 23	6:00	6:07	6:24	6:27	6:34	6:40	
	5:45	5:48	5:57	6:03	6:16	6:25	Rt. 23	7:00	7:07	7:24	7:27	7:34	7:40	
	6:45	6:48	6:57	7:03	7:16	7:25	Rt. 23	8:00	8:07	8:24	8:27	8:34	8:40	
	/:45	/:48	7:57	8:03	8:16	8:25	Rt. 23	9:00	9:07	9:24	9:27	9:34	9:40	
	6:45 0:45	0:48	0.57	9:03	9:10	9:25	RL. 23	10:00	10:07	10:24	10:27	10:34	10:40	
	10.45	10.49	10.57	11:02	To Garage	10:25	nt. 23							
	11.45	11.10	11:27	11.05	To Garage						-			
	11:15	11,10	11:27	11:55	10 Guidge									

Need help reading this schedule?

Need other general information on how to ride?

Click here to Download the General Schedule Information pdf available from katbus.com

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. AVENUE (Weekdays and Weekends)

SERVES:

Austin East High School **Knoxville Center Mall Knoxville Station/Downtown** Target

Vine Middle Magnet School Walter P. Taylor Homes



Information Updated: January 6, 2020

		<i>~</i> ·		(D)					~		.			
		Goir	ng away	from Downto	own				Go	ping toward L	Jowntov	vn		
	Transfer	to:		Rts. 31 & 34		Rt. 90				Rts. 31 & 34				
	Knoxville Station— Platform N	MLK at Bertrand	Austin East High	Kirkwood St Superstop	Target	Knoxville Center Mall (Arrives)	Bus	Knoxville Center Mall (Leaves)	Target	Kirkwood St Superstop	MLK at Beal Bourne St.	MLK at Bertrand	Knoxville Station	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Goes On To Serve	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	SUNDAY SCHEDULE													
A.M.	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	8:00	8:07	8:24	8:27	8:34	8:40	
	—	—	—	—	_	_		9:00	9:07	9:24	9:27	9:34	9:40	
	8:45	8:48	8:57	9:03	9:16	9:25	Rt. 23	10:00	10:07	10:24	10:27	10:34	10:40	
	9:45	9:48	9:57	10:03	10:16	10:25	Rt. 23	11:00	11:07	11:24	11:27	11:34	11:40	
	10:45	10:48	10:57	11:03	11:16	11:25	Rt. 23	12:00	12:07	12:24	12:27	12:34	12:40	
	11:45	11:48	11:57	12:03	12:16	12:25	Rt. 23	1:00	1:07	1:24	1:27	1:34	1:40	
P.M.	12:45	12:48	12:57	1:03	1:16	1:25	Rt. 23	2:00	2:07	2:24	2:27	2:34	2:40	
	1:45	1:48	1:57	2:03	2:16	2:25	Rt. 23	3:00	3:07	3:24	3:27	3:34	3:40	
	2:45	2:48	2:57	3:03	3:16	3:25	Rt. 23	4:00	4:07	4:24	4:27	4:34	4:40	
	3:45	3:48	3:57	4:03	4:16	4:25	Rt. 23	5:00	5:07	5:24	5:27	5:34	5:40	
	4:45	4:48	4:57	5:03	5:16	5:25	Rt. 23	6:00	6:07	6:24	6:27	6:34	6:40	
	5:45	5:48	5:57	6:03	6:16	6:25	Rt. 23	7:00	7:07	7:24	7:27	7:34	7:40	
	6:45	6:48	6:57	7:03	7:16	7:25	Rt. 23	8:00	8:07	8:24	8:27	8:34	8:40	
	7:45	7:48	7:57	8:03	8:16	8:25	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	

Need help reading this schedule? Need other general information on how to ride? Click here to Download the General Schedule Information pdf available from katbus.com


Attachment 11 Signal Warrant Analysis

Project: Rock Pointe Crossing Intersection: Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive Date Conducted: 6/17/2020

	Existing Conditions		Warrant 1			Warrant 2	Warrant 3
	Major Street	Minor Street	Condition A	Condition B	Condition A/B		
Start	veh/hr	veh/hr					
7:00 a.m.	726	49	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
8:00 a.m.	587	49	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
11:00 a.m.	631	0	-	-	-	-	-
12:00 p.m.	683	0	-	-	-	-	-
2:00 p.m.	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
3:00 p.m.	812	0	-	-	-	-	-
4:00 p.m.	860	48	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
5:00 p.m.	820	48	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO

	Background Conditions		Warrant 1			Warrant 2	Warrant 3
	Major Street	Minor Street	Condition A	Condition B	Condition A/B		
Start	veh/hr	veh/hr					
7:00 a.m.	782	53	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
8:00 a.m.	632	53	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
11:00 a.m.	680	0	-	-	-	-	-
12:00 p.m.	736	0	-	-	-	-	-
2:00 p.m.	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
3:00 p.m.	875	0	-	-	-	-	-
4:00 p.m.	926	52	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
5:00 p.m.	883	52	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO

	Full Buildout		Warrant 1			Warrant 2	Warrant 3
	Major Street	Minor Street	Condition A	Condition B	Condition A/B		
Start	veh/hr	veh/hr					
7:00 a.m.	782	134	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
8:00 a.m.	632	134	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
11:00 a.m.	680	-	-	-	-	-	-
12:00 p.m.	736	-	-	-	-	-	-
2:00 p.m.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3:00 p.m.	875	-	-	-	-	-	-
4:00 p.m.	926	150	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
5:00 p.m.	883	150	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO

Attac	hment 12
Sight	Triangles











Date: June 22, 2020

Project Name: Rock Pointe Crossing (5-SB-20-C)

To: Knoxville-Knox County Planning

Subject: TIS Review for Rock Pointe Crossing (5-SB-20-C)

Dear Knoxville-Knox County Planning staff,

The following comment response document is submitted to address comments dated June 16, 2020:

1. **Reviewer Comment:** On page 3 and 29, please discuss if this intersection is required to be signalized.

Response: Added Section 7: Signal Warrant Analysis to Attachment 11.

2. Reviewer Comment: On page 4 and 31, the statement under the Rutledge Pike @ Spring Hill Road section, "The City of Knoxville should consider adding a separate westbound left turn lane in the future to improve the overall function of the intersection" should be removed from the document. This improvement would require City/TDOT to either add additional ROW pavement to form a dedicated left turn lane or make one of the thru lanes approaching the intersection to become a dedicated left turn lane.

Response: Revised the statement and removed "The City of Knoxville."

a. Between 2025 background and full buildout, the development increases the westbound left turns at Rutledge & Spring Hill from 11 to 49 (AM) and 21 to 45 (PM). In the AM peak, the LOS on the westbound approach goes from a B to a C and the westbound left turn movement goes from a B to an F. If this is considered a needed improvement, it needs to be completed by the developer, including the purchase of any extra ROW.

Response: N/A

3. **Reviewer Comment:** On page 10 & 11, analysis assumed a 1.5% growth rate. Add statement relating to "Attachment 3: ADT Trends" to provide context of why 1.5% was used.

<u>Response:</u> Added the following statement to pages 10 & 11. "The growth rate was determined by analyzing the nearby TDOT count station on Rutledge Pike (SR 1).

The ADT trend line growth charts for the TDOT count station are included in Attachment 3."

4. **Reviewer Comment:** On page 17, the average rate should be used for LUC 945 because the R² value is below 0.75. This would correct the total trips for the land use to 3,286. Please correct as appropriate throughout the study.

<u>Response:</u> Updated the trip generation for LUC 945 in Table 4-1 Rock Pointe Crossing Trip Generation and throughout the study.

a. In the same table, LUC 710 needs to use the fitted curve since the R² value is above 0.75. This would change the total trips for the land use to 399.

<u>Response:</u> Updated the Daily Trips for LUC 710 in Table 4-1 Rock Pointe Crossing Trip Generation.

b. In discussions with the City of Knoxville, they have mentioned that one of the parcels of this development plan now has a new business that does not fall within the listed land-uses from the TIA. This business is Sunbelt Rentals. Please address this in Table 4-1.

<u>Response:</u> The 12,200 SF building materials and lumber store was updated to LUC 811 construction equipment rental store (i.e. Sunbelt Rentals) to reflect the new information regarding the business.

c. In the second to last paragraph discussing the trip distribution, these percentages do not seem reasonable to staff considering the access closest to the interstate is the intersection of Rutledge Pike at Rock Pointe Drive. Staff recommends the higher distribution to be closer to the interstate, not furthest away. Please consider consulting with our staff once initial assumptions are made.

<u>Response:</u> Revised the trip distribution of the Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market (LUC 945) to 80% of traffic entering/exiting at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Rock Pointe Drive and 20% of traffic entering/existing at the intersection of Rutledge Pike (SR 1) at Spring Hill Road.

5. **Reviewer Comment:** On page 19, Figure 6, McCalla Avenue & Pelham Road bubble shows 15% entering and exiting. This should be 20% due to 15% turning southbound left off of Rutledge Pike and 5% turning northbound right off of Rutledge Pike.

Response: Updated Figure 6 with revised trip distribution numbers.

Ms. Barrett June 22, 2020 Page 3 of 3

6. **Reviewer Comment:** Please evaluate the new proposed realignment to determine if additional features (i.e. a TWLTL or other recommended turn lanes at driveways or intersections) above the minimum are required based upon the types of vehicles accessing the site and land uses being proposed for the area. The required minimum lane width in each direction of the new proposed realignment is 12ft. With this evaluation, also look at how the new proposed cross-section connects into the existing cross-section (to the north) of Spring Hill Rd.

<u>Response</u>: The relatively low volume of traffic on Rock Pointe Drive is not expected to warrant any additional features and the required lane width and cross-section will need to be evaluated during the detailed design phase of the roadway project.

7. **Reviewer Comment:** The sight distance evaluations in Attachment 11 need to be discussed in the write-up portion of the study. Please ensure that the evaluation is based upon Section 3.04.J.5 of the Subdivision Regulations and AASHTO. Also, please indicate the speed limit of the redesign of the road is being designed to.

<u>Response</u>: A design speed of 30 mph for Rock Pointe Drive was already stated at the beginning of the second paragraph in section 7.6 Sight Distance. Discussed the attached sight triangles and referenced that Section 3.04.J.5 of the subdivision regulations was used in determine the minimum required sight distance.



Addie Kirkham, P.E.