EZ STOP CONCORD ROAD KNOX COUNTY, TENNESSEE

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

CONCORD ROAD KNOX COUNTY, TENNESSEE

CCI PROJECT NO. 01634-0010.000



6-B-24-UR TIS Version 2 5/23/2024

PREPARED FOR:

Calloway-Hunt Real Estate P.O. Box 6618 Maryville, TN 37802

SUBMITTED BY:

Cannon & Cannon, Inc. 10025 Investment Drive Knoxville, TN 37932 865.670.8555

> REVISION NO. 1 MAY 23 **2024**

EZ STOP CONCORD ROAD KNOX COUNTY, TENNESSEE

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

CONCORD ROAD KNOX COUNTY, TENNESSEE

CCI PROJECT NO. 01634-0010.000



REVISION I (05/23/2024)

This report replaces the previous version of the traffic impact study dated 04/25/2024 prepared for this project in its entirety. The associated changes are related to incorporation of review comments from the Town of Farragut, Knox County, Knoxville-Knox County Planning, and TDOT.

PREPARED FOR:

ARED FOR.

Calloway-Hunt Real Estate P.O. Box 6618 Maryville, TN 37802 SUBMITTED BY:

Cannon & Cannon, Inc. 10025 Investment Drive Knoxville, TN 37932 865.670.8555

REVISION NO. 1 MAY 23 **2024**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
SECTION 2	INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY	2
SECTION 3	EXISTING CONDITIONS	5
SECTION 4	BACKGROUND CONDITIONS	9
SECTION 5	FUTURE CONDITIONS	11
SECTION 6	EVALUATIONS	20
SECTION 7	CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	29
SECTION 8	APPENDIX	30



TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES

4
ч.
6
8
10
14
15
16
17
18
19

TABLES

TABLE 1	ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY	7
TABLE 2	TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY	12
TABLE 3-3D	CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARIES	21-27
TABLE 4-4D	95 th PERCENTILE QUEUE SUMMARIES	22-27

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	TRAFFIC DATA	A-1
APPENDIX B	TRIP GENERATION INFORMATION	B-1
APPENDIX C	CAPACITY ANALYSES	C-I
APPENDIX D	TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS	D-I
APPENDIX E	SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS	E-1



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for a proposed mixed-use development to be located at the northeast corner of Concord Road and 2nd Drive in Knox County, Tennessee. The project site is located on the east side of Concord Road and north side of 2nd Drive. The development plan for this site proposes a 7,015 square-foot Convenience Store / Gas Station with 14 fueling stations, and two 2,800 square-foot retail buildings with unknown usage. For the purposes of this study, it was assumed that these retail buildings would both be fast food restaurants with drive through windows, to accommodate for the potential maximum amount of traffic to be generated. The proposed development will have one partial access on Concord Road approximately 390 feet north of 2nd Drive, where a driveway cut currently exists. The partial access will be a right-in / right-out only access on Concord Road. Additionally, the development will have one full access on 2nd Drive approximately 150 feet east of Concord Road.

The purpose of this study was the evaluation of the traffic operational and safety impacts of the proposed development upon roadways in the vicinity of the project site. Comments received from Knox County Engineering, Knoxville-Knox County Planning, and the Town of Farragut resulted in the existing intersections of Concord Road at Turkey Creek Road / Summerdale Drive, Concord Road at 2nd Drive, and Concord Road at Northshore Drive being identified for detailed study. Appropriate intersection evaluations such as capacity analyses, turn-lane warrants, and signal warrants were conducted at the study intersections for existing and future conditions, both with and without site generated traffic, in order to determine the anticipated impacts and to establish recommended measures to mitigate these impacts. Additionally, the proposed site accesses on Concord Road and 2nd Drive were evaluated for turn lane warrants and sight distance.

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated from the proposed development will have only minor impacts at the study intersections. However, some improvements are recommended to improve operations, safety, and capacity. The following is a list of recommendations developed with this traffic impact study:

- 1) A northbound right turn lane onto 2nd Drive from Concord Road should be constructed with a storage length of 50 feet and a taper length of 120 feet.
- Ensure that grading, landscaping, signing, and other site features do not restrict lines of sight exiting the development. The sight distance looking left when exiting the site onto 2nd Drive should be improved to at least 250 feet.
- 3) The lanes on 2nd Drive should be widened to at least 10 feet per Knox County requirements.



INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for a proposed mixed-use development to be located at the northeast corner of Concord Road and 2nd Drive in Knox County, Tennessee. The project site is located on the east side of Concord Road and the north side of 2nd Drive. FIGURE 1 is a location map showing the major roadways in the project site vicinity.



FIGURE 1 LOCATION MAP

The development plan for this site proposes a 7,015 square-foot Convenience Store / Gas Station with 14 fueling stations, and two 2,800 square-foot retail buildings with unknown usage. For the purposes of this study, it was assumed that these retail buildings would both be fast food restaurants with drive through windows, to accommodate for the potential maximum amount of traffic to be generated. The proposed development will have one partial access on Concord Road approximately 390 feet north of 2nd Drive, where a driveway cut currently exists. The partial access will be a right-in / right-out only access on Concord Road. Additionally, the development will have one full access on 2nd Drive approximately 150 feet east of Concord Road. FIGURE 2 is a Conceptual Site Plan detailing the proposed site.



SECTION 2 INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY

The purpose of this study was the evaluation of the traffic operational and safety impacts of the proposed development upon roadways in the vicinity of the project site. Comments received from Knox County Engineering, Knoxville-Knox County Planning, and the Town of Farragut resulted in the existing intersections of Concord Road at Turkey Creek Road / Summerdale Drive, Concord Road at 2nd Drive, and Concord Road at Northshore Drive being identified for detailed study. Appropriate intersection evaluations such as capacity analyses, turn-lane warrants, and signal warrants were conducted at the study intersections for existing and future conditions, both with and without site generated traffic, in order to determine the anticipated impacts and to establish recommended measures to mitigate these impacts. Additionally, the proposed site accesses on Concord Road and 2nd Drive were evaluated for turn lane warrants and sight distance.



INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE OF STUDY



FIGURE 2 CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN



EXISTING CONDITIONS

EXISTING ROADWAY CONDITIONS

Concord Road is classified as a Minor Arterial per the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) Functional Classification Maps and runs south to north from Northshore Drive to Kingston Pike. Within the study limits, Concord Road varies between a divided four-lane roadway with 2 travel lanes in each direction and an undivided five-lane roadway with two travel lanes in each direction and a center two-way left turn lane. In the study vicinity, Concord Road has 12-foot travel lanes and a posted speed limit of 40 mph. Concord Road has curb and gutter, bike lanes, and sidewalk on both sides of the roadway within the vicinity of the study

Turkey Creek Road is classified as a Major Collector per the TDOT Functional Classification Maps and runs west to east from Virtue Road to Concord Road. Turkey Creek Road is a two-lane road with one travel lane in each direction. Within this section, Turkey Creek Road has 12-foot travel lanes and a posted speed limit of 40 mph. Turkey Creek Road has curb and gutter on both sides and sidewalk on the north side in the study vicinity.

2nd Drive is a local road with no pavement markings except at the intersection with Concord Road, and one travel lane each direction ranging from 7 to 8 feet wide. 2nd Drive has a posted speed limit of 25 mph, and it does not have curb and gutter or sidewalk.

Northshore Drive is a west to east road running from Beals Chapel Road to Papermill Drive. East of Concord Road, Northshore Drive is classified as a Minor Arterial per the TDOT Functional Classification Maps; west of Concord Road it is classified as a Major Collector. In the study vicinity, Northshore Drive is a two-lane road with one travel lane in each direction, has no curb and gutter or sidewalk, and has a posted speed limit of 40 mph. Northshore Drive has 12-foot lane widths east of Concord Road and 11-foot lane widths west of Concord Road in the study vicinity.

The existing intersection of Concord Road at Turkey Creek Road / Summerdale Drive is a four-legged signalized intersection. Concord Road is considered the north-south street, and Turkey Creek Road / Summerdale Drive are considered the east-west streets. The southbound Concord Road approach contains one exclusive left turn lane, two exclusive through lanes, and one exclusive right turn lane. The left turn lane has storage of approximately 90 feet, and the right turn storage is 470 feet. The northbound Concord Road approach contains one exclusive left turn lane, one exclusive through lane, and a shared through / right turn lane. The left turn lane storage is approximately 70 feet. The eastbound approach, Turkey Creed Road, contains one exclusive left turn lane and one lane that services all movements with a storage length of approximately 165 feet. The westbound approach, Summerdale Drive, consists of one lane to service all movements. Marked crosswalks and actuated pedestrian signal phases exist crossing all legs of the intersection.

The existing intersection of Concord Road at 2nd Drive is a three-legged, side-street stop controlled intersection. Concord Road is the north-south street and 2nd Drive is the east-west street. The southbound approach of Concord Road contains one exclusive left turn lane and two exclusive through lanes. The left turn lane has a storage length of approximately 65 feet. The northbound approach of Concord Road contains one exclusive through lane and one shared through / right turn



.....

lane. The westbound approach of 2nd Drive has one lane to service all movements. A marked crosswalk exists crossing the east leg of the intersection.

The existing intersection of Concord Road at Northshore Drive is a three-legged intersection controlled by a roundabout. Concord Road is considered the north-south street and Northshore Drive is considered the east-west street. The southbound approach of Concord Road contains one exclusive, yield controlled right turn bypass lane and an additional lane entering the roundabout. The eastbound approach of Northshore Drive has one lane entering the roundabout. The westbound approach of Northshore Drive contains one exclusive, free flowing right turn bypass lane and an additional lane entering the roundabout.

EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

The project site is located at the intersection of Concord Road and 2nd Drive on the east side of Concord Road and the north side of 2nd Drive. The area of the site is approximately 20.6 acres, and it is currently zoned Neighborhood Commercial. The site is relatively flat and wooded throughout with no existing structures. A driveway cut currently exists on the west side of the site to Concord Road. FIGURE 3 provides an aerial view of the project site and the surrounding area.



FIGURE 3 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS



EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA

Two types of traffic data were gathered for this study. The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) collects annual average daily traffic (AADT) data on roadways in the study area. Three count stations, located on Turkey Creek Road east of Brixworth Boulevard, Northshore Drive east of Concord Park Drive, and Concord Road at Clarity Pointe Lane, were found near the project site that were felt to have particular relevance for this study. The most currently available data from these stations can be found in TABLE 1.

COUNT YEAR	TDOT COUNT STATION 47000305 TURKEY CREEK ROAD	TDOT COUNT STATION 47000361 NORTHSHORE DRIVE	TDOT COUNT STATION 47000455 CONCORD ROAD
2018	2,889	13,682	10,070
2019	2,664	13,523	11,530
2020	2,264	13,793	11,464
2021	4,453	17,152	12,037
2022	4,404	15,495	11,905
2023	3,062	15,305	15,482

TABLE 1: ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

In addition to the available AADT data, intersection turning movement counts (TMC) were conducted at the existing study intersections to determine the current morning (AM) and evening (PM) peak hour operating volumes. These peak hour volumes are the traffic volumes with which the study's capacity analyses are based. The intersection TMC data were collected on March 20, 2024. The 2024 existing peak hour traffic volumes are summarized in FIGURE 4, and the raw data traffic count summary sheets are contained in APPENDIX A.

EXISTING CAPACITY ANALYSES

Capacity analyses employing the methods of the *Highway Capacity Manual* were conducted for the existing conditions at the study intersections. These analyses were performed with the 2024 existing traffic volumes, shown in FIGURE 4, and existing intersection geometry, traffic control, and signal timing. *Synchro 11* software was utilized for the capacity analyses for the intersection of Concord Road at Turkey Creek Road / Summerdale Drive. *HCS 2022* software was utilized for the capacity analysis for the intersections of Concord Road at 2nd Drive and Concord Road at Northshore Drive. The EVALUATIONS section of this report may be referenced for discussion and tabular summaries of these analyses, while more detailed summaries are presented on the computer printouts contained in APPENDIX C. Also contained in APPENDIX C is a section titled "Capacity and Level of Service Concepts," which provides a description of the utilized procedures.



SECTION 3

EXISTING CONDITIONS



FIGURE 4 2024 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES



BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH

The year for full buildout of the proposed development is unknown, however, the gas station / convenience store is anticipated to be completed in 2026. Therefore, Year 2026 was established as the appropriate design / analysis year for the study. In order to determine traffic volumes resulting solely from background traffic growth to Year 2026, it was necessary to establish an annual growth rate for existing traffic. The TDOT AADT values previously discussed, as well as knowledge of the area, were used to determine an approximate annual growth rate. Based on the available data, a background annual growth rate of 3.5% was assumed. FIGURE 5 contains the background traffic volumes that would result from this annual growth rate from Year 2024, when the counts were conducted, to Year 2026.

BACKGROUND CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

Capacity analyses as described in the EXISTING CONDITIONS section of this report were conducted utilizing the Year 2026 background volumes shown in FIGURE 5 and existing intersection geometry, traffic control, and signal timing. The EVALUATIONS section of this report may be referenced for discussion and tabular summaries of these analyses, while more detailed summaries are presented on the computer printouts contained in APPENDIX C.



SECTION 4

BACKGROUND CONDITIONS



FIGURE 5 2026 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES



FUTURE CONDITIONS

TRIP GENERATION

In order to estimate the expected traffic volumes to be generated by the proposed development, the procedures recommended by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) were utilized. The proposed development will include a gas station / convenience store that is 7,015 square feet and has 14 vehicle fueling stations, as well as two undetermined use retail buildings. These buildings are 2,800 square feet each, and were assumed to be fast food restaurants with drive throughs to account for the reasonable worst-case scenario traffic generated. The ITE *Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition* was utilized for estimating traffic generated. The generated traffic volumes were determined based on the data for the peak hours of adjacent street traffic. Per guidance from a Knoxville-Knox County memorandum regarding pass-by rates for several land uses, a 65% pass-by rate was applied to the trip generation for the fast-food restaurant. Pass-by trips are traffic volumes that are currently on the roadway and enter/exit the development as they "pass by" on their way to another destination. Additional trip generation information is contained in APPENDIX B. See TABLE 2 for a summary of the traffic generated for this development.



.....

TABLE 2: TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY

LAND USE	SIZE	WEEKDAY (TRIPS/DAY)	AM PEAK HOUR (TRIPS/HOUR)	PM PEAK HOUR (TRIPS/HOUR)
Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window LUC (934)	2,800 sqft	1,309	125	92
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		655 (50%) 654 (50%)	64 (51%) 61 (49%)	48 (52%) 44 (48%)
Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window LUC (934)	2,800 sqft	1,309	125	92
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		654 (50%) 655 (50%)	64 (51%) 61 (49%)	48 (52%) 44 (48%)
Convenience Store / Gas Station LUC (945)	7,015 Sqft 14 pumps	4,841	442	377
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		2,420 (50%) 2,421 (50%)	221 (50%) 221 (50%)	188 (50%) 189 (50%)
Total Trips		7,459	692	561
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		3,729 3,730	349 343	284 277
Internal Trips		N/A	90	129
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		N/A	45 45	65 64
Net External Trips		7,459	602	432
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		3,729 3,730	304 298	219 213
Pass-by Trips		4,194	387	319
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		2,097 2,097	195 192	161 158
Non-Pass-by Trips		3,265	215	113
Entering Trips Exiting Trips		1,632 1,633	109 106	58 55

A.M. Peak Hour trip generation is based on Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. P.M. Peak Hour trip generation is based on Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.



TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The proposed trip distribution for this development was determined through a review of existing travel patterns, local knowledge of the study area, proposed site location in relation to the surrounding roadway network, and engineering judgment. Primary trips and pass-by trips were separated based on the Knoxville-Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission pass-by rates. FIGURES 6A and 6B provide a summary of how the above site generated trips would be assigned to the study intersections. FIGURES 7A-7C provide the proposed trip assignment volumes to the study intersections.

FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Future projected traffic volumes for the study intersections were developed by adding the generated and assigned trips shown in FIGURE 7C to the 2026 background traffic volumes developed in the previous section and shown in FIGURE 5. These combined 2026 volumes reflect the existing traffic, the background traffic growth, and the generated traffic from the proposed development. These future volumes are shown on FIGURE 8 and are the combined volumes used in the analyses of future conditions with the proposed development.

FUTURE CAPACITY ANALYSES / LEVELS-OF-SERVICE

Capacity analyses as described in the EXISTING CONDITIONS section of this report were conducted utilizing the Year 2026 combined volumes shown in FIGURE 8 and existing intersection geometry, traffic control, and signal timing, as well as some improvement alternatives. Tabular summaries of the analyses results and associated discussion are also contained in the EVALUATIONS section. In addition, detailed computer printout summaries of the analyses are contained in APPENDIX C.



SECTION 5

FUTURE CONDITIONS



FIGURE 6A PRIMARY TRIP DISTRIBUTION



SECTION 5 FUTURE CONDITIONS



FIGURE 6B PASS-BY TRIP DISTRIBUTION



SECTION 5 FUTURE CONDITIONS

.....



FIGURE 7A PRIMARY TRIP ASSIGNMENT



.....

SECTION 5 FUTURE CONDITIONS

.....



FIGURE 7B PASS-BY TRIP ASSIGNMENT



SECTION 5

FUTURE CONDITIONS



FIGURE 7C TOTAL TRIP ASSIGNMENT



SECTION 5

FUTURE CONDITIONS



FIGURE 8 2026 COMBINED TRAFIC VOLUMES



EVALUATIONS

INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES

Intersection capacity analyses were performed for the study intersections. The capacity analyses employed the procedures of the *Highway Capacity Manual* utilizing *Synchro 11* software. A description of the fundamentals of these procedures is contained in the APPENDIX C section titled "Capacity and Level-of-Service Concepts." The results of these analyses for the existing, background and combined future traffic conditions are presented and discussed by individual intersection in the subsections below. Capacity analyses summaries are presented for each intersection in these individual subsections, which are accompanied by tables showing level-of-service (LOS) and queuing results. More detailed information is contained on the capacity software output summaries contained in APPENDIX C.

Potential mitigation measures were identified at intersections experiencing poor LOS or where vehicle queuing may become an issue. These mitigation strategies, where applicable, are described for each intersection in their respective subsections. The accompanying LOS and queue length tables show comparisons between the intersections under existing geometry, traffic control, and signal timing to these mitigation strategies in order to provide a quantitative measure of effectiveness of the mitigation.

Intersection #1: Concord Road at Turkey Creek Road / Summerdale Drive

As shown in TABLE 3, this intersection currently operates at overall LOS "C" during the peak hours. The intersection is expected to continue to operate at the same LOS under combined traffic conditions upon construction and full buildout of the proposed development. The eastbound shared left turn lane / through lane / right turn lane queue exceeds the current storage length in all scenarios, but lengthening the storage for this lane is not feasible due to the bridge. Queues for the northbound left turn lane may exceed the storage length; however, the two-way left turn lane provides plenty of spillback storage. Based on these analyses, the development will have only minimal impacts on intersection operations.



		ROAD / SUMMERDALE DRIVE			
SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	AM PEAK (LOS/DELAY)	PM PEAK (LOS/DELAY)	
2024 Existing	Existing Geometry, Traffic Control & Signal Timing	EB WB NB SB Overall	C 28.0 A 0.7 C 26.5 B 16.4 C 24.0	D 42.8 C 33.3 B 16.7 C 22.7 C 23.7	
2026 Background	Existing Geometry, Traffic Control & Signal Timing	EB WB NB SB Overall	C 30.2 A 0.8 C 27.9 B 16.4 C 25.3	D 45.4 C 34.0 B 17.2 C 24.2 C 25.1	
2026 Combined	Existing Geometry, Traffic Control & Signal Timing	EB WB NB SB Overall	C 33.3 A 0.9 C 29.9 B 19.6 C 27.8	D 45.8 D 37.6 B 17.8 C 25.7 C 26.1	

TABLE 3: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT TURKEY CREEK ROAD / SUMMERDALE DRIVE



SECTION 6 EVALUATIONS

.....

SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	АМ РЕАК	PM PEAK
2024 Existing	Existing Geometry, Traffic Control & Signal Timing	EBL EBL/T/R WBL/T/R NBT/R SBL SBT SBR	404' 178' 0' 31' 443' 10' 145' 19'	248' 193' 36' 92' 293' 12' 468' 33'
2026 Background	Existing Geometry, Traffic Control & Signal Timing	EBL EBL/T/R WBL/T/R NBT/R SBL SBT SBR	445' 206' 0' 32' 488' 10' 155' 20'	271' 216' 37' 98' 320' 13' 532' 35'
2026 Combined	Existing Geometry, Traffic Control & Signal Timing	EBL EBL/T/R WBL/T/R NBL NBT/R SBL SBT SBR	483' 227' 0' 53' 508' 10' 172' 21'	289' 220' 44' 115' 330' 12' 560' 38'

TABLE 4: 95^{TH} PERCENTIAL QUEUE SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT TURKEY CREEK
ROAD / SUMMERDALE DRIVE



.

Intersection #2: Concord Road at 2nd Drive

TABLE 3A indicates that the westbound approach of this intersection currently operates at overall LOS "B" during the AM peak hour and LOS "C" during the PM peak hour. The approach is anticipated to worsen to LOS "E" during the AM peak hour and "F" during the PM peak hour upon full buildout of the development under existing geometry and traffic control. The westbound approach currently experiences minimal traffic, with the resulting delay mainly affecting users of the development rather than other users. Several mitigation scenarios were evaluated, resulting in marginal improvements for the westbound approach but potentially worsening conditions for other approaches. Signalizing this intersection would cause unnecessary delays to Concord Road that would not exist even with the full buildout of this development. While separating the left and right lanes on the westbound approach would lead to slightly shorter delays and queue lengths, the benefits are not significant enough to justify the cost and effort involved. According to the charts by M.D. Harmelink in the *TDOT - Roadway Design Guidelines*, the storage warranted for the southbound left turn lane is 200 feet. However, as seen in TABLE 4A, the queue length for this movement is projected to be 25 feet under the 2026 Combined scenario.

SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
		APPROACH	(LOS/DELAY)	(LOS/DELAY)
2024 Existing	Existing Geometry &	WB	B 12.2	C 16.1
	Traffic Control	SBL	B 12.5	A 9.6
2026 Background	Existing Geometry &	WB	B 12.6	C 17.0
	Traffic Control	SBL	B 13.2	A 9.8
2026 Combined	Existing Geometry &	WB	E 49.9	F 56.6
	Traffic Control	SBL	B 13.0	B 11.1
2026 Combined	Exclusive Left & Right Turn Lanes On 2 nd Drive	WB SBL	E 35.2 B 13.0	E 47.7 B 11.1
2026 Combined	Northbound Right Turn	WB	E 44.3	F 53.6
	Lane On Concord Road	SBL	B 13.0	B 11.1
2026 Combined	Signalized	WB NB SB Overall	C 20.7 B 16.3 A 5.2 B 13.3	C 34.2 B 13.0 A 5.6 B 10.5

TABLE 3A: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY - CONCORD ROAD AT 2ND DRIVE



SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	АМ РЕАК	РМ РЕАК
2024 Existing	Existing Geometry &	WB	0'	3'
	Traffic Control	SBL	0'	0'
2026 Background	Existing Geometry &	WB	0'	3'
	Traffic Control	SBL	0'	0'
2026 Combined	Existing Geometry &	WB	118'	120'
	Traffic Control	SBL	25'	18'
2026 Combined	Exclusive Left & Right	WBL	78'	100′
	Turn Lanes On	WBR	13'	5′
	2 nd Drive	SBL	25'	18′
2026 Combined	Northbound Right Turn	WB	108′	115'
	Lane On Concord Road	SBL	25′	18'
2026 Combined	Signalized	WB NB SBL SBT	79' 317' 41' 49'	110' 222' 42' 148'

TABLE 4A: 95TH PERCENTIAL QUEUE SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT 2ND DRIVE



.

Intersection #3: Concord Road at Northshore Drive

As indicated in TABLES 3B and 4B, the existing overall intersection LOS is an "F" during the AM peak hour, primarily due to significant delays and queues on the eastbound approach. This is projected to worsen to a more severe "F" through the background and combined scenarios. During the PM peak hour, the LOS is expected to worsen from "E" to "F" due to background traffic growth, with only a marginal increase in delay and queue length attributed to the development. The queue length and approach delay are anticipated to increase more significantly because of background conditions rather than the impact of the development.

		DRIVE		
SCE	ENARIO	MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	AM PEAK (LOS/DELAY)	PM PEAK (LOS/DELAY)
2024 Existing	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	EB WB SB Overall	F 103.1 A 6.5 A 6.1 F 56.7	E 36.3 A 7.1 C 23.0 C 19.7
2026 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	EB WB SB Overall	F 145.4 A 7.7 A 6.5 F 79.1	F 58.7 A 8.4 D 32.3 D 28.8
2026 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	EB WB SB Overall	F 167.9 A 7.8 A 6.8 F 89.3	F 65.7 A 8.5 D 34.3 D 31.2

TABLE 3B: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT NORTHSHORE



.

	NORTHSHORE DRIVE				
SCI	ENARIO	MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	АМ РЕАК	РМ РЕАК	
2024 Existing	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	EB WB SBL SBR	880' 78' 25' 15'	298' 120' 198' 135'	
2026 Background	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	EB WB SBL SBR	1148' 98' 28' 18'	418' 150' 273' 178'	
2026 Combined	Existing Geometry & Traffic Control	EB WB SBL SBR	1268' 100' 33' 20'	450' 153' 290' 188'	

TABLE 4B: 95TH PERCENTIAL QUEUE SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT NORTHSHORE DRIVE

Intersection #4: Concord Road at Site Access

As shown in TABLES 3C and 4C, the site access on Concord Road with the proposed geometry has sufficient capacity for the development. The worst approach, westbound, has a LOS of "C" during the AM peak hour and "B" during the PM peak hour under the combined traffic scenario. The proposed condition analyzed a restricted access with right turn only from Concord Road into the site and a right turn only exiting the site onto Concord Road. There is currently a right turn flare at the driveway cut that exists where the proposed site access will be located.

TABLE 3C: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT SITE ACCESS

SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
		APPROACH	(LOS/DELAY)	(LOS/DELAY)
2026 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	WB	C 16.7	B 12.7



TABLE 4C: 95TH PERCENTIAL QUEUE SUMMARY – CONCORD ROAD AT SITE ACCESS

SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	АМ РЕАК	РМ РЕАК
2026 Combined	Proposed Geometry & Traffic Control	WBR	40′	15'

Intersection #5: 2nd Drive at Site Access

As shown in TABLES 3D and 4D, the site access on 2nd Drive with the proposed geometry has sufficient capacity for the development. All approaches have a LOS "A" during both peak hours under the combined traffic scenario. The proposed condition analyzed one lane servicing all movements for each approach.

TABLE 3D: CAPACITY ANALYSES SUMMARY - 2ND DRIVE AT SITE ACCESS

SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
		APPROACH	(LOS/DELAY)	(LOS/DELAY)
2026 Combined	Proposed Geometry &	EB	A 7.5	A 7.4
	Traffic Control	SB	A 8.9	A 8.9

TABLE 4D: 95TH PERCENTIAL QUEUE SUMMARY – 2ND DRIVE AT SITE ACCESS

SCENARIO		MOVEMENT/ APPROACH	АМ РЕАК	РМ РЕАК
2026 Combined	Proposed Geometry &	EBL	13'	10'
	Traffic Control	SB	13'	13'

TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS

Turn lane evaluations were conducted for a potential right lane entering the site from Concord Road and potential left and right lanes entering from 2nd Drive under combined volume scenarios, as well as a potential right turn lane from Concord Road onto 2nd Drive. The methods employed for the turn lane evaluation on 2nd Drive were those developed by M.D. Harmelink, as provided by in a series of tables from the Knox County publication *Access Control and Driveway Design Policy*. The results of these evaluations were that neither right nor left turn lanes are warranted on 2nd Drive entering the proposed development's access.



The methods used for determining if turn lanes are warranted on Concord Road are the tables provided in TDOT's *Highway Systems Access Manual Volume 3*. A right turn lane into the site access from Concord Road and a right turn lane onto 2nd Drive from Concord Road were found to be warranted. Additional information can be found on the turn lane evaluation worksheets contained in APPENDIX D. Because a left turn lane exists from Concord Road onto 2nd Drive, a left turn lane warrant was not analyzed at this location.

SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS

A signal warrant evaluation was performed for the intersection of Concord Road at 2nd Drive using the methods provided in the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*. None of the four hours of traffic data collected meet the warrant for existing and background conditions at this intersection. Under the combined condition, both AM and PM peak hours meet the signal warrant for Concord Road at 2nd Drive. An 8-hour warrant has not been evaluated; however, due to not having enough data. Additional information can be found on the signal warrant evaluation worksheets contained in APPENDIX E.

SIGHT DISTANCE ASSESSMENT

Intersection sight distance was evaluated at the proposed intersections of Concord Road at the site access and 2nd Drive at the site access through field measurements. Measurements were taken looking right and left from the proposed site access approach at each location. According to AASHTO's *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* sight distance requirements for 40 mph roadways, 445 feet of sight distance is necessary when looking right and 385 feet is necessary when looking left from the proposed site access onto Concord Road. The sight distance looking left extends to the intersection with Northshore Drive. Sight distance looking right was not measured due to only having a right turn out of the site.

For 25 mph roadways, Knox County mandates 250 feet of sight distance looking left and right from the proposed site access onto 2nd Drive. The sight distance looking right onto 2nd Drive extends to the intersection of Concord Road. However, the sight distance looking left is obstructed to 225 feet due to a horizontal curve caused by vegetation and the current elevation of the corner of the proposed property. These issues can be mitigated by clearing and grading the proposed site to achieve a sight distance of at least 250 feet.



CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The primary conclusion of this study is that the traffic generated from the proposed development will have only minor impacts at the study intersections. Major congestion issues during peak hours do currently exist at the intersection of Concord Road at Northshore Drive, but the additional development impacts to this intersection would be marginal. The largest operational impacts would occur at the intersection of Concord Roat at 2nd Drive on the westbound 2nd Drive approach. This approach currently has very little traffic, and the majority of delay would be to users of the new development. The Knox County Engineering Department requested that the minimum width of 2nd Drive be increased to at least 20 feet. This width was deemed adequate, as heavy trucks are not expected to use this access into and out of the site. Turn lanes were warranted along Concord Road at the proposed site access and at 2nd Drive, and some were found to improve the operations of their respective intersections. Although a right turn lane is warranted into the site access from Concord Drive, there is currently a driveway flare that should be sufficient to serve the development. Furthermore, constructing of a right turn lane would require the relocation of a new large transmission pole. The signal warrant analysis at Concord Road at 2nd Drive determined that both peak hours meet the warrant under the combined scenario. However, because of the intersection's adequate performance as a side-street stop-controlled intersection, it is not recommended to install a signal at this time. Additionally, the southbound left turn volumes theoretically warrant an increase of the storage length to 200 feet, but the projected queue length is only around 25 feet. This being said, no changes to the existing turn lane are recommended.

Based on the above conclusions and other discussions throughout the report, the following is a list of recommendations developed with this traffic impact study:

- 1) A northbound right turn lane onto 2nd Drive from Concord Road should be constructed with a storage length of 50 feet and a taper length of 120 feet.
- 2) Ensure that grading, landscaping, signing, and other site features do not restrict lines of sight exiting the development. The sight distance looking left when exiting the site onto 2nd Drive should be improved to at least 250 feet.
- 3) The lanes on 2nd Drive should be widened to at least 10 feet per Knox County requirements.



SECTION 8

APPENDIX

.....

APPENDIX

A. TRAFFIC DATA

- **B. TRIP GENERATION INFORMATION**
- C. CAPACITY ANALYSES
- D. TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS
- **E. SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS**



APPENDIX A

TRAFFIC DATA

.....

APPENDIX A - TRAFFIC DATA



TRAFFIC GROWTH

Source:	TDOT	
Location:	Concord Rd	
	SR332	
Route #:		
Route Type:		
Station:	47000455	
Capacity:		

Count Year	Volume	Growth Rate
2003		
2004		#DIV/0!
2005		#DIV/0!
2006	9125	#DIV/0!
2007	9399	3.00
2008	13128	39.67
2009	8232	-37.29
2010	9390	14.07
2011	9072	-3.39
2012	9996	10.19
2013	10402	4.06
2014	11048	6.21
2015	11529	4.35
2016	11898	3.20
2017	12462	4.74
2018	10070	-19.19
2019	11530	14.50
2020	11464	-0.57
2021	12037	5.00
2022	11905	-1.10
2023	15482	30.05

Avg. 1 Year Rate 2003-2023	#DIV/0!
Avg. 1 Year Rate 2013-2023	4.72
Avg. 1 Year Rate 2018-2023	9.57

Source:	TDOT	
Location:	S. Northshore Dr.	
	Southeast of Farragu	
Route #:		
Route Type:		
Station:	47000361	
Capacity:		

Count Year	Volume	Growth Rate
2003	9036	
2004	8820	-2.39
2005	10107	14.59
2006	9769	-3.34
2007	9429	-3.48
2008	9411	-0.19
2009	8802	-6.47
2010	9802	11.36
2011	9621	-1.85
2012	9372	-2.59
2013	10453	11.53
2014	11846	13.33
2015	12099	2.14
2016	12576	3.94
2017	13709	9.01
2018	13682	-0.20
2019	13523	-1.16
2020	13793	2.00
2021	17152	24.35
2022	15495	-9.66
2023	15305	-1.23

Avg. 1 Year Rate 2003-2023	2.98
Avg. 1 Year Rate 2013-2023	4.25
Avg. 1 Year Rate 2018-2023	2.86
Source:	TDOT
-------------	----------------------
Location:	Turkey Creek Rd
	Near Loudon Co. Line
Route #:	
Route Type:	
Station:	47000305
Capacity:	

Count Year	Volume	Growth Rate
2003	1441	
2004	1484	2.98
2005	1629	9.77
2006	1487	-8.72
2007	1708	14.86
2008	1828	7.03
2009	1526	-16.52
2010	1676	9.83
2011	1831	9.25
2012	1915	4.59
2013	1823	-4.80
2014	2050	12.45
2015	2213	7.95
2016	2423	9.49
2017	2969	22.53
2018	2889	-2.69
2019	2664	-7.79
2020	2264	-15.02
2021	4453	96.69
2022	4404	-1.10
2023	3062	-30.47

Avg. 1 Year Rate 2003-2023	6.02
Avg. 1 Year Rate 2013-2023	7.93
Avg. 1 Year Rate 2018-2023	8.46

SR 332/Concord Rd & Turkey Crk Rd/Summerdale Dr

SR 332/Concord Rd ID: 24-190012-001 Day: Wednesday City: Knoxville Date: 3/20/2024 SOUTHBOUND COUNT PERIODS 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM 1474 7:00 AM - 09:00 AM 186 343 6 0 AM PEAK HOURS AM NONE 0 0 NONE NOON 0 0 0 NOON 05:00 PM - 06:00 PM РМ 375 888 8 0 926 РМ 4:00 PM - 06:00 PM ᠷ AM NOON РМ PΜ NOON AM 2 0 1 1 16 0 21 **Furkey Crk Rd/Summerdale Dr** Turkey Crk Rd/Summerdale Dr 219 0 503 🗢 EASTBOUND CONTROL NES 3 0 0 Signalized 8 0 0 0 3 0 4 0 T B Ò 512 251 2128 0 2437 0 0 0 1.3 ΤΕν 0 NOON AM РМ ND 0 0 PHF 0.88 0.90 1 0.3 11 19 0 \Rightarrow 0 97 0.3 73 0 2 Υ PM NOON AM NOON ΡM AM 989 Totals (AM) PM 0 125 659 10 PΜ Totals (AM) 186 343 186 343 NOON 0 0 0 0 0 NOON ശ ø 512 512 **t** 21 **t** 21 424 0 33 941 5 AM AM 0⇒ **-**0 0⇒ **+** 0 -NORTHBOUND 73 73 **F** 8 **F** 8 941 С ω 94 SR 332/Concord Rd ω **Totals (NOON) Totals (NOON) Pedestrians (Crosswalks)** 0 0 0 NOON O 0 0_ **t** 0 NOO **t** 0 Z Ā Ā Σ 0 0 ← 0 0-0 ← 0 0 1 0 **F** 0 **F** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 РМ РМ 0 0 000 0 0 0 t NOON NOON 0 0 AM 0 AM 0 Totals (PM) Totals (PM) 0 0 AM AM 0 375 NOON 0 ♠ ╋ NOON 375 **★**8888 888 ø ∞ PM PΜ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 251 251 **t** 16 **t** 16 NOON NOON **4**3 1 1⇒ **-**3 -Ā Ā Ā 0 Ā 0 97 **F** 4 97 0 659 659 125 10 5

National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: SR 332/Concord Rd & Turkey Crk Rd/Summerdale Dr City: Knoxville

	SR 332/Con Knoxville Signalized	cord Rd & 1	Turkey Crk I	Rd/Summe	erdale Dr								Pro		24-190012-0 3/20/2024	001	
_								Data -	Totals								
NS/EW Streets:		SR 332/Co	ncord Rd			SR 332/Co	ncord Rd		Turke	ey Crk Rd/S	ummerdale	Dr	Turke	y Crk Rd/S	ummerdale	Dr	
		NORTH	BOUND			SOUTH	BOUND			EASTB	OUND			WESTB	OUND		
AM	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	1.3	0.3	0.3	0	0	1	0	0	
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	3	117	0	0	0	38	15	0	100	0	10	0	3	0	4	0	290
7:15 AM	5	166	0	0	0	42	33	0	132	0	16	0	0	0	7	0	401
7:30 AM	8	252	0	0	2	66	30	0	123	0	8	0	0	0	13	0	502
7:45 AM	5	271	1	0	2	88	55	0	149	0	24	0	4	0	4	0	603
8:00 AM	11	213	1	0	1	92	53	0	125	0	22	0	2	0	3	0	523
8:15 AM	9	205	3	0	1	97	48	0	115	0	19	0	2	0	1	0	500
8:30 AM	4	195	0	0	2	115	43	0	106	0	12	0	0	0	3	0	480
8:45 AM	6	159	0	0	1	83	34	0	84	0	12	0	1	0	2	0	382
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	51	1578	5	0	9	621	311	0	934	0	123	0	12	0	37	0	3681
APPROACH %'s :	3.12%	96.57%	0.31%	0.00%	0.96%	65.99%	33.05%	0.00%	88.36%	0.00%	11.64%	0.00%	24.49%	0.00%	75.51%	0.00%	
PEAK HR :		07:30 AM -							540		70						TOTAL
PEAK HR VOL :	33	941	5	0	6	343	186	0	512	0	73	0	8	0	21	0	2128
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.750	0.868 0.88	0.417 84	0.000	0.750	0.884 0.91	0.845 6	0.000	0.859	0.000 0.84	0.760 15	0.000	0.500	0.000 0.55	0.404 58	0.000	0.882
		NARTU	0.0110			0011711				54070	0.000			14/5070	0.1110		
DN/		NORTH		0		SOUTH		0		EASTB		0	•	WESTB		•	
PM	1 NL	2 NT	0 NR	0 NU	1 SL	2 ST	1 SR	0 SU	1.3 EL	0.3 ET	0.3 ER	0 EU	0 WL	1 WT	0 WR	0 WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	23	138	1	0	3L 1	203	3R 115	0	49	0	15	0	4	0	1	0	550
4:15 PM	23 34	148	2	0	1	169	76	0	49	0	20	0	0	0	1	0	496
4:30 PM	24	135	3	0	8	217	94	0	43 58	0	20	0	1	0	2	0	565
4:45 PM	24	155	1	o	2	197	89	0	82	0	14	0	0	0	2	o	562
5:00 PM	30	143	4	0	0	229	93	0	60	0	21	0	0	1	6	0	587
5:15 PM	35	188	4	0	2	243	101	0	70	1	29	0	2	0	2	0	677
5:30 PM	37	161	o.	0	5	216	93	ŏ	51	ò	23	õ	1	õ	4	õ	591
5:45 PM	23	167	2	ō	1	200	88	Ō	70	Ō	24	ō	1	2	4	0	582
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	FI	ET	FR	EU	WI	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	226	1235	17	0	20	1674	749	0	485	1	169	0	9	3	22	0	4610
APPROACH %'s :	15.29%	83.56%	1.15%	0.00%	0.82%	68.52%	30.66%	0.00%	74.05%	0.15%	25.80%	0.00%	26.47%	8.82%	64.71%	0.00%	4010
PEAK HR :		05:00 PM -		2.0070	2.0270	22.5270	22.3070	2.0070		2.1070		2.0070		2.5270	27170	2.0070	TOTAL
PEAK HR VOL :	125	659	10	0	8	888	375	0	251	1	97	0	4	3	16	0	2437
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.845	0.876	0.625	0.000	0.400	0.914	0.928	0.000	0.896	0.250	0.836	0.000	0.500	0.375	0.667	0.000	
		0.87				0.91				0.8				0.82			0.900

SR 332/Concord Rd & 2nd Dr/2nd St

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: SR 332/Concord Rd & 2nd Dr/2nd St

	SR 332/Cor Knoxville 1-Way Stop		nd Dr/2nd	St									Pro	oject ID: 2 Date: 3	24-190012-0 3/20/2024	002	
_	3 11							Data -	Totals								
NS/EW Streets:		SR 332/Co	ncord Rd			SR 332/Co	ncord Rd			2nd Dr/2	2nd St			2nd Dr/2	2nd St		
		NORTH	BOUND			SOUTH	BOUND			EASTB	OUND			WESTE	OUND		
AM	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
7:00 AM	NL 0	NT 106	NR	NU	SL 0	ST 44	SR	SU	EL 0	ET 0	ER	EU		WT	2 WR	WU	TOTAL 153
7:00 AM 7:15 AM	0	106	0	0	0	44 62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0 0	215
7:30 AM	0	255	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	332
7:45 AM	0	261	0	0	1	107	0	0	ő	0	0	0	ŏ	0	1	ŏ	370
8:00 AM	0	195	0	0	0	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	309
8:15 AM	ō	202	1	0	1	107	ō	1	ō	ō	ō	0	ō	ō	1	0	313
8:30 AM	0	186	1	0	1	122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	311
8:45 AM	0	164	1	0	1	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	253
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	0	1520	3	0	4	717	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	0	2256
APPROACH %'s :	0.00%	99.80%	0.20%	0.00%	0.55%	99.31%	0.00%	0.14%					18.18%	0.00%	81.82%	0.00%	TOTAL
PEAK HR : PEAK HR VOL :	0	07:30 AM - 913	1	0	2	403	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1324
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.000	0.875	0.250	0.000	0.500	0.892	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	
FLAK HK FACTOR .	0.000	0.87		0.000	0.300	0.072		0.230	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.00		0.000	0.895
			-				-										
		NORTH	BOUND			SOUTH	BOUND			EASTB	OUND			WESTE	OUND		
PM	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	0	159	0	0	0	219	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	380
4:15 PM	0	155	1	0	0	197	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	355
4:30 PM	0	159	1	0	2	227	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	390
4:45 PM 5:00 PM	0	168 170	1	0	0	203	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	374 420
5:15 PM	0	212	1	0	1	247	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	420
5:30 PM	ő	179	1	ŏ	ò	253	ő	0	0	ő	ő	õ	1	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	434
5:45 PM	ŏ	191	ò	Ő	ĩ	195	õ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0	3	õ	2	ŏ	392
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	0	1393	5	0	5	1802	0	1	1	0	0	0	6	0	8	0	3221
APPROACH %'s :	0.00%	99.64%	0.36%	0.00%	0.28%	99.67%	0.00%	0.06%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	42.86%	0.00%	57.14%	0.00%	
PEAK HR :		05:00 PM -				05.4							-				TOTAL
PEAK HR VOL :	0	752	3	0	2	956	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	1722
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.000	0.887	0.750	0.000	0.500	0.916 0.91	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.417	0.000	0.375	0.000	0.904
		0.88	50			0.91	4			0.25	00			0.40	10		

SR 332/Concord Rd & S Northshore Dr

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: SR 332/Concord Rd & S Northshore Dr City: Knoxville

City:	Knoxville	ncord Rd &		re Dr									Pro		24-190012- 3/20/2024	003	
								Data -	Totals								
NS/EW Streets:		SR 332/C	oncord Rd			SR 332/Cor	ncord Rd			S Northsh	nore Dr			S Norths	hore Dr		
		NORTH	HBOUND			SOUTHE	BOUND			EASTB	OUND			WESTE	BOUND		
AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	30	0	9	0	65	165	0	0	0	29	52	0	350
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	35	0	14	0	88	187	0	0	0	49	68	0	441
7:30 AM 7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	52 52	0	34 44	1	153 159	113 120	0	1	0	92 98	102 120	1	549 594
7:45 AM 8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	61	0	44	0	169	92	0	0	0	73	55	0	594 496
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	60	0	38	0	80	92	0	0	0	73	88	0	490
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	72	0	57	0	75	108	0	0	0 0	72	93	o	430
8:45 AM	ő	ő	ő	ő	39	ő	48	1	81	113	ő	õ	ŏ	71	79	ő	432
0.107.00	Ŭ	Ŭ	, in the second s	Ŭ		Ŭ			0.		, in the second se	°.					102
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES :	0	0	0	0	401	0	290	2	870	994	0	1	0	558	657	2	3775
APPROACH %'s :					57.86%	0.00%	41.85%	0.29%	46.65%	53.30%	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	45.85%	53.99%	0.16%	
PEAK HR :		07:15 AM															TOTAL
PEAK HR VOL :	0	0	0	0	200	0	138	1	569	512	0	1	0	312	345	2	2080
PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.820	0.000	0.750	0.250	0.842	0.684	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.796	0.719	0.500	0.875
						0.79	92			0.97	0			0.7	52		0.075
		NODTI	BOUND			SOUTH				EASTB				WESTE			
PM	0	0		0	1	0	1	0	0	1 EASIB		0	0	1	1	0	
FIVI	NI	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	FT	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	wu	TOTAL
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	101	0	107	0	55	84	0	1	0	120	95	0	563
4:15 PM	ŏ	ő	ő	ŏ	115	õ	95	0	50	90	õ	0	õ	120	101	1	572
4:30 PM	ō	ō	Ō	0	115	0	106	0	72	85	ō	0	ō	122	102	0	602
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	143	0	52	1	52	92	0	1	0	149	104	1	595
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	129	0	117	0	63	98	0	0	0	153	116	1	677
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	133	0	136	0	71	81	0	1	0	157	127	3	709
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	147	0	100	0	53	109	0	0	0	151	130	7	697
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	101	0	92	1	65	102	0	0	0	142	121	1	625
	NI	NT	ND	NU I	CL	CT	CD	C11	F 1	FT	ED	511	14/1	MT	14/15	14/11	TOTAL
	NL	NT 0	NR 0	NU O	SL 984	ST	SR 805	SU	EL	ET 741	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
TOTAL VOLUMES : APPROACH %'s :	0	U	U	U	984 54.94%	0 0.00%	805 44.95%	2 0.11%	481 39.27%	741 60.49%	0 0.00%	3 0.24%	0.00%	1114 55.04%	896 44.27%	14 0.69%	5040
PEAK HR :		05.00 DM	- 06:00 PM		34.94%	0.00%	44.95%	U.11%	37.21%	00.49%	0.00%	0.24%	0.00%	55.04%	44.2770	0.09%	TOTAL
PEAK HR : PEAK HR VOL :	0	05:00 PM	06:00 PM	0	510	0	445	1	252	390	0	1	0	603	494	12	2708
PEAK HR VOL : PEAK HR FACTOR :	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.867	0.000	445 0.818	0.250	252	0.894	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.960	494 0.950	0.429	
FLAK HK FACTOR :	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000		0.250	0.007	0.894		0.250	0.000	0.960		0.429	0.955
						0.00				0.90	15			0.90	55		

APPENDIX B

TRIP GENERATION INFORMATION

.....

APPENDIX B - TRIP GENERATION INFORMATION



Land Use: 934 Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window

Description

This land use includes any fast-food restaurant with a drive-through window. This type of restaurant is characterized by a large drive-through and large carry-out clientele, long hours of service (some are open for breakfast, all are open for lunch and dinner, some are open late at night or 24 hours a day) and high turnover rates for eat-in customers. The restaurant does not provide table service. A patron generally orders from a menu board and pays before receiving the meal. A typical duration of stay for an eat-in patron is less than 30 minutes. Fast casual restaurant (Land Use 930), high-turnover (sit-down) restaurant (Land Use 932), fast-food restaurant without drive-through window (Land Use 933), and fast-food restaurant with drive-through window and no indoor seating (Land Use 935) are related uses.

Additional Data

Users should exercise caution when applying statistics during the AM peak periods, as the sites contained in the database for this land use may or may not be open for breakfast. In cases where it was confirmed that the sites were not open for breakfast, data for the AM peak hour of the adjacent street traffic were removed from the database.

If the restaurant has outdoor seating, its area is not included in the overall gross floor area. For a restaurant that has significant outdoor seating, the number of seats may be more reliable than GFA as an independent variable on which to establish a trip generation rate.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alaska, Alberta (CAN), California, Colorado, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Source Numbers

163, 164, 168, 180, 181, 241, 245, 278, 294, 300, 301, 319, 338, 340, 342, 358, 389, 438, 502, 552, 577, 583, 584, 617, 640, 641, 704, 715, 728, 810, 866, 867, 869, 885, 886, 927, 935, 962, 977, 1050, 1053, 1054



Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 71 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3 Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
467.48	98.89 - 1137.66	238.62

Data Plot and Equation



Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a:	Weekday,
	Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
	One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	96
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	
Directional Distribution:	51% entering, 49% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
44.61	1.05 - 164.25	27.14

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 11th Edition

• Institute of Transportation Engineers

Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	190
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA:	
Directional Distribution:	52% entering, 48% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
33.03	8.77 - 117.22	17.59

Data Plot and Equation



Land Use: 945 Convenience Store/Gas Station

Description

A convenience store/gas station is a facility with a co-located convenience store and gas station. The convenience store sells grocery and other everyday items that a person may need or want as a matter of convenience. The gas station sells automotive fuels such as gasoline and diesel.

A convenience store/gas station is typically located along a major thoroughfare to optimize motorist convenience. Extended hours of operation (with many open 24 hours, 7 days a week) are common at these facilities.

The convenience store product mix typically includes pre-packaged grocery items, beverages, dairy products, snack foods, confectionary, tobacco products, over-the-counter drugs, and toiletries. A convenience store may sell alcohol, often limited to beer and wine. Coffee and premade sandwiches are also commonly sold at a convenience store. Made-to-order food orders are sometimes offered. Some stores offer limited seating.

The sites in this land use include both self-pump and attendant-pumped fueling positions and both pre-pay and post-pay operations.

Convenience store (Land Use 851), gasoline/service station (Land Use 944), and truck stop (Land Use 950) are related uses.

Land Use Subcategory

Multiple subcategories were added to this land use to allow for multi-variable evaluation of sites with single-variable data plots. All study sites are assigned to one of three subcategories, based on the number of vehicle fueling positions (VFP) at the site: between 2 and 8 VFP, between 9 and 15 VFP, and between 16 and 24 VFP. For each VFP range subcategory, data plots are presented with GFA as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both GFA and VFP (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of *Trip Generation Manual*.

Further, the study sites were also assigned to one of three other subcategories, based on the gross floor area (GFA) of the convenience store at the site: between 2,000 and 4,000 square feet, between 4,000 and 5,500 square feet, and between 5,500 and 10,000 square feet. For each GFA subcategory range, data plots are presented with VFP as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both VFP and GFA (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of *Trip Generation Manual*.



When analyzing the convenience store/gas station land use with each combination of GFA and VFP values as described above, the two sets of data plots will produce two estimates of site-generated trips. Both values can be considered when determining a site trip generation estimate.

Data plots are also provided for three additional independent variables: AM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, PM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, and employees. These independent variables are intended to be analyzed as single independent variables and do not have subcategories associated with them. Within the data plots and within the ITETripGen web app, these plots are found under the land use subcategory "none."

Additional Data

ITE recognizes there are existing convenience store/gas station sites throughout North America that are larger than the sites presented in the data plots. However, the ITE database does not include any site with more than 24 VFP or any site with gross floor area greater than 10,000 square feet. Submission of trip generation data for larger sites is encouraged.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Source Numbers

221, 245, 274, 288, 300, 340, 350, 351, 352, 355, 359, 385, 440, 617, 718, 810, 813, 844, 850, 853, 864, 865, 867, 869, 882, 883, 888, 904, 926, 927, 936, 938, 954, 960, 962, 977, 1004, 1024, 1025, 1027, 1052



Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies:1Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions:12Directional Distribution:50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
345.75	345.75 - 345.75	*

Data Plot and Equation

Caution – Small Sample Size



Trip Gen Manual, 11th Edition

• Institute of Transportation Engineers

Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) (945)

	Vehicle Fueling Positions Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	29
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions:	
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
31.60	12.58 - 49.31	9.10

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 11th Edition

• Institute of Transportation Engineers

Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) (945)

•	Vehicle Fueling Positions Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	29
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions:	
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
26.90	15.50 - 45.25	6.87

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 11th Edition

• Institute of Transportation Engineers

	NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool								
Project Name:	Project Name: E-Z Stop Concord Organization: Cannon & Cannon, Inc.								
Project Location:	Knoxville, TN		Performed By:	WDR					
Scenario Description:	Full Buildout		Date:	4/10/2024					
Analysis Year:	2026		Checked By:						
Analysis Period:	AM Street Peak Hour		Date:						

	Table 1-	A: Base Vehic	le-Trip Generatior	n Est	imates (Single-Use S	ite Estimate)		
L and Llas	Development Data (For Information Only)				Estimated Vehicle-Trips ³			
Land Use	ITE LUCs ¹	Quantity	Units	1	Total	Entering	Exiting	
Office	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	0	0	0	
Retail	945	7,015sf	n/a		442	221	221	
Restaurant	934	5,600sf	n/a		250	128	122	
Cinema/Entertainment	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	0	0	0	
Residential	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0	
Hotel	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 [0	0	0	
All Other Land Uses ²	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0	
					692	349	343	

Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates								
Land Use		Entering Tri	ps		Exiting Trips			
Land Ose	Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		Veh. Occ. ⁴	% Transit	% Non-Motorized	
Office								
Retail								
Restaurant								
Cinema/Entertainment								
Residential								
Hotel								
All Other Land Uses ²								

Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)								
Origin (From)		Destination (To)						
Origin (From)	Office	Office Retail Restaurant Cinema/Entertainment F		Residential	Hotel			
Office								
Retail								
Restaurant								
Cinema/Entertainment								
Residential								
Hotel								

Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix*									
Origin (From)		Destination (To)							
Oligili (FIOIII)	Office	Office Retail Restaurant Cinema/Entertainment Residentia				Hotel			
Office		0	0	0	0	0			
Retail	0		29	0	0	0			
Restaurant	0	17		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0			
Residential	0	0	0	0		0			
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 5-A:	: Computatio	ns Summary	Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use			
Total Entering Exiting		Land Use	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips		
All Person-Trips	692	349	343	Office	N/A	N/A
Internal Capture Percentage	13%	13%	13%	Retail	8%	13%
				Restaurant	23%	14%
External Vehicle-Trips ⁵	600	303	297	Cinema/Entertainment	N/A	N/A
External Transit-Trips ⁶	0	0	0	Residential	N/A	N/A
External Non-Motorized Trips ⁶	0	0	0	Hotel	N/A	N/A

¹ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from <i>Trip Generation Manual</i> , published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
² Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.
³ Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE <i>Trip Generation Manual</i>).
⁴ Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-A vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be made to Tables 5-A, 9-A (O and D). Enter transit, non-motorized percentages that will result with proposed mixed-use project complete.
⁵ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A.
⁶ Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

Project Name:	E-Z Stop Concord
Analysis Period:	AM Street Peak Hour

Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends										
Land Use	Tab	le 7-A (D): Enter	ing Trips		-	Table 7-A (O): Exiting Trips				
Land Use	Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*		Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*			
Office	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0			
Retail	1.00	221	221		1.00	221	221			
Restaurant	1.00	128	128		1.00	122	122			
Cinema/Entertainment	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0			
Residential	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0			
Hotel	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0			

	Table 8-A (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin)											
Origin (From)		Destination (To)										
Oligin (Floin)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel						
Office		0	0	0	0	0						
Retail	64		29	0	31	0						
Restaurant	38	17		0	5	4						
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0						
Residential	0	0	0	0		0						
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0							

	Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination)										
Origin (From)	Destination (To)										
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office		71	29	0	0	0					
Retail	0		64	0	0	0					
Restaurant	0	18		0	0	0					
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0					
Residential	0	38	26	0		0					
Hotel	0	9	8	0	0						

	Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips)										
Destination Land Use	I	Person-Trip Esti	mates			External Trips by Mode*					
	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²				
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Retail	17	204	221		204	0	0				
Restaurant	29	99	128		99	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Residential	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0				
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0				

Table 9-A (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips)										
Origin Land Use	I	Person-Trip Esti	mates		External Trips by Mode*					
Origin Land Ose	Internal	External	Total	1 [Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²			
Office	0	0	0	7 [0	0	0			
Retail	29	192	221	1 [192	0	0			
Restaurant	17	105	122	7 [105	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0	1 [0	0	0			
Residential	0	0	0	1 [0	0	0			
Hotel	0	0	0	7 [0	0	0			
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0			

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A ²Person-Trips ³Table occupance for all other land uses at mixed use development site is not subject to interval trip conture computations.

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

	NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool									
Project Name:	Project Name: E-Z Stop Concord Organization: Cannon & Cannon, Inc.									
Project Location:	Knoxville, TN		Performed By:	WDR						
Scenario Description:	Full Buildout		Date:	4/10/2024						
Analysis Year:	2026		Checked By:							
Analysis Period:	PM Street Peak Hour		Date:							

	Table 1-	P: Base Vehic	le-Trip Generation	l Esti	mates (Single-Use S	te Estimate)			
	Developme	Development Data (For Information Only)				Estimated Vehicle-Trips ³			
Land Use	ITE LUCs ¹	Quantity	Units		Total	Entering	Exiting		
Office	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0		
Retail	945	7,015sf	n/a		377	188	189		
Restaurant	934	5,600sf	n/a		184	96	88		
Cinema/Entertainment	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0		
Residential	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0		
Hotel	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0		
All Other Land Uses ²	n/a	n/a	n/a		0	0	0		
					561	284	277		

Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates									
Land Use		Entering Tri	ps			Exiting Trips			
Land Ose	Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		Veh. Occ. ⁴	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		
Office									
Retail									
Restaurant									
Cinema/Entertainment									
Residential									
Hotel									
All Other Land Uses ²									

	Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)										
		Destination (To)									
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office											
Retail											
Restaurant											
Cinema/Entertainment											
Residential											
Hotel											

		Table 4-P: Ir	nternal Person-Tri	o Origin-Destination Matrix	*					
Origin (From)		Destination (To)								
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office		0	0	0	0	0				
Retail	0		28	0	0	0				
Restaurant	0	36		0	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0				
Residential	0	0	0	0		0				
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0					

Table 5-P	: Computatio	ns Summary	Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use			
	Total	Entering	Exiting	Land Use	Entering Trips	Exiting Trip
All Person-Trips	561	284	277	Office	N/A	N/A
Internal Capture Percentage	23%	23%	23%	Retail	19%	15%
				Restaurant	29%	41%
External Vehicle-Trips ⁵	433	220	213	Cinema/Entertainment	N/A	N/A
External Transit-Trips ⁶	0	0	0	Residential	N/A	N/A
External Non-Motorized Trips ⁶	0	0	0	Hotel	N/A	N/A

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Manual*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. ²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator. ³Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE *Trip Generation Manual*). ⁴Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-P vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be ⁵Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P. ⁶Person-Trips ^{*}Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

Project Name:	E-Z Stop Concord
Analysis Period:	PM Street Peak Hour

	Та	ble 7-P: Conver	sion of Vehicle-Tr	ip E	Ends to Person-Trip End	ls	
Land Use	Table	7-P (D): Entering	g Trips		Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips		
Land Use	Veh. Occ.	eh. Occ. Vehicle-Trips Person-Trips*			Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*
Office	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0
Retail	1.00	188	188		1.00	189	189
Restaurant	1.00	96	96		1.00	88	88
Cinema/Entertainment	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0
Residential	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0
Hotel	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0

	Table 8-P (O): Internal Pers	son-Trip Origin-De	stination Matrix (Computed	at Origin)						
Origin (From)		Destination (To)									
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office		0	0	0	0	0					
Retail	4		55	8	49	9					
Restaurant	3	36		7	16	6					
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0					
Residential	0	0	0	0		0					
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0						

Origin (Fram)			_	Destination (To)		
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel
Office		15	2	0	0	0
Retail	0		28	0	0	0
Restaurant	0	94		0	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	8	3		0	0
Residential	0	19	13	0		0
Hotel	0	4	5	0	0	

	Tat	ole 9-P (D): Interi	nal and External T	rips	Summary (Entering Tr	ips)	
Destination Land Use	P	erson-Trip Estima	ites		External Trips by Mode*		
Destination Land Ose	Internal	External Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²	
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0
Retail	36	152	188		152	0	0
Restaurant	28	68	96		68	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0
Residential	0	0	0		0	0	0
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0

	Та	ble 9-P (O): Inter	nal and External	Trips	Summary (Exiting Tri	ps)	
Origin Land Use	P	erson-Trip Estima	tes		External Trips by Mode*		
Origin Land Ose	Internal	External	Total	1 [Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²
Office	0	0	0	1 F	0	0	0
Retail	28	161	189	1 [161	0	0
Restaurant	36	52	88	1 [52	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0	1 F	0	0	0
Residential	0	0	0	1 F	0	0	0
Hotel	0	0	0	1 F	0	0	0
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

²Person-Trips

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

FULL BUILD-OUT TRIP GENERATION

			Weekday	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Land Use	ITE Code	Size	(Trips / Day)	(Trips/HR)	(Trips/HR)
Convenience Store/Gas Stat	945	7,015 sf	4,841	442	377
Entering Trips	70%	Pass-by	2,420	221	188
Exiting Trips			2,421	221	189
Fast Food Restaurant with [934	5,600 sf	2,618	250	184
Entering Trips	40%	Pass-by	1,309	128	96
Exiting Trips			1,309	122	88
n/a	n/a	n/a			
Entering Trips					
Exiting Trips					
n/a	n/a	n/a			
Entering Trips					
Exiting Trips					
n/a	n/a	n/a			
Entering Trips					
Exiting Trips					
n/a	n/a	n/a			
Entering Trips					
Exiting Trips					
TOTAL TRIPS			7,459	692	561
Entering Trips			3,729	349	284
Exiting Trips			3,730	343	277
INTERNAL TRIPS				90	129
Entering Trips				45	65
Exiting Trips				45	64
NET EXTERNAL TRIPS			7,459	602	432
Entering Trips			3,729	304	219
Exiting Trips			3,730	298	213
NET EXTERNAL TRIPS					
Pass-by Trips			4,194	387	319
Entering Trips			2,097	195	161
Exiting Trips			2,097	192	158
Non-Pass-by Trips			3,265	215	113
Entering Trips			1,632	109	58
Exiting Trips			1,633	106	55

APPENDIX C

CAPACITY ANALYSES

.....

APPENDIX C - CAPACITY ANALYSES



CAPACITY AND LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CONCEPTS

In a general sense, a roadway is similar to a pipeline or other material carrying conduit in that it has a certain capacity for the amount of material (vehicles) that it can efficiently carry. As the number of vehicles in a given time period gradually increases, the quality of traffic flow gradually decreases. On roadway sections this results in increasing turbulence in the traffic stream, and at intersections it results in increasing stops and delay. As the volumes begin to approach the capacity of the facility, these problems rapidly magnify, with resulting serious levels of congestion, stops, delay, excess fuel consumption, pollutant emissions, etc.

The Transportation Research Board has published the <u>Year 2010 Highway Capacity Manual</u> (HCM2010), which establishes theoretical techniques to quantify the capacity conditions on all types of roadways, intersections, ramps, pedestrian facilities, etc. A basic concept that is applicable to most of these techniques is the idea of level of service (LOS). This concept establishes a rating system that quantifies the quality of traffic flow, as perceived by motorists and/or passengers. The general system is similar to a school grade scale, and is outlined as follows:

Level of Service (LOS)	General Quality of Traffic Flow	Description of Corresponding Conditions
A	Excellent	Roadways – Free flow, high maneuverability Intersections – Very few stops, very low delay
В	Very Good	Roadways – Free flow, slightly lower maneuverability Intersections – Minor stops, low delay
С	Good	Roadways – Stable flow, restricted maneuverability Intersections – Significant stops, significant delay
D	Fair	Roadways – Marginally stable flow, congestion seriously restricts maneuverability Intersections – High stops, long but tolerable delay
Е	Poor	Roadways – Unstable flow*, lower operating speeds, congestion severely restricts maneuverability Intersections – All vehicles stop, very long queues and very long intolerable delay
F	Very Poor	Roadways – Forced flow, stoppages may be lengthy, congestion severely restricts maneuverability Intersections – All vehicles stop, extensive queues and extremely long intolerable delay

*Unstable flow is such that minor fluctuations or disruptions can result in rapid degradation to LOS F.

LOS	CONTROL DELAY (S/VEH)						
203	SIGNALIZED	UNSIGNALIZED	ROUNDABOUT				
А	≤10	≤10	≤10				
В	>10-20	>10-15	>10-15				
С	>20-35	>15-25	>15-25				
D	>35-55	>25-35	>25-35				
E	>55-80	>35-50	>35-50				
F	>80	>50	>50				

LOS CRITERIA: SIGNALIZED & UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Another measure of intersection capacity that is often used in the evaluation of intersection operations is the volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. This ratio is defined as "the ratio of flow rate to capacity", and is a good measure of how much of an intersection's available capacity has been used up by the analysis volumes. Conversely, it also provides an indication of the reserve capacity available for future growth in traffic volumes.

The Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) is another measure that expresses a value similar to the V/C ratio. Specifically, the ICU method "sums the amount of the time required to serve all movements at saturation for a given cycle length and divides by that reference cycle length." The ICU is considered a more accurate measure of volume to capacity conditions for a signalized intersection, primarily because it accounts for the effects of the signal timing on intersection capacity.

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

AM Peak 2024 Existing

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	\$			\$		1	∱1 ≱		ľ	- † †	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	512	0	73	8	0	21	33	941	5	6	343	186
Future Volume (vph)	512	0	73	8	0	21	33	941	5	6	343	186
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt		0.962			0.902			0.999				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950	0.964			0.987		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1641	0	0	1658	0	1770	3536	0	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.964			0.987		0.478			0.149		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1641	0	0	1658	0	890	3536	0	278	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		205			217							211
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	42%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	338	327	0	0	33	0	38	1075	0	7	390	211
Turn Type	Split	NA		Split	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov
Protected Phases	3	3		. 4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Permitted Phases							6			2		2
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0		12.0	12.0		14.0	24.0		13.0	24.0	16.0
Total Split (s)	38.0	38.0		16.0	16.0		28.0	59.0		17.0	59.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	27.0%	27.0%		11.3%	11.3%		19.9%	41.8%		12.1%	41.8%	27.0%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.5	3.5		4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.5	3.5		2.5	2.5		3.5	3.5		3.0	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	7.5	7.5			6.0		7.5	9.0		7.0	9.0	7.5
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	28.2	28.2			6.4		42.5	39.7		39.4	34.1	75.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.30	0.30			0.07		0.45	0.42		0.42	0.36	0.81
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.51			0.11		0.08	0.72		0.03	0.30	0.16
Control Delay	40.0	15.6			0.7		15.4	26.9		15.2	24.7	1.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	40.0	15.6			0.7		15.4	26.9		15.2	24.7	1.1
LOS	D	В			А		В	С		В	С	А
Approach Delay		28.0			0.7			26.5			16.4	
Approach LOS		С			А			С			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	198	62			0		14	298		2	107	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#404	178			0		31	443		10	145	19
Internal Link Dist (ft)		497			673			435			693	
Turn Bay Length (ft)							70			95		475
Base Capacity (vph)	579	700			379		616	2439		291	2001	1312
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.58	0.47			0.09		0.06	0.44		0.02	0.19	0.16
Intersection Summary												

Timing Plan: AM Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

Cycle Length: 141		
Actuated Cycle Length: 93.6		
Natural Cycle: 90		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72		
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.0	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.2%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue n	nay be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Splits and Phases: 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

PM Peak 2024 Existing

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	۲	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	\$			\$		۲	∱1 ≱		٦	<u></u>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	251	1	97	4	3	16	125	659	10	8	888	375
Future Volume (vph)	251	1	97	4	3	16	125	659	10	8	888	375
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt		0.913			0.903			0.998				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950	0.980			0.992		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1583	0	0	1669	0	1770	3532	0	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.980			0.992		0.157			0.370		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1583	0	0	1669	0	292	3532	0	689	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		45			18			1				417
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	28%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	201	187	0	0	25	0	139	743	0	9	987	417
Turn Type	Split	NA		Split	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov
Protected Phases	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Permitted Phases							6			2		2
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0		12.0	12.0		14.0	24.0		13.0	24.0	16.0
Total Split (s)	38.0	38.0		16.0	16.0		28.0	59.0		17.0	59.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	27.0%	27.0%		11.3%	11.3%		19.9%	41.8%		12.1%	41.8%	27.0%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.5	3.5		4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.5	3.5		2.5	2.5		3.5	3.5		3.0	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	7.5	7.5			6.0		7.5	9.0		7.0	9.0	7.5
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	19.9	19.9			7.0		58.5	54.8		47.6	38.9	68.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.20			0.07		0.58	0.54		0.47	0.38	0.68
v/c Ratio	0.61	0.54			0.19		0.44	0.39		0.02	0.72	0.35
Control Delay	48.7	36.4			33.3		16.6	16.7		13.2	31.7	1.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	48.7	36.4			33.3		16.6	16.7		13.2	31.7	1.5
LOS	D	D			С		В	В		В	С	А
Approach Delay		42.8			33.3			16.7			22.7	
Approach LOS		D			С			В			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	134	92			5		44	148		3	302	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	248	193			36		92	293		12	468	33
Internal Link Dist (ft)		497			673			435			693	
Turn Bay Length (ft)							70			9 5		475
Base Capacity (vph)	546	544			193		491	2334		468	1884	1356
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.37	0.34			0.13		0.28	0.32		0.02	0.52	0.31
Intersection Summary												

Timing Plan: PM Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

Cycle Length: 141		
Actuated Cycle Length: 101.1		
Natural Cycle: 75		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72		
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.7	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.1%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		

Splits and Phases: 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

▲ Ø1	Ø2	2 Jan 20	★ Ø4
28 s	59 s	38 s	16 s
Ø5	↑ _{Ø6}		
17 s	59 s		

	HCS Two-Way St	op-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut
Date Performed	4/10/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Concord Road
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	2024 Existing AM Peak		
Lanes			

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			Southbound					
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R			
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0			
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т				
Volume (veh/h)						0		4			913	1	1	2	403				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3					
Proportion Time Blocked																			
Percent Grade (%)		0																	
Right Turn Channelized																			
Median Type Storage		Left Only								1									
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys																	
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9					6.4	4.1					
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96					6.46	4.16					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3					2.5	2.2					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33					2.53	2.23					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Τ						4							3					
Capacity, c (veh/h)							503							484					
v/c Ratio							0.01							0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.0							0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)							12.2							12.5					
Level of Service (LOS)							В							В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						12	2.2					0.1							
Approach LOS							В						A						

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS [™] TWSC Version 2022 2024 Existing Concord Rd at 2nd Dr AM Peak.xtw

Generated: 4/17/2024 4:25:16 PM

	HCS Two-Way Sto	pp-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut
Date Performed	4/10/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Concord Road
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	2024 Existing PM Peak		
Lanes			

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т		
Volume (veh/h)						5		3			752	3	0	2	956		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)		0															
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage		Left Only										1					
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1			
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96						4.16			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23			
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							9							2			
Capacity, c (veh/h)							332							785			
v/c Ratio							0.03							0.00			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.1							0.0			
Control Delay (s/veh)							16.1							9.6			
Level of Service (LOS)							С							А			
Approach Delay (s/veh)						16	5.1						0.0				
Approach LOS						(c							A			

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS [™] TWSC Version 2022 2024 Existing Concord Rd at 2nd Dr PM Peak.xtw

Generated: 4/17/2024 4:25:52 PM

				HC	S Ro	unc	labo	uts	Rep	oort									
General Information	1					_	_	_		natior	n			_	_				
Analyst	WDR				1	14		T		Inters	ection			Nort	hshore	Drive at	Concor		
Agency or Co.	Canno	on & Car	nnon, Inc		1.1		+	1		E/W S	Street Na	me		Nort	hshore	Drive			
Date Performed	3/28/	2024			/	1			1+	N/S S	treet Nar	ne		Concord Road					
Analysis Year	2024				4+		W + E			Analy	sis Time	Period, h	rs	0.25					
Time Analyzed	AM P	eak			4					Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.88					
Project Description	2024	Existing	AM Peak		Jurisdiction									Knox	Count	ty			
Volume Adjustments	s and s	Site C	haract	teristic	s														
Approach		E	B				WB				N	В				SB			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	-	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Lane Assignment			L	T				Т			-	I			_		L		
Volume (V), veh/h	1	569	512		2		31	2	345					1	200)	138		
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		3		3	;	3					3	3		3		
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	1	666	599		2		36	55	404				_	1	234	1	162		
Right-Turn Bypass		Nc	one			Non	-Yieldir	ng			No	ne			Y	ïelding			
Conflicting Lanes			1				1									1			
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h		()				0									0			
Proportion of CAVs									(0									
Critical and Follow-U	Jp Hea	adwav	/ Adiu	stmen	t														
Approach	•			EB		Т		W	B	_		NB				SB			
Lane			Left	Right	t Bypass		Left	Rig	_	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	55	Left	Right	Bypass		
Critical Headway, s		-		4.9763	-)			4.97		.,		,	-)			4.9763	4.9763		
Follow-Up Headway, s				2.6087	-	+		2.60	_				-			2.6087	2.6087		
Flow Computations,	Canad	ritv an	nd v/c	Ratio		_			·			<u> </u>	_						
Approach	capa			EB		-		W		_		NB		-		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas		Left	Rig		Bypass	Left	Right	Вура		Left	Right	Bypass		
Entry Flow (ve), pc/h			Len	1266	Бураз	5	Len	36		404	Leit	Right	Бура	55	Leit	235	162		
Entry Volume, veh/h		\rightarrow		1200		+		35		392			+	+	_	233	157		
Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h				237		+		66		592		1503		+		368	157		
Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h		\rightarrow		835		+		36		_		667		+		0			
Capacity (cpce), pc/h				1084	1	+		69	_			007	-	+		948	950		
Capacity (c), veh/h		\rightarrow		1054	-	+		67					-	+		921	922		
v/c Ratio (x)				1.17	-	+		0.5	-				-	+		0.25	0.17		
Delay and Level of S	ervice			,	1				-							5.25	0.17		
Approach				EB				W	В			NB				SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s	Left	Rig	_	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	SS	Left	Right	Bypass		
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				103.1	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+		13.	_	71-2.50		J		-		6.4	5.6		
Lane LOS		\rightarrow		F	-			B		А						A	A		
95% Queue, veh					-	+		3.					-	+		1.0	0.6		
														6.1					
				103 1				6 '	5							6.1			
Approach Delay, s/veh Approach LOS				103.1 F		+		6.5 A						+		6.1 A			

				HC	S Ro	un	dabc	outs	s Rej	port									
General Information						_	Si	te l	nforr	matio	n			_	_				
Analyst	WDR				<u>_</u>	1	-	Ι		Inters	ection			Nort	thshore	Drive at	Concor		
Agency or Co.	Canno	on & Car	nnon, Inc		1.1		+	1		E/W S	Street Na	me		Nort	thshore	Drive			
Date Performed	3/28/	2024			-7	1			1.	N/S S	itreet Nar	ne		Concord Road					
Analysis Year	2024				₹+		W + E		1>	Analy	rsis Time	Period, h	nrs	0.25					
Time Analyzed	PM Pe	eak			4					Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.95					
Project Description	2024	Existing	PM Peak			~	Ť	1		Jurisc	Jurisdiction					ty			
Volume Adjustments	s and S	Site C	harac	teristic	s														
Approach		E	B			_	WB	_			N	В				SB			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	. 1	г	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Lane Assignment			L	T				Т									L		
Volume (V), veh/h	1	252	390		12		60)3	494					1	510)	445		
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		3		3	3	3					3	3		3		
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	1	273	423		13		65	54	536					1	553	3	482		
Right-Turn Bypass		Nc	one			No	n-Yieldi	ng			No	ne			Y	ïelding			
Conflicting Lanes			1				1									1			
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h		(0				0									0			
Proportion of CAVs										0									
Critical and Follow-U	Jp Hea	adway	/ Adju	stmen	t														
Approach	-	EB				Т	_	V	VB	_		NB		T		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	ht Bypass		Left	Ri	ght	Bypass	Left	Right	: Вура	ass	Left	Right	Bypass		
Critical Headway, s				4.9763		+		4.9	763						_	4.9763	4.9763		
Follow-Up Headway, s				2.6087		+		2.6	087				-	+		2.6087	2.6087		
Flow Computations,	Capad	ity ar	nd v/c	Ratio	5	_							_	_			1		
Approach	•			EB		Т		V	VB			NB		Т	_	SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	s	Left	Ri	ght	Bypass	Left	Right	: Вура	ass	Left	Right	Bypass		
Entry Flow (ve), pc/h		-		697		+		-	67	536					_	554	482		
Entry Volume, veh/h				677	-	╈		6	48	520			+			538	468		
Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h				567	<u> </u>			2	75			1264				668	<u> </u>		
Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h				989		+		6	55			274				0			
Capacity (c _{pce}), pc/h				774	T	+		10)42							698	708		
Capacity (c), veh/h				751		+		1()12					+		678	687		
v/c Ratio (x)				0.90				0.	64							0.79	0.68		
Delay and Level of S	ervice																		
Approach				EB		Τ		V	VB			NB				SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypa	s	Left	Ri	ght	Bypass	Left	Right	: Вура	ass	Left	Right	Bypass		
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				36.3				1	2.8							26.5	19.1		
Lane LOS				E		\uparrow			В	А						D	С		
95% Queue, veh	95% Queue, veh 11							4	.8							7.9	5.4		
Approach Delay, s/veh				36.3				7	.1							23.0			
Approach LOS				E					A							С			
Intersection Delay, s/veh LO	S					19.7	7							С					

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

AM Peak 2026 Background

	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	*	ł	•	•	1	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>م</u>	\$			÷		1	A		1	<u></u>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	548	0	78	9	0	22	35	1008	5	6	367	199
Future Volume (vph)	548	0	78	9	0	22	35	1008	5	6	367	199
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt		0.962			0.904			0.999				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950	0.964			0.986		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1641	0	0	1660	0	1770	3536	0	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.964			0.986		0.463			0.121		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1641	0	0	1660	0	862	3536	0	225	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		205			217							226
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	42%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	361	351	0	0	35	0	40	1151	0	7	417	226
Turn Type	Split	NA		Split	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov
Protected Phases	. 3	3		. 4	4			6		5	2	. 3
Permitted Phases							6			2		2
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0		12.0	12.0		14.0	24.0		13.0	24.0	16.0
Total Split (s)	38.0	38.0		16.0	16.0		28.0	59.0		17.0	59.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	27.0%	27.0%		11.3%	11.3%		19.9%	41.8%		12.1%	41.8%	27.0%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.5	3.5		4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.5	3.5		2.5	2.5		3.5	3.5		3.0	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	7.5	7.5			6.0		7.5	9.0		7.0	9.0	7.5
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	30.9	30.9			6.2		46.4	43.5		43.1	37.7	81.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31			0.06		0.46	0.44		0.43	0.38	0.82
v/c Ratio	0.70	0.54			0.11		0.09	0.75		0.04	0.31	0.17
Control Delay	42.5	17.5			0.8		15.2	28.3		15.0	24.8	1.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	42.5	17.5			0.8		15.2	28.3		15.0	24.8	1.0
LOS	D	В			А		В	С		В	С	А
Approach Delay		30.2			0.8			27.9			16.4	
Approach LOS		С			А			С			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	229	81			0		15	330		2	116	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#445	206			0		32	488		10	155	20
Internal Link Dist (ft)		497			673			435			693	
Turn Bay Length (ft)							70			95		475
Base Capacity (vph)	527	655			365		599	2219		260	1820	1312
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.69	0.54			0.10		0.07	0.52		0.03	0.23	0.17
Intersection Summary												

Timing Plan: AM Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

Cycle Length: 141								
Actuated Cycle Length: 100								
Natural Cycle: 90								
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75								
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.3	Intersection LOS: C							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.1%	ICU Level of Service C							
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.								
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.								

Splits and Phases: 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

PM Peak 2026 Background

	٦	-	\rightarrow	4	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	\$			\$		۲	A		<u>۲</u>	<u></u>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	269	1	104	4	3	17	134	706	11	9	951	402
Future Volume (vph)	269	1	104	4	3	17	134	706	11	9	951	402
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt		0.913			0.901			0.998				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950	0.980			0.992		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1583	0	0	1665	0	1770	3532	0	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.980			0.992		0.135			0.351		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1583	0	0	1665	0	251	3532	0	654	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		44			19			1				447
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	28%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	215	201	0	0	26	0	149	796	0	10	1057	447
Turn Type	Split	NA		Split	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov
Protected Phases	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Permitted Phases							6			2		2
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0		12.0	12.0		14.0	24.0		13.0	24.0	16.0
Total Split (s)	38.0	38.0		16.0	16.0		28.0	59.0		17.0	59.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	27.0%	27.0%		11.3%	11.3%		19.9%	41.8%		12.1%	41.8%	27.0%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.5	3.5		4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.5	3.5		2.5	2.5		3.5	3.5		3.0	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	7.5	7.5			6.0		7.5	9.0		7.0	9.0	7.5
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	21.5	21.5			7.0		62.3	58.6		50.6	42.0	73.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.20			0.07		0.58	0.55		0.48	0.39	0.69
v/c Ratio	0.63	0.57			0.20		0.50	0.41		0.03	0.76	0.36
Control Delay	51.2	39.2			34.0		17.9	17.1		13.4	33.9	1.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	51.2	39.2			34.0		17.9	17.1		13.4	33.9	1.6
LOS	D	D			С		В	В		В	С	А
Approach Delay		45.4			34.0			17.2			24.2	
Approach LOS		D			С			В			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	155	111			5		49	167		3	342	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	271	216			37		98	320		13	532	35
Internal Link Dist (ft)		497			673			435			693	
Turn Bay Length (ft)							70			95		475
Base Capacity (vph)	512	513			183		458	2225		447	1769	1343
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.42	0.39			0.14		0.33	0.36		0.02	0.60	0.33
Intersection Summary												

Timing Plan: PM Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1
Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

Cycle Length: 141		
Actuated Cycle Length: 106.5		
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76		
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.1	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.0%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		

Splits and Phases: 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

▲ Ø1	∳ ø₂	2 10 B	★ Ø4
28 s	59 s	38 s	16 s
Ø5	↑ ø6		
17 s	59 s		

	HCS Two-Way	Stop-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut
Date Performed	4/16/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	2026 Background AM Peak		
Lanes			



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						0		4			978	1	1	2	432	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage		Left Only 1														
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9					6.4	4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96					6.46	4.16		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3					2.5	2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33					2.53	2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice	i i												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							4							3		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							476							444		
v/c Ratio							0.01							0.01		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.0							0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)							12.6							13.2		
Level of Service (LOS)							В							В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					12.6								0.1			
Approach LOS					В				1				A			

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Background Concord Rd at 2nd Dr AM Peak.xtw

Generated: 4/17/2024 4:26:36 PM

	HCS Two-Way Stop	-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut
Date Performed	4/16/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	2026 Background PM Peak		
Lanes			



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						5		3			806	3	0	2	1024	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						(0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage		Left Only 1														
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96						4.16		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							9							2		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							309							745		
v/c Ratio							0.03							0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.1							0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)							17.0							9.8		
Level of Service (LOS)							С							A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					17.0								0.0			
Approach LOS						(С								Ą	

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Background Concord Rd at 2nd Dr PM Peak.xtw

Generated: 4/17/2024 4:27:08 PM

				HC	S Ro	un	dabo	outs	Rep	oort									
General Information							_	_		natio	ı								
Analyst	WDR				1	14		1		Inters	ection			Nort	hshore	Drive at	Concor		
Agency or Co.	Canno	on & Car	nnon, Inc	<u>.</u>	1.1		+	1		E/W S	Street Na	et Name N				Northshore Drive			
Date Performed	4/16/	2024			/	1	-		1.	N/S S	treet Nar	ne		Concord Road					
Analysis Year	2026				X +		W + E		↑ ≻	Analy	sis Time	Period, h	nrs	0.25					
Time Analyzed	AM P	eak			4					Peak						0.88			
Project Description	2026	Backgro	und AM	Peak							liction			Kno	(Count	у			
Volume Adjustments	and	Site C	haract	teristic	s														
Approach		E	B				WB				N	В				SB			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т		R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Lane Assignment			L	.T				т			<u> </u>						L		
Volume (V), veh/h	1	610	548		2		33	34	370					1	214		148		
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		3		3	;	3					3	3		3		
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	1	714	641		2		39	91	433					1	250)	173		
Right-Turn Bypass		None				No	n-Yieldii	ng			No	ne			Y	ielding			
Conflicting Lanes			1				1									1			
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h		(0		0											0			
Proportion of CAVs				I						0									
Critical and Follow-U	Jp Hea	adway	/ Adju	stmen	t														
Approach	-	Ť		EB	_	Т	_	W	′B	_		NB	_	Т		SB			
Lane			Left Right		Вура	ss	Left	Ric	jht	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ISS	Left	Right	Bypass		
Critical Headway, s				4.9763				4.9								4.9763	4.9763		
Follow-Up Headway, s				2.6087		2.6087									2.6087	2.6087			
Flow Computations,	Сарас	itv ar	nd v/c	Ratio	5														
Approach				EB		Т		W	/B	_		NB		Т		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	55	Left	Ric		Bypass				155	Left	Right	Bypass		
Entry Flow (ve), pc/h		-		1356	-)			39		433			-76-			251	173		
Entry Volume, veh/h				1317		+		38		420				+		244	168		
Circulating Flow (v _c), pc/h		-		253		+		7'				1609				394			
Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h				893		╈		39				715		+		0			
Capacity (cpce), pc/h				1066	1	+		66	55			<u> </u>		+		923	925		
Capacity (c), veh/h		-		1035	-	+		64	-					+		896	898		
v/c Ratio (x)				1.27		+		0.						-		0.27	0.19		
Delay and Level of S	ervice	1																	
Approach				EB				W	/B			NB				SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	ss	Left	Ric		Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ISS	Left	Right	Bypass		
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				145.4	7 1 2 3	+		16		71		<u> </u>	7,2,0			6.9	5.9		
Lane LOS				F		+		(A						A	A		
95% Queue, veh				45.9	-	+		3.		.,		-	-	-		1.1	0.7		
Approach Delay, s/veh				145.4	1			7.							6.5				
														A					
Approach LOS		F			79.1										A	F F			

				HC	S Ro	und	a <u>bo</u>	uts	Rep	oort_									
General Information		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		natio	า	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Analyst	WDR				1	14		Τ		Inters	ection			Nort	hshore	Drive at	Concor		
Agency or Co.	Canno	on & Cai	nnon, Inc	:	//		+	E/W Street Name							Northshore Drive				
Date Performed	4/16/	2024			/				1.	N/S S	treet Nar	ne		Concord Road					
Analysis Year	2026				4+	("	THE S		t ≽	Analy	sis Time	Period, h	irs	0.25					
Time Analyzed	PM Pe	eak			4					Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.95	0.95				
Project Description	2026	Backgro	und PM	Peak		Juriso								Knox	Count	у			
Volume Adjustments	s and s	Site C	haract	teristic	s														
Approach		E	B				WB				N	В				SB			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т		R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Lane Assignment			L	T				T			<u> </u>						L		
Volume (V), veh/h	1	270	418		13		64	6	529					1	546		477		
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		3		3		3					3	3		3		
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	1	293	453		14		70	0	574					1	592		517		
Right-Turn Bypass			Non-	Yieldir	ng			No	ne			Yi	elding						
Conflicting Lanes		1					1							1					
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h						0									0				
Proportion of CAVs										0									
Critical and Follow-U	Јр Неа	adway	/ Adju	stmen	t														
Approach				EB		Т		W	В			NB		Т		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	Left	Rig	ht	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss l	eft	Right	Bypass		
Critical Headway, s				4.9763				4.97	63	_						4.9763	4.9763		
Follow-Up Headway, s				2.6087	2.6087							2.6087 2.60			2.6087				
Flow Computations,	Capad	ity ar	nd v/c	Ratio	5	_							_						
Approach	•			EB		Т		w	B			NB		T		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s	Left	Ric	ht	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss l	_eft	Right	Bypass		
Entry Flow (ve), pc/h				747		+		71		574						593	517		
Entry Volume, veh/h				725		+		69	-+-	557				+		576	502		
Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h				607				29	5			1354				715			
Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h				1059		+		70				294				0			
Capacity (cpce), pc/h				743	T	+		10	21				T			666	675		
Capacity (c), veh/h				721		+		99	2					+		646	655		
v/c Ratio (x)				1.01		-		0.7	0							0.89	0.77		
Delay and Level of S	ervice	1			1														
Approach				EB		Τ		W	В			NB				SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	Left	Rig	ht	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss l	_eft	Right	Bypass		
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				58.7		+		15	_					-		38.8	25.0		
Lane LOS				F				(-+-	A						E	С		
95% Queue, veh				16.7		-		6.	0							10.9	7.1		
Approach Delay, s/veh				58.7	1			8.							32.3				
Approach LOS				F	A									D					
					28.8					D									

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

AM Peak 2026 Combined

	٦	+	\rightarrow	4	┥	*	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	\$			\$		ľ	A		<u>۲</u>	<u></u>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	548	0	111	14	0	22	67	1035	10	6	395	199
Future Volume (vph)	548	0	111	14	0	22	67	1035	10	6	395	199
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt		0.949			0.918			0.999				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950	0.968			0.981		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1626	0	0	1678	0	1770	3536	0	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.968			0.981		0.407			0.112		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1626	0	0	1678	0	758	3536	0	209	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		205			217			1				226
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	39%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	380	369	0	0	41	0	76	1187	0	7	449	226
Turn Type	Split	NA		Split	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov
Protected Phases	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Permitted Phases							6			2		2
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0		12.0	12.0		14.0	24.0		13.0	24.0	16.0
Total Split (s)	38.0	38.0		16.0	16.0		28.0	59.0		17.0	59.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	27.0%	27.0%		11.3%	11.3%		19.9%	41.8%		12.1%	41.8%	27.0%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.5	3.5		4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.5	3.5		2.5	2.5		3.5	3.5		3.0	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	7.5	7.5			6.0		7.5	9.0		7.0	9.0	7.5
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	31.2	31.2			6.1		47.5	44.3		41.1	34.5	76.8
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.30	0.30			0.06		0.46	0.43		0.40	0.33	0.74
v/c Ratio	0.75	0.58			0.14		0.18	0.79		0.04	0.38	0.18
Control Delay	46.7	19.6			0.9		16.6	30.7		15.2	28.9	1.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	46.7	19.6			0.9		16.6	30.7		15.2	28.9	1.2
LOS	D	В			А		В	С		В	С	A
Approach Delay		33.3			0.9			29.9			19.6	
Approach LOS		С			А			С			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	253	97			0		28	345		2	128	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#483	227			0		53	508		10	172	21
Internal Link Dist (ft)		497			673		= 0	435			693	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		(70			95		475
Base Capacity (vph)	505	632			361		555	2126		242	1743	1231
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.75	0.58			0.11		0.14	0.56		0.03	0.26	0.18
Intersection Summary												

Timing Plan: AM Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

Cycle Length: 141		
Actuated Cycle Length: 103.7		
Natural Cycle: 90		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79		
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.8	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.7%	ICU Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue n	nay be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		

Splits and Phases: 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

PM Peak 2026 Combined

	۶	-	\mathbf{F}	4	ł	*	<	1	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	4			4		5	∱ ⊅		5	† †	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	269	1	121	7	3	17	150	720	14	9	965	402
Future Volume (vph)	269	1	121	7	3	17	150	720	14	9	965	402
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt		0.904			0.914			0.997				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950	0.982			0.987		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1571	0	0	1680	0	1770	3529	0	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.982			0.987		0.127			0.344		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1571	0	0	1680	0	237	3529	0	641	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		57			19			2				447
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	25%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	224	210	0	0	30	0	167	816	0	10	1072	447
Turn Type	Split	NA		Split	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov
Protected Phases	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Permitted Phases							6			2		2
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		1	6		5	2	3
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	15.0		6.0	15.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0		12.0	12.0		14.0	24.0		13.0	24.0	16.0
Total Split (s)	38.0	38.0		16.0	16.0		28.0	59.0		17.0	59.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	27.0%	27.0%		11.3%	11.3%		19.9%	41.8%		12.1%	41.8%	27.0%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.5	3.5		4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.5	3.5		2.5	2.5		3.5	3.5		3.0	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	7.5	7.5			6.0		7.5	9.0		7.0	9.0	7.5
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	22.4	22.4			7.2		64.5	60.8		51.6	43.0	75.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.20			0.07		0.59	0.55		0.47	0.39	0.68
v/c Ratio	0.65	0.58			0.24		0.55	0.42		0.03	0.77	0.37
Control Delay	53.2	37.9			37.6		19.9	17.3		13.9	35.9	1.7
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	53.2	37.9			37.6		19.9	17.3		13.9	35.9	1.7
LOS	D	D			D		В	В		В	D	A
Approach Delay		45.8			37.6			17.8			25.7	
Approach LOS		D			D			В			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	171	114			8		58	181		3	370	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	289	220			44		115	330		12	560	38
Internal Link Dist (ft)		497			673			435			693	
Turn Bay Length (ft)							70			95		475
Base Capacity (vph)	497	505			180		444	2205		435	1717	1327
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.45	0.42			0.17		0.38	0.37		0.02	0.62	0.34
Intersection Summary												

Timing Plan: PM Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

Cycle Length: 141		
Actuated Cycle Length: 109.8		
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77		
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.1	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.8%	ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15		

Splits and Phases: 1: Concord Drive & Turkey Creek Road/Summerdale Drive

▲ Ø1	Ø2	2 Jan 20	★ Ø4
28 s	59 s	38 s	16 s
Ø5	↑ _{Ø6}		
17 s	59 s		

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information Site Information										
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive							
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut							
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive							
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	2026 Combined AM Peak									
Lanes										

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						95		55			925	92	1	130	375	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left Only							1					
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9					6.4	4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96					6.46	4.16		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3					2.5	2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33					2.53	2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							169							147		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							238							594		
v/c Ratio							0.71							0.25		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							4.7							1.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)							49.9							13.0		
Level of Service (LOS)							E							В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					49.9								3.4			
Approach LOS					E								A			

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at 2nd Dr AM Peak.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:18:41 PM

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information Site Information									
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut						
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive						
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description 2026 Combined PM Peak									
Lanes									



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration							LR				Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						111		28			775	55	0	128	935	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						()									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Left	Only						1					
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96						4.16		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							154							142		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							213							730		
v/c Ratio							0.72							0.19		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							4.8							0.7		
Control Delay (s/veh)							56.6							11.1		
Level of Service (LOS)							F							В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					56.6								1.3			
Approach LOS					F								A			

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at 2nd Dr PM Peak.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:19:36 PM

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information Site Information									
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut						
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive						
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description 2026 Combined AM Peak Exclusive Left and Right									
Lanes									



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration						L		R			Т	TR		L	т	
Volume (veh/h)						95		55			925	92	1	130	375	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized					No											
Median Type Storage				Left	Only					1						
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9					6.4	4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96					6.46	4.16		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3					2.5	2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33					2.53	2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						107		62						147		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						186		461						594		
v/c Ratio						0.57		0.13						0.25		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						3.1		0.5						1.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						47.5		14.0						13.0		
Level of Service (LOS)						E		В						В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					35.2						3.4					
Approach LOS					E								A			

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at 2nd Dr AM Peak alt 1.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:14:29 PM

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut						
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive						
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description 2026 Combined PM Peak Exclusive Left and Right									
Lanes									



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	ound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
Configuration						L		R			Т	TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						111		28			775	55	0	128	935	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized					No											
Median Type Storage				Left	Only 1					1						
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96						4.16		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						123		31						142		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						185		545						730		
v/c Ratio						0.67		0.06						0.19		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						4.0		0.2						0.7		
Control Delay (s/veh)						56.7		12.0						11.1		
Level of Service (LOS)						F		В						В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					47.7					-		1.3				
Approach LOS					E							A				

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at 2nd Dr PM Peak alt 1.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:15:48 PM

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information Site Information									
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive						
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut						
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive						
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description 2026 Combined AM Peak Northbound Right									
Lanes									



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R			
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	0			
Configuration							LR				Т	R		L	Т				
Volume (veh/h)						95		55			925	92	1	130	375				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3					
Proportion Time Blocked																			
Percent Grade (%)						(0												
Right Turn Channelized										Ν	lo								
Median Type Storage		Left Only								1									
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys																	
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9					6.4	4.1					
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96					6.46	4.16					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3					2.5	2.2					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33					2.53	2.23					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							169							147					
Capacity, c (veh/h)							251							596					
v/c Ratio							0.67							0.25					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							4.3							1.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)							44.3							13.0					
Level of Service (LOS)							E							В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					44.3								3.4						
Approach LOS					E								A						

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at 2nd Dr AM Peak alt 3.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:17:12 PM

	HCS Two-Way Stop	-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at 2nd Drive
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	2026 Combined PM Peak Northbound Right		
Lanes			



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R			
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	0			
Configuration							LR				Т	R		L	Т				
Volume (veh/h)						111		28			775	55	0	128	935				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3		3					3	3					
Proportion Time Blocked																			
Percent Grade (%)						(0												
Right Turn Channelized										Ν	lo								
Median Type Storage	Left Only									1									
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys																	
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.5		6.9						4.1					
Critical Headway (sec)						6.86		6.96						4.16					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.53		3.33						2.23					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							154							142					
Capacity, c (veh/h)							218							730					
v/c Ratio							0.71							0.19					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							4.6							0.7					
Control Delay (s/veh)							53.6							11.1					
Level of Service (LOS)							F							В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)		-			53.6						-		1.3						
Approach LOS					F								A						

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at 2nd Dr PM Peak alt 3.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:18:02 PM

	4	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		≜ î≽		1	^
Traffic Volume (vph)	95	55	925	92	131	375
Future Volume (vph)	95 95	55	925	92	131	375
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95
Frt	0.950	1.00	0.986	0.75	1.00	0.75
Flt Protected	0.969		0.700		0.950	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1715	0	3490	0	1770	3539
Flt Permitted	0.969	U	J770	U	0.146	5559
Satd. Flow (perm)	1715	0	3490	0	272	3539
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	50	U	5490 19	U	212	3334
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
. ,	163	0	1105	0	140	100
Lane Group Flow (vph)		0	1105 NA	0	142	408
Turn Type	Prot		NA		pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6
Permitted Phases	-		0		6	,
Detector Phase	8		2		1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0		15.0		5.0	15.0
Minimum Split (s)	23.5		23.5		10.5	23.5
Total Split (s)	23.5		25.9		10.6	36.5
Total Split (%)	39.2%		43.2%		17.7%	60.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.5		3.5		3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5		5.5		5.5	5.5
Lead/Lag			Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes	
Recall Mode	None		C-Max		None	C-Max
Act Effct Green (s)	10.1		32.1		41.6	42.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17		0.54		0.69	0.71
v/c Ratio	0.50		0.59		0.38	0.16
Control Delay	20.7		16.3		7.6	4.4
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
Total Delay	20.7		16.3		7.6	4.4
LOS	20.7 C		10.3 B		7.0 A	4.4 A
					A	
Approach Delay	20.7		16.3			5.2
Approach LOS	C		B		4 -	A
Queue Length 50th (ft)	38		156		15	24
Queue Length 95th (ft)	79		#317		41	49
Internal Link Dist (ft)	739		696			696
Turn Bay Length (ft)					65	
Base Capacity (vph)	549		1876		371	2519
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0		0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0		0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0		0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.30		0.59		0.38	0.16
Intersection Summary						

Timing Plan: AM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Cycle Length: 60		
Actuated Cycle Length: 60		
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT	L, Start of Green	
Natural Cycle: 60		
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59		
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.3	Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.1%	ICU Level of Service B	
Analysis Period (min) 15		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue m	ay be longer.	
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.		
-		

Splits and Phases: 2: Concord Rd & 2nd Dr



	4	•	t	1	1	ţ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		≜ †⊅		٦	† †
Traffic Volume (vph)	111	28	775	55	128	935
Future Volume (vph)	111	28	775	55	128	935
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95
Frt	0.973	1.00	0.990	0.70	1.00	0.75
Flt Protected	0.961		0.770		0.950	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1742	0	3504	0	1770	3539
Flt Permitted	0.961	U	5504	U	0.232	5557
Satd. Flow (perm)	1742	0	3504	0	432	3539
	21	U	3504 9	U	43Z	2028
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		0.00		0.02	0.00	0.02
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	4 - 4	0	000	0	400	101/
Lane Group Flow (vph)	151	0	902	0	139	1016
Turn Type	Prot		NA		pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6
Permitted Phases					6	
Detector Phase	8		2		1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0		15.0		5.0	15.0
Minimum Split (s)	43.5		23.5		10.5	23.5
Total Split (s)	43.5		25.9		10.6	36.5
Total Split (%)	54.4%		32.4%		13.3%	45.6%
Yellow Time (s)	3.5		3.5		3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5		5.5		5.5	5.5
Lead/Lag	0.0				Lead	0.0
			Lag Yes		Yes	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	None					C Max
Recall Mode	None		C-Max		None	C-Max
Act Effct Green (s)	11.8		43.6		57.2	57.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.15		0.54		0.72	0.72
v/c Ratio	0.55		0.47		0.31	0.40
Control Delay	34.2		13.0		6.0	5.5
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
Total Delay	34.2		13.0		6.0	5.5
LOS	С		В		А	А
Approach Delay	34.2		13.0			5.6
Approach LOS	С		В			A
Queue Length 50th (ft)	61		130		17	85
Queue Length 95th (ft)	110		222		42	148
Internal Link Dist (ft)	739		696		72	696
Turn Bay Length (ft)	137		070		65	070
	838		1012		444	2531
Base Capacity (vph)			1913			
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0		0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0		0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0		0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.18		0.47		0.31	0.40
Intersection Summary						

Timing Plan: PM Peak Cannon & Cannon, Inc. Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Cycle Length: 80		
Actuated Cycle Length: 80		
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL,	Start of Green	
Natural Cycle: 80		
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55		
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.5	Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.9%	ICU Level of Service A	
Analysis Period (min) 15		

Splits and Phases: 2: Concord Rd & 2nd Dr

Ø1	🕴 🗖 Ø2 (R)	
10.6 s	25.9 s	
Ø6 (R)	•	√ Ø8
36.5 s		43.5 s

				HC	S Roi	und	abo	uts	Rep	oort									
General Information							_	_	_	natio	n								
Analyst	WDR				1	14		Ι		Inters	section			North	nshore	Drive at	Concor		
Agency or Co.	Canno	on & Cai	nnon, Inc		1.1		+	1	-	E/W S	Street Na	me		North	nshore	Drive			
Date Performed	4/16/	2024			/				1+	N/S S	Street Nar	ne	_	Conc	ord Ro	ad			
Analysis Year	2026				∢ ↓	("	A E		↑ ≽	Analy	vsis Time	Period, h	nrs	0.25					
Time Analyzed	AM P	eak							1	Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.88	0.88				
Project Description	2026	Combine	ed AM Pe	eak			Ť	1		Juriso	liction			Knox	Count	у			
Volume Adjustments	and s	Site C	haract	teristic	s														
Approach		E	B				WB				N	В				SB			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т		R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Lane Assignment			L	.T			\square	Т									L		
Volume (V), veh/h	1	626	548		2		33	34	392					1	236		164		
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		3		3	;	3					3	3		3		
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	1	733	641		2		39	91	459					1	276		192		
Right-Turn Bypass		No	one		Non-Yielding						No	ne		Yielding					
Conflicting Lanes			1									1							
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h			0				0									0			
Proportion of CAVs										0									
Critical and Follow-U	Jp Hea	adway	/ Adju	stmen	t														
Approach				EB		Т		W	'B			NB				SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	Left Right B			Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss L	.eft	Right	Bypass		
Critical Headway, s				4.9763				4.9	763	_			-			4.9763	4.9763		
Follow-Up Headway, s				2.6087				2.6	087							2.6087	2.6087		
Flow Computations,	Capad	ity ar	nd v/c	Ratios	5	_													
Approach	•			EB		Т		W	'B	_		NB		Т		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	Left	Ric	Iht	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss L	eft	Right	Bypass		
Entry Flow (v₀), pc/h				1375				39		459						277	192		
Entry Volume, veh/h				1335		+		38	32	446						269	186		
Circulating Flow (v _c), pc/h				279				73	5			1654				394			
Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h				919		+		39	92			734				0			
Capacity (c _{pce}), pc/h				1038				65	52				T			923	925		
Capacity (c), veh/h				1008				63	3							896	898		
v/c Ratio (x)				1.32				0.	50							0.30	0.21		
Delay and Level of S	ervice																		
Approach				EB		Т		W	Έ			NB		Т		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	Left	Rig	ht	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss L	.eft	Right	Bypass		
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				167.9				16	.9							7.2	6.1		
Lane LOS		F						(:	А						А	А		
95% Queue, veh		50.7						4	0						1.3				
Approach Delay, s/veh		167.9				7.9 7.8										6.8			
Approach LOS				F	F A									A					
Intersection Delay, s/veh LO	ç				89.3										F				

				HC	S Ro	und	abo	uts	Rep	oort									
General Information		_			_	_	_	_	_	natior	ו ו		_	_	_				
Analyst	WDR				- J	15		T		Inters	ection		_	Nort	nshore	Drive at	Concor		
Agency or Co.	Canno	on & Cai	nnon, Inc		1.1		+	1		E/W S	Street Na	me		Nort	nshore	Drive			
Date Performed	4/16/	2024			/				$\langle +$	N/S S	treet Nar	ne		Conc	ord Ro	ad			
Analysis Year	2026				₹ ↓	("	₩ ‡ E S) †	\geq	Analy	sis Time	Period, h	ırs	0.25	0.25				
Time Analyzed	PM Pe	eak								Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.95	0.95				
Project Description	2026	Combine	ed PM Pe	eak			, V	1		Jurisd	liction			Knox	County	y			
Volume Adjustments	s and s	Site C	haract	teristic	s														
Approach		E	B				WB				N	В				SB			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т		R	U	L	т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Lane Assignment			L	T				T									L		
Volume (V), veh/h	1	279	418		13		64	6 5	541					1	557		485		
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		3		3		3					3	3		3		
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	1	302	453		14		70	0 5	587					1	604		526		
Right-Turn Bypass		No	one		Non-Yielding						No	ne		Yielding					
Conflicting Lanes			1									1							
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h			0				0									0			
Proportion of CAVs									(0									
Critical and Follow-U	Јр Неа	adway	/ Adju	stmen	t														
Approach				EB		Т	_	WB	_			NB		Т	_	SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	.eft	Righ	t I	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss l	.eft	Right	Bypass		
Critical Headway, s				4.9763		+		4.976	53							4.9763	4.9763		
Follow-Up Headway, s				2.6087		+		2.608	37					+		2.6087	2.6087		
Flow Computations,	Сарас	itv ar	nd v/c	Ratios								·							
Approach	•		-	EB		Т		WB				NB		T		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	.eft	Righ	_	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss l	.eft	Right	Bypass		
Entry Flow (ve), pc/h				756	71			714		587		5	71			605	526		
Entry Volume, veh/h				734		+		693	-	570				+		587	511		
Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h				619				304		_		1375				715			
Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h		-		1071		+		701				303				0			
Capacity (cpce), pc/h				734	T			1012	2	_			T			666	675		
Capacity (c), veh/h				713		+		983	+							646	655		
v/c Ratio (x)				1.03				0.71	+							0.91	0.78		
Delay and Level of S	ervice	1			1														
Approach				EB		Т		WB				NB		T		SB			
Lane			Left	Right	Bypas	s I	.eft	Righ	t I	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ss l	.eft	Right	Bypass		
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				65.7				15.5								41.6	26.0		
Lane LOS		65.7 F						С	+	А						E	D		
95% Queue, veh		18.0				-		6.1	+							11.6	7.5		
Approach Delay, s/veh		65.7													34.3				
Approach LOS				F										D					
					31.2										D				

	HCS Two-Way Stop	-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at Site Access
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	Site Access
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	2026 Combined AM Peak		
Lanes			



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Configuration								R			Т	TR			Т	
Volume (veh/h)								152			895	85			506	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)								3								
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)		0														
Right Turn Channelized						Ν	lo									
Median Type Storage		Left Only											1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)								6.9								
Critical Headway (sec)								6.96								
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)								3.3								
Follow-Up Headway (sec)								3.33								
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)								171								
Capacity, c (veh/h)								476								
v/c Ratio								0.36								
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)								1.6								
Control Delay (s/veh)								16.7								
Level of Service (LOS)								С								
Approach Delay (s/veh)						16	5.7									
Approach LOS					С											

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at Site Access AM Peak.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:22:22 PM

	HCS Two-Way Stop	-Control Report						
General Information		Site Information						
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	Concord Road at Site Access					
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Town of Farragut					
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	Site Access					
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Concord Road					
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90					
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25					
Project Description	2026 Combined PM Peak							
Lanes								



Approach	T	Fasth	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12	<u> </u>	7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes	-	0	0	0		0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Configuration			-	-		-		R	-	-	Т	TR	-		Т	-
Volume (veh/h)	-							82			762	41			1063	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)								3								
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)			1	I			0			1					1	
Right Turn Channelized						Ν	10									
Median Type Storage		Left Only 1														
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)								6.9								
Critical Headway (sec)								6.96								
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)								3.3								
Follow-Up Headway (sec)								3.33								
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)								91								
Capacity, c (veh/h)								557								
v/c Ratio								0.16								
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)								0.6								
Control Delay (s/veh)								12.7								
Level of Service (LOS)								В								
Approach Delay (s/veh)					12.7											
Approach LOS							В									

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined Concord Rd at Site Access PM Peak.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 4:13:17 PM

	HCS Two-Way Stop	-Control Report						
General Information		Site Information						
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	2nd Drive at Site Access					
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County					
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive					
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Site Access					
Time Analyzed	AM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.89					
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25					
Project Description	2026 Combined AM Peak							
Lanes								



Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	ound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	1	0
Configuration		LT						TR							LR	
Volume (veh/h)		219	4				4	0						0		146
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)															0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Median Type Storage Left Only 1															
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		246													164	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1610													1076	
v/c Ratio		0.15													0.15	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.5													0.5	
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.6	1.2												8.9	
Level of Service (LOS)		A	A												A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	7.5										8.9					
Approach LOS	A												A			

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined 2nd Dr at Site Access AM Peak.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 3:09:08 PM

	HCS Two-Way Stop	-Control Report						
General Information		Site Information						
Analyst	WDR	Intersection	2nd Drive at Site Access					
Agency/Co.	Cannon & Cannon, Inc.	Jurisdiction	Knox County					
Date Performed	5/20/2024	East/West Street	2nd Drive					
Analysis Year	2026	North/South Street	Site Access					
Time Analyzed	PM Peak	Peak Hour Factor	0.90					
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25					
Project Description	2026 Combined PM Peak							
Lanes								



Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	1	0
Configuration		LT						TR							LR	
Volume (veh/h)		178	5				8	0						0		131
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)															0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.13												6.43		6.23
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		198													146	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1605													1070	
v/c Ratio		0.12													0.14	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	Ì	0.4													0.5	
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.6	0.9												8.9	
Level of Service (LOS)	Ì	A	A												A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	7.4											8.9				
Approach LOS	Α												A			

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] TWSC Version 2022 2026 Combined 2nd Dr at Site Access PM Peak.xtw

Generated: 5/20/2024 4:15:08 PM

APPENDIX D

TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS

.....

APPENDIX D - TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATIONS



TABLE 4A	Project No: 01634-0010
KNOX COUNTY LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS	Project Name: EZ Stop Concord
FOR 2-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 0 TO 35 MPH	Notes: 2nd Drive at Site Access

OPPOSING		THRC	OUGH VOLUME PLU	S RIGHT-TURN VOLU	JME *	
VOLUME	100 - 149	150 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399
100 - 149	300	235	185	145	120	100
150 - 199	245	200	160	130	110	90
200 - 249	205	170	140	115	100	80
250 - 299	175	150	125	105	90	70
300 - 349	155	135	110	95	80	65
350 - 399	135	120	100	85	70	60
400 - 449	120	105	90	75	65	55
450 - 499	105	90	80	70	60	50
500 - 549	95	80	70	65	55	50
550 - 599	85	70	65	60	50	45
600 - 649	75	65	60	55	45	40
650 - 699	70	60	55	50	40	35
700 - 749	65	55	50	45	35	30
750 or More	60	50	45	40	35	30

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left-turn lane is needed)

OPPOSING		THRC	OUGH VOLUME PLUS	S RIGHT-TURN VOLU	IME *	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	= / > 600
100 - 149	100	80	70	60	55	50
150 - 199	90	75	65	55	50	45
200 - 249	80	72	60	55	50	45
250 - 299	70	65	55	50	45	40
300 - 349	65	60	50	50	45	40
350 - 399	60	55	50	45	40	40
400 - 449	55	50	45	45	40	35
450 - 499	50	45	45	40	35	35
500 - 549	50	45	40	40	35	35
550 - 599	45	40	40	35	35	35
600 - 649	40	35	35	35	35	30
650 - 699	35	35	35	30	30	30
700 - 749	30	30	30	30	30	30
750 or More	30	30	30	30	30	30

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

Intersection	Time Period	Opposing Volume	Through Volume	Left-Turn Volume	Warrant Threshold	Left-Turn Lane Warranted (Yes / No)
2nd at Site Driveway	AM Peak	4	4	219	>300	No
2nd at Site Driveway	PM Peak	8	5	178	>300	No

Source: Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy"

TABLE 4B	
KNOX COUNTY RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS	Pro
FOR 2-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 0 TO 35 MPH	

RIGHT-TURN		THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *										
VOLUME	< 100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399						
Fewer Than 25												
25 - 49												
50 - 99												
100 - 149												
150 - 199												
200 - 249												
250 - 299						Yes						
300 - 349					Yes	Yes						
350 - 399				Yes	Yes	Yes						
400 - 449			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
450 - 499			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
500 - 549		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
550 - 599		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						

RIGHT-TURN		THR	OUGH VOLUME PLU	IS LEFT-TURN VOLU	ME *	
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 599	= / > 600
Fewer Than 25						
25 - 49						Yes
50 - 99					Yes	Yes
100 - 149				Yes	Yes	Yes
150 - 199			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
200 - 249		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
250 - 299	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
300 - 349	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
350 - 399	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
400 - 449	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
450 - 499	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
500 - 549	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
550 - 599	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists

Intersection	Time Period	Through Volume	Right-Turn Volume	Right-Turn Lane Warranted (Yes / No)
2nd Dr at Site Driveway	AM Peak	4	0	No
2nd Dr at Site Driveway	PM Peak	8	0	No

Source: Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy"

Concord Road at 2nd Drive 2024 Existing AM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane NOT Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 1 Major Road Volume: 914

Concord Road at 2nd Drive 2024 Existing PM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane NOT Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Turn Volume: 3 Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 3 Major Road Volume: 755

Concord Road at 2nd Drive 2026 Background AM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane NOT Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 1 Major Road Volume: 979

Concord Road at 2nd Drive 2026 Background PM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane NOT Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Turn Volume: 3 Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 3 Major Road Volume: 809

Concord Road at 2nd Drive 2026 Combined AM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Turn Volume: 92 Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 92 Major Road Volume: 1017

Concord Road at 2nd Drive 2026 Combined PM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane NOT Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 55 Major Road Volume: 830

D-9

Concord Road at Site Driveway 2026 Combined AM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop Control)

Right-Turn Volume: 85 Major Road Volume: 980

D-10

Concord Road at Site Driveway 2026 Combined PM Peak Right-Turn Warrant Right-Turn Lane NOT Warranted



Figure B.4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Way or Four-Way Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with

Right-Turn Volume: 41 Major Road Volume: 803 Two-Way Stop Control)

APPENDIX E

SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS

.....

APPENDIX E - SIGNAL WARRANT EVALUATIONS



TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - VOLUME WARRANTS

Intersection : City or County : State

Knox County

Tennessee

Concord Road at 2nd Drive Date of Count:

2024 Existing Day of Week of Count: Average Weekday

Number of Lanes: Major Street . . 2 Minor Street . . . 1

Yes

1.00

		Majo	r Street		Minor	Street	Warrant (8 Hr Min.		
Time	A	ctual Volu	ıme	Adjusted Total	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total	Percent of		
Beginning	App #1	App #2	Total	Volum,	-	Volum,		Mino	
6:00 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	
7:00	773	290	1063	1063	7	7	253	7	
8:00	747	432	1179	1179	4	4	281	4	
9:00 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
12:00 noon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
1:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
2:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
3:00 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
4:00	641	850	1491	1491	6	6	355	(
5:00	752	958	1710	1710	8	8	407	8	
6:00 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
7:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
8:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
							Warranting V		
	lo adjus m			approach ex	vioto uno th	a higher	420 Total Hours M	10	
	approach			approacties		le nighei	Warrant =	viee	
			own is th	ne minimum	meetina th	e MUTCD	Warrant Met		
	requireme	ents. Add	itional h	ours outside	of the cou	nt period may			
	meet the	MUTCD s	specified	volume leve	els.				
_									
Comments:	(include	any infor	mation v	which may be	e useful to	the reviewer)			

CANNON AND CANNON, INC.

William Ring, E.I.

Analysis Prepared by:

						_					
ant #1A 1in. Vol.) of Warrant	Warrant #1B (8 Hr Interruption) Percent of Warrant				nation s 1A & 1B) of Warrant		Warran (Four Hou Warrant			Warran (Peak Hou Warrant	
Minor	Moior	Minor				Volume	of Warrant		Volumo	of Warrant	
Iviinor	Major	winor		Major	Minor		Volume	Warram	Ĩ	Volume	Warram
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
7	169	13		211	8		60	12		100	7
4	187	8		234	5		60	7	Ĩ	80	5
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
								****			****
0	0	0		0	0		0			0	
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
0							0	****			****
0	0	0		0	0		0			0	
6	237	11		296	7		60	10		80	8
8	271	15		339	10		60	13		80	10
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
0	0	0		0	0		-	****		-	****
0	0	0		0	0		0	****		0	****
0	0	0		0	0		0			0	

Warranting Volumes

Total Hours Meeting

84

0.

No

504

Warrant =

Warrant Met

Warrant = Warrant = Ο. Warrant Met No Warrant Met ****

Warranting Volumes

From MUTCD Fig. 4-8

Total Hours Meeting

Major Street volume is so low that no Minor Street warrant exists

Warranting Volumes

0

VC/R1

No

From MUTCD Fig. 4-6

Total Hours Meeting

Date: 04/25/24 14:29 Time:

Warranting Volumes

Total Hours Meeting

53

0

No

630

Warrant =

Warrant Met

Developed by: Distributed by:

T. Darcy Sullivan, P.E. Tennessee Transportation Assistance Program (TTAP)

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - VOLUME WARRANTS

Intersection : City or County : State

Knox County

Tennessee

Concord Road at 2nd Drive Date of Count:

2026 Background Day of Week of Count: Average Weekday

Number of Lanes: Major Street . 2 Minor Street . . . 1

Warrant #2

(Four Hour Vols.)

Volume

----- -----

0

60

60

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

60

60

0

0

0

Warrant =

T. Darcy Sullivan, P.E.

Warrant Percent

of

Ο.

No

Warranting Volumes

From MUTCD Fig. 4-8

Total Hours Meeting

Warrant Met

10

15

Warrant

12

7

1.00

Warrant #3 (Peak Hour Vols.)

Volume

0

90

80

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

80

80

0

0

0

Warrant =

Major Street volume is so low that no Minor Street warrant exists

Warrant Met

Warranting Volumes From MUTCD Fig. 4-6

Total Hours Meeting

----- ----

Warrant Percent

of

0

VC/R1

No

8

11

8

5

Warrant

Yes

Time Actual Volume Adjusted Actual Adjusted Actual Adjusted Percent of Warrant Major Major Minor Major Minor Major Major
6:00 am 0 </th
7:00 828 311 1139 17 7 301 7 7 181 13 22 9:00 am 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 200 8 25 9:00 am 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 200 8 25 9:00 am 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 200 8 25 9:00 am 0<
8:00 800 463 1263 1263 4 4 301 4 200 8 25 9:00 am 0
9:00 am 0 </td
10:00 0
11:00 0
12:00 noon 0
0 0
1:00 0
00 pm 0
4:00 687 911 1598 1598 6 6 380 6 254 11 31 5:00 806 1026 1832 1832 9 9 436 9 291 17 36 6:00 pm 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 36 6:00 pm 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 36 6:00 pm 0
5:00 806 1026 1832 1832 9 9 436 9 291 17 36 5:00 pm 0 <t< td=""></t<>
O0 pm O
::00 0
0 0
Warranting Volumes Warranting Volumes Warranting Volumes , No adjus ment made 420 105 630 53 - Where more than one minor approach exists use the higher Total Hours Meeting Total Hours Meeting Total Hours Meeting
e: , No adjus ment made 420 105 630 53 50 - Where more than one minor approach exists use the higher Total Hours Meeting Total Hours Meeting Total Hours Meeting
- Where more than one minor approach exists use the higher Total Hours Meeting Total Hours Meeting Total I
Approach volume Variant = 0 Vvariant Vvariant Met No Vvariant Met No
requirements. Additional hours outside of the count period may meet the MUTCD specified volume levels.

Tennessee Transportation Assistance Program (TTAP)

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - VOLUME WARRANTS

Intersection : City or County : State

Knox County

Tennessee

Concord Road at 2nd Drive Date of Count:

Day of Week of Count: Average Weekday

2026 Combined

Minor Street . . . 1 Number of Lanes: Major Street . 2

Yes

Warrant #3 (Peak Hour Vols.) Warrant Percent of

1.00

Warrant

2

VC/R1

Warranting Volumes From MUTCD Fig. 4-6 Total Hours Meeting Warrant =

		Major Street Minor Street					Warrant #1A Warrant (8 Hr Min. Vol.) (8 Hr Inte					pination nts 1A & 1B)	Warrant #2 (Four Hour Vols.)		Warrant #3 (Peak Hour V	
Time Beginning		ctual Volu App #2		Adjusted Total Volum,	Actual Volume	Adjusted Total Volum,		t of Warrant Minor	Percent of Major			t of Warrant	Volume	Percent of Warrant	Volume	t Pe c
) am))	0 506 0	0 1017 0	0 1523 0	 0 1523 0	 0 150 0	 0 150 0	 0 363 0	 0 143 0	0 242 0	0 283 0	 0 302 0	 0 179 0	0 60 0	***** 250 *****	0 80 0	 ** 1 **
) am 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** **** ****	0 0 0	** **
noon	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	**** **** ****	0 0 0	** ** **
10 pm 10 10	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	***** ***** ****	0 0 0	** ** **
00 pm 00 00	1063 0 0	830 0 0	1893 0 0	1893 0 0	139 0 0	139 0 0	451 0 0	132 0 0	300 0 0	262 0 0	376 0 0	165 0 0	60 0 0	232 ***** *****	80 0 0	*:
/	approach lumber of requireme	re than or volume hours sho ents. Add	e minor own is th itional h	approach e ne minimum ours outside l volume leve	meeting the of the cou	Ū.	420		Warranting 630 Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Me	53 Meeting 2.	504		From MUTC Total Hours Warrant = Warrant Ma	Meeting 2 . 1et No	Warrantii From MUT(Total Hour Warrant = Warrant Jume is so low fi varrant exists	CD Fi rs Mee Met
Comments:	(include	any infor	mation v	which may b	e useful to	the reviewer)										
Analysis Pre	pared by:	CANNO William		<mark>Cannon, I</mark>	NC.			Date	e: 05/20/24		Develope		arcy Sullivan, P.	E. rtation Assista		