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## Executive Summary

Mesana Investments, LLC is proposing a residential development (i.e. Sevier Meadows Subdivision) with single family lots located in Knox County. The project is located east of Maryville Pike near the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road. The development will consist of 77 single family lots. Construction is proposed to take place this year and this study assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2022.

The proposed driveway connection for the Sevier Meadows Subdivision is located on Maryville Pike.

In order to maintain or provide an acceptable level-of-service for each of the intersections studied, some recommendations are presented.

## Maryville Pike at Rudder Road

The full buildout traffic conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7). The westbound approach will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours.

## Maryville Pike @ Driveway Connection

After the completion of the Sevier Meadows Subdivision the westbound approach will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound approach will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

Neither a right turn lane nor a left turn lane on Maryville Pike at the driveway connection is warranted.

The minimum intersection sight distance per TDOT standard drawing RD11-SD-3 is 555 feet for a 2-lane undivided roadway with a speed limit of 50 mph . FMA measured the sight distance at the proposed intersection of Maryville Pike at the driveway connection. At 15 feet from the edge of pavement the sight distance at the existing intersection is 549 feet northbound and 610 feet southbound; therefore the sight distance at the proposed intersection is inadequate.

TDOT recommended shoulder improvements at the driveway in order to achieve the required sight distance. A six foot wide shoulder with a storage length of 115 feet and a taper length of 50 feet is provided on both sides of the proposed driveway connection.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Project Description

This report provides a summary of a traffic impact study that was performed for the Sevier Meadows Subdivision. The project is located east of Maryville Pike near the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road. The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.

The full buildout of the development will consist of 77 single family lots. Construction is proposed to take place this year and this study assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2022.

The proposed driveway connection for the Sevier Meadows Subdivision is located on Maryville Pike. The proposed site layout is shown in Figure 2.

The proposed Sevier Meadows Subdivision will be within the Parent Responsibility Zone (PRZ) of Mount Olive Elementary School. The PRZ for an elementary school is defined as those who live within one (1) mile from a school by the shortest route, and are not eligible for transportation service.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the impacts to the traffic conditions caused by the proposed development.
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Figure 1: Location Map


Figure 2: Site Plan
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### 1.2 Existing Site Conditions

The proposed driveway connection to Maryville Pike is located approximately 850 feet north of the intersection with Rudder Road. The proposed driveway has a width of 26 feet and a sight distance of 549 feet north and 610 feet south of the intersection.

Maryville Pike at the intersection with Rudder Road is a two-lane road. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission classifies Maryville Pike as a Minor Arterial with a 70 foot right-of-way per the Major Road Plan. The posted speed limit on Maryville Pike is 50 mph .

There are existing sidewalks on Maryville Pike near Mt. Olive Elementary School but these are limited to the school's property line, and do not extend down Maryville Pike. There is no sidewalk connection to Rudder Road.

An aerial photo of the existing intersection is included in Attachment 1.

## 2 Existing Traffic Volumes

FMA conducted a turning movement count at the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road on Wednesday April 10, 2019.

The current AM peak hour and PM peak hour were determined using the turning movement count that FMA conducted. At the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road, the AM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m., and the PM peak hour occurred between 4:45 p.m. and 5:45 p.m.

The existing volumes including the AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the count location are shown in Figure 3, and the count data collected is included in Attachment 2.
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Figure 3: 2019 Existing Peak Hour Traffic

## 3 Background Growth

The Knoxville Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) maintains count stations in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Knoxville TPO count station ID: 093M049 is located on Maryville Pike (SR 33) approximately $100^{\prime}$ north of Gov John Sevier Highway (SR 168). The annual growth rate for this station over the last fifteen years is approximately $1.49 \%$ and the 2016 ADT was 5,750 vehicles per day.

Knoxville TPO count station ID: 093M258 is located on Brown Road, east of Maryville Pike. The annual growth rate for this station over the last eight years is approximately $1.10 \%$ and the 2016 ADT was 680 vehicles per day.

For the purpose of this study, an annual growth rate of $2.0 \%$ was assumed for traffic at the intersection of Maryville Pike and Rudder Road until full occupancy is reached in 2022. Attachment 3 shows the trend line growth charts for the Knoxville TPO count stations.

Figure 4 demonstrates the projected background peak hour volumes at the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road after applying the background growth rate to the existing conditions.
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Figure 4: 2022 Background Peak Hour Traffic

## 4 Trip Generation and Trip Distribution

The Sevier Meadows Subdivision proposes 77 single family lots. Single- Family Detached Housing or Land Use 210 was used to calculate site trips for the subdivision using the fitted curve equations from the Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. The land use worksheets are included in Attachment 4.

The total trips generated by the Sevier Meadows Subdivision was estimated to be 818 daily trips. The estimated trips are 59 trips during the AM peak hour and 79 trips during the PM peak hour. A trip generation summary is shown in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1
Sevier Meadows Subdivision Trip Generation Summary

|  | 77 Single Family Units <br> LUC 210 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total New | \% Entering | \% Exiting | Number <br> Entering | Number <br> Exiting |
| Weekday | Trips |  |  | 409 | 409 |
| A.M. Peak | 818 | 50 | 50 | 15 | 44 |
| P.M. Peak | 59 | 25 | 75 | 50 | 29 |

Maryville Pike at the proposed driveway connection has a trip distribution of $64 \%$ northbound and $36 \%$ southbound during the AM peak hour and $43 \%$ northbound and $57 \%$ southbound during the PM peak hour.

The directional distribution of the traffic generated by the Sevier Meadows Subdivision was determined using the existing traffic volumes at the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road in combination with the concept plan layout

Figure 5 shows the AM peak hour trip distribution and Figure 6 shows the PM peak hour trip distribution.

Figure 7 shows the peak hour site traffic from the subdivision and Figure 8 shows the peak hour full buildout traffic.
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Figure 5: AM Peak Hour Trip Distribution
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Figure 6: PM Peak Hour Trip Distribution
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Figure 7: Peak Hour Site Traffic
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Figure 8: Peak Hour Full Buildout Traffic
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## 5 Projected Capacity and Level of Service

Unsignalized intersection capacity analyses were performed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7) for the AM and PM peak hours to evaluate the traffic conditions at the intersections of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road and Maryville Pike at the driveway connection.

The results from the analyses are expressed with a term "level of service" (LOS), which is based on the amount of delay experienced at the intersection. The LOS index ranges from LOS A, indicating excellent traffic conditions with minimal delay, to LOS F indicating very congested conditions with excessive delay. LOS D generally is considered the minimum acceptable condition in urban areas. The existing, background and full buildout HCS7 worksheets are included in Attachments 5, 6 and 7.

Table 5-1 shows the results of the capacity analyses.

Table 5-1
Intersection Analysis
Level of Service (LOS) Summary


## 6 Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

The intersection of Maryville Pike at the driveway connection was evaluated to determine if a right turn lane or a left turn lane is warranted. The Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works handbook, "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy," was used to analyze the information. Neither a right turn lane nor a left turn lane on Maryville Pike at the driveway connection is warranted. The turn lane warrant worksheets and analysis are included in Attachment 8.

## 7 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 7.1 Maryville Pike @ Rudder Road

The existing traffic conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7). The westbound approach will operate at a LOS B during the AM peak hour and a LOS C during the PM peak hour.

The background traffic conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7). The westbound approach will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The full buildout traffic conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7). The westbound approach will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours.

### 7.2 Maryville Pike @ Driveway Connection

After the completion of the Sevier Meadows Subdivision the westbound approach will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound approach will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The unsignalized intersection capacity analyses shows a 95\% queue length at the full buildout for the driveway connection of less than one car length during both the AM and PM peak hours; therefore the existing storage at the intersection is adequate and no change is necessary.

Maryville Pike is classified as a minor arterial per the Major Road Plan. The minimum intersection spacing required on an arterial is 400 feet per the "KnoxvilleKnox County Subdivision Regulations." The proposed driveway connection is located approximately 850 feet north of the intersection of Maryville Pike at Rudder Road. This driveway connection exceeds the typical minimum separation on an arterial; therefore, no change is necessary.

Neither a right turn lane nor a left turn lane on Maryville Pike at the driveway connection is warranted.

The minimum intersection sight distance per TDOT standard drawing RD11-SD-3 is 555 feet for a 2-lane undivided roadway with a speed limit of 50 mph . FMA measured the sight distance at the proposed intersection of Maryville Pike at the driveway connection. At 15 feet from the edge of pavement the sight distance at the existing intersection is 549 feet northbound and 610 feet southbound; therefore the sight distance at the proposed intersection is inadequate.

TDOT recommended shoulder improvements at the driveway in order to achieve the required sight distance. A six foot wide shoulder with a storage length of 115 feet and a taper length of 50 feet is provided on both sides of the proposed driveway connection.

The proposed Sevier Meadows Subdivision will be within the Parent Responsibility Zone (PRZ) of Mount Olive Elementary School. The PRZ for an elementary school is defined as those who live within one (1) mile from a school by the shortest route, and are not eligible for transportation service. There are existing sidewalks on Maryville Pike near Mt. Olive Elementary School but these are limited to the school's property line, and do not extend down Maryville Pike.

Attachment 1
Aerial Photo


Attachment 2
Traffic Counts

Project: Sevier Meadows Subdivision
Intersection: Maryville Pike @ Rudder Road/Old Maryville Pike Date Conducted: 04/10/2019

|  | Maryville Pike Northbound |  |  |  | Maryville Pike Southbound |  |  |  | Rudder Road Westbound |  |  |  | Old Maryville Pike Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Int. Total |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 51 | 2 | 53 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 99 | 2 | 101 | 2 | 64 | 0 | 66 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 123 | 3 | 126 | 3 | 73 | 0 | 76 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 114 | 8 | 122 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 53 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |
| Total | 0 | 387 | 15 | 402 | 9 | 234 | 0 | 243 | 28 | 0 | 29 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 702 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 105 | 2 | 107 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 106 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 63 | 0 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 124 | 1 | 125 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 98 | 4 | 102 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| Total | 0 | 433 | 7 | 440 | 6 | 218 | 0 | 224 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 682 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 33 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 29 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 36 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 58 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 43 | 3 | 46 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 49 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| Total | 0 | 141 | 13 | 154 | 4 | 210 | 0 | 214 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 40 | 5 | 45 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 43 | 4 | 47 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 35 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 37 | 9 | 46 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| Total | 0 | 155 | 23 | 178 | 2 | 178 | 0 | 180 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 41 | 7 | 48 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 43 | 6 | 49 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 57 | 4 | 61 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 5 | 63 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Total | 0 | 201 | 19 | 220 | 9 | 208 | 0 | 217 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 40 | 8 | 48 | 2 | 66 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 40 | 4 | 44 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 61 | 8 | 69 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 68 | 11 | 79 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 |
| Total | 0 | 209 | 31 | 240 | 5 | 212 | 0 | 217 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 62 | 7 | 69 | 4 | 70 | 0 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 55 | 6 | 61 | 2 | 66 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 72 | 10 | 82 | 3 | 85 | 0 | 88 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 72 | 12 | 84 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 122 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 |
| Total | 0 | 261 | 35 | 296 | 11 | 341 | 0 | 352 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 680 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 84 | 11 | 95 | 5 | 120 | 0 | 125 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 97 | 9 | 106 | 8 | 124 | 0 | 132 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 90 | 10 | 100 | 4 | 94 | 0 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 104 | 12 | 116 | 2 | 87 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 |
| Total | 0 | 375 | 42 | 417 | 19 | 425 | 0 | 444 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 880 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1665 | 130 | 1795 | 50 | 1430 | 0 | 1480 | 83\| | 0 | 69 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3421 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 92.8 | 7.2 |  | 3.4 | 96.6 | 0.0 |  | 56.8 | 0.0 | 47.3 |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 48.7 | 3.8 | 52.5 | 1.5 | 41.8 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

Project: Sevier Meadows Subdivision
Date Conducted: 4/10/2019

| AM Peak Hour | $7: 15$ AM - 8:15 AM | 770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PM Peak Hour | $4: 45$ PM - 5:45 PM | 881 |


|  | Maryville Pike <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Maryville Pike Southbound |  |  | Rudder Road Westbound |  |  |  | Old Maryville Pike Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| 7:15 AM |  | 0 | 99 | 2 | 101 | 2 | 64 |  | 66 | 7 |  | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:30 AM |  | 0 | 123 | 3 | 126 | 3 | 73 |  | 76 | 10 |  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 222 |
| 7:45 AM |  | 0 | 114 | 8 | 122 | 1 | 52 |  | 53 | 6 |  | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 188 |
| 8:00 AM |  | 0 | 105 | 2 | 107 | 2 | 63 |  | 65 | 4 |  | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 180 |
| Total Volume |  | 0 | 441 | 15 | 456 | 8 | 252 |  | 260 | 27 |  | 0 | 27 | 54 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 770 |
| Future (2\% over 3 yrs) |  | 0 | 468 | 16 | 484 | 8 | 267 |  | 276 | 29 |  | 0 | 29 | 57 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 817 |
| PHF | N/A |  | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.86 |  | 0.86 | 0.68 |  |  | 0.68 | 0.68 |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 0.87 |


|  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0.90 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Analysis from 2:30 PM to 6:00 PM |  |  |

PM Peak Hour begins at 5:00 PM

| 4:45 PM | 0 | 72 | 12 | 84 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 122 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 212 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 84 | 11 | 95 | 5 | 120 | 0 | 125 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 225 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 97 | 9 | 106 | 8 | 124 | 0 | 132 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 242 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 90 | 10 | 100 | 4 | 94 | 0 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 202 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 343 | 42 | 385 | 19 | 458 | 0 | 477 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 881 |
| Future (2\% over 3 yrs ) | 0 | 364 | 45 | 409 | 20 | 486 | 0 | 506 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 935 |
| PHF | N/A | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.59 | 0.92 |  | 0.90 | 0.55 |  | 0.67 | 0.79 |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 0.91 |

## Attachment 3 <br> ADT Trends



Most Recent Trend Line Growth

| Year | ADT |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2009 | 625 |
| 2016 | 680 |

Annual Percent Growth $1.10 \%$

Adjusted
Average Daily

Year
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007 2008 2009
2010 2011
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016

Traffic
4760
4630
5630
5896
5900
5490
5960
4690
0
5020
5150
0
4940
0
5750


Most Recent Trend Line Growth

| Year | ADT |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2002 | 4760 |
| 2016 | 5750 |

Annual Percent Growth

## Attachment 4

Trip Generation
Project: Sevier Meadows Subdivision
Date Conducted: 04/10/2019

Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)
77 Single Family Lots

Average Daily Traffic
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.71$
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(77)+2.71$
$\mathrm{T}=818$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
$\mathrm{T}=0.71(\mathrm{X})+4.80$
$\mathrm{T}=0.71(77)+4.80$
$\mathrm{T}=59$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.20$
$\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(77)+0.20$
$\mathrm{T}=79$

|  |  | Percent |  | Number |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time Period | Total Trips | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
| Weekday (24 hours) | 818 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 409 | 409 |
| AM Peak Hour | 59 | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ | 15 | 44 |
| PM Peak Hour | 79 | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 50 | 29 |

## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

| (210) |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Onicle Trip Ends vs: | Dwelling Units | Weekday |
|  |  |  |
| Setting/Location: | General Urban/Suburban |  |
| Number of Studies: | 159 |  |
| Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: | 264 |  |
| Directional Distribution: | $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting |  |

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.44 | $4.81-19.39$ | 2.10 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Single-Family Detached Housing (210) 

| (210) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> On a: Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. <br> Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 173 <br> Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 219 <br> Directional Distribution: $25 \%$ entering, $75 \%$ exiting |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Rate | Range of | Rates Standard Deviation |
|  | 0.74 | 0.33 - | $2.27-0.27$ |

Data Plot and Equation


# Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210) 



Data Plot and Equation


Attachment 5
Intersection Worksheets - Existing AM/PM Peaks

## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk @ Rudder Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $4 / 24 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Rudder Road |
| Analysis Year | 2019 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 27 | 0 | 27 |  | 0 | 441 | 15 |  | 8 | 252 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk @ Rudder Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $4 / 24 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Rudder Road |
| Analysis Year | 2019 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 8 |  | 0 | 343 | 42 |  | 19 | 458 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



Attachment 6
Intersection Worksheets - Background AM/PM Peaks

## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk @ Rudder Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $4 / 24 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Rudder Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Background AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 29 | 0 | 29 |  | 0 | 468 | 16 |  | 8 | 267 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk @ Rudder Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $4 / 24 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Rudder Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Background PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach |  |  | und |  |  | We | und |  |  | Nor | ound |  |  | Sou | und |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | 0 | 8 |  | 0 | 364 | 45 |  | 20 | 486 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Attachment 7 <br> Intersection Worksheets - Full Buildout AM/PM Peaks

## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk at Rudder Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $5 / 22 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Rudder Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Buildout AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk @ Rudder Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $5 / 22 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Rudder Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Buildout PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | 0 | 12 |  | 0 | 395 | 45 |  | 21 | 484 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk at Driveway |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMa | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $5 / 22 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Driveway |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Buildout AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes


Major Street: North-South
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach |  |  | und |  |  | We | und |  |  |  | ound |  |  | Sou | und |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 22 |  |  | 515 | 10 |  | 5 | 275 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Maryville Pk at Driveway |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMa | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $5 / 22 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Driveway |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Maryville Pike |
| Time Analyzed | Buildout PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 525.007 Sevier Meadows Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes


Major Street: North-South
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



# Attachment 8 <br> Turn Lane Warrant Analysis 

Project: Sevier Meadows Subdivision

Maryville Pike at Driveway Connection
Maryville Pike
at Driveway Connection LEFT TURN

AM
PM
VOLUMES

| Opposing | Thru | LT | LT MAX | Warrant Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 525 | 275 | 5 | 30 | NO |
| 407 | 488 | 15 | 20 | NO |

Maryville Pike at Driveway Connection RIGHT TURN

AM
PM

| Thru | RT | RT MAX | Warrant Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 515 | 10 | 25 | NO |
| 372 | 35 | 149 | NO |

TABLE GA
LETT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left-turn lane is needed)

| OPPOSING <br> VOLUME | THROUGF VOLUME PLUS RIGETTTURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $100 \cdot 149$ | 150-199 | 200-249 | 250-399 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2100 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { So } \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | AM Peak 35 | $30$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-64 y \\ & 650-659 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-74 y \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |


| OPPOSING VOLUME | TEROUGE YOLUNL PLTS RIGHT-TURN VOLUTK * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 409-43 | 450-400 | $560 \cdot 549$ | $550 \cdot 599$ | $=1>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | 45 40 | 44 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 35 35 | 30 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | PM Peak 20 | $20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-649 \\ & 650-699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS: FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAMLING SPEED OF 46 TO 55 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-291 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y'es } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { yos } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHTT-TURN <br> VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFI-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400 - 449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+i>600$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Peak 35 RT | Yes | Yes <br> Yes <br> AM Peak <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yer } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 203-249 \\ & 250-209 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or Mort | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Date: May 22, 2019
Project Name: Sevier Meadows Subdivision

## To: Knoxville-Knox County Planning

## Subject: TIS Comment Response Document for Sevier Meadows Subdivision Traffic Impact Study Review Comments Dated May 22, 2019.

Dear Knoxville-Knox County Planning staff,
The following comment response document is submitted to address comments dated May 22, 2019:

1. Reviewer Comment: On page 2, change Figure 3 name to "2019 Existing Peak Hour Traffic" \& Figure 4 name to "2022 Background Peak Hour Traffic".

Response: Revised the Figure names on page 2.
a. Reviewer Comment: Add sectional divider to Attachment 1 - Aerial Photo.

Response: Added a sectional divider for Attachment 1 - Aerial Photo.
2. Reviewer Comment: On page 16, correct the site exiting traffic to match Figure 7 and correct the southbound Maryville Pike traffic so it balances with the volumes at Rudder Road. Also, correct the associated capacity analysis accordingly.

Response: Revised both Figure 7 \& Figure 8 to reflect the corrected traffic volumes and updated the associated capacity analysis.
3. Reviewer Comment: Add "Road" after "located on Brown" in the third paragraph on page 10 .

Response: Revised to "located on Brown Road."
4. Reviewer Comment: On page 11 Figure 4, please revise the southbound PM count on Maryville Pike. Growing the existing counts by 3 years would make the SB PM count 486 , not 468.

Response: Revised Figure 4 with the correct Southbound PM count.
5. Reviewer Comment: On page 12 third paragraph, are these splits supposed to reference exiting or entering traffic?

Response: The trip distribution represents the through traffic on Maryville Pike at the proposed driveway connection.

Sincerely,


Addie Kirkham, P.E.

