TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

PREPARED FOR: CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC



1101 17TH AVENUE SOUTH NASHVILLE, TN 37212

APRIL 2015

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

PREPARED FOR: CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC



PREPARED BY: RPM TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, LLC 1101 17th Avenue South Nashville, TN 37212 615.370.8410 office 615.370.8455 fax www.rpmtraffic.net

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
3. EXISTING CONDITIONS	7
3.1 Existing Roadway Network	7
3.2 Existing Traffic Volumes	12
3.3 Existing Traffic Operations	14
4. IMPACTS	17
4.1 Trip Generation	17
4.2 Trip Distribution and Traffic Assignment	
4.3 Capacity / Level of Service Analyses	21
5. SITE PLAN REVIEW & RECOMMENDATIONS	25
APPENDICES	31

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: LOCATION OF THE PROJECT SITE
FIGURE 2: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 1
FIGURE 3: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 2
FIGURE 4: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 3
FIGURE 5: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 46
FIGURE 6. EXISTING LANEAGE
FIGURE 7. EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES
FIGURE 8: DISTRIBUTION OF PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES
GENERATED BY FIVE POINTS REDEVELOPMENT
FIGURE 9: ASSIGNMENT OF PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES
GENERATED BY FIVE POINTS REDEVELOPMENT
FIGURE 10: TOTAL PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES
FIGURE 11: SENIOR HOUSING DRIVEWAY CORNER CLEARANCE
FIGURE 12: ROADWAY CLEARANCE FROM KENNER AVENUE
FIGURE 13: VISIBILITY TRIANGLE
FIGURE 14: NEW OLIVE STREET AT SELMA AVENUE
FIGURE 15: SOUTH OLIVE STREET / BETHEL AVENUE INTERSECTION 29
FIGURE 15: INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT CONCEPT

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1:	DESCRIPTIONS OF LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR SIGNALIZED	
	INTERSECTIONS	14
TABLE 2:	DESCRIPTIONS OF LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR UNSIGNALIZED	
	INTERSECTIONS	15
TABLE 3:	EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE	16
TABLE 5:	DEVELOPMENT TRIP GENERATION BY PHASE	17
TABLE 6:	TOTAL TRIP GENERATION BY LAND USE	18
TABLE 6:	PROJECTED PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE	23

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to analyze the traffic impacts associated with the redevelopment of the Five Points community and identify appropriate recommendations and conceptual designs for accommodating the projected traffic that will be generated by the site. The Five Points community is located in East Knoxville, and was originally known as Park City. The "Five Points" name comes from the historic junction of McCalla Avenue, Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue, and Olive Street.

In this study, the current operating characteristics of the critical intersections within the study area are evaluated. The expected trips generated by the proposed redevelopment are determined and distributed to the roadway network. The study area roadways and critical intersections are then re-evaluated to determine the anticipated traffic impacts of the project. Finally, recommendations are presented, including roadway improvements and/or traffic control improvements that are needed to accommodate the expected traffic.



2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Knoxville Community Development Corporation (KCDC) has been working towards the revitalization of the Five Points community since 2009. As of February 2014, the KCDC has constructed 122 units of affordable housing in the neighborhood. The first phase of redevelopment began in August 2012 with the demolition of 183 units of public housing. In July 2013, KCDC and a team of consultants began developing a master plan of the public housing sites.

The proposed redevelopment area is located in East Knoxville, Tennessee, and consists of a mix of residential land uses. As shown by Figure 1, the target housing project sites are generally bounded by Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue to the north, Bethel Avenue to the south, South Olive Street to the east, and South Kyle Street to the west.



	Location of the Project Site	
	(Not to Scale)	Figure 1.



The master plan includes a phasing strategy for the redevelopment intended to minimize adverse impact on current residents of the Five Points community and the surrounding neighborhood. Four phases are currently proposed in the redevelopment master plan:



Phase 1

FIGURE 2: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 1

Phase 1 consists of approximately 90 units of medium-density senior apartments. As shown in Figure 2, Phase 1 is to be located on the northwest corner of the intersection of McConnell Street and Bethel Avenue.



Phase 2



FIGURE 3: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 2

As shown in Figure 3, Phase 2 is located between South Kyle Street and Olive Street on the north side of Kenner Avenue, and on the south side of Bethel Avenue between McConnell Street and Olive Street. Phase 2 consists of the following residential use types:

- ±50 senior/disabled housing units (single family attached/detached);
- ±19 family housing units (single family attached/detached);
- ±20 family housing units (townhomes);



Phase 3



FIGURE 4: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 3

As shown in Figure 4, Phase 3 is located between a new proposed roadway (Access 1) and McConnell Street, on the south side of MLK Jr. Avenue. Phase 3 consists of \pm 114 family housing units (walk-up apartments), with \pm 60 units reserved for public housing.



Phase 4



FIGURE 5: FIVE POINTS MASTER PLAN - PHASE 4

As shown in Figure 5, Phase 4 is located between McConnell Street and Olive Street on the north side of Bethel Avenue. Phase 4 consists of the following residential use types:

- ±41 family housing units (single family attached/detached)
- ±27 family housing units (townhomes);
- ±36 family housing units (walk-up apartments) potential off-site.



3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

3.1 Existing Roadway Network

Local access to the site will be provided Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue, McConnell Street, Bethel Avenue, South Kyle Street, South Olive Street, Kenner Avenue, and proposed internal roadways. Descriptions of the roadways within the project vicinity are as follows:

Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue (MLK Jr. Avenue) generally travels in an northeast-southwest direction between downtown Knoxville and Magnolia Avenue (US 70/11/25W) to the east. In the project vicinity, the crosssection of MLK Jr. Avenue includes curb and gutter, one 12-foot lane in each direction. and a 12-foot center two-way left-turn lane. According to the Major Road Plan for the City of



Looking west on MLK Jr. Avenue Adjacent to the Project Site

Knoxville and Knox County, Tennessee (Knoxville Major Road Plan), MLK Jr. Avenue is categorized as a major collector near the project site. The posted speed limit on MLK Jr. Avenue is 30 mph along the project frontage, and 35 mph immediately to the west. Sidewalks are provided on both the north and south sides of MLK Jr. Avenue. Bus service is provided on MLK Jr. Avenue via the #33 route of the Knoxville Area Transit (KAT), with daytime frequency approximately every 60 minutes. KAT stops are located on both sides of the street at South Kyle Street and Olive Street, with shelters provided at Olive Street. Bike facilities are provided on MLK Jr. Avenue in the form of shared-lane markings or "sharrows" at the project site.

McCalla Avenue generally east-west travels in an direction to the north of MLK Jr. Avenue. Near the project site, McCalla Avenue curves to the south forming the north leg of a signalized intersection with MLK Jr. Avenue, opposite McConnell Street. According to the Knoxville Major Road Plan, McCalla Avenue is categorized as а minor collector. McCalla Avenue has



Southbound on McCalla Avenue at MLK Jr. Avenue



a curb and gutter cross-section with a traveled way width of 40 feet. At the intersection with MLK Jr. Avenue, the McCalla Avenue approach includes one shared left-turn/through lane with 90 feet of storage and one right-turn lane. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of McCalla Avenue. A posted speed limit was not observed on McCalla Avenue. Bike facilities are not provided along McCalla Avenue in the project vicinity.

McConnell Street is categorized as a minor collector according to the Knoxville Major Road Plan, traveling in a north-south direction between MLK Jr. Avenue and Harold Avenue. McConnell Street is a two-lane roadway with a cross-section that includes curb and gutter and a 30foot wide travel way with one lane in each direction. A posted speed limit was not observed on McConnell Street in the project



Looking south toward McConnell approach to MLK Jr. Avenue

vicinity. Bike facilities are not provided but there are sidewalks on both the east and west side of the roadway. Bus service is not available on McConnell Street, but can be found on MLK Jr. Avenue to the north or Bethel Avenue to the south.

Bethel Avenue is a local street and generally travels in an east-west direction from

South Olive Street to a cul-de-sac near South Bertrand Street. Bethel Avenue has one lane in each direction and curbs on both sides of the street. The pavement width on Bethel Avenue varies between 26 feet and 40 feet along the project frontage. Bethel Avenue has sidewalks on both the north and south side of the street from its eastern terminus at South Olive Street to New Salem Baptist Church. West of New Salem Baptist Church to



Looking east on Bethel Avenue Adjacent to the Project Site

the western end of the project site, Bethel Avenue has sidewalk on the south side of the street. Similarly, the pavement decreases from east to west, with 40 feet of pavement east of McConnell Street, approximately 32 feet of pavement between McConnell Street and New Salem Baptist Church, and 26 feet of pavement from New Salem Baptist Church to South Kyle Street. On-street parking is available on both sides of Bethel Avenue in the project vicinity. Bus service is provided on Bethel Avenue by Knoxville Area Transit via the #34 Burlington Route, with daytime frequency approximately every 60 minutes. **South Kyle Street** is a local street that travels north-south on the western edge of the project site. South Kyle Street has one lane in each direction and curb on the east side of the street. The pavement width varies in the project vicinity. From MLK Jr. Avenue to Kenner Street South Kyle Street has 32 feet of pavement, but from Kenner Street to Bethel Avenue the pavement width is only 12 feet. There is sidewalk, as well as on-street



Looking north down South Kyle Street near the project site

parking, on the east side of the street for the portion of South Kyle Street with existing housing facing the street.

Olive Street is a two-lane local street that generally travels in the southeast-northwest direction from MLK Jr. Avenue to Jefferson Avenue. Olive Street has a pavement width of approximately 36 feet in the project vicinity. The cross-section of Olive Street also includes curb and gutter, as well as sidewalks on both sides of the street. There is no bus service or bike facilities available on Olive Street.



Looking east on MLK Jr. Avenue toward Olive Street

The study area includes six existing intersections that are considered critical. The critical existing intersections are described as follows:

The intersection of **MLK Jr. Avenue and South Kyle Street** is an unsignalized intersection with four approaches. The eastbound approach of MLK Jr. Avenue includes one left turn lane with approximately 60 feet of storage, one through lane, and one shared through/rightturn lane. Approximatelty 150 feet east of the intersection the pavement markings on MLK Jr. Avenue transition back to a



Looking Eastbound on MLK Jr. Avenue at South Kyle Street



single eastbound lane. The westbound approach of MLK Jr. Avenue includes one left turn lane with approximately 115 feet of storage and one shared through/right-turn lane. There are Knoxville Area Transit bus stops eastbound and westbound on the nearside of the intersection in the shared through/right-turn lane. The northbound and southbound approaches of South Kyle Street each include one shared lane for left-turns, through movements, and right-turns.

The intersection of **Bethel Avenue** and South Kyle Street is an unsignalized T-intersection with three approaches, although there is a driveway for a single-family residence aligned with South Kyle Street that could be considered a fourth approach. The southbound approach of South Kyle Street is stop-controlled. All approaches consist of one lane in each direction shared between each turnina movement.



Looking Northbound on South Kyle Street at Bethel Avenue

The intersection of **Bethel Avenue and McConnell Street** is an unsignalized intersection with four approaches. Each approach consists of a single shared lane for each turning movement. The intersection is all-way stop-controlled.

There are three signalized intersections in the study area, the MLK Jr. Avenue intersections with McCalla Avenue 1 **McConnell Street, Olive Street,** and Ben Hur Avenue. The signalized intersections are relatively closely spaced with approximately 275 feet between McCalla Avenue / McConnell Street and Olive Street, and approximately 200 feet between Olive Street and Ben Hur Avenue. The three intersections currently operate using a single traffic controller.



Looking Eastbound on MLK Jr. Avenue at Olive Street

The existing laneage at the study intersections is illustrated in Figure 6.







3.2 Existing Traffic Volumes

In order to provide data for the traffic impact analysis, turning movement counts were conducted at the following intersections:

- MLK Jr. Avenue & Ben Hur Avenue;
- MLK, Jr Avenue & Olive Street;
- MLK, Jr Avenue & McConnell Street;
- MLK, Jr Avenue & S Kyle Street;
- Bethel Avenue & McConnell Street;
- Bethel Avenue & S Kyle Street;
- McConnell Avenue & Kenner Avenue (pedestrians and turns only);
- S. Kyle Street & Kenner Avenue (turns from Kenner Avenue only).

Traffic counts for the eight intersections were conducted in March 2015 by RPM Transportation Consultants, LLC (RPM). Specifically, the traffic counts were collected from 7:00 - 9:00 AM and 4:00 - 6:00 PM on a typical weekday. From the counts, it was determined that the AM and PM peak hours of traffic flow at the intersections occur from 7:15 - 8:15 AM and 4:30 - 5:30 PM, respectively. The existing peak hour turning movement volumes are presented in Figure 7. A detailed summary of the turning movement counts are included in Appendix B.

In addition to the above information, average daily traffic volumes were obtained from the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT). A count station is located on MLK Jr. Avenue to the west of the project site between South Cruze Street and South Dewey Roberts Sr. Street. According to the TDOT count data, the annual average daily traffic (AADT) in 2013 on MLK Jr. Avenue, west of the project site was approximately 3,408 vehicles per day. TDOT Count Station data is included in Appendix C.







3.3 Existing Traffic Operations

To determine the current operation of the critical study intersections, capacity analyses were performed for the AM and PM peak hours. The capacity calculations were performed according to the methods outlined in the *Highway Capacity Manual*, TRB 2010. However, since the HCM 2010 methodology does not support multiple intersections using a single traffic signal controller, capacity analyses for the signalized intersections were performed using HCM 2000 methods. The capacity analyses result in the determination of a Level of Service (LOS) for an intersection. The LOS is a concept used to describe how well an intersection or roadway operates. LOS A is the best, while LOS F is the worst. LOS D is typically considered as the minimum acceptable LOS for an intersection in an urbanized area. Table 1 presents the descriptions of LOS for signalized intersections.

LEVEL OF SERVICE	DESCRIPTION	CONTROL DELAY (sec/veh)
A	Operations with very low delay. This occurs when progression is extremely favorable. Most vehicles do not stop at all.	<u><</u> 10
В	Operations with stable flows. This generally occurs with good progression and/or short cycle lengths. More vehicles stop than for LOS A, causing higher levels of average delay.	>10 and <u><</u> 20
С	Operations with stable flow. Occurs with fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many still pass through the intersection without stopping.	>20 and <u><</u> 35
D	Approaching unstable flow. The influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high V/C ratios. Many vehicles stop.	>35 and <u><</u> 55
E	Unstable flow. This is considered to be the limit for acceptable delay. These high delays generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high V/C ratios.	>55 and <u><</u> 80
F	Unacceptable delay. This condition often occurs with over saturation or with high V/C ratios. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also cause such delay levels.	>80.0

TABLE 1: DESCRIPTIONS OF LEVEL OF SERVICEFOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, TRB 2000

LEVEL OF SERVICE	DESCRIPTION	CONTROL DELAY (sec/veh)
А	Little or no delay	<u><</u> 10.0
В	Short traffic delay	>10 and <u><</u> 15
С	Average traffic delay	>15 and <u><</u> 25
D	Long traffic delay	>25 and <u><</u> 35
E	Very long traffic delay	>35 and <u><</u> 50
F	Extreme traffic delay	> 50.0

TABLE 2: DESCRIPTIONS OF LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, TRB 2010

The results of the capacity analyses for the existing conditions at the study area intersections are presented in Table 3. As shown in Table 3, capacity analyses indicate that the MLK Jr. Avenue signalized intersections with McCalla Avenue / McConnell Street, Olive Street, and Ben Hur Avenue operate at LOS C or better during both AM and PM peak hours. The critical turning movements at the unsignalized critical intersections operate at LOS B or better during both AM and PM peak periods. Capacity analyses worksheets are included in Appendix D.

		LEVEL OF SERVICE				
INTERSECTION	TURNING MOVEMENT	AM Peak Hour	Average Approach Delay (sec/veh)	PM Peak Hour	Average Approach Delay (sec/veh)	
MLK Jr. Avenue & Ben Hur Avenue	Overall Intersection	В	12.2	В	12.1	
MLK Jr. Avenue & Olive Street	Overall Intersection	В	12.0	В	13.1	
MLK Jr. Avenue & McCalla Avenue / McConnell Street	Overall Intersection	В	17.8	С	28.8	
	Eastbound Left Turns	А	0	А	7.6	
MLK Jr. Avenue &	Westbound Left Turns	А	7.5	А	7.7	
South Kyle Street	Northbound Left Turns	В	10.5	В	12.2	
	Southbound Left Turns	В	10.4	В	12.4	
	Eastbound Approach	Α	7.7	А	8.9	
McConnell Street &	Westbound Approach	Α	7.9	А	9.4	
Bethel Avenue	Northbound Approach	Α	7.7	А	9.2	
	Southbound Approach	Α	7.7	Α	9.5	
Bethel Avenue & South Kyle Street	Eastbound Left Turns	Α	7.3	Α	7.3	
	Southbound Left Turns	Α	9.0	А	8.9	
South Kyle Street & Kenner Avenue	Westbound Approach	А	8.6	А	8.6	
Note: For two-way stop c	ontrolled intersections.	an LOS	is presented f	or each c	ritical turning	

TABLE 3: EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

Note: For two-way stop controlled intersections, an LOS is presented for each critical turning movement. For all-way stop controlled intersections, an LOS is presented for each approach. For signalized intersections, an overall LOS is presented.



4. IMPACTS

4.1 Trip Generation

A traffic generation process was used to estimate the amount of traffic expected to be generated by the proposed land uses and intensities in the Five Points Master Plan. Factors for the trip generation were taken from ITE's *Trip Generation*, Ninth Edition.

Some of the existing traffic volumes in the project vicinity are derived from sites that will be demolished and redeveloped as a result of this project. Conservatively, no reductions to existing traffic volumes were made to remove this existing site traffic. Likewise, since the redevelopment consists of residential land uses only, no reductions were made to the trip generation to account for pass-by trips, diverted-linked trips, or internal capture.

Table 5 presents the daily, AM, and PM peak hour trip generation for the proposed residential land uses in each phase of the redevelopment project. As shown by Table 5, the full build out of the redevelopment can be expected to generate approximately 2,546 new trips per day. The AM and PM peak hour trip generations will equal approximately 228 and 252 new trips, respectively. Table 6 presents the total trip generation data for the entire redevelopment, with the trips for a given land use type summed for all phases. The calculations for trip generation are included in Appendix E.

		Size Daily		AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour	
Phase	Land Use	(Dwelling Units)	(Dwelling Traffic Units)	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
1	Senior Housing (Attached) (LUC 252)	90	289	6	12	12	11
	Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)	19	228	6	17	15	9
2	Senior Housing (Detached) (LUC 251)	50	255	13	25	16	11
	Townhomes (LUC230)	20	159	2	12	11	5
3	Apartments (LUC 220)	114	814	12	48	52	28
4a	Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)	27	315	7	22	20	12
	Townhomes (LUC230)	17	138	2	11	9	5
4b	Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)	20	261	6	19	17	10
	Townhomes (LUC 230)	10	87	1	7	6	3
	TOTAL		2,546	55	173	158	94

TABLE 5: DEVELOPMENT TRIP GENERATION BY PHASE

Land Use	Size (Dwelling Daily		AM Pea	ak Hour	PM Peak Hour	
	Onits)		Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Senior Housing (Attached) (LUC 252)	90	289	6	12	12	11
Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)	66	804	19	58	52	31
Senior Housing (Detached) (LUC 251)	50	255	13	25	16	11
Townhomes (LUC230)	47	384	5	30	26	13
Apartments (LUC 220)	114	814	12	48	52	28
TOTAL	367	2,546	55	173	158	94

TABLE 6: TOTAL TRIP GENERATION BY LAND USE

4.2 Trip Distribution and Traffic Assignment

A directional distribution of traffic generated by the proposed redevelopment was established based on the proposed accesses, the existing roadway network, and the existing travel patterns developed from the existing peak hour traffic counts. The directional distribution for redevelopment is shown in Figure 8. Based on these directional distributions, the project-generated traffic was assigned to the roadway network. The total traffic assignment for the redevelopment is shown in Figure 9.











4.3 Capacity / Level of Service Analyses

The total site generated traffic volumes for the proposed Five Points redevelopment were added to the existing peak hour traffic volumes in order to obtain the total projected traffic volumes for the intersections within the study area. Figure 10 presents the total projected AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes expected at the completion of the proposed redevelopment. Conservatively, no growth rate was applied to the existing traffic volumes because the average 10-year growth rate at the TDOT count station on MLK Jr. Avenue west of the project site was negative, approximately -0.8 percent.

Capacity analyses were performed in order to determine the impact of the project on the study intersections. These capacity analyses were also used to evaluate the need for roadway and traffic control improvements at the intersections studied. The capacity calculations were performed according to the methods outlined in the *Highway Capacity Manual*, TRB 2010. However, since the HCM 2010 methodology does not support multiple intersections using a single traffic signal controller, capacity analyses for the signalized intersections were performed using HCM 2000 methods. The results of the capacity analyses for the projected conditions at the study area intersections are presented in Table 6. Because the roadway network in the project vicinity provides good connectivity and residential land uses generate relatively low amounts of traffic, the capacity analyses for the projected condition considered the full build out of the Five Points redevelopment. Capacity analyses worksheets are included in Appendix D.







	TUPNING	LEVEL OF SERVICE					
INTERSECTION	MOVEMENT	AM Peak	Delay (sec/veh)	PM Peak	Delay (sec/veh)		
MLK Jr. Avenue & Ben Hur Avenue	Overall Intersection	В	16.3	В	16.6		
MLK Jr. Avenue & Olive Street	Overall Intersection	В	14.7	В	16.3		
MLK Jr. Avenue & McCalla Avenue / McConnell Street	Overall Intersection	С	27.5	С	31.9		
	Eastbound A		0	А	7.6		
MLK Jr. Avenue & South Kyle	Westbound Left Turns	А	7.5	А	7.8		
Street	Northbound Left Turns	В	10.6	В	12.5		
	Southbound Left Turns	В	10.3	В	12.4		
	Eastbound Approach	А	8.0	А	9.1		
McConnell Street & Bethel	Westbound Approach	А	8.1	А	9.9		
Avenue	Northbound Approach	А	8.1	А	9.9		
	Southbound Approach	А	8.3	В	10.1		
Bethel Avenue & South Kyle	Eastbound Left Turns	А	7.4	А	7.4		
Street	Southbound Left Turns	Α	9.1	А	9.1		
	Eastbound Approach	А	9.2	В	10.4		
McConnell Street & Kenner	Westbound Approach	А	9.2	В	10.5		
Avenue	Northbound Left Turn	А	7.3	А	7.6		
	Southbound Left Turn	А	7.4	А	7.6		
South Kyle Street & Kenner	Westbound Approach	А	8.8	А	8.8		
Avenue	Southbound Left Turn	А	7.3	A	7.3		
MLK Ir Avonuo 8 Accors 1	Westbound Left Turns	А	7.6	А	7.9		
MER JI. Avenue & Access T	Northbound Left Turns	А	9.8	В	11.0		
	Westbound Left Turns	A	7.6	А	7.9		
IVILIN JI. AVENUE & ACCESS 2	Northbound Left Turns	А	9.7	В	10.5		
Note: For two-way stop controlled intersections, LOS for each critical movement. For all-way stop, LOS for each approach. For signalized intersections, an overall LOS is presented.							

TABLE 6: PROJECTED PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE



As shown in Table 6, under the projected conditions, the capacity analyses indicate that the MLK Jr. Avenue signalized intersections with McCalla Avenue / McConnell Street, Olive Street, and Ben Hur Avenue are projected to continue to operate at LOS C or better during both the AM and PM peak periods.

As shown in Table 6, the capacity analyses indicate that the critical turning movements at the unsignalized critical intersections are projected to continue to operate at LOS B or better during both AM and PM peak periods. Each critical turning movement is projected to maintain the same LOS during both AM and PM peak periods, with one exception. The southbound approach at the intersection of McConnell Street and Bethel Avenue is expected to deteriorate from LOS A to LOS B during the PM peak hour. LOS B is generally considered to be a good level of service for an all-way stop-controlled intersection.

In Phase 2 of the redevelopment, a new north-south roadway is proposed between MLK Jr. Avenue and Kenner Avenue (referred to as Access 1). In Phase 3, a second north-south roadway between MLK Jr. Avenue and Kenner Avenue is proposed (Access 2). The critical turning movements at the proposed Access 1 and Access 2 are expected to operate at LOS A during the AM peak period and LOS B during the PM peak period.

The proposed new intersections on MLK Jr. Avenue were evaluated against the volume-related traffic signal warrants in the *2009 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD). It was found that the projected peak hour traffic volumes at the intersection of MLK Jr. Avenue and Access 1 or Access 2 do not satisfy the traffic volume requirements for the consideration of a traffic signal.

Queue lengths at the study intersections were also analyzed. During both the AM and PM peak hour, the existing available storage for turning movements at the existing study area intersections is expected to accommodate the projected 95th-percentile queue lengths. This includes reconfiguring the signalized intersection at MLK Jr. Avenue and Olive Street to accommodate the proposed fourth leg. The queuing reports from SimTraffic are included in Appendix D.



5. SITE PLAN REVIEW & RECOMMENDATIONS

Driveway Location and Spacing

Spacing between successive driveways or between a driveway and a roadway should be designed to provide safe and relatively unimpeded movement on the through roadway. Knoxville generally requires a minimum corner clearance (the distance between an intersection and an adjacent driveway) of 25 feet for driveways, measured from the Right-of-Way line of the crossing street to the near side of the driveway. For the intersection of streets, the minimum spacing between intersections depends on the classification of the road between both intersections. According to the Knoxville-Knox County *Minimum Subdivision Regulations*, the minimum intersection spacing for local, collector, and arterial streets is 125 feet, 300 feet, and 400 feet, respectively. The intersection spacing is measured from centerline.

The rendering of the proposed site was analyzed to determine of the proposed street, driveway and intersection designs are consistent with Knoxville-Knox County regulations and with good traffic engineering practice. Per Knoxville-Knox County regulations, the minimum intersection spacing along MLK Jr. Avenue would typically be 300 feet since MLK Jr. Avenue is classified as a minor collector. The two proposed intersections of new roadways on MLK Jr. Avenue (Access 1 and Access 2) appear to meet the spacing criteria, with each spaced at least 300 feet from each other and from the nearest existing intersection.

The New Olive Street connection to the existing MLK Jr. Avenue intersection with Olive Street is spaced less than 300 feet from the nearest intersection in both directions along MLK Jr. Avenue. Olive Street is approximately 200 feet west of Ben Hur Avenue and approximately 265 feet east McConnell Avenue. The connection will form the fourth leg of an existing intersection and capacity analyses indicated that the intersection would have an acceptable level of service under projected conditions. Also, the New Olive Street connection will help form an effective internal street grid for the master plan. For these reasons, we consider the spacing of the intersections to be acceptable.

Driveways generally should not be located within the functional area of an intersection. The functional area includes decision and maneuvering distance, plus any required vehicle storage length. The loop driveway shown on the conceptual site plan connecting McConnell Street to Bethel Avenue has approximately 75 feet of clearance on McConnell and 55 feet of clearance on Bethel Avenue (Figure 11). The driveway on Bethel Avenue is acceptable because it is on a local street and is on the far side of the intersection with McConnell Street. The McConnell driveway is located within the functional area of the intersection of McConnell and Bethel Avenue. Also, the McConnell driveway is offset approximately 25 feet to the south from a proposed driveway on the opposite side of McConnell Street. This offset could result in traffic operational issues if motorists attempt to drive from one driveway to the other.

Recommendation

Consider revising the loop driveway design and place both driveways on Bethel Avenue.



FIGURE 11: SENIOR HOUSING DRIVEWAY CORNER CLEARANCE

The spacing between Kenner Avenue and a parallel roadway that is unlabeled on the conceptual site plan (Figure 12) is not consistent with Knoxville-Knox County regulations. This unlabeled roadway appears to be intended to become a public street since there are no parking spaces shown in the conceptual site plan. Knoxville-Knox County design standards for intersection placement requirement require 300 foot spacing between intersections on McConnell (a collector) and 125 foot spacing along New Olive Street (a local street). However, other aspects of the street and intersection designs, such as adequate sight lines and the streets intersecting at approximate 90 degree angles represent good engineering practice. For these reasons and due to low traffic volumes projected for these streets and intersections, we consider the conceptual design of these streets and intersections to be acceptable.





FIGURE 12: ROADWAY CLEARANCE FROM KENNER AVENUE

Sight Distance

Sight distance is an important safety element in the design of streets and driveways. In addition to evaluating roadway curves and angle of intersection to ensure adequate sight distance, the City of Knoxville requires the provision of a "visibility triangle". Within the "visibility triangle" there shall be no wall, fence, sign, structure, plant growth or other object which obscures the vision at elevations between 2.5 and 10 feet above the crown of the adjacent roadway. The "visibility triangle" is illustrated in Figure 13 from the Knoxville *Land Development Manual*.



FIGURE 13: VISIBILITY TRIANGLE

In addition to maintaining a clear "visibility triangle", the design should provide adequate stopping sight distance for a design speed of 30 mph.



Recommendation

For this study, the conceptual designs of the intersections within the master plan area were evaluated to determine if there were noticeable issues with sight distance and locations with potential sight distance concerns are identified and discussed. However, the final design of the master plan streets and intersections should include an evaluation of sight distance to ensure that the final designs meet sight distance requirements.

Geometric Issues

There are a few intersections that may have restricted sight distance or other geometric issues as configured on the conceptual site plan. As presented in Figure 14, the intersection of Selma Avenue and New Olive Street has skewed geometry, with an intersection angle of approximately 48 degrees. Skewed geometry can introduce several potential problems due to an increased period of exposure to cross-street traffic while traversing the intersection, difficulty turning one's head or neck to get adequate line of sight from the acute angle approach, etc. Furthermore, the Knoxville *Land Development Manual* specifies a minimum intersection angle of 60 degrees for streets within a subdivision.

Recommendation

Consideration should be given to realigning the south approach of Selma Street to intersect New Olive Street at an approximate 90 degree angle . This would result in an offset intersection for Selma Avenue and New Olive Street and would require a reconfiguration of a portion of the master plan.



FIGURE 14: NEW OLIVE STREET AT SELMA AVENUE



The intersection on the southeast border of the project site has an unusual elongated geometry (Figure 15) that could result in sight distance and traffic operational issues. There are four legs to the intersection: Olive Street, Bethel Avenue, Ulster Avenue, and Truslow Street.



FIGURE 15: SOUTH OLIVE STREET / BETHEL AVENUE INTERSECTION

Recommendation

Reconfiguration of the intersection should be considered. Figure 15 presents a conceptual schematic of a potential modification to the plan that removes the skew between the Olive Street and Bethel Avenue approaches and separates the intersection into two offset T-intersections.



FIGURE 15: INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT CONCEPT



Lane Widths

The minimum roadway width in the subdivision regulations for a local road is 26 feet. However, given the relatively low traffic volumes on roadways internal to the project site, lane widths of 11 feet are expected to be sufficient (22 foot total width) for all roadways on the project site. Kenner Avenue currently has a total pavement width of 18 feet, which is sufficient for its current one-way operation. In Phase 1, Kenner Avenue is planned to become two-way from McConnell Street to the entrance to the parking facility for the Phase 1 senior apartments. In Phase 2, Kenner Avenue will become a two-way street for the full length between South Kyle Street and McConnell Street.

Recommendation

Kenner Avenue will need to be widened to approximately 22 feet of total pavement width for Phase 1 up to the driveway for the senior apartments. For Phase 2 Kenner Avenue will need to be widened to provide one travel lane in each direction for the full segment. Lane widths of approximately 11 feet will be adequate for the two lane Kenner Avenue

Pedestrian Accessibility

It is important for good pedestrian accessibility and connectivity to be provided. Pedestrian signal heads and push buttons are currently provided at the signalized intersections in the study area. In general, sidewalks are provided in the study area and also shown in the conceptual site plan. Also, crosswalks are shown on the master plan at most intersections. One element included in the conceptual plan which will be beneficial for pedestrian safety is the contrasting pavement colors, material textures, or markings shown in intersections and other locations where vehicle-pedestrian conflicts may occur. This will provide conspicuity and help alert motorists to the presence of a potential conflict point.

Recommendation

With the addition of the New Olive Street approach to the signalized intersection at MLK Jr. Avenue, pedestrian signals, push buttons, and crosswalks should be provided.



APPENDICES

APPENDIX A CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN

APPENDIX B DETAILED TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

> APPENDIX C TDOT COUNT DATA

APPENDIX D CAPACITY ANALYSES

APPENDIX E TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS



APPENDIX A CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN






APPENDIX B DETAILED TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS





LOCATION DATE RECORDER NOTES MLK jr Ave & Ben Hur

Scott English & Courtney Small

		S/B			N/B		W/B			E/B		
LOCATION					Ben Hur			MLK			MLK	
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 8 9			10	11	12
7 00-7 15				2		2	2	13			11	2
7 15-7 30				8		4		20			24	5
7 30-7 45				6		2		24			29	4
7 45-8 00				6		2	4	36			34	4
8 00-8 15				4		3		30			25	2
8 15-8 30				5		1	1	23			27	4
8 30-8 45				8		4	1	24			24	2
8 45-9 00				9		1	2	24			22	5
9 00-9 15												
9 15-9 30												
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30												
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45-12 00												
12 00-12 15												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 45												
12 45-1 00												
1 00-1 15												
1 15-1 30												
1 30-1 45												
1 45-2 00												
2 00-2 15												
2 15-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00												
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30												
3 30-3 45												
3 45-4 00												
4 00-4 15				8		10	1	50			63	11
4 15-4 30				8		9	1	41			52	6
4 30-4 45				12		7	1	64			50	6
4 45-5 00				12		4	2	37			68	12
5 00-5 15				7		7	6	55			70	13
5 15-5 30				16		4	3	32			62	10
5 30-5 45				10		5	3	52			58	18
5 45-6 00				7		3	2	54			62	11
6 00-6 15												
6 15-6 30												
6 30-6 45												
6 45-7 00												
TOTAL				128		68	29	579			681	115
AM PK HR				24		11	4	110			112	15
MID PK HR												
PM PK HR				40		19	14	193			252	52





LOCATION
DATE
RECORDER
NOTES

MLK jr Ave & Olive 03/24/2015 & 03/25/2015 Darryl Glascock

		S/B			N/B		W/B			E/B			
LOCATION		Olive						MLK		MLK			
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
7 00-7 15	10		5					14	1	2	11		
7 15-7 30	3		14					21	5	4	27		
7 30-7 45	2		10					33	1	6	37		
7 45-8 00	1		5					34	5	4	33		
8 00-8 15			5					32	3	5	27		
8 15-8 30	5		6				-	25	4	5	25		
8 30-8 45	1		11				-	32	5	5	21		
8 45-9 00	2		1				-	23	4	9	27		
9 00-9 15								-		-			
9 15-9 30													
9 30-9 45													
9 45-10 00													
10 00-10 15													
10 15-10 30													
10 30-10 45													
10 45-11 00													
11 00-11 15													
11 15-11 30													
11 30-11 45													
11 45-12 00													
12 00-12 15													
12 15 12 20													
12 10-12 30													
12 30-12 43													
12 43-1 00													
1 15 1 20													
1 10-1 30													
1 45 2 00													
2 00 2 15													
2 00-2 13													
2 13-2 30													
2 30-2 45													
2 45-3 00													
3 00-3 15													
3 15-3 30													
3 30-3 45													
3 45-4 00	4		4					50	4	0	05		
4 00-4 15	4		10					00		0	50		
4 10-4 30	3		19					48	5 11	9	53 57		
4 30-4 43	4		10					42	7	19	57		
4 45-5 00	ð		1/					42	/	ð	70		
5 00-5 15	6		18					/4	9	9	55		
5 15-5 30	9		14					49	5	14	62		
5 30-5 45	16		10					47	9	8	65		
5 45-6 00													
6 00-6 15													
6 15-6 30													
6 30-6 45													
6 45-7 00													
TOTAL	74		152				L	592	75	113	635		
AM PK HR	6		34					120	14	19	124	L	
MID PK HR												1	
PM PK HR	27		65					227	32	50	244		







LOCATION DATE RECORDER NOTES MLK & McConnell 03/24/2015 & 03/25/2015 Scott English

		S/B			N/B			W/B			E/B	
LOCATION												
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
7 00-7 15	2			4		2		8	4	3	12	3
7 15-7 30	4	2	1	1	3	12		16	1	12	19	6
7 30-7 45	6		1		5	14		17	3	11	28	4
7 45-8 00	3	3		2	2	7		32	1	10	26	5
8 00-8 15	3		1	3	3	12		17	3	10	22	4
8 15-8 30	4		1	3	4	11	1	15	2	7	16	6
8 30-8 45	2	2		4	5	13	2	14	-	. 12	16	8
8 45-9 00	2	2	1	5	5	16	1	19	3	15	14	10
0 40-0 15	5	2		5	5	10		15	5	10	17	10
0 15 0 20										-		
9 13-9 30										-		
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30												
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45-12 00												
12 00-12 15												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 45												
12 45-1 00												
1 00-1 15												
1 15-1 30												
1 30-1 45												
1 45-2 00												
2 00-2 15												
2 15-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00												
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30												
3 30-3 45												
3 45-4 00												
4 00-4 15	16	5	2	2	9	15	1	38	7	16	35	13
4 15-4 30	16	5	1	4	5	14		31	7	25	29	11
4 30-4 45	20	13		2	4	21	4	31	8	28	35	11
4 45-5 00	18	5		5	7	16	2	44	4	22	30	12
5 00-5 15	20	5	1	3	5	24	7	39	2	24	31	11
5 15-5 30	14	4	5	2	3	22	2	37	12	19	29	12
5 30-5 45	22	10	2	1	8	18	2	29	10	26	20	17
5 45-6 00	11	3		2	9	19	4	46	5	25	33	9
6 00-6 15												
6 15-6 30												
6 30-6 45												
6 45-7 00												
TOTAL	164	59	16	43	77	236	26	433	75	265	395	142
AM PK HR	16	5	3	6	13	45		82	8	43	95	19
MID PK HR												
PM PK HR	72	27	6	12	19	83	15	151	26	93	125	46
	_			-	-		-					



LOCATION DATE RECORDER NOTES MLK Ave & Kyle 3/24/2015 pm & 03/25/2015 am Courtney Small

		S/B			N/B			W/B			E/B	
LOCATION												
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
7 00-7 15	1	2		2	1	1	2	10			9	3
7 15-7 30		1	1	3	1		2	17			14	
7 30-7 45				2	3	1	2	30			19	2
7 45-8 00	1	3		2		1	2	23	1		28	1
8 00-8 15		5	1	1	2		2	14	2		23	2
8 15-8 30		1	1	1		1	1	25			16	
8 30-8 45		1		1		2	2	25			19	2
8 45-9 00		2					1	21			19	4
9 00-9 15										-		
9 15-9 30												
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30												
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45 12 00												
12 00 12 15												
12 00-12 13												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 45												
12 45-1 00												
1 00-1 15												
1 15-1 30												
1 30-1 45												
1 45-2 00												
2 00-2 15												
2 15-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00												
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30												
3 30-3 45												
3 45-4 00												
4 00-4 15		2		4	6	2	5	29		1	37	2
4 15-4 30		3	2	4	2	6	3	33	1	1	27	2
4 30-4 45	2	1		3	4	8	4	24	3	3	26	3
4 45-5 00	1	3		1	2	5	3	40	3	3	47	3
5 00-5 15		3	1	3	5	5	2	33	1	1	43	1
5 15-5 30		3		5	5	3	1	28	2	2	49	4
5 30-5 45	2	1		6	1	3	2	28		3	43	4
5 45-6 00	5	4		5	5	7	3	28		2	37	1
6 00-6 15	1	7		3	3	7	6	25	2		32	5
6 15-6 30												
6 30-6 45												
6 45-7 00												
TOTAL	13	42	6	46	40	52	43	433	15	16	488	39
AM PK HR	1	9	2	6	5	3	7	92	3		86	5
MID PK HR												
PM PK HR	3	10	1	15	13	16	8	129	6	9	182	12



LOCATION DATE RECORDER Bethel Avenue & McConnell Street

			North			NOTES						
		S/B			N/B			W/B			E/B	
LOCATION			-		-		_					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
7 00-7 15	2	3		1	7	5	4	6	4	1	1	
7 15-7 30		9	1	2	9	6	6	6	3	1	2	
7 30-7 45	1	8	1	1	13	6	4	12	4	2	11	
7 45-8 00	4	10		3	8	8	6	3	2		4	
8 00-8 15	2	9	1	1	12	3	8	1	2	1	4	2
8 15-8 30	1	5	-		11	3	5	4	4	1	1	2
8 30-8 45	6	9	3		17	2	2	1	4		6	1
8 45-9 00	2	17			13	3	7	1	2		1	
9 00-9 15												
9 15-9 30												
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30								ļ				
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45-12 00												
12 00-12 15												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 45												
12 45-1 00												
1 00-1 15												
1 15-1 30											-	
1 30-1 45												
1 45-2 00											-	
2 00-2 15											-	
2 15-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00		<u> </u>								l	<u> </u>	
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30		<u> </u>									<u> </u>	
3 30-3 45												
3 45-4 00	0	10		2	10	10	0	1	7	4		4
4 00-4 15	0 10	12	2	2 1	10	10	9	0	10	4	2	4
4 10-4 30	12	22	2	1	12	9	0	9	10	3	5	1
4 30-4 45	13	2/	2	1	13	15	15	5	14	1	5	1
4 40-0 00	5 14	1/	2	2 1	22	10	13	Z	1/	D 1	5	2
5 00-5 15	14	18	0	1	22	19	13	4	10	1	10	<u></u> ৩
5 10-5 30	4	11	2 4	Ö	15	10	13	9	ŏ	2	10	× 1
5 45 6 00	15	24	1	7	12	12		۲ ۲	0 7	1	9	7
5 45-6 UU	2	/	1	/	11	12	Э	15	/	2	17	/
0 UU-0 15												
0 10-0 30												
0 30-0 45												
0 40-7 UU	01	209	16	20	215	122	107	01	106	25	95	22
	91	208	01	∠ŏ 7	210	133	127	01	100	25	00	32
	(30	3		42	23	Z4	22	11	4	Z1	2



36

73

MID PK HR PM PK HR

10

6

72

54

54

20

49

14

9

22



LOCATION DATE RECORDER NOTES Bethel & South Kyle 03/24/2015 pm & 03/25/2015 am Drew Randolph

		S/B			N/B			W/B		E/B		
LOCATION												
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
7 00-7 15	1		1					4	2			
7 15-7 30								5	3		6	
7 30-7 45	4		1					11	2	1	8	
7 45-8 00	5							11	3		1	
8 00-8 15	4							3	1	1	5	
8 15-8 30	3							7			5	
8 30-8 45	3							2			4	
8 45-9 00	1										1	
9 00-9 15				-								
9 15-9 30				-								
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30												
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45-12 00												
12 00-12 15												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 45												
12 30-12 45												
1 00 1 15												
1 15 1 20												
1 20 1 45												
1 45 2 00												
1 45-2 00												
2 15 2 20												
2 10-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00												
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30												
3 30-3 45												
3 45-4 00	7	4	4					2	4		0	
4 00-4 15	1	1	1					2	4		8	
4 15-4 30	6		4		4			8	5		D	
4 30-4 45	4		1		1			4	2	4	i C	
4 45-5 00	ð 2		0					2 F	2	1	0 C	
5 00-5 15	3		2					5	3		0	
5 15-5 30	3							11	2	1	5	
5 30-5 45	8							5	(6	
5 45-6 00	5							6	4	2	5	
6 00-6 15												
6 15-6 30												
6 30-6 45								ļ				
6 45-7 00												
TOTAL	65	1	6		1			86	40	6	72	
AM PK HR	16		1					32	6	2	19	
MID PK HR								-				
PM PK HR	19	1	2		1		I	27	16	3	22	





LOCATION DATE RECORDER NOTES McConnell Avenue & Kenner Avenue 3/24/15 - 3/25/15 Nathan Quinn Pedestrians and turns onto Kenner only

		S/B			N/B			W/B			E/B	
LOCATION												
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
7 00-7 15			1	1								
7 15-7 30				1								
7 30-7 45			1	1								
7 45-8 00												
8 00-8 15												
8 15-8 30			1									
8 30-8 45				1								
8 45-9 00			3	1								
9 00-9 15												
9 15-9 30												
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30												
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45-12 00												
12 00-12 15												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 43												
12 45-1 00												
1 15-1 30												
1 30-1 45												
1 45-2 00												
2 00-2 15												
2 15-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00												
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30												
3 30-3 45												
3 45-4 00												
4 00-4 15			2									
4 15-4 30				1						-		
4 30-4 45			3							-		
4 45-5 00			1	2								
5 00-5 15			1	1								
5 15-5 30				1								
5 30-5 45				1								
5 45-6 00				2								
6 00-6 15												
6 15-6 30												
6 30-6 45												
6 45-7 00												
TOTAL			13	13								
AM PK HR			4	2								
MID PK HR												





LOCATION
DATE
RECORDER
NOTES

S Kyle Street & Kenner Avenue 3/24/15 - 3/25/15 Drew Randolph urns rom Kenner only

		S/B			N/B			W/B			E/B	
LOCATION												
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
7 00-7 15									1			
7 15-7 30												
7 30-7 45							1		2			
7 45-8 00							2					
8 00-8 15												
8 15-8 30									1			
8 30-8 45							2		1			
8 45-9 00												
9 00-9 15												
9 15-9 30												
9 30-9 45												
9 45-10 00												
10 00-10 15												
10 15-10 30												
10 30-10 45												
10 45-11 00												
11 00-11 15												
11 15-11 30												
11 30-11 45												
11 45-12 00												
12 00-12 15												
12 15-12 30												
12 30-12 45												
12 45-1 00												ļ
1 00-1 15												ļ
1 15-1 30												ļ
1 30-1 45												
1 45-2 00												ļ
2 00-2 15												ļ
2 15-2 30												
2 30-2 45												
2 45-3 00												
3 00-3 15												
3 15-3 30												
3 30-3 45			-									
3 45-4 00			-						5			
4 15 4 30			<u> </u>						7			
4 30-4 45									-+			
4 45-5 00							1		3			
5 00-5 15	l						· ·		6			
5 15-5 30							1		3			
5 30-5 45							2		2			
5 45-6 00	l						1		3			
6 00-6 15			†									
6 15-6 30			†									
6 30-6 45	l											
6 45-7 00	l											
ΤΟΤΑΙ							10		32			
AM PK HR							3		3			<u> </u>
MID PK HR			†									
PM PK HR	l						4		14			
			1									1



APPENDIX C TDOT COUNT DATA



2 ung St Under Map Satellite	Sta	ation Information
C) at outware 7	Station	000390
V MONT	Route	03788
	Location VI	NE AVE-KNOXVILLE
ANNAN ANNA	County	Knox
alla har atta	2013	3408
Not 2 man	2012	3280
3	2011	3390
2	2010	5031
na hre in hard he	2009	5185
Motore 2 E Kenne	2008	4412
	2007	4180
	2006	4118
Dr. Walter Hardy Park	2005	4368
	2004	4491
a start when a	2003	4041
and Berlin	2002	4243
V LOA	2001	4319
1001 • J	2000	4574
	1999	4517
3	1998	4351
ue Ave Santon Ave	1997	4816
Beck Cultural	1996	5208
Exchange Center	1995	5000
Dandridge Ave	1994	4975
16 E . 8	1993	5213



APPENDIX D CAPACITY ANALYSES



EXISTING CONDITIONS AM PEAK HOUR



1: Ben Hur Ave &	MLN, Jr.	Ave						Externing Av
	-+	2	1	+	1	1		
Wovement	EBT	EBR	WBL.	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	1	1.1	1	+	1	1		
Volume (vph)	112	15	. 4	110	24	11		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Fit	0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85		
Fit Protected	1.00		0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1831		1770	1863	1770	1583		
Fit Permitted	1.00		0.66	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1831		1225	1863	1770	1583		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.82	0.75	0.25	0.76	0.75	0.69		
Adi, Flow (vph)	137	20	16	145	32	16		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	4	0	0	0	0	15		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	153	0	16	145	32	1		
Tum Type	NA	5 - C-4	Perm	NA	Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	2456			2456	3			
Permitted Phases	140.00		2456		1.1.1	3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	50.8		50.8	50.8	4.2	42		
Effective Green, g (s)	50.8		50.8	50.8	4.2	4.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.60		0.60	0.60	0.05	0.05		
Clearance Time (s)					6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		
Lane Gro Cao (voh)	1094		732	1113	87	78		
via Ratio Prot	0.08		-	0.08	e0.02			
vis Ratio Perm	-		0.01			0.00		
vic Ratio	0.14		0.02	0.13	0.37	0.01		
Uniform Delay, d1	7.5		7.0	7.5	39.1	38.4		
Progression Factor	1.10		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1		0.0	0.1	2.6	0.5		
Delay (s)	8.5		7.0	7.5	41.7	38.5		
Level of Service	A		A	A	D	D		
Approach Delay (s)	83			7.5	40.7			
Approach LOS	A			A	D			
Intersection Summary			-			and the second	0	
HCM 2000 Control Delay	243.125		12.2	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	8	-
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.18					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	for the second		85.0	3	um of los	t time (s)	36.0	
Intersection Capacity Utila	ation		20.1%	K	U Level	of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing Conditions 1: Ben Hur Ave & MLK, Jr, Ave Existing AM

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



20 - C - 20 Galar - Alar - S			+	•	~	1		2.2
	-	-						
Novement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	1	+	+		1			
Volume (vph)	19	124	120	. 14	6	34		
ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Fit	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.85		
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prof)	1770	1863	1863	1583	1770	1583		
Fit Permitted	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1248	1863	1863	1583	1770	1583		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.79	0.84	0.88	0.70	0.50	0.61		
Adi, Flow (vph)	24	148	136	20	12	56		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	. 8	0	53		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	148	136	12	12	3		
Tum Type	Perm	NA	NA	Prot	Prot	Prot		
Protected Phases		2356	2356	2356	4	4		
Permitted Phases	2356					4		
Actuated Green, G (s)	50.1	50.1	50.1	50.1	4.9	4.9		
Effective Green, q (s)	50.1	50.1	50.1	50.1	4.9	4.9		
Actuated o/C Ratio	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.06	0.06		
Clearance Time (s)					6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		
Lane Gro Cao (voh)	735	1098	1098	-933	102	91		
via Ratio Prot		e0.08	0.07	0.01	e0.01	0.00		
vis Ratio Perm	0.02			-				
vic Ratio	0.03	0.13	0.12	0.01	0.12	0.04		
Uniform Delay, d1	7.8	7.8	7.7	7.2	38.0	37.8		
Progression Factor	1.01	1.12	0.57	0.41	1.00	1.00		
incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.2		
Delay (a)	7.4	6.8	44	2.0	38.5	38.0		
Level of Service	A	A	4	A	D	D		
Approach Delay (s)		84	42		38.1	-		
Approach LOS		A	A		D			
Intersection Summary						ana ana an	5 (SO	
HCM 2000 Control Delay	142632		12.0	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	8	
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.15					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	11 C		85.0		um of lost	time (s)	38.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		21.1%	K	CU Level (of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	A. 24.		15			1317432112		
Critical Lana Group								

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing Conditions

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



	۶	+	•	¥	Ļ	×	<	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۳.	¢Î		<u>۲</u>	ef 👘			\$			स ी	1
Volume (vph)	43	95	19	0	82	8	6	13	45	16	5	3
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.97			0.99			0.91			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00			1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1813			1839			1691			1803	1583
Flt Permitted	0.67	1.00			1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1244	1813			1839			1691			1803	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.85	0.79	1.00	0.64	0.67	0.50	0.65	0.80	0.67	0.42	0.75
Adj. Flow (vph)	48	112	24	0	128	12	12	20	56	24	12	4
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	49	0	0	0	4
Lane Group Flow (vph)	48	130	0	0	137	0	0	39	0	0	36	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	234		1	234		5	5		6	6	
Permitted Phases	234			234								6
Actuated Green, G (s)	47.5	41.5			41.5			6.0			7.5	7.5
Effective Green, g (s)	47.5	41.5			41.5			6.0			7.5	7.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.49			0.49			0.07			0.09	0.09
Clearance Time (s)	6.0							6.0			6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0							3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	732	885			897			119			159	139
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.07			c0.07			c0.02			c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03											0.00
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.15			0.15			0.33			0.23	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1	8.6	12.0			12.0			37.6			36.1	35.3
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			0.64			1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.1			0.1			1.6			0.7	0.0
Delay (s)	8.6	12.1			7.8			39.2			36.8	35.3
Level of Service	А	В			А			D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		11.2			7.8			39.2			36.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.21									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			85.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			36.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		28.0%	10	CU Level	of Service	;		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Existing Conditions Existing AM

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

Existing Conditions

3: McConnell Stree	et/McCa	lla Ave	enue 8	، MLK,	Jr. Ave	Э					Exis	ting AM
	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	•	←	•	•	Ť	1	\	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	4Î		۲	4Î			\$			र्भ	1
Volume (vph)	43	95	19	0	82	8	6	13	45	16	5	3
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.97			0.99			0.91			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00			1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1813			1839			1691			1803	1583
Flt Permitted	0.67	1.00			1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1244	1813			1839			1691			1803	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.85	0.79	1.00	0.64	0.67	0.50	0.65	0.80	0.67	0.42	0.75
Adj. Flow (vph)	48	112	24	0	128	12	12	20	56	24	12	4
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	49	0	0	0	4
Lane Group Flow (vph)	48	130	0	0	137	0	0	39	0	0	36	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	234		1	234		5	5		6	6	
Permitted Phases	234			234								6
Actuated Green, G (s)	47.5	41.5			41.5			6.0			7.5	7.5
Effective Green, g (s)	47.5	41.5			41.5			6.0			7.5	7.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.49			0.49			0.07			0.09	0.09
Clearance Time (s)	6.0							6.0			6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0							3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	732	885			897			119			159	139
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.07			c0.07			c0.02			c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03											0.00
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.15			0.15			0.33			0.23	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1	8.6	12.0			12.0			37.6			36.1	35.3
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			0.64			1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.1			0.1			1.6			0.7	0.0
Delay (s)	8.6	12.1			7.8			39.2			36.8	35.3
Level of Service	Α	В			А			D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		11.2			7.8			39.2			36.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.21									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			85.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			36.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		28.0%	IC	CU Level	of Service	:		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

Existing Conditions

3: McConnell Stree	et/McCa	lla Ave	enue 8	، MLK,	Jr. Ave	Э					Exis	ting AM
	٦	-	\mathbf{i}	4	+	•	1	Ť	۲	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	4Î		۲	4Î			\$			र्भ	1
Volume (vph)	43	95	19	0	82	8	6	13	45	16	5	3
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.97			0.99			0.91			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00			1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1813			1839			1691			1803	1583
Flt Permitted	0.67	1.00			1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1244	1813			1839			1691			1803	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.85	0.79	1.00	0.64	0.67	0.50	0.65	0.80	0.67	0.42	0.75
Adj. Flow (vph)	48	112	24	0	128	12	12	20	56	24	12	4
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	49	0	0	0	4
Lane Group Flow (vph)	48	130	0	0	137	0	0	39	0	0	36	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	234		1	234		5	5		6	6	
Permitted Phases	234			234								6
Actuated Green, G (s)	47.5	41.5			41.5			6.0			7.5	7.5
Effective Green, g (s)	47.5	41.5			41.5			6.0			7.5	7.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.49			0.49			0.07			0.09	0.09
Clearance Time (s)	6.0							6.0			6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0							3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	732	885			897			119			159	139
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.07			c0.07			c0.02			c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03											0.00
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.15			0.15			0.33			0.23	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1	8.6	12.0			12.0			37.6			36.1	35.3
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			0.64			1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.1			0.1			1.6			0.7	0.0
Delay (s)	8.6	12.1			7.8			39.2			36.8	35.3
Level of Service	А	В			А			D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		11.2			7.8			39.2			36.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.21									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			85.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			36.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		28.0%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Av

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM 2010 AWSC 5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Existing Conditions Existing AM

Intersection					
Intersection Delay, s/veh					
Intersection LOS					
Movement	SBH	SRI	SBT	SBD	
Vol. veh/h	0	7	36	3	
Peak Hour Factor	1 00	0 44	0.90	0.75	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	16	40	4	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	
Annroach		SR			
Opposing Approach		NR			
Opposing Lanes		1			
Conflicting Approach Left		WB			
Conflicting Lanes Left		1			
Conflicting Approach Right		EB			
Conflicting Lanes Right		1			
HCM Control Delay		7.7			
HCM LOS		А			

Lane

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Bethel Ave & S Kyle St

Existing Conditions
Existing AM

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh	1.8							
Movement	EBL	EBT			WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Vol. veh/h	2	20			30	9	13	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0			0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free			Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None			-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-			-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	ŧ -	0			0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0			0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	50	59			73	50	80	25
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2			2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	4	34			41	18	16	4
Major/Minor	Moio-1				Acier?		Minera	
	Major I	-		N	najor2		IVIIIIOr2	F 2
Conflicting Flow All	59	0			-	0	92	50
Stage 1	-	-			-	-	50	-
Stage 2	-	-			-	-	42	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-			-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-			-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-			-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-			-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1545	-			-	-	908	1018
Stage 1	-	-			-	-	972	-
Stage 2	-	-			-	-	980	-
Platoon blocked, %		-			-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1545	-			-	-	905	1018
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-			-	-	905	-
Stage 1	-	-			-	-	972	-
Stage 2	-	-			-	-	977	-
Approach	EB				WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.8				0		9	
HCM LOS	2.0				-		A	
Minor Long Major Munt	ED I	гот						
	EBL	ERI	WRI	WRK 2RFUI				
Capacity (veh/h)	1545	-	-	- 925				

Capacity (veh/h)	1545	-	-	- 925	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	-	-	- 0.022	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	- 9	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	- A	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	- 0.1	

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM 2010 TWSC 8: S Kyle St & Kenner Ave

Existing Co	nditions
	Existing AM

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	2						
Movement				NDT	NDD	CDI	СДТ
	VVDL	WDR		IND I	NDR		SDI
Vol, ven/n	3	2		11	0	0	22
Conflicting Peas, #/nr	0	0		0	- 0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	38	38		92	100	100	70
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	8	5		12	0	0	31
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major?	
		10			0	101012	0
	43	12		0	U	12	U
Stage 1	12	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	31	-		-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22		-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-		-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-		-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318		-	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	968	1069		-	-	1607	-
Stage 1	1011	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	992	-		-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	968	1069		-	-	1607	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	968	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1011	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	992	-		-	-	-	-
Approach	\//D			ND		CD	
Approach	WD					<u></u>	
HCM Control Delay, S	8.0			0		0	
HCM LOS	A						
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT			
Capacity (veh/h)		- 1006	1607	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		- 0.013	-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		- 86	0				
HCM Lang LOS	-	0.0 	Δ				
	-	- A	А	-			

А

0

-

0

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)



EXISTING CONDITIONS PM PEAK HOUR



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Ben Hur Ave & MLK, Jr. Ave

Existing Conditions Existing PM

	-	\rightarrow	•	-	1	1		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	4Î		ľ	†	ľ	1		
Volume (vph)	250	41	12	188	47	22		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Frt	0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85		
Flt Protected	1.00		0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1824		1770	1863	1770	1583		
Flt Permitted	1.00		0.52	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1824		964	1863	1770	1583		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.82	0.75	0.25	0.76	0.75	0.69		
Adj. Flow (vph)	305	55	48	247	63	32		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	4	0	0	0	0	30		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	356	0	48	247	63	2		
Turn Type	NA		Perm	NA	Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	2456			2456	3			
Permitted Phases			2456			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	64.8		64.8	64.8	7.6	7.6		
Effective Green, g (s)	64.8		64.8	64.8	7.6	7.6		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.63		0.63	0.63	0.07	0.07		
Clearance Time (s)					6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1155		610	1180	131	117		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.19			0.13	c0.04			
v/s Ratio Perm			0.05			0.00		
v/c Ratio	0.31		0.08	0.21	0.48	0.02		
Uniform Delay, d1	8.5		7.2	7.9	45.5	43.9		
Progression Factor	0.73		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2		0.1	0.1	2.8	0.1		
Delay (s)	6.3		7.3	8.0	48.2	44.0		
Level of Service	А		А	А	D	D		
Approach Delay (s)	6.3			7.9	46.8			
Approach LOS	А			А	D			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			12.1	H	CM 2000	Level of Servio	ce	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.36					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			102.3	S	um of lost	t time (s)		
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		29.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service		
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

2: MLK, Jr. Ave & C	Dlive St	reet						Existing PM
	٦	→	+	×	1	4		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	۲	1	1	1	۲	1		
Volume (vph)	50	244	227	32	27	65		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Frt	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.85		
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1863	1583	1770	1583		
Flt Permitted	0.60	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1117	1863	1863	1583	1770	1583		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.79	0.84	0.88	0.70	0.50	0.61		
Adj. Flow (vph)	63	290	258	46	54	107		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	12	0	98		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	63	290	258	34	54	9		
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Prot	Prot	Prot		
Protected Phases		2356	2356	2356	4	4		
Permitted Phases	2356					4		
Actuated Green, G (s)	69.7	69.7	69.7	69.7	8.7	8.7		
Effective Green, g (s)	69.7	69.7	69.7	69.7	8.7	8.7		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.09	0.09		
Clearance Time (s)					6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	761	1269	1269	1078	150	134		
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.16	0.14	0.02	c0.03	0.01		
v/s Ratio Perm	0.06							
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.23	0.20	0.03	0.36	0.07		
Uniform Delay, d1	5.5	6.2	6.0	5.3	44.2	43.1		
Progression Factor	0.87	0.82	0.92	1.60	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.5	0.2		
Delay (s)	4.8	5.2	5.6	8.5	45.6	43.3		
Level of Service	А	А	А	А	D	D		
Approach Delay (s)		5.1	6.0		44.1			
Approach LOS		А	А		D			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			13.1	Н	ICM 2000	Level of Servi	ice B	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.29					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			102.3	S	um of lost	t time (s)	36.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		33.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	А	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: MLK, Jr. Ave & Olive Street

Existing Conditions Existing PM

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

	۶	+	•	4	Ļ	×	•	1	۲	*	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	el el		ľ	4Î			\$			ę	1
Volume (vph)	93	125	46	15	151	26	12	19	83	72	27	6
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.96		1.00	0.98			0.91			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1784		1770	1823			1683			1806	1583
Flt Permitted	0.52	1.00		0.60	1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	963	1784		1118	1823			1683			1806	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.85	0.79	1.00	0.64	0.67	0.50	0.65	0.80	0.67	0.42	0.75
Adj. Flow (vph)	103	147	58	15	236	39	24	29	104	107	64	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	11	0	0	5	0	0	53	0	0	0	7
Lane Group Flow (vph)	103	194	0	15	270	0	0	104	0	0	171	1
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	234		1	234		5	5		6	6	
Permitted Phases	234			234								6
Actuated Green, G (s)	46.7	40.8		46.7	40.8			11.2			14.4	14.4
Effective Green, g (s)	46.7	40.8		46.7	40.8			11.2			14.4	14.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.46	0.40		0.46	0.40			0.11			0.14	0.14
Clearance Time (s)	6.0			6.0				6.0			6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0			3.0				3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	486	711		547	727			184			254	222
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.01	0.11		0.00	c0.15			c0.06			c0.09	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.08			0.01								0.00
v/c Ratio	0.21	0.27		0.03	0.37			0.57			0.67	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	19.9	20.7		16.4	21.7			43.3			41.7	37.8
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.79	0.70			1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.2		0.0	0.3			4.0			6.9	0.0
Delay (s)	20.1	21.0		12.9	15.6			47.2			48.6	37.8
Level of Service	С	С		В	В			D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		20.7			15.5			47.2			48.1	
Approach LOS		С			В			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			28.8	Н	ICM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	ity ratio		0.49									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			102.3	S	um of lost	t time (s)			36.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		43.1%	IC	CU Level of	of Service	:		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Existing Conditions Existing PM

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

2.9

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh

Movement Vol, veh/h

A١	'e										EXISU
BL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	ļ	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
9	165	11	10	125	9		12	16	21	3	10
0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
~ ~	Froo	Free	Free	Free	Free	(Cton	Cton	Cton	Cton	Cton

Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	60	-	-	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	77	63	88	77	38	75	42	75	25	45	50
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	9	214	17	11	162	24	16	38	28	12	22	2

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	186	0	0	232	0	0	450	450	116	341	447	174
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	241	241	-	197	197	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	209	209	-	144	250	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.33	6.53	6.93	7.33	6.53	6.23
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.53	5.53	-	6.13	5.53	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.13	5.53	-	6.53	5.53	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.519	4.019	3.319	3.519	4.019	3.319
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1388	-	-	1333	-	-	506	504	915	601	506	869
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	742	706	-	804	737	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	792	729	-	845	699	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1388	-	-	1333	-	-	482	497	915	542	499	869
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	482	497	-	542	499	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	737	701	-	799	731	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	760	723	-	770	694	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3	0.4	12.2	12.4
HCM LOS			В	В

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	585	1388	-	-	1333	-	-	525
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.14	0.006	-	-	0.009	-	-	0.069
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.2	7.6	-	-	7.7	-	-	12.4
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	-	-	Α	-	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.2

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

Transportation Consultants, LLC Synchro 8 Report

SBR

1

Existing Conditions Existing PM الملموم ممالم

April 2015

Existing Conditions Existing PM

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.3											
Intersection LOS	А											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Vol. veh/h	0	9	22	14	0	54	20	49	0	10	72	54
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	0.50	0.48	0.25	1.00	0.75	0.46	0.69	1.00	0.58	0.81	0.72
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	18	46	56	0	72	43	71	0	17	89	75
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		ED				WD						
Approach		EB				WB				INB		
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes												
Conflicting Approach Leit		SB 1				INB 1				EB 1		
Conflicting Approach Dight		I ND				CD				1 \//D		
Conflicting Approach Right		1				3D 1				1		
HCM Control Delay		8.9				9.4				9.2		
HCMLOS		0.7 A				Α				Α		
Lane		NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1							
Vol Left, %		7%	20%	44%	31%							
Vol Thru, %		53%	49%	16%	63%							
Vol Right, %		40%	31%	40%	5%							
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
Traffic Vol by Lane		136	45	123	115							
LT Vol		10	9	54	36							
Through Vol		72	22	20	73							
RT Vol		54	14	49	6							
Lane Flow Rate		181	120	186	171							
Geometry Grp		1	1	1	1							
Degree of Util (X)		0.235	0.162	0.247	0.234							
Departure Headway (Hd)		4.663	4.864	4.772	4.92							
Convergence, Y/N		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Cap Camilao Timo		764	2 0 2 7	740	725							
Service Time		2.728	2.937	2.839	2.987							
		0.237	0.104	0.249	0.230							
HCM Lang LOS		9.Z	ö.9	9.4 A	9.5 A							
HCM 95th-tile O		00	A 0.6	A 1	00							
		U. 7	0.0		U. /							

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



HCM 2010 AWSC 5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Existing Conditions Existing PM

SRU	SRI	SBT	SBR
0	36	73	6
1.00	0.44	0.90	0.75
2	2	2	2
0	82	81	8
0	0	1	0
	SB		
	NB		
	1		
	WB		
	1		
	EB		
	1		
	9.5		
	SBU 0 1.00 2 0 0	SBU SBL 0 36 1.00 0.44 2 2 0 82 0 0 U SB NB 1 WB 1 EB 1 9.5 9.5	SBU SBL SBT 0 36 73 1.00 0.44 0.90 2 2 2 0 82 81 0 0 1 SB NB 1 1 WB 1 EB 1 9.5

Lane

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Bethel Ave & S Kyle St

Existing	Conditions
	Existing PM

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh	2.9							
2 .								
Movement	EDI	FRT			\//RT	W/RD	CDI	CDD
	EDL 2	10			22	WDR	3DL	JDR 2
Vol, ven/n	2	18			22	9	18	3
Conflicting Peas, #/nr	0	0			U	0	U Ctorr	U Ctor
Sign Control	Free	Free			Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None			-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-			-	-	0	-
Ven in Median Storage, #	-	0			0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0			0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	50	59			/3	50	80	25
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2			2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	4	31			30	18	22	12
Major/Minor	Major1				Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	48	0				0	78	39
Stage 1	-	-				-	39	-
Stage 2	-	-			-	-	39	-
Critical Hdwy	4 12				-	-	6 42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-			-	-	5 42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-				-	-	5 42	
Follow-up Hdwy	2 218	-				-	3 518	3 318
Pot Can-1 Maneuver	1559						925	1033
Stane 1	1557	_				_	083	1055
Stage 2	_					_	983	_
Platoon blocked %						-	705	
Mov Can-1 Maneuver	1550	_				_	922	1033
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	1557	-				_	022	1000
Stand 1	-	-			-	-	002	-
Stage 7	-	-			-	-	903	-
Slage Z	-	-			-	-	700	-
Approach	EB				WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.8				0		8.9	
HCM LOS							А	
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR SBI	n1			
Canacity (veh/h)	1550		1101		58			
HCM Lang V/C Datio	0.002	-	-	- 9	26			
HCM Control Doloy (c)	0.003	-	-	- 0.0	20			
HCM Long LOC	1.3	0	-	- 6	D.7 A			
	A	A	-		A 1			
HCIVI YSIN %IIIE Q(VEN)	0	-	-	- (J. I			

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



HCM 2010 TWSC 8: S Kyle St & Kenner Ave

Existing Conditions Existing PM

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	3.5						
int bold y, siven	0.0						
	MIDI			NDT	NDD	0.01	ODT
Movement	WBL	WBR		NRT	NRK	SBL	SBT
Vol, veh/h	2	13		12	0	0	31
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	÷ 0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	38	38		92	100	100	70
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	5	34		13	0	0	44
Maior/Minor	Minor1			Maior1		Maior2	
Conflicting Flow All	57	13		0	0	13	0
Stage 1	13	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	44	_		-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22			-	4 12	
Critical Hdwy Sta 1	5.42			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 7	5.42	-		-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3 518	3 318		-	-	2 218	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	950	1067		-		1606	
Stage 1	1010	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	978	-		-	-	-	
Platoon blocked. %				-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	950	1067		-	-	1606	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	950	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1010	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	978	-		-	-	-	-
Oldgo 2	,,,0						
Approach						00	
Approach	WB			NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.6			0		0	
HCM LOS	A						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 1050	1606	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.038	-	-			

HCM Control Delay (s) 8.6 0 ---HCM Lane LOS Α А ---HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0.1 0 ---

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



PROJECTED CONDITIONS AM PEAK HOUR



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Ben Hur Ave & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

	-	7	1	+	1	1		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	1	- 76	3	+	1	1		
Volume (vph)	112	15	- 4	110	24	11		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lane Util, Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Frt	89.0		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85		
Fit Protected	1.00		0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prof)	1829		1770	1863	1770	1583		
Fit Permitted	1.00		0.67	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1829		1240	1863	1770	1583		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.80	0.80	0.88	0.80	0.80		
Adi, Flow (vph)	124	19	5	125	30	14		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	3	0	0	0	0	13		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	140	0	5	125	30	1		
Tum Type	NA		Perm	NA	Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	2456			2456	3			
Permitted Phases	1.000		2456		1.5	3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	53.9		53.9	53.9	4.1	4.1		
Effective Green, g (s)	53.9		53.9	53.9	4.1	4.1		
Actuated o/C Ratio	0.55		0.55	0.55	0.04	0.04		
Clearance Time (s)					6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		
Lane Gro Cap (vph)	1012		686	1030	74	66		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.08			0.07	c0.02			
v/s Ratio Perm			0.00			0.00		
vic Ratio	0.14		0.01	0.12	0.41	0.01		
Uniform Delay, d1	10.5		9.8	10.4	45.5	44.7		
Progression Factor	1.13		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1		0.0	0.1	3.6	0.1		
Delay (s)	11.9		9.8	10.5	49.1	44.8		
Level of Service	в		A	В	D	D		
Approach Delay (s)	11.9			10.4	47.7			
Approach LOS	8			8	D			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			16.3	н	CM 2000	Level of Service	B	
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.16					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	1. Star		97.4	S	um of los	t time (s)	42.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		20.1%	K	U Level	of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM	Signalized	Intersection	Capacity	Analysis	
2: ML	K. Jr. Ave	& Olive Stree	et	2.332,335	

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

	٠	-	1	1	+	*	1	t	1	4	ţ	~
Movement	EBL,	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WER	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	4	- C.	٦	1.			4	1		4	1
Volume (vph)	28	150	0	6	128	14	0	9	17	6	3	37
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.98			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863		1770	1834			1863	1583		1797	1583
Fit Permitted	0.64	1.00		0.65	1.00			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1201	1863	51,011	1208	1834	10000		1863	1583	in a sec	1797	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.80	0.87	0.92	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.80	0.92	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	35	172	0	7	160	18	0	10	18	8	3	41
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	17	0	0	40
Lane Group Flow (vph)	35	172	0	7	176	0	0	10	1	0	11	1
Tum Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA			NA	Perm	Split	NA	custom
Protected Phases		2356			2356			8		4	4	4
Permitted Phases	2356			2356			8		8			- 4
Actuated Green, G (s)	48.7	48.7		48.7	48.7			3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3
Effective Green, g (s)	48.7	48.7		48.7	48.7			3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.50		0.50	0.50			0.03	0.03		0.03	0.03
Clearance Time (s)								6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	600	931		604	917			63	53		60	53
v/s Ratio Prot		0.09			c0.10			c0.01			c0.01	0.00
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03			0.01					0.00			
w/c Ratio	0.06	0.18		0.01	0.19			0.16	0.01		0.18	0.03
Uniform Delay, d1	12.5	13.4		12.2	13.5			45.7	45.5		45.7	45.5
Progression Factor	0.73	0.76		0.49	0.45			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.1			1.2	0.1		1.5	0.2
Delay (s)	9.2	10.3		6.0	6.2			46.9	45.6		47.2	45.7
Level of Service	A	в		A	A			D	D		D	D
Approach Delay (s)		10.1			6.2			46.0			46.0	
Approach LOS		В			A			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.7	ł	HCM 2000	Level of 1	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.19									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	1. Sec		97.4	1	Sum of los	t time (s)			42.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	tation		31.7%)	CU Level	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

		-+	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	4	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	3	Te.		٦	3.			4			4	1
Volume (vph)	52	95	22	6	88	8	16	22	62	16	8	6
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0
Lane Util, Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00
Fit	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.99			0.92			1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1813		1770	1838			1698			1805	1583
Fit Permitted	0.68	1.00		0.67	1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1276	1813		1255	1838			1698			1805	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.83	0.89	0.96	0.80	0.86	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.90	0.80	0.80
Adj. Flow (vph)	63	107	23	8	102	10	20	28	72	18	10	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	34	0	0	0	7
Lane Group Flow (vph)	63	125	0	8	109	0	0	86	0	0	28	1
Tum Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	234		1	234		5	5		6	6	
Permitted Phases	234	- Good		234	1.00			1				6
Actuated Green, G (s)	40.1	34.0		40.1	34.0			10.6			7.4	7.4
Effective Green, g (s)	40.1	34.0		40.1	34.0			10.6			7.4	7.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.41	0.35		0.41	0.35			0.11			0.08	0.08
Clearance Time (s)	6.0			6.0				6.0			6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0			3.0				3.0	2		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	556	632		548	641			184			137	120
vis Ratio Prot	c0.01	c0.07		0.00	0.06			00.05			c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.01								0.00
wic Ratio	0.11	0.20		0.01	0.17			0.47			0.20	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	17.7	22.2		17.0	21.9			40.8			42.2	41.6
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.01	0.83			1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	0.2		0.0	0.1			1.9			0.7	0.0
Delay (s)	17.8	22.3		17.2	18.4			42.6			43.0	41.6
Level of Service	8	C		В	В			D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		20.8			18.4			42.6			42.7	
Approach LOS		C			B			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			27.5	н	CM 2000	Level of 1	Service		C			
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.25									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			97.4	S	um of los	t time (s)			42.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		32.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	1		A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Processed AM

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM 2010 TWSC 4: S Kyle St & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected	Conditions
1912	Proposed AM

Intersection	1000												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.7												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR		NBL	NBT	NBR	581	SBT	SBR
Val, veh/h	0	93	8	11	112	3		17	9	11	1	10	2
Conflicting Peds, #hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized			None		•	None			,	None	-		None
Storage Length	60	1.4		115						-		+	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0			0				0	1		0	
Grade, %		0			0				0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	75	93	75	67	81	50		63	65	80	38	83	25
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	100	11	16	138	6		27	14	14	3	12	8
MaineMinne	Maint	_		Major				View1			Mircel		
Confiction Dow MI	144	0	0	111	0	ń	_	280	262	66	201	285	141
Stars 1	144					0		105	105	00	174	174	
Change 1			-		-	-		10.4	177		57	444	_
Critical Lideor	4.12	- 1	- 23	4.44				7 33	6.63	6.03	7 93	6.53	6.22
Critical Mday Str. 1	7.16				-			6.63	5.53	0.00	6.13	5.53	0.40
Critical Mday Sta 2	- 2							8.19	5.53		6.53	5.55	
Eology on Man	2 218			0.00	-			9.510	4.010	9.910	3 510	4 010	3 340
Pot Cap, 1 Manuser	1438			1477				653	626	1001	714	834	906
Cinca 1	1430	-	-	1411	-	-		850	808	1001	807	754	
Stage 7			-			-		817	360		0/18	803	
Distance blocked &		-	-			-		017	T DAL		240	000	_
May Can, 1 Managard	1438			1477				634	610	1001	696	617	006
May Cap 7 Manualer	14.00			1411	_			614	610	1001	600	617	-
Chana 1		- 1						800	619		807	746	
Stage 2								788	744		919	803	
Lanmark	59			WB				10	_		60	_	_
HOM Control Dalay a		-						40.0			40.0		_
HCM LOS	0			0.0				B			10.5 B		
Manual and Mains Manual	MRI et	-	-	CDD 1401	WDT	-	CDI of	_					
Create Landward Watt	NOL 11	1100	501	CON NO.	mpl	HON	anun						_
Capacity (verviti)	682	1438		- 14/7			/04						
HUM Lane V/C Hato	0.079			- 0.011			0.032						
HUM Control Delay (8)	10.6	0		- 7.5			10.3						
HCM Lane LOS	в	A		- A	-		B						

0

.

0.1

.

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

0.3

Û

.

Synchro 8 Report

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)
5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

THAT POCKAGE	1.111											
Intersection Delay, siveh	8,1											
Intersection LOS	A											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Val, vehih	0	4	30	11	0	24	25	17	0	10	48	23
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	0.45	0.55	0.44	1.00	0.90	0.56	0.72	1.00	0.42	0.82	0.71
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	9	55	25	0	27	45	24	. 0	24	59	32
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Acomach		FB				WB				NB		
Opposing Anomach		WB				FB				SB	_	
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Confiction Anomach Left		SR				NB				FR		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Appmach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		8				8.1				8.1		
HCMLOS		Ă				A				A		
110111-0-0-0												
Lane		NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1							3
Vol Left, %		12%	9%	36%	30%							
Vol Thru, %		59%	67%	38%	66%							
Val Right, %		28%	24%	26%	4%							
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
Traffic Vol by Lane		81	45	66	80							
LT Vol		10	4	24	24							
Through Vol		48	30	25	53							
RT Vol		23	11	17	3							
Lane Flow Rate		115	88	95	119							
Geometry Grp		1	1	1	1							
Degree of Util (X)		0.138	0.109	0.118	0.15							
Departure Headway (Hd)		4.345	4.449	4.487	4.519							
Convergence, Y/N		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Cap		825	807	800	795							
Service Time		2.365	2.47	2.509	2.538							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.139	0.109	0.119	0.15							

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

8.1

A

0.5

8

A

0.4

8.1

A

0.4

8.3

A

0.5

Synchro 8 Report



HCM Control Delay

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th-tile Q

15-0207 69 of 107

5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

Intersection						
Intersection Delay, s/veh						
Intersection LOS						
Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Val, veh/h	0	24	53	3		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	0.64	0.68	0.75		
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2		
Mvmt Flow	0	37	78	4		
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0		
Approach		SB				
Opposing Approach		NB				
Opposing Lanes		1				
Conflicting Approach Left		WB				
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				
Conflicting Approach Right		EB				
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				
HCM Control Delay		8.3				
HCM LOS		A				

Lane

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants



HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Bethel Ave & S Kyle St

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

intersection											
int Delay, s/veh 3	.6										
Movement	EBL	EBT				WBT	WER	SBL	SBF	E	
Vol, veh/h	3	20				30	12	22		1	
Conflicting Peds, #hr	0	0				0	0	0	()	
Sign Control	Free	Free				Free	Free	Stop	Stop	5	
RT Channelized		None					None	2 W	None	5	
Storage Length	-							0			
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0		0			
Grade, %		0				0		0			
Peak Hour Factor	38	92				61	57	59	2	\$	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2				2	2	2		1	
Wvmt Flow	8	22				49	21	37	1	5	
and the second se	10.000							1000			
ValorMinor	Majort	_				a story	-	Miner2			
Conflicting Flow All	70	0					0	98	60	l,	
Stage 1								60			
Stage 2						-		38		-	
Critical Hdwy	4.12	•					•	6.42	6.2	2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-							5.42			
Critical Holwy Stg 2								5.42			
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218					-		3.518	3.31	ł	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1531					+		901	1005	j	
Stage 1	- (C) #							963			
Stage 2						-		984			
Platoon blocked, %						-					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1531					+		896	1005	5	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver								896			
Stage 1								963			
Stage 2							. 4	979			
Annmach	FR	-				WR		59			
HCM Control Delay +	2	-				0		0.1			_
HCM LOS								A			
			1.01				_				
Mnor Lane-Major Mirmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WER	SBLN1						
Capacity (veh/h)	1531				926						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.005		-	2.14	0.058						
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	1.4	9.1						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A			A						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0				0.2						

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants



section

7: McConnell Street & Kenner Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

Int Delay, s/veh	3.2													
Mountered	FBI	EBT	FRR		WR	WRT	WRR		NRI	NRT	NRD	58	SRT	SAD
Vol. veh/h	17	0	17	-	9	0	9	1	8	55	3	3	23	7
Conflicting Peds. #hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sion Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized			None				None				None			None
Storage Length										-				
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0				0	6 Gal		0	
Grade, %		0				0				0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	100	92	100		92	92	92		50	71	92	92	87	42
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2		2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	17	0	17		10	0	10		16	Π	3	3	26	17
and the second se	Marcal								Land.			11-1-0		
MajoriMinor	Mnor2			11	Minori		-		Amort			Majora		_
Conflicting Flow All	157	104	35		161	161	79		43	0	0	81	0	0
Stage 1	41	41			111	111				•	•			
Stage 2	116	113			50	50	-			*			*	
Crtical Hdwy	7,12	6.52	6.22		7.12	6.52	6.22		4.12			4.12		
Crtical Howy Stg 1	6.12	5.52			6.12	5.52								
Critical Howy Stg 2	6.12	5.52			6.12	5.52								_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318		3.518	4.018	3.318		2,218			2.218	- 1+	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	809	738	1038		804	731	961		1566		- 1 4	1517		
Stage 1	974	861			894	804								
Stage 2	889	802			963	853							- +	
Platoon blocked, %	2023						100		1018			1000		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	793	728	1038		783	722	961		1566	•	1.00	1517	(
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	793	728	-		783	722				+				
Stage 1	963	859	-		884	795			-		1 18-			-
Stage 2	870	793	-		945	851							1.4	- 1
Approach	EB	-			WB	3			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, a	9.2				9.2				12			0.5		
HCM LOS	A				A				1.6			4.4		
Mass I analytics I have	NID!	NOT.	NRD			CD	COT	600						
Control Lane Way or Martit	1600	NO1	NOR		mourin 6/24	1004	001	DON	_					_
Capacity (verviti)	1566			899	6/1	1017								
HUM Lane V/C Ratio	0.01			0.038	0,022	0.002		+						
HCM Control Delay (8)	7.3	0		9.2	9.2	1.4	0	+						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	-	A	A	. A	A							

0

.

.

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

0

- - 0.1 0.1

Synchro 8 Report



HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

8: S Kyle St & Kenner Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

belle services and s								
Intradiction								
Int Delay, siven 4								
Movement	WBL	WBR	2	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Vol, veh/h	12	19	-	12	3	6	25	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	+	None			None		None	
Storage Length	0	-					+	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0			0			0	
Grade, %	0			0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	50	58		68	100	100	75	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	24	33		18	3	6	33	
MajorMinor	Moort			Majort		Major2		
Confliction Flow All	64	10	12	0	Ô	21	0	
Stana 1	19							
Stane 2	45						1	
Critical Hrlav	6.42	6.22				4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stn 1	5.42	0.44						
Critical Hdwy Stn 2	5.42					- 2		
Follow-up Hdwy	3,518	3,318		-		2 218		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	942	1059				1595	- 20	
State 1	1004					1000		
Stape 2	977							
Platoon blocked %				10				
Moy Cap-1 Maneuver	938	1059				1595		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	938							
Stape 1	1004							
Stage 2	973							
Annmarth	WR			NB		69		
UCH Costal Dalay +	0.0			-				
HCM LOS	0.0 A			0		- 1.1		
Minor Lane Major Mint	NBT	NERMELT	SBL	581				
Capacity (veh/h)		+ 1004	1595					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		- 0.057	0.004					
HCM Control Delay (s)		- 8.8	7.3	0				
HCM Lane LOS		- A	A	A				

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants

.

0.2

Û

.

Synchro 8 Report



HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

HCM 2010 TWSC 9: Access 1 & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

Intersection	2010								1
Int Delay, s/veh (0.7								
Movement		EBT	EBR	i i	WEL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Val. veh/h		163	3		3	117	9	9	
Conflicting Peds, #hr		0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized			None			None		None	
Storage Length			0		50		0		
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0	0		
Grade, %		0				0	0		
Peak Hour Factor		92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow		177	3		3	127	10	10	
MajorMinor		Wajor1		1.	Vajo 2		Minort		
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	9	177	0	311	177	
Stage 1							177		
Stage 2			-			-	134		
Critical Hdwy			-		4.12	1.00	6.42	6.22	
Critical Holwy Stg 1							5.42		
Critical Holwy Stg 2			,				5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy					2,218	-	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver					1399	× +	681	866	
Stage 1							854	1.1.4	
Stage 2							892		
Platoon blocked, %									
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					1399	-	680	866	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver							680		
Stage 1							854		
Stage 2							890		
Annarh		EB			WR	5	NR		
HCM Control Delay +		0			0.2	5.	0.0		
HCM LOS					0.4		A		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	762			1399					
HCM Lane VIC Ratio	0.026		-	0.002					
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.8		-	7.6					
HCM Lane LOS	A			A	-				
HCM 95th %die Q(veh)	0.1			0					

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants



10: Access 2 & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed AM

Intersection	18751								
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7								
Movement		EBT	EBR	t i	WEL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Vol. veh/h		160	3		3	111	9	9	
Conflicting Peds, #hr		0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized			None			None		None	
Storage Length					50		0		
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0	0		
Grade, %		0				0	0		
Peak Hour Factor		92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow		174	3		3	121	10	10	
MajorMinor		Major1		1-75	Vajor2		Minort		
Conflicting Flow All	1.11	0	0	9	177	0	303	176	
Stage 1							176		
Stage 2							127		
Critical Hdwy			-		4.12		6.42	6.22	
Critical Holwy Stg 1							5.42		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			,				5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy					2,218	1 - F	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver					1399	S	689	867	
Stage 1							855	10.4	
Stage 2							899		
Platoon blocked, %									
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					1399		688	867	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver							713		
Stage 1							855		
Stage 2			-				897		
							1000		
Approach		EB			WB		NB		
HCM Control Delay, s		0			0.2		9.7		
HCM LOS							A		
Manuel anotherine bluert	NPI et	ERT	EBD	WEN	WOT	-			
Cananda (unb (b))	780	601	Con	1300	nel	-			
Capacity (Venin)	0.050			1.389					
HOW Lane V/G Hato	0.025		- 1	7.0					
HOM COntrol Delay (5)	11		-	1.0					
HOM Lane LUS									
HCW 3001 (Mole C(Ven)	0.1			0					

Five Points Redevelopment 7:15 am 3/24/2015 Proposed AM RPM Transportation Consultants



PROJECTED CONDITIONS PM PEAK HOUR



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Ben Hur Ave & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

	+	7	1	+	1	1		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	1		3	+	3	1		
Volume (vph)	250	41	12	188	47	22		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Fit	0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85		
Fit Protected	1.00		0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prof)	1824		1770	1863	1770	1583		
Fit Permitted	1.00		0.52	1.00	0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1824		975	1863	1770	1583		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.80	0.80	0.88	0.80	0.80		
Adi, Flow (vph)	278	51	15	214	59	28		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	3	0	0	0	0	26		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	326	0	15	214	59	2		
Tum Type	NA	-	Perm	NA	Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	2456			2456	3			
Permitted Phases			2456			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	61.6		61.6	61.6	7.3	7.3		
Effective Green, g (s)	61.6		61.6	61.6	7.3	7.3		
Actuated o/C Ratio	0.57		0.57	0.57	0.07	0.07		
Clearance Time (s)					6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		
Lane Gro Cap (vph)	1047		559	1069	120	107		
vis Ratio Prot	c0.18			0.11	c0.03			
v/s Ratio Perm			0.02			0.00		
vic Ratio	0.31		0.03	0.20	0.49	0.02		
Uniform Delay, d1	11.8		9.9	11.0	48.2	46.7		
Procression Factor	0.97		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2		0.0	0.1	3.1	0.1		
Delay (s)	11.6		9.9	11.1	51.4	46.7		
Level of Service	В		A	В	D	D		
Approach Delay (s)	11.6			11.0	49.9			
Approach LOS	8			8	D			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			16.6	н	CM 2000	Level of Service	e B	
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.35					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	1. Side		107.3	S	um of los	t time (s)	42.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		29.0%	IC	U Level	of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants

HCM	Signa	lized I	interse	ction	Capacity	Analysis	
2: ML	K. Jr.	Ave 8	Olive	Stree	at	0.000	

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

	٠	-	1	1	+	1	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	4	- C.	٦	1.		14	4	٢	1211	4	1
Volume (vph)	55	258	0	16	251	32	0	5	9	27	8	73
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Fit	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.98			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863		1770	1831			1863	1583		1792	1583
Fit Permitted	0.49	1.00		0.54	1.00			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	906	1863	51,011	1000	1831	10000		1863	1583	in a sec	1792	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.80	0.87	0.92	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.80	0.92	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	69	297	0	17	314	40	0	5	10	34	9	81
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10	0	0	76
Lane Group Flow (vph)	69	297	0	17	352	0	0	- 5	0	0	43	5
Tum Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA			NA	Perm	Split	NA	custom
Protected Phases		2356			2356			8		4	4	4
Permitted Phases	2356	(2356			8		8			4
Actuated Green, G (s)	56.4	56.4		56.4	56.4			2.1	2.1		6.5	6.5
Effective Green, g (s)	56.4	56.4		56.4	56.4			2.1	2.1		6.5	6.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.53	0.53		0.53	0.53			0.02	0.02		0.06	0.06
Clearance Time (s)								6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	476	979		525	962			36	30		108	95
vis Ratio Prot		0.16			c0.19			00.00			c0.02	0.00
v/s Ratio Perm	0.08	1999		0.02				1000	0.00			
vic Ratio	0.14	0.30		0.03	0.37			0.14	0.01		0.40	0.05
Uniform Delay, d1	13.1	14.4		12.3	14.9			51.7	51.6		48.5	47.5
Progression Factor	0.81	0.81		0.61	0.56			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	0.2		0.0	0.2			1.8	0.1		2.4	0.2
Delay (s)	10.7	11.8		7.5	8.6			53.5	51.7		50.9	47.7
Level of Service	B	в		A	A			D	D		D	D
Approach Delay (s)		11.6			8.5			52.3			48.8	
Approach LOS		В			A			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			16.3	1	HCM 2000	Level of 1	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.36									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			107.3		Sum of los	t time (s)			42.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	cation		42.1%)	CU Level	of Service	2		A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants

	1	-+	2	1	+	*	1	t	1	4	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WER	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	Te.	-	٦	3.			4			4	1
Volume (vph)	98	125	55	31	167	26	18	24	92	72	35	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0
Lane Util, Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00
Fit	1.00	0.96		1.00	0.98			0.91			1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1782		1770	1823			1682			1805	1583
Fit Permitted	0.55	1.00		0.59	1.00			0.99			0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1030	1782		1103	1823			1682			1805	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.83	0.89	0.96	0.80	0.86	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.90	0.80	0.80
Adj. Flow (vph)	118	140	57	39	194	32	22	30	107	80	44	18
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	10	0	0	4	0	0	47	0	0	0	16
Lane Group Flow (vph)	118	187	0	39	222	0	0	112	0	0	124	2
Tum Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	234		1	234		5	5		6	6	
Permitted Phases	234	12.00		234	1.1.6			1		199	1	6
Actuated Green, G (s)	44.3	38.0		44.3	38.0			12.3			12.6	12.6
Effective Green, g (s)	44.3	38.0		44.3	38.0			12.3			12.6	12.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.41	0.35		0.41	0.35			0.11			0.12	0.12
Clearance Time (s)	6.0			6.0				6.0			6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0			3.0				3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	468	631		494	645			192			211	185
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.01	0.11		0.00	c0.12			c0.07			c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.09			0.03								0.00
vic Ratio	0.25	0.30		0.08	0.34			0.58			0.59	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	23.3	25.0		20.7	25.5			45.1			44.9	41.8
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.96	0.83			1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.3		0.1	0.3			4.5			4.1	0.0
Delay (s)	23.6	25.3		20.0	21.5			49.5			49.0	41.9
Level of Service	C	C		В	C			D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		24.6			21.3			49.5			48.1	
Approach LOS		C			C			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			31.9	н	CM 2000	Level of 1	Service		C			
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.45									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			107.3	S	um of los	t time (s)			42.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		45.4%	IC	U Level	of Service	1		A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Processed PM

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants

4: S Kyle St & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

Intersection	1.5													
Int Delay, s/veh	3													
			-									-		
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	_	WDL.	WBT	WER		NBL	NBT	NBR	581	561	SBI
Vol, veh/h	9	190	- 19		18	140	9		17	18	26	3	-13	
Conflicting Peds, #hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		Q	0	0	0	0	(
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free		Free	Free	Free		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized			None			•	None		ंत्र		None			None
Storage Length	60		-		115					-			- +	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-			0			÷=	0	10 - 19 4 -		0	
Grade, %		0				0				0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	75	93	75		.67	81	50		63	65	80	38	83	2
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2		2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	12	204	25		27	173	18		27	28	32	8	16	
						_								
MajorMinor	Majort	C		/ A	Aajor2				Vinort	-		Miror2		
Conflicting Flow All	191	0	0		230	0	0		486	486	115	376	490	183
Stage 1									241	241		236	236	
Stage 2	-	-	-				-		245	245		140	254	
Critical Hdwy	4.12		+		4.14				7.33	6.53	6.93	7.33	6.53	6.2
Critical Holwy Stg 1	-								6.53	5.53		6.13	5.53	
Critical Holwy Stg 2									6.13	5.53		6.53	5.53	
Follow-up Howy	2.218		-		2.22				3.519	4.019	3.319	3.519	4.019	3.31
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1383		-		1335	+			478	481	916	569	478	860
Stage 1	10.3								742	706	SLUT#	766	709	-
Stage 2									758	703	(i e)	849	696	
Platoon blocked, %														
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1383				1335	-	-		453	467	916	513	464	860
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver									453	467		513	454	
Stage 1			-						736	700	3	759	695	_
Stage 2									723	689		780	690	
Lanmark	FR				WR	5			NR			98	_	_
UCM Control Parlow of	0.4	-			110	-			40.0			40.4	-	
HCM LOS	0.4								12.5 B			B		
Minor Lane/Major Munit	NBLat	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WER	SBLat	c					_
Canacity (web/b)	545	1383	and Summer	- and a	1395			512	_					_
HCM I and VIC Datio	0.154	0.000		-	0.03			0.054						
HCM Control Delay (a)	12.5	7.6			7.0			12.4						
HCM Lose LOS	12.0	1.0	-	-	1.0			0						
HOM LETE LUG	D	A .	-	- 1	0.0	-	-	0.2						
HOW SOLL MORE (VER)	0.5	0			U.1	8		0.2						

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants



5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

THE PROPERTY												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.8											
Intersection LOS	A											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Vol, vehih	0	9	27	19	0	54	28	65	0	18	88	54
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	0.45	0.55	0.44	1.00	0.90	0.56	0.72	1.00	0.42	0.82	0.71
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	20	49	43	0	60	50	90	0	43	107	76
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		EB				WB				NB		-
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		9.1				9.9				9.9		
HCM LOS		A				A				A		
1000		NDI at	EDiet	WEIst	CDI at							_
Lana	_	NOLITI	EDLIN	HOUT	apuni							
VOI LEIT, 76		11%	10%	3/%	34%							
Vol Inru, %		0.4%	49%	1976	62%							
Val Hight, %		34%	30%	44%	0%							
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	5100							
Traffic Vol by Lane		160	50	- 147	133							
LT VOI		15	9	54	40							
Through Vol		88	27	28	82							
KI VOI		96	19	00	0							
Lane Flow Hate		226	112	200	199							
Geometry Grp		1		1	1							
Degree of Uti (X)		0.3	0.158	0.273	0.278							
Departure Headway (Hd)		4.78	5.000	4,914	5.024							
Convergence, Y/N		Yes	Tes	Yes	Tes							
Cap		744	701	723	708							
Service Time		2.863	3.151	3	3.109							
HCM Lane V/C Rato		0.304	0.16	0.277	0.281							
HCM Control Delay		9.9	9.1	9.9	10.1							
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	8							

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM **RPM Transportation Consultants**

1.3

0.6

1.1

1.1

Synchro 8 Report



HCM 95th-tile Q

5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

Lane

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants



HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Bethel Ave & S Kyle St

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

intersection	2										
Int Delay, s/veh	4										
Management .	CRI	COT			_	MOT				CED	
Novement	COL	EDI				1101	47	-00	<u>.</u>	200	
Vol, venin Conflicting Dada #br	0	10					11	-	3	-	
Conticting Peas, ever	- Court	U.				- O	U.	- 01-	Ų	Chan	
Sign Control DT Characteria	FIED	Pree				Free	Pres	310	ρ	Stop	
Ri Channeized	-	None				-	rearie			None	
storage Length									0		
ven in Median Storage, #	-	0				0			0		
Grade, %	-	0				0			0	44	
Peak Hour Factor	38	92				61	D/	8	9	25	
neavy vehicles, %	2	2				2	2		2	2	
Mymt Flow	13	20				36	30	3	9	20	
MajorMinor	Majort				4	Maior2		Minor	2		
Conflicting Flow All	66	0				-	Ó	9	a.	51	
Stage 1	-							5	1		
Stage 2								4	6		
Critical Hdwy	4.12							64	2	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stn 1								5.4	2		
Critical Helev Stn 2								5.4	2		
Follow-up Hdwy	2 218					-		3.51	8	3,918	
Pot Carut Maneuver	1536					-		90	2	1017	
Stana 1	1000	-						97	4	1917	
Stana 2	-					- 2		97	é.		
Distron Norkad %	-	-				-	-		0		
May Can, 1 Managaser	1636							90		1017	
May Can 2 Manager	1000					_		00	4	1011	
Chang 1	-							07	4		
Shop 2	-							01	7		
owys x								90	M		
Approach	EB					WB		S	8		
HCM Control Delay, s	3					0		9.	1		
HCM LOS								3	A		
March and March 19			iam'r	10000					_		
who cane water Munit	EBL	EBI	W.B.I	WER	SBLAT						
Capacity (veh/h)	1536				902						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.009		-		0,063						
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-		9.1						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A		-	A						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0				0.2						

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants



7: McConnell Street & Kenner Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

and the particular in the part														
Int Delay, s/veh	1.6													
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR		WBL	WBT	WBR	_	NBL	NBT	NBR	58.	SBT	SBR
Vol. yeh/h	9	0	9		5	0	5	_	20	126	8	8	83	21
Conflicting Peds. #hr	Ő	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sion Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized			None				None				None	-		None
Storage Length										-				
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0				0	d 041		0	
Grade, %		0				0				0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	100	92	100		92	92	92		50	71	92	92	87	42
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2		2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	9	0	9		5	0	5		40	177	9	9	95	50
Histofficer	Morel				Menort				Uniort			Marcal		
Configure Day M	4/10	404	120		404	175	182	_	146	0		104	0	-
Company Flow All	403	409	1.00		909	920	104		140	0		100		
Change 1	130	130			4.62	402	-		-	-				_
Oritical Lidens	7.40	400	6.72		7.42	6.63	6.22		4 4 9			4.42		-
Critical Mary Ste 4	P.16 # 19	6.62	0.44		6.43	0.02	0.44		9.16	-		4.14		_
Critical Howy alg 1	0.12	5.52	-		0.12	2.02			- 6					-
Editors in Mary 302 2	9.510	1 0102	0.048		9 510	4 04R	3 349		3 318	-		2 249	-	
Pollow-up Huwy Bot Cap 1 Management	0.010	4,010	031		5,510	634	0.010		4437	- 1		1100		
Cince I	000	230	991		743	061	001		1421	-		1300		_
Clage 1	240	102			143	2691			-	-				
Distant Monked M	140	003	-		001	103			_	-			_	_
May Cap 1 Manager	610	510	034		595	674	001		1497			1100	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	530	010	831		0.00	804	001		1427	-		1300		_
Change 1	030	210	-		700	820								
Share 2	713	868			847	758								
owyo x	110	000			Der	100								
Approach	EB				WB	3			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.4				10.5				1.3			0.4		
HCM LOS	B				B									
Minor Lane/Major Mumt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLntk	NBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	-					
Canacity (veh/h)	1437		and the state	682	661	1388	-							
HCM Lane VIC Ratio	0.028		-	0.026	0.016	0.006		-						
HCM Control Delay (a)	7.6	0	- 1	10.4	10.5	7.6	0							

8

0.1

.

В

0.1

A

0

A

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants

A

0.1

A

.

Synchro 8 Report



HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

8: S Kyle St & Kenner Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

Intersection	2							
int Delay, s/veh	4							
Movement	WBL	WBR		NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Vol, veh/h	7	22	-	15	8	16	33	
Conflicting Peds, #hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized		None			None		None	
Storage Length	0						+	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0			0			0	
Grade, %	0			0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	50	58		68	100	100	75	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Wvmt Flow	14	38		22	8	16	44	
and the second se				the second				
Valoritinor	Mnort		_	Majort		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	102	26		0	0	30	0	
Stage 1	26	•				1.2	•	
Stage 2	76						+	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22			•	4.12		
Critical Holwy Stg 1	5.42							
Critical Holwy Stg 2	5.42	,						
Follow-up Hdwy	3,518	3.318				2.218	+	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	896	1050		+		1583		
Stage 1	997							
Stage 2	947			-			+	
Platoon blocked, %								
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	887	1050		+		1583	*	
Nov Cap-2 Maneuver	887						+	
Stage 1	997						-	
Stage 2	938						*	
Approach	WB			NB		58		
HCM Control Delay, a	8.8			0		10		
HCM LOS	A					1.0		
Mary I are Mary Mary	107	LIDCLARY of	CD/					
whor Lane Major Minit	NdT	NORMEL/11	SBL	361				
Capacity (veh/h)		+ 1000	1583					
HCM Lane VIC Ratio		- 0.052	0.01					
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 8.8	7.3	0				
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	A	A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		- 0.2	0					

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants



9: Access 1 & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

intersection									
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4								
Movement		EBT	EBR	2	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Vol, veh/h		281	8		8	162	5	5	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized			None			None		None	
Storage Length			0		50		0		
Veh in Median Storage, #	t.	0				0	0		
Grade, %		0				0	0		
Peak Hour Factor		92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow		305	9		9	176	5	5	
MajorMinor		Majort		1	Major2	3	Minort		
Conflicting Flow All	1.11	0	0		305	0	498	305	
Stage 1							305		
Stage 2							193		
Critical Hdwy					4.12		6.42	6.22	
Critical Howy Sto 1							5.42		
Critical Hdwy Sto 2							5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy					2,218	1	3,518	3,318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver					1256		532	735	
Stane 1						-	748		
Stage 2							840		
Platoon blocked %			-			-			
May Cap-1 Maneuver					1256	2	528	735	
Moy Cap-2 Maneuver			-				528		
Stans 1							748		
Stans 2			- 1				814	-	
onego a							0.04		
Approach		EB			WB	2	NB		
HCM Control Delay, s		0			0.4	11	11		
HCM LOS							8		
1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -									
Minor Lane Major Mymt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WEL	WBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	615	+		1256					
HCM Lane VIC Ratio	0.018		,	0.007					
HCM Control Delay (s)	11		-	7.9					
HCM Lane LOS	В	1.4		A					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1			0					

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants Synchro 8 Report



15-0207 86 of 107

10: Access 2 & MLK, Jr. Ave

Projected Conditions Proposed PM

Intersection									
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4								
Movement		EBT	EBR	8 3	WEL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Vol, veh/h		273	8	-	8	166	5	5	
Conflicting Peds, #hr		0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized			None			None	2 V	None	
Storage Length					50		0		
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0	0		
Grade, %		0				0	0		
Peak Hour Factor		92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow		297	9		9	180	5	5	
-						_			
Majo/Minor		Agort	11000		Allord.	100	Minort		
Conflicting Flow All		0	0		305	0	499	301	
Stage 1					-		301		
Stage 2			-		+		198		
Critical Hdwy		- 1 ÷	-		4.12	() (#)	6.42	6.22	
Critical Holwy Stg 1						() ()	5.42		
Critical Howy Stg 2							5.42		
Follow-up Howy			-		2,218		3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver					1256	+	531	739	
Stage 1						(a)	751		
Stage 2							835		
Platoon blocked, %									
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					1256	· +	527	739	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver							598		
Stage 1							751		
Stage 2							829		
Annmach		FR			WR	8	NR		
HCM Control Delay a		0			0.4		10.5		
HCM LOS		v			0.4		8		
Hand and Head Inc.	MPI of	COT	-	LA PL	INCOM	_			
And Lanewayer Want	NOLAT	CBI	CON	HOL	MB1				
Capacity (veh/h)	661			1256	•				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.016			0.007					
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.5			7.9					
HCM Lane LOS	B			A					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1			0					

Five Points Redevelopment 4:30 pm 3/24/2015 Proposed PM RPM Transportation Consultants



PROJECTED CONDITIONS AM QUEUEING REPORT



Queuing and Blocking Report Proposed AM

Intersection: 1: Ben Hur Ave & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	FR	WR	WR	NR	NB	
Directions Served	TR	HO	T	1	8	
Maximum Queue (ft)	68	20	86	52	27	
Average Queue (ft)	20	2	25	13	8	
95th Queue (ft)	58	14	63	38	28	
Link Distance (ft)	94		624		1087	
Upstream Bik Time (%)	0					
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		50		75		
Storage Bik Time (%)		0	1	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	0	0		

Intersection: 2: MLK, Jr. Ave & Olive Street

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	58	- 58	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	LT	R	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	47	73	23	52	24	29	33	52	
Average Queue (ft)	12	19	1	11	7	11	9	21	
95th Queue (ft)	37	53	9	32	23	33	29	45	
Link Distance (ft)		206		94	595		684		
Upstream Blk Time (%)									
Queuing Penalty (veh)									
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	50		75			50		100	
Storage Bik Time (%)	0	1		0					
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0		0					

Intersection: 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	\$8	S8	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	63	94	27	88	100	54	30	
Average Queue (ft)	19	36	1	22	46	17	5	
95th Queue (ft)	47	71	11	55	85	44	24	
Link Distance (ft)		236		206	682		658	
Upstream Bik Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	100		75			90		
Storage Blk Time (%)		0		0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		0				

Five Points Redevelopment

RPM Transportation Consultants

SimTraffic Report

Five Points Redevelopment

Queuing and Blocking Report Proposed AM

Five Points Redevelopment

Intersection: 4	SK)	le St &	MLK,	Jr.	Ave
-----------------	-----	---------	------	-----	-----

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	7	30	27
Average Queue (ft)	0	17	8
95th Queue (ft)	4	32	24
Link Distance (ft)		391	296
Upstream Bik Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	115		
Storage Bik Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	74	66	58	61
Average Queue (ft)	23	32	30	32
95th Queue (ft)	54	56	46	53
Link Distance (ft)	1098	1192	424	393
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 6: Bethel Ave & S Kyle St

Movement.	\$8			
Directions Served	LR			
Maximum Queue (ft)	38			
Average Queue (ft)	15			
95th Queue (ft)	41			
Link Distance (ft)	339			
Upstream Bik Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



Five Points Redevelopment

Queuing and Blocking Report Proposed AM

Intersection: 7: McConnell Street & Kenner Ave

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	36	30	8
Average Queue (ft)	23	13	0
95th Queue (ft)	44	37	5
Link Distance (ft)	1088	235	682
Upstream Bik Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 8: S Kyle St & Kenner Ave

Movement	WB	
Directions Served	LR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	30	
Average Queue (ft)	18	
95th Queue (ft)	41	
Link Distance (ft)	1086	
Upstream Bik Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Bik Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 9: Access 1 & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	WB	N8	
Directions Served	L	LR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	10	41	
Average Queue (ff)	0	12	
95th Queue (ft)	6	34	
Link Distance (ft)		358	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	50		
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



Queuing and Block Proposed AM	ing Rep	rt	Five Points Redevelopment		
Intersection: 10: Ac	cess 2 8				
Movement	WB	NB			
Directions Served	L	LR			
Maximum Queue (ft)	20	31			
Average Queue (ft)	1	14			
95th Queue (ft)	8	39			
Link Distance (ft)		419			
Upstream Bik Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	50				
Storage Bik Time (%)	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0				
Zone Summary					
Zone wide Queuing Penalty	c1				

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



PROJECTED CONDITIONS PM QUEUEING REPORT



Queuing and Blocking Report Proposed PM

Five Points Redevelopment

Intersection: 1: Ben Hur Ave & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	TR	L	T	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	106	31	124	74	41
Average Queue (ft)	52	8	41	23	15
95th Queue (ft)	104	30	88	58	38
Link Distance (ft)	94		624		1087
Upstream Bik Time (%)	1				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	4				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		50		75	
Storage Blk Time (%)		0	3	1	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	0	0	

Intersection: 2: MLK, Jr. Ave & Olive Street

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	58	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	LT	R	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	66	119	30	89	24	29	75	58	
Average Queue (ft)	23	40	7	29	4	7	23	30	
95th Queue (ft)	58	92	24	64	17	27	57	51	
Link Distance (ft)		206		94	595		684		
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0					
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	50		75			50		100	
Storage Bik Time (%)	2	- 4		0			0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	6	2		0			0		

Intersection: 3: McConnell Street/McCalla Avenue & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	\$8	S8	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	115	120	84	137	118	103	78	
Average Queue (ft)	36	52	17	59	56	57	15	
95th Queue (ft)	82	102	51	116	101	96	57	
Link Distance (ft)		236		206	682		658	
Upstream Bik Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	100		75			90		
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	1	0	4		3		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	1	0	1		0		

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants

Queuing and Blocking Report Proposed PM

Five Points Redevelopment

Intersection: 4: S Kyle St & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB	58
Directions Served	L	L	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	12	22	49	27
Average Queue (ft)	1	3	17	9
95th Queue (ft)	9	15	38	26
Link Distance (ft)			391	296
Upstream Bik Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	60	115		
Storage Bik Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 5: McConnell Street & Bethel Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB	58
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	53	81	58	72
Average Queue (ft)	25	41	38	40
95th Queue (ft)	47	63	58	61
Link Distance (ft)	1098	1192	424	393
Upstream Bik Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Bik Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 6: Bethel Ave & S Kyle St

Movement	\$8	
Directions Served	LR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	
Average Queue (ft)	17	
95th Queue (ft)	42	
Link Distance (ft)	339	
Upstream Bik Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



Queuing and Blocking Report Proposed PM

Five Points Redevelopment

Intersection: 7: McConnell Street & Kenner Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB	58
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	37	30	30	18
Average Queue (ft)	13	10	2	1
95th Queue (ft)	38	34	13	11
Link Distance (ft)	1066	235	393	682
Upstream Bik Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 8: S Kyle St & Kenner Ave

Movement	WB	58
Directions Served	LR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	38	10
Average Queue (ft)	14	0
95th Queue (ft)	39	6
Link Distance (ft)	1086	391
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Bik Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 9: Access 1 & MLK, Jr. Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB
Directions Served	Т	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	10	30	32
Average Queue (ff)	0	3	6
95th Queue (ft)	6	18	25
Link Distance (ft)	163		358
Upstream Bik Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		50	
Storage Blk Time (%)		0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



Queuing and Block Proposed PM	ing Rep	rt	Five Points Redevelopment				
Intersection: 10: Access 2 & MLK, Jr. Ave							
Movement	WB	NB					
Directions Served	L	LR					
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	31					
Average Queue (ft)	2	8					
95th Queue (ft)	15	30					
Link Distance (ft)		419					
Upstream Bik Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	50						
Storage Blk Time (%)	0						
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0						
Zone Summary							
Zone wide Queuing Penalty	15						

Five Points Redevelopment RPM Transportation Consultants



APPENDIX E TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS



TRIP GENERATION – Five Points Master Plan: Phase 1

Senior/Disabled Housing (Attached) –90 units

Use ITE Land Use Code 252 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

Average Daily Traffic

T = 2.98(X) + 21.05 T = 2.98(90) + 21.05 T = 289

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

T = 0.20 (X) - 0.13T = 0.20 (90) - 0.13 T = 18

Enter = 0.34(18) = 6Exit = 0.66(18) = 12

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

T = 0.24(X) + 1.64T = 0.24(90) + 1.64 T = 23

Enter = 0.54(23) = 12 Exit = 0.46(23) = 11



TRIP GENERATION – Five Points Master Plan: Phase 2

Single-Family Detached Housing – 19 units

Use ITE Land Use Code 210 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.72Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(19) + 2.72T = 228

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

T = 0.70 (X) + 9.74 T = 0.70 (19) + 9.74 T = 23

Enter = 0.25(23) = 6 Exit = 0.75(23) = 17

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(X) + 0.51Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(19) + 0.51T = 24

Enter = 0.63(24) = 15Exit = 0.37(24) = 9



Senior/Disabled Housing (Detached) – 50 units

Use ITE Land Use Code 251 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.89 Ln(X) + 2.06Ln(T) = 0.89 Ln(50) + 2.06T = 255

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

T = 0.17 (X) + 29.95 T = 0.17 (50) + 29.95 T = 38

Enter = 0.35(38) = 13 Exit = 0.65(38) = 25

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.75 Ln(X) + 0.35 Ln(T) = 0.75 Ln(50) + 0.35 T = 27

Enter = 0.61(27) = 16Exit = 0.39(27) = 11



Residential Condominium/Townhouse – 20 Units

Use ITE Land Use Code 230 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

<u>Average Daily Traffic</u> Ln(T) = 0.87 Ln(X) + 2.46 Ln(T) = 0.87 Ln(20) + 2.46T = 159

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use fitted curve equation for AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

Ln(T) = 0.80 Ln (X) + 0.26 Ln(T) = 0.80 Ln (20) + 0.26 T = 14

Enter = 0.17(14) = 2Exit = 0.83(14) = 12

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use fitted curve equation for PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.82 Ln(X) + 0.32Ln(T) = 0.82 Ln(20) + 0.32T = 16

Enter = 0.67(16) = 11Exit = 0.33(16) = 5



TRIP GENERATION – Five Points Master Plan: Phase 3

Apartment – 114 Units

Use ITE Land Use Code 220 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

Average Daily Traffic

T = 6.06(X) + 123.56T = 6.06(114) + 123.56 T = 814

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

T = 0.49(X) + 3.73 T = 0.49(114) + 3.73 T = 60

Enter = 0.20(60) = 12 Exit = 0.80(60) = 48

P.M. Peak Hour - PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

T = 0.55(X) + 17.65 T = 0.55(114) + 17.65 T = 80

Enter = 0.65(80) = 52 Exit = 0.35(80) = 28



TRIP GENERATION – Five Points Master Plan: Phase 4a

Single-Family Detached Housing – 27 units

Use ITE Land Use Code 210 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.72Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(27) + 2.72T = 315

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

T = 0.70 (X) + 9.74 T = 0.70 (27) + 9.74 T = 29

Enter = 0.25(29) = 7 Exit = 0.75(29) = 22

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(X) + 0.51Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(27) + 0.51T = 32

Enter = 0.63(32) = 20Exit = 0.37(32) = 12


Residential Condominium/Townhouse – 17 Units

Use ITE Land Use Code 230 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

<u>Average Daily Traffic</u> Ln(T) = 0.87 Ln(X) + 2.46 Ln(T) = 0.87 Ln(17) + 2.46T = 138

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use fitted curve equation for AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

Ln(T) = 0.80 Ln (X) + 0.26 Ln(T) = 0.80 Ln (17) + 0.26 T = 13

Enter = 0.17(8) = 2 Exit = 0.83(8) = 11

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use fitted curve equation for PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.82 Ln(X) + 0.32Ln(T) = 0.82 Ln(17) + 0.32T = 14

Enter = 0.67(14) = 9Exit = 0.33(14) = 5



TRIP GENERATION – Five Points Master Plan: Phase 4b

Single-Family Detached Housing – 22 units

Use ITE Land Use Code 210 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

Average Daily Traffic

Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.72Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(22) + 2.72T = 261

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

T = 0.70 (X) + 9.74 T = 0.70 (22) + 9.74 T = 25

Enter = 0.25(25) = 6 Exit = 0.75(25) = 19

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use the fitted curve equation for the PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(X) + 0.51Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(22) + 0.51T = 27

Enter = 0.63(27) = 17Exit = 0.37(27) = 10



Residential Condominium/Townhouse – 10 Units

Use ITE Land Use Code 230 and associated trip generation rates for 24-hour total trips and peak hour trips.

<u>Average Daily Traffic</u> Ln(T) = 0.87 Ln(X) + 2.46 Ln(T) = 0.87 Ln(10) + 2.46T = 87

<u>A.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use fitted curve equation for AM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM)

Ln(T) = 0.80 Ln (X) + 0.26 Ln(T) = 0.80 Ln (10) + 0.26 T = 8

Enter = 0.17(8) = 1Exit = 0.83(8) = 7

<u>P.M. Peak Hour</u> - Use fitted curve equation for PM Peak Hour of the Adjacent Street (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM)

Ln(T) = 0.82 Ln(X) + 0.32Ln(T) = 0.82 Ln(10) + 0.32T = 9

Enter = 0.67(9) = 6Exit = 0.33(9) = 3

