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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Preface:

OKR, GP proposes a commercial development on a $3.55+/-$ acre property southwest of the signalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way in Northwest Knox County, TN. The proposed development will include a strip retail plaza with a floor area of $15,625 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ plus a $4,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ coffee/donut shop with a drive-through window. The development is named and referenced in this study as "The Village at Hardin Valley". The development proposes three entrances, one on Hardin Valley Road to the north and two on Spring Bluff Way to the south. The development is anticipated to be fully built and occupied by the end of 2025. In addition, adjacent to the proposed site, a Chick-fil-A restaurant is currently being constructed, and its customers will solely enter and exit via The Village at Hardin Valley development property. This restaurant is expected to be open for business before The Village at Hardin Valley development is fully constructed and occupied.

The primary purpose of this study is to determine and evaluate the potential combined impacts of the developments on the adjacent transportation system. The study includes a review of the primary access roads and intersections, and it is a Level 1 study established by Knoxville/Knox County Planning. Recommendations and mitigation measures are offered to accommodate the new commercial development if transportation operations are projected to be below recognized engineering standards.

## Study Results:

The significant findings of this study include the following:

- The Village at Hardin Valley development, with a strip retail plaza and a coffee/donut shop with a drive-through, is estimated to generate 3,022 trips at full build-out and occupancy on an average weekday. Of these daily trips, 381 are projected to occur during the AM peak hour and 262 in the PM peak hour in 2025. However, some of these trips are expected to be comprised of motorists already traveling through the adjacent Hardin Valley Road corridor and, to a lesser extent, the other adjacent roadways. The Chick-fil-A restaurant will also generate significant vehicle trips. Between The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-filA restaurant, some generated trips are projected to be internal to the developments and will not impact the external road system.
- The Village at Hardin Valley development will have three entrances and they are expected to operate adequately with respect to vehicle delays and queues.
- During peak hours, motorists exiting the development towards the west on Hardin Valley Road via Award Winning Way will experience high delays at the intersection, particularly in the AM peak hour. This experience and occurrence will likely shift the vast majority of westbound exiting motorists away from Award Winning Way and shift the demand to the traffic signal slightly east of the development to continue their intended travel to the west.
- The signalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way is projected to operate with reasonable vehicle delays and queues even with the future projected trips from the Chick-fil-A restaurant and The Village at Hardin Valley development. This reasonable outcome is possible due to a Knox County Engineering project to increase the number of thru lanes on Hardin Valley Road within the next 12 months. This project will provide two thru lanes of traffic on Hardin Valley Road, eastbound and westbound, at the intersection. However, the northbound left-turn lane vehicle storage on Greenland Way should be increased at this intersection. Knox County Engineering should fine-tune the signal timing as the various projects come to fruition and completion.
- The projected 2025 traffic volumes will warrant the construction of a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road at the proposed North Entrance for The Village at Hardin Valley.


## Recommendations:

The following is a summary of recommendations based on the study analyses. The recommendations are offered to minimize the impacts of the proposed developments on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and improved safety. More details regarding all these recommendations are discussed at the end of the report.

## Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way:

- It is recommended that the northbound left-turn lane on Greenland Way at this intersection be extended to provide a minimum storage of 125 feet. However, due to the readily available existing unused pavement in the center of the road, providing up to 175 feet of storage on Greenland Way will fully ensure that vehicles in this left-turn lane do not block northbound vehicles in the shared
thru/right lane approaching Hardin Valley Road during extreme peak traffic periods. This modification can easily be accomplished by solely re-striping Greenland Way's pavement. The bank entrance should include a "Do Not Block Driveway" sign facing northbound traffic on Greenland Way on the south side of the bank entrance to reduce the potential of vehicles blocking this entrance.
- Knox County Engineering should adjust the signal timing for the AM, Mid-Day, and PM peaks to optimize traffic flows while minimizing the potential of vehicle queues spilling outside their designated storage lanes. These adjustments should occur once the lane additions are provided on Hardin Valley Road and when the Chick-fil-A restaurant and The Village at Hardin Valley all become fully operational. Fine-tuning the signal timing may be needed several times as each piece is added to the road system.


## Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way:

- It is recommended that the northbound lanes on Award Winning Way be defined and marked on the pavement. The width of the northbound exiting approach of Award Winning Way at Hardin Valley Road is 24 feet, allowing for two 12-foot lanes, but it is not marked as such. The approach should be delineated with a white lane line to provide a separate shared left/thru lane and an exclusive rightturn lane. The lanes should include shared white left/thru arrows and white rightturn arrows along its length. These lane designations should be applied from Hardin Valley Road to Spring Bluff Way, approximately 300 feet in length.


## Hardin Valley Road at the Proposed North Entrance:

- This entrance intersection will be constructed with a right-in/right-out configuration, but it will allow left turns into the development from the east on Hardin Valley Road. Exiting left-turn movements to the west will not be allowed at this entrance. This entrance should be constructed with this configuration as proposed due to the limited internal vehicle storage available within the site. It is recommended that the following be considered in the design and construction of the entrance:
i) The entering and exiting lanes should have a width and inner radius to facilitate the largest expected-sized vehicle entering and exiting at Hardin Valley Road. The layout and elements of the intersection should follow Knox County Engineering standards.
ii) The island separating the entering and exiting movements should be raised
concrete and sized adequately to dissuade illegal, restricted left-turning movements to the west on Hardin Valley Road.
iii) Traffic signage with breakaway posts at this intersection should include a Stop Sign (R1-1). The Stop Sign (R1-1) should be supplemented with a 24 " white stop bar on the exiting lane approaching Hardin Valley Road, a minimum of 4 feet away from the road edge. Other signs that should be posted at the entrance include a Keep Right Sign (R4-7) at the head of the island for exiting vehicles, a No Left Turn Sign (R3-2) at Hardin Valley facing the exiting lane, and a Do Not Enter Sign (R5-1) facing the exiting lane but on the outside edge of the entering lane.
- It is recommended that the sidewalk at the proposed North Entrance be installed with pavement markings, detectable warning surfaces, and other items to reduce the potential turning vehicle conflicts with pedestrians. Details regarding the appropriate and desired treatments to reduce pedestrian conflicts and inappropriate vehicle movements should be discussed during the detailed design review with Knox County Engineering.
- The intersection sight distance from the North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road must not be impacted by future landscaping, signage, or vegetation. Based on the posted speed limit of $40-\mathrm{mph}$ on Hardin Valley Road, the required ISD is 400 feet, looking to the west for exiting right-turning vehicles. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be greater than 999 feet to the west on Hardin Valley Road. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.
- The construction of a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road for vehicles entering the proposed North Entrance is warranted based on the projected 2025 AM peak hour traffic volumes. It is not projected to be warranted in the 2025 PM peak hour. Due to the existing site limitations along Hardin Valley Road, which include extensive underground utilities, it is recommended that the eastbound right-turn lane be designed and constructed with a minimal lane taper but with a maximum deceleration length possible within the confines of the frontage limits. The civil site designer should coordinate the design of this turn lane with Knox County, and it should include a white right-turn arrow and lane line on the pavement for this exclusive lane. As part of this eastbound right-turn lane provision, the existing sidewalk on the south side of Hardin Valley Road will need to be modified and reconstructed.


## The Village at Hardin Valley Internal Drive/Parking Lot Aisleways:

- Stop Signs (R1-1) with $24^{\prime \prime}$ white stop bars are recommended to be installed at the internal locations, as shown in the report.
- $\quad$ Sight distance at the new internal aisleway intersections must not be impacted by new signage, parked cars, or future landscaping. With an assumed speed of 15mph in the development, the internal intersection sight distance is 170 feet. The required stopping sight distance is 80 feet for a level road grade. The site designer should ensure that internal sight distance lengths are met.
- All drainage grates and covers for the commercial development must be pedestrian and bicycle safe.
- Internal sidewalks are proposed adjacent to the front of The Village at Hardin Valley building. Sidewalks should have appropriate ADA-compliant ramps, and the internal sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width to meet Knox County regulations.
- Internal guide signs should direct vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop to the drive-thru lane's location. White pavement lane markings, arrows, or messages should also be considered to facilitate orderly vehicular movements.
- All road and intersection elements should be designed according to AASHTO and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.


## Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way:

- The prior traffic impact study for the Chick-fil-A restaurant by TWM, Inc. recommended that a 100 -foot eastbound right-turn lane be constructed on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way and a 100 -foot southbound right-turn lane be constructed on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way. The findings of this current study did not indicate that an eastbound right-turn lane on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way would be necessary. While the findings also did not indicate a need for a southbound right-turn lane on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way, this current study agrees with this recommendation due to the known popularity of Chick-fil-A restaurants and the planned coffee/donut shop. A southbound rightturn lane at this intersection would ensure that entering vehicle queues from this direction will not extend back to the traffic signal on Hardin Valley Road.


## Description of Existing Conditions

## - STUDY AREA:

The proposed location of this new commercial development is shown on a map in Figure 1. The commercial development will comprise a single building with internal aisleways and parking areas on $3.55 \pm$ acres. The building will include retail shops and a coffee/donut shop with a singlelane drive-through window. The proposed main entrance to the development will be on the property's north side at Hardin Valley Road, with two other proposed entrances to the south at Spring Bluff Way.


View of Development Site from Opposite Side of Hardin Valley Road (Looking Southeast)

The adjacent Hardin Valley Road corridor is occupied with educational, commercial, office, retail, and residential properties near the proposed development site. The proposed development will be constructed in an aggressively growing area of Knox County. The Chick-fil-A restaurant is currently undergoing construction and will be adjacent to The Village at Hardin Valley.

The development site is flat and was initially graded as part of the construction and development of the commercial building development of Spring Bluff Way. This commercial building is currently occupied by the Knoxville campus for King University, as well as a Japanese restaurant, a fitness center, a pizza shop, and others. The proposed development property has an open grassed lawn and has been regularly maintained. The development will be located on three existing parcels, one of which is an asphalt parking lot that provides overflow parking for the university and businesses along Spring Bluff Way. This parking lot will be removed and incorporated into the overall development plan for The Village at Hardin Valley. Road access to the proposed development will occur primarily via Hardin Valley Road to the north, with secondary access provided via Spring Bluff Way to the south, which intersects Award Winning Way and Greenland Way to the west and east, respectively.


Figure 1
Location Map

- EXISTING ROADWAYS:

Table 1 lists the characteristics of the existing primary access roadways adjacent to the development property and included in the study:

TABLE 1
STUDY CORRIDOR CHARACTERISTICS

| NAME | CLASSIFICATION ${ }^{1}$ | SPEED LIMIT | LANES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROAD } \\ & \text { WIDTH }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | TRANSIT ${ }^{3}$ | PEDESTRIAN <br> FACIIITIES | BICYCLE FACILITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hardin Valley Road | Minor Arterial | 40 mph | 3 lanes with TWLTL | 43.5 feet | None | 5' sidewalks on both sides of roadway | No bike lanes |
| Performing Arts Way | Private | 20 mph | 3 lanes | 38 feet | None | None | No bike lanes |
| Greenland Way | Private | Not Posted | 2 lanes with TWLTL | 38.5 feet | None | None | No bike lanes |
| Spring Bluff Way | Private | Not Posted | 2 lanes | 26 feet | None | None | No bike lanes |
| Award Winning Way | Private | Not Posted | 3 lanes / boulevard | 50.5 feet | None | 5' sidewalk on East Side between Hardin Valley Road \& Spring Bluff Way | No bike lanes |
| Bryant Lane | Local | 25 mph | 2 lanes | 16 feet | None | None | No bike lanes |

[^0]Hardin Valley Road is classified as a minor arterial and traverses in a generally northeastsouthwest direction. Hardin Valley Road is 6.1 miles long. This road runs between Ball Camp Byington Road on its northeast side to the intersection with Hickory Creek Road and Gallaher Ferry Road on its southwest end at a recently constructed roundabout. Just to the east of the study area, Hardin Valley Road provides access to Pellissippi Parkway (SR 162) for travel to the south towards Interstate 40/75/140 and to the north towards Oak Ridge, TN. The posted speed limit on Hardin Valley Road is 40 mph at the development property.

There are 5-foot wide concrete sidewalks on both sides of Hardin Valley Road, and the roadway is lined with $6^{\prime \prime}$ concrete curbs and $24^{\prime \prime}$ gutters on its edge. The sidewalks begin just west of the Pellissippi Parkway interchange on the east end and terminate on the west end at North Campbell Station Road, approximately 2.5 miles long. Hardin Valley Road has three lanes with a center two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL) adjacent to the development property.

Hardin Valley Road intersects Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way to the east of the development site, and it operates as a signalized intersection. The intersection has crosswalks on all the approaches and provides push buttons and signal heads for pedestrian crossings. The Chick-fil-A restaurant under construction will be on the southwest corner of the signalized intersection. Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way are both private roadways. Performing Arts Way has two lanes


Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way (Looking East) approaching from the north at the intersection, one with a shared left/thru lane and the other with an exclusive right-turn lane at the traffic signal. Performing Arts Way provides one of three access points to Pellissippi State Community College to the north, and it is the furthest entrance to the west and south of the campus.

At the traffic signal, Greenland Way has a boulevard typical section with two northbound lanes: a separate left-turn lane and a shared thru/right-turn lane. The single southbound lane on Greenland Way is separated from the northbound lanes by a raised 6-foot median. Greenland Way provides access to Spring Bluff Way to the south of the signalized intersection. In addition to the businesses in the large building to the south along Spring Bluff Way, Greenland Way provides road access to a bank on the southeast corner of the traffic signal and the Enclave of


Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way (Looking West) Hardin Valley, a multi-unit luxury apartment complex further to the south. This road has single lanes in each direction, with a center lane, and ends within the apartment complex to the south.

Hardin Valley Road intersects Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way adjacent and to the west of the development site, and it operates as a two-way stop-controlled unsignalized intersection.

Bryant Lane is a local, narrow, lightly traveled roadway with two lanes of traffic. It provides road access to seven single-family detached houses and ends at a cul-de-sac approximately 2,400 feet to the north off Hardin Valley Road. At Hardin Valley Road, the southbound approach of Bryant Lane has a shared left, thru, and right lane.

Award Winning Way has a boulevard typical section with two lanes on its northbound approach at the intersection with Hardin Valley Road and Bryant Lane, with the approach operating with a shared left/thru lane and a right-turn lane. However, these lanes are not marked or designated on the pavement. The boulevard's two northbound lanes have a width of 24 feet, a raised median of 9 feet, and a southbound lane of 17.5 feet. Award Winning Way provides access to Spring Bluff Way south of Hardin Valley Road. In addition to the businesses along Spring Bluff Way, Award Winning Way provides road access to three buildings further to the south currently occupied by several tenants, including mortgage lenders, financial service providers, and others. On the west side of Award Winning Way, opposite the proposed development site, Valley Church recently graded the property for a community center that will be built in the future.

Within the next 12 months, Knox County Engineering plans to provide additional lanes on Hardin Valley Road within the study area. Currently, Hardin Valley Road experiences large vehicle queues and delays, particularly in the eastbound direction toward Pellissippi Parkway during peak hours. These additional lanes on Hardin Valley Road will be carved out mostly within the existing road footprint by reducing the width of the existing lanes and slightly widening the roadway at narrow points. This additional laneage will be provided between Bryant Lane/Award Winning Way on the west side and up to the Pellissippi Parkway interchange to the east. It will provide two lanes in each direction, with a center lane for turning movements. The two lanes in the eastbound direction on Hardin Valley Road will begin at the intersection with Bryant Lane/Award Winning Way. The two lanes in the westbound direction will end at the intersection with Bryant Lane/Award Winning Way. A 270 -foot taper to the east will merge the two lanes into one past this intersection to the west. This project is still under design review but was incorporated into the study analyses in the projected 2025 conditions.

Figure 2 shows the existing lane configurations of the intersections examined in the study, the traffic count locations, and the current traffic signage in the study area. The traffic signage shown in Figure 2 only includes warning and regulatory signage near the development site. The pages following Figure 2 give a further overview of the site study area with photographs.


## Pното Exhibits
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## - EXISTING TRANSPORTATION VOLUMES PER MODE:

One annual vehicular traffic count location is near the study area, and the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) conducts this count. The count location data is the following and can be viewed with further details in Appendix A:

- Existing vehicular roadway traffic:
- TDOT reported an Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Hardin Valley Road, west of Pellissippi Parkway and east of the proposed development site, at 19,435 vehicles per day in 2023. From 2013 to 2023, this count station has indicated a $2.2 \%$ average annual traffic growth rate.
- Existing bicycle and pedestrian volumes:

The average daily pedestrian and bicycle traffic along Hardin Valley Road and the other studied adjacent roads is unknown. Nonetheless, with sidewalks on both sides of Hardin Valley Road, this corridor was observed to have a fair amount of pedestrian and bicyclist activity during the traffic counts. During the 8 -hour traffic count for this study, a total of eight bicyclists and 51 pedestrians were observed traveling eastbound and westbound on Hardin Valley Road. In the afternoon, many pedestrians were joggers of high school age.

An online website, strava.com, provides "heat" maps detailing routes taken by pedestrians, joggers, and bicyclists. The provided heat maps show the last two years of data, are updated monthly, and are gathered from individuals allowing their smart devices to track and compile their routes (millions of users). The activities in the maps are shown on the roads with color intensities with darker colors signifying higher activity. The Strava heat maps show some bicycle activity along Hardin Valley Road and on the nearby roads of Award Winning Way, Greenland Way, and Performing Arts Way. However, quite a bit of pedestrian activity is shown along all the roads adjacent to the development site, particularly on Hardin Valley Road, where sidewalks are provided on both sides.


Strava Heat Map for Pedestrian and Joggers


- PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES:

Bicycle lanes are unavailable on Hardin Valley Road or on any of the streets adjacent to the proposed development site. The closest bicycle facilities are half a mile away to the northeast at Pellissippi State Community College on the Pellissippi Parkway Greenway. The Pellissippi Parkway Greenway runs from Pellissippi State Community College south to Carmichael Road and parallels Pellissippi Parkway to the west. The greenway is paved and is approximately one mile in length.

Knox County completed a Greenway Corridor Study in 2020. This study evaluated potential alignments for greenways throughout Knox County. The study identified and evaluated one of the corridors, Beaver Creek West. This corridor would run from Melton Hill Park in Hardin Valley to Interstate 75 in Powell. One of the preferred routes for this corridor is shown along Hardin Valley Road in front of the proposed development site on the north side of Hardin Valley Road. As shown in the
 image from the greenway study, the
yellow dashed lines are the preferred route, the red dashed line is the alternate route, and the purple line is a proposed connector route. The construction of The Village at Hardin Valley is not expected to impact this preferred route if and when it is constructed in the future.

## - WALK SCORE:

A private company offers a website at walkscore.com that grades and gives scores to locations within the United States based on "walkability", "bikeability", and transit availability based on a patented system. According to the website, the numerical values assigned for the Walk Score and the Bike Score are based on the distance to the closest amenity in various relevant categories (businesses, schools, parks, etc.) and are graded from 0 to 100 .

Appendix B shows maps and other information
 for the Walk, Transit, and Bike Score at the development property at Spring Bluff Way. The project site location is graded with a Walk Score of 32. This Walk Score indicates that the site is car-dependent and that most trips require a vehicle to travel to and from the development property. The site is not given a Transit Score since public transportation is unavailable at the development site. The site has a Bike Score of 7, indicating minimal bike infrastructure but somewhat bikeable. These scores indicate that the development site has some potential for generating alternate transportation modes to and from the site.

Overall, it is assumed that some pedestrian and bicycle traffic in the surrounding area will likely occur to and from the proposed The Village at Hardin Valley Road. It is suspected that most of these non-vehicular trips would occur to and from the nearby apartments and businesses. Ultimately, the new development is not expected to generate measurable bicycle or pedestrian trips that would significantly reduce vehicle trips. Thus, these potential vehicle trip reductions are ignored for the study analyses.

## - Transit Services:

The City of Knoxville has a network of public transit opportunities offered by Knoxville Area

Transit (KAT). Bus service is not available near the development site. The overall KAT bus system map is provided in Appendix C.

The closest public transit bus service is 5.4 miles to the east at the corner of North Cedar Bluff Road and Fox Lonas Road and is Route 16, "Cedar Bluff". It operates on weekdays and Saturdays, and this route map is included in Appendix C. Since the COVID-19 pandemic, KAT had to reduce its service schedule due to workforce shortages. These changes took place on August 29th, 2022, and the reduced schedule for this route is also
 included in Appendix C. However, KAT increased services on April 8, 2024, for some routes on Sundays and evenings, but this did not include Route 16. Other transit services in the area include the East Tennessee Human Resource Agency (ETHRA) and the Community Action Committee (CAC), which provides transportation services when requested.

Since the distance to the nearest public bus service is several miles away, with limited sidewalks or bike lanes available to access the bus stop without using a private vehicle, the proposed development is not expected to have any reduced vehicle trips due to public transit usage.

## - CRASH DATA:

The Knoxville TPO provides a website that lists bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicle severe or fatal crashes from October 2016 to September 2021. The data shows that two of these incidents occurred near the development site during that time period. The closest incident occurred on Greenland Way on July 24 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2019, and involved a motor vehicle with a serious injury. No data is given as to the cause of the crash. The next nearest incident occurred on July $6^{\text {th }}, 2019$, and involved a motor vehicle with a serious injury, and no data is given as to the cause of the crash.


## Project Description

## - LOCATION AND SITE PLAN:



Hardin Valley Road at the Location of the Proposed North Entrance for the Development Property
(Looking Northwest)

The proposed plan layout with a $15,560 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ strip retail plaza and a $4,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ coffee/donut shop is provided by Urban Engineering, Inc. and is shown in Figure 3. The design proposes three entrances for the development: the main entrance at Hardin Valley Road to the north and two secondary entrances to the southeast and southwest at Spring Bluff Way.

The North Entrance on Hardin Valley Road is proposed to have full access for all movements except for exiting left turns towards the west. The North Entrance for the proposed development will tie into Hardin Valley Road, 537 feet west of the signalized intersection and 267 feet east of the unsignalized intersection with Award Winning Way and Bryant Lane (centerline to centerline). The secondary entrances on Spring Bluff Way will be approximately 200 feet west and east of Greenland Way and Award Winning Way, respectively. The Chick-fil-A restaurant under construction will be provided sole external road access via the Southeast Entrance, shown as part of The Village at Hardin Valley. Chick-fil-A will not have direct external road access to Hardin Valley Road or Greenland Way. However, restaurant patrons will be able to enter and exit at any of the three proposed entrances for The Village at Hardin Valley due to the interconnected parking lots and aisleways that will be provided.

The site plan in Figure 3 shows one building for The Village at Hardin Valley. The building will include several individual units, allowing for a mix of retail shops and the coffee/donut shop. The building will be one-story in height and will include a drive-through lane for the coffee/donut shop at the rear of the site. The drive-through lane will be accompanied with a narrow, 3-foot raised barrier to direct drive-through traffic flow and separate it from the two-way traffic in the adjacent parking lot aisleway. The drive-through pick-up window will be located on the east end of the building, with the drive-through lane for the shop having a counter-clockwise direction. The drive-thru lane will have a slip lane at the east end of the building at the drive-through pick-
up window. The order board will be located near the southeast corner of the building. It is expected that any vehicle queues from the drive-thru will extend along the southern side of the building and, in worst-case situations, will be able to stack around the western end of the building. With the proposed layout, it is not expected that there will be any conflicts with the heavy traffic movements and vehicle queues to and from the Chick-fil-A restaurant. Guide signs will be provided internally to notify vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop where to enter the drive-thru lane. Coffee/donut shop customers entering from the North Entrance will be directed towards the southwest of the building. Customers from the Southwest Entrance will be able to enter the drive-thru via the opening in the concrete raised barrier, or if the queue is substantial enough, they will be required to travel around the building in a counter-clockwise direction. Similarly, internal guide signs will notify customers from the Southeast Entrance to travel around the building in a counter-clockwise direction to enter the drive-thru lane.

The internal site design shows 187 parking spaces, including six ADA-accessible parking spaces. The standard parking spaces will be 17.5 feet x 9 feet. Two trash dumpsters will be located on the south corners of the property. Sidewalks and concrete areas will be provided in front of the building.

The schedule for completing this new commercial development depends on construction timelines. This project is also contingent on permitting, design, and other regulatory approvals. The Village at Hardin Valley is expected to be built within a short timeframe to coincide closely with the opening of the Chick-fil-A restaurant. Based on this forecast, this study assumed that the total construction build-out of both developments with full occupancy and operations would occur by the end of next year (2025).


Figure 3
Proposed Plan Layout
The Village at Hardin Valley
Not to Scale

## - PROPOSED USES AND ZONING REQUIREMENTS:

The three existing parcels comprising The Village at Hardin Valley property are in Knox County and are zoned as Planned Commercial (PC). Uses permitted in the Planned Commercial (PC) zone include a variety of developments and in general, include office and commercial services. All the properties in this area along Hardin Valley Road are overlaid with a Technology (TO) zone. According to the Knoxville/Knox County Planning website, the Technology (TO) overlay zone is described as a means to "encourage technology and related land uses while preserving forested ridges, rolling hills, and broad valleys. The zoning is fairly flexible and allows most types of office and light industry, with limits on retail development". This overlay designation and the PC zoning were not changed for the proposed development. The most recently published online KGIS zoning map is provided in Appendix D. The existing adjacent surrounding zoning and land uses are the following:

- Hardin Valley Road binds the development site to the north and northwest. Across Hardin Valley Road, two parcels are zoned Business and Technology Park (BP), and they are occupied by single-family detached houses, with one owned by the State of Tennessee. The parcel to the northwest and across Hardin Valley Road has road access to Bryant Lane to the west. To the northeast, the other parcel across Hardin Valley Road has a shared private driveway to the south and will be approximately 320 feet northeast of the proposed North Entrance for The Village at Hardin Valley.
- One property is adjacent to the east-northeast and includes the parcel currently under construction for the Chick-fil-A restaurant. This property is zoned as Planned Commercial (PC) and will have external road access via the entrances provided for The Village at Hardin Valley. The Chick-fil-A restaurant will have two drive-through lanes, 62 interior seats, 20 exterior seats, and 72 parking spots.
- Spring Bluff Way binds the development to the south. On the other side of Spring Bluff Way, the parcel to the south is occupied by a large single building and parking areas for several businesses and King University. This property to the south is zoned as Planned Commercial (PC) and has access to Hardin Valley Road via Spring Bluff Way to Award Winning Way and Greenland Way to the west and east.
- Award Winning Way binds the development property to the west. Across Award Winning Way, one parcel is zoned as Office (OB) and is owned by Valley Church. This Church began grading operations for a new community center but currently has not progressed beyond installing a detention pond, erosion control features, and establishing a building pad. It is unknown when the community center will begin
building construction. Once completed, the community center will have singular road access via Award Winning Way and is not expected to have direct access to Hardin Valley Road to the north.

- ON-SITE CIRCULATION:

The internal road surfaces will be asphalt paved, designed, and constructed according to Knox County's regulations. The developer will maintain the parking areas and internal pavement in the development after construction, and it will remain privately owned. The drive-through lane will operate in a counter-clockwise direction, beginning at the rear of the site (south) and ending on the east side of the building where the drive-through window will be located.

## - SERVICe and Delivery Vehicle Access and Circulation:

Besides customer passenger vehicles, the internal pavement areas will provide access to service, delivery, maintenance, and fire protection/rescue vehicles. In particular, the site will be designed for delivery trucks. None of these non-passenger vehicle types should impact adjacent roadway operations other than when they occasionally enter and exit the development.

The development's internal pavement will accommodate the larger vehicle types and customers' standard passenger vehicles. The internal pavement areas will be designed and constructed to Knox County's specifications and are expected to be adequate for fire protection and rescue vehicles, trash collection trucks, single-unit delivery trucks, and delivery trucks.

## Analysis of Existing and Projected Conditions

## - Existing Traffic Conditions:

This study conducted traffic counts at two intersections near the proposed development site on Thursday, May 2 $2^{\text {nd }}, 2024$. An 8 -hour traffic count was conducted at the unsignalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way, and an informal, brief traffic count was conducted at the unsignalized t-intersection of Award Winning Way at Spring Bluff Way only during the identified peak hours on Hardin Valley Road. Manual traffic counts were conducted to identify and tabulate the morning and afternoon peak period volumes and the travel directions near the proposed development site. Local public schools were in session when the traffic counts were conducted. The identified peak hours in the morning and afternoon were 7:30-8:30 a.m. and 4:45-5:45 p.m. The manual tabulated traffic counts can be reviewed in Figure 4a and Appendix E. Figure 4a also includes the volumes at the signalized intersection tabulated in 2023 for the Chick-fil-A traffic impact study conducted by TWM, Inc. The intersection counts from this study for Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way are also included in Figure 4a. Some observations of the vehicular traffic at the intersections tabulated for this study include the following:

Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way
o In the morning, two pedestrians and no bicyclists were observed on the Hardin Valley Road sidewalks. In the mid-day hours, four pedestrians were observed. In the afternoon, eight bicyclists and 45 pedestrians traveled on the Hardin Valley Road sidewalks. One person on rollerblades was also observed on the sidewalk. Many of the pedestrians observed in the late afternoon occurred in groups. These groups were presumed to be students from the nearby Hardin Valley Academy High School's athletic teams based on appearance.

- Most vehicles at this intersection were passenger vehicles, but school buses, semi-tractor trailer trucks, single-unit trucks, trash collection, and construction vehicles with trailers were observed. Several dump trucks and concrete mixer trucks were also observed, with several dump trucks turning to and from the west on Award Winning Way, which were assumed to be associated with the construction of Chick-fil-A.
- In the peak times, substantial vehicle backups occurred for eastbound traffic on Hardin Valley Road from the adjacent signalized intersection. These backups were especially evident during the afternoon when the nearby Hardin Valley school students were released for the day, coinciding with many students leaving the Pellissippi State

Community College campus. At times, the vehicle backups on Hardin Valley Road extended to the west as far as could be visibly seen.

- Many motorists turning left from Award Winning Way to westbound Hardin Valley Road used the center TWLTL as a temporary refuge. These two-stage turns allowed motorists to cross the eastbound lane of Hardin Valley Road and wait in the center for an appropriate gap in traffic in the westbound flow.
- During the eastbound vehicle backups, many courteous drivers were observed allowing motorists to enter Hardin Valley Road from Award Winning Way.

Since the two traffic counts at the adjacent intersections on Hardin Valley Road were conducted a year apart, and to conserve resources, Knox County Engineering suggested that only the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way be conducted for this study and that the volumes between the two intersections be adjusted accordingly to balance the incoming and outgoing eastbound and westbound traffic volumes on Hardin Valley Road. Initially, it was expected that the current traffic count would show higher volumes on Hardin Valley Road than the one conducted last year in 2023. However, the opposite was observed. Thus, the volumes newly tabulated at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way were adjusted upwards to match the higher volumes observed at the signalized intersection conducted in 2023 for the Chick-fil-A traffic impact study. This adjustment was accomplished by increasing the current tabulated volumes based on the proportionality of the individual movements at the intersections. Figure 4 b reflects the adjusted intersection traffic volumes between the two intersections and balances and normalizes all the traffic volumes to the year 2023.

Note: the volumes presented in the study between the intersections of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way and Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way do not "balance" due to the presence of the bank entrance between the two. Likewise, the volumes between the two proposed entrances on Spring Bluff Way also do not "balance" due to the businesses located on the south side of Spring Bluff Way.



Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the Level of Service (LOS) for the 2023 intersection traffic volumes on Hardin Valley Road, as shown in Figure 4b. The capacity analyses were calculated following the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods and utilizing Synchro Traffic Software (Version 11).

## Methodology:

LOS is a qualitative measurement developed by the transportation profession to express how well an intersection or roadway performs based on a driver's perception. LOS designations include LOS A through LOS F. The designation of LOS A signifies a roadway or intersection operating at best, while LOS F signifies road operations at worst. This grading system provides a reliable, straightforward means to communicate road operations to the public. The HCM lists level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections and signalized intersections.


LOS is defined by delay per vehicle (seconds), and roadway facilities are also characterized by the volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c). LOS designations, which are based on delay, are reported differently for unsignalized and signalized intersections. For example, a delay of 20 seconds at an unsignalized intersection would indicate LOS C, representing the additional delay a motorist would experience traveling through the intersection. Also, for example, a v/c ratio of 0.75 for an approach at an unsignalized intersection would indicate that it is operating at $75 \%$ of its available capacity. This difference is primarily due to motorists' different expectations between the two road facilities. Generally, for most instances, the LOS D / LOS E boundary is considered the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak periods in urban and suburban areas.

For unsignalized intersections, LOS is measured in terms of delay (in seconds). This measure is an attempt to quantify delay, including travel time, driver discomfort, and fuel consumption. For unsignalized intersections, the analysis assumes that the mainline thru and right-turn traffic does not stop and is not affected by the traffic on the minor side streets. Thus, the LOS for a two-way stop (or yield) controlled intersection is defined by
the delay for each minor approach and major street left-turn movements. Table 2 lists the level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections. The analysis results of unsignalized intersections using the HCM methodologies are conservative due to the more significant vehicle gap parameters used in the method. More often, in normal road conditions, drivers are more willing to accept smaller gaps in traffic than what is modeled using the HCM methodology. The unsignalized intersection methodology also does not account for more significant gaps sometimes produced by nearby upstream and downstream signalized intersections. For unsignalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 35 seconds.

For signalized intersections, LOS is based on delay (in seconds) for various movements within the intersection and the overall operation of all the traffic entering the intersection. This delay measures driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time and depends on traffic signal cycle lengths, lengths of green phases, and the quality of traffic progression. This control delay includes deceleration/acceleration delay, queue move-up time, and stopped delay time. For signalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 55 seconds. Table 3 lists the level of service criteria for signalized intersections.

TABLE 2
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS
STOP

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | CONTROL DELAY <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Little or no delay | $0-10$ |
| B | Short Traffic Delays | $>10-15$ |
| C | Average Traffic Delays | $>15-25$ |
| D | Long Traffic Delays | $>25-35$ |
| E | Very Long Traffic Delays | $>35-50$ |
| F | Extreme Traffic Delays | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 7th Edition


TABLE 3
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | CONTROL DELAY <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Operation with very low control delay. <br> Progression is extremely favorable <br> and most vehicles do not stop at all. | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | Generally good level of progression. <br> More vehicles stop than with LOS A. <br> causing higher levels of average delay. | $>10-20$ |
| C | Higher delays with individual cycle failures <br> may begin at this level. Many vehicles may <br> still pass through without stopping. | $>20-35$ |
| E | Approaching unstable flow. The influence <br> of congestion becomes more noticeable. <br> Many vehicles stop. | $>35-55$ |
| F | Considered the limit of acceptable delay. <br> High delays indicated by poor progression, <br> long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. | $>55-80$ |
| Unacceptable delay occurs. <br> Progression is extremely poor with <br> long cycle lengths and high v/c ratios. | $>80$ |  |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 7th Edition


Intersection capacity results from the "normalized" 2023 peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 4. The intersections in the table are shown with a LOS designation, delay (in seconds), and v/c ratio (volume/capacity) for the AM and PM peak hours. Appendix F includes the worksheets for these peak hour capacity analyses. The existing intersections along Spring Bluff Way were not included in the analyses for the 2023 conditions.

As shown in Table 4, the signalized intersection is calculated to operate with average LOS and reasonable vehicle delays in the 2023 conditions. The unsignalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way is calculated with good to average LOS and reasonable vehicle delays for the mainline approach. However, the northbound and southbound approaches operate with high vehicle delays due to the large conflicting volumes on Hardin Valley Road.

TABLE 4
2023 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DELAY }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (seconds) | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Performing Arts Way (SB) and Greenland Way (NB) | $8 \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \text { Ñ } \\ & \text { ご } \\ & \text { जै } \end{aligned}$ | Eastbound | C | 23.2 |  | C | 20.4 |  |
|  |  | Westbound | B | 18.5 |  | B | 19.5 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | C | 20.9 |  | B | 18.8 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 7.8 |  | C | 30.4 |  |
|  |  | Summary | C | 20.5 | 0.88 | C | 20.2 | 0.86 |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB) |  | Northbound Left/Thru | E | 37.7 | 0.141 | D | 26.7 | 0.063 |
|  |  | Northbound Right | C | 17.6 | 0.007 | C | 16.8 | 0.107 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | A | 0.0 | - | A | 0.0 | - |
|  |  | Westbound Left | B | 11.0 | 0.040 | B | 10.1 | 0.007 |
|  |  | Southbound Left/Thru/Right | E | 45.4 | 0.120 | A | 0.0 | - |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology
${ }^{a}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

The signal timing used to analyze the Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way intersection was obtained from Knox County Engineering and is included in Appendix F. The traffic signal operates in an actuated-uncoordinated system. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the existing analysis and were used as given.

## - Projected Traffic Conditions Without the Project:

Horizon year traffic conditions represent the projected traffic volumes in the study area without the proposed project being developed (no-build option). This proposed development's build-out and full occupancy are assumed to occur by 2025. While the Chick-fil-A restaurant will be operational before The Village at Hardin Valley, they are both analyzed together in the projected conditions in this study with a horizon year of 2025. While the Chick-fil-A restaurant was previously analyzed in a separate study, its trips are accounted for and included in the analysis for The Village at Hardin Valley due to its interconnection and sharing of entrances.

According to the nearby TDOT count station, vehicular traffic on Hardin Valley Road has grown moderately over the past ten years. The data in Appendix A shows that this road has experienced an annual growth of $2.2 \%$ over the past ten years. While the count station recorded a significant drop in volumes in 2020 due to the pandemic, it has since recorded traffic volumes in line with past growth.


For this study, an annual growth rate of $+3 \%$ was used to calculate future growth on the studied intersections up to 2025 to account for potential traffic growth in the study area. This growth rate is the same assumption used in the Chick-fil-A restaurant's traffic impact study. The annual growth rate of $3 \%$ was applied to the existing 2023 intersection volumes to estimate the future volumes in the horizon year of 2025 without the potential development traffic.

Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the projected LOS in 2025 without the projects at the intersections on Hardin Valley Road. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the capacity analyses in the projected conditions without the project. While not requested in the Planning scope of work, results were also determined for the unsignalized intersection of Award Winning Way at Spring Bluff Way and Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way. The results are shown in Table 5, and Appendix F includes the capacity analysis worksheets.

As expected, the results in Table 5 show moderately worse vehicle delays for all the signalized
intersection approaches in the 2025 projected conditions without the developments' generated trips versus the 2023 conditions. However, these results for the traffic signal do not consider Knox County's proposed lane additions on Hardin Valley Road. The intersections at Spring Bluff Way are calculated with minimal vehicle delays. The results also show increased delays on the northbound and southbound approaches of Award Winning Way and Bryant Lane at Hardin Valley Road in the projected conditions.

TABLE 5
2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -
PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DELAY }{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Performing Arts Way (SB) and Greenland Way (NB) | $8 \text { 部 }$ | Eastbound | C | 29.3 |  | C | 23.8 | 0.91 |
|  |  | Westbound | C | 22.7 |  | C | 24.0 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | C | 20.8 |  | B | 18.6 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 8.2 |  | C | 31.3 |  |
|  |  | Summary | C | 25.3 | 0.93 | C | 23.9 |  |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB) |  | Northbound Left/Thru | E | 42.8 | 0.174 | D | 28.9 | 0.069 |
|  |  | Northbound Right | C | 18.7 | 0.008 | C | 17.9 | 0.120 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | A | 0.0 | - | A | 0.0 | - |
|  |  | Westbound Left | B | 11.4 | 0.045 | B | 10.4 | 0.008 |
|  |  | Southbound Left/Thru/Right | F | 51.7 | 0.136 | A | 0.0 | - |
| Award Winning Way (SB \& NB) at Spring Bluff Way (WB) |  | Westbound Left/Right | A | 8.6 | 0.021 | A | 8.5 | 0.012 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | A | 7.3 | 0.023 | A | 7.4 | 0.024 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greenland Way (SB \& NB) at Spring Bluff Way (EB) |  | Northbound Left | A | 7.4 | 0.007 | A | 7.5 | 0.003 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left/Right | B | 10.1 | 0.089 | B | 10.2 | 0.111 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Figure 5 shows the projected 2025 horizon year traffic volumes at the intersections without the projects during the AM and PM peak hours. This figure also introduces traffic volumes on Spring Bluff Way for accounting and informational purposes only.


## - TRIP GENERATION:

A generated trip is a single or one-direction vehicle movement entering or exiting the study site. The estimated amount of traffic the proposed commercial development will generate was calculated based on rates and equations provided by the Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, an Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) publication. The Trip Generation Manual is the most popular resource for determining trip generation rates when transportation impact studies are produced. The Manual includes data for various land uses and provides a method for calculating generated trips
 based on development variables such as the number of dwelling units and building square footage. The data and calculations from ITE for the proposed land uses in this study are shown in Appendix G.

For this development, the closest land uses represented in the Trip Generation Manual for The Village at Hardin Valley are Land Use \#822, Strip Retail Plaza ( $<40 \mathrm{kft}{ }^{2}$ ) and Land Use \#937, Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window.

The description for Land Use \#822 is "a strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit." For Land Use \#822, the gross leasable area in the ITE data is set at less than 40,000 square feet, and the The Village at Hardin Valley will be below this limit with $15,625 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. The description for Land Use \#937 is "any coffee and donut restaurant that has a drive-through window as well as a walk-in entrance area at which a patron can purchase and consume items." This description exactly represents the proposed $4,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ coffee/donut shop at The Village at Hardin Valley.

As shown in Table 6a, the total weekday traffic trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley could be expected to be 3,022 vehicles. The AM peak hour is calculated with 381 vehicle trips, and the PM peak hour with 262 vehicles.

TABLE 6a
TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY
$15,625 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ Strip Retail Plaza ( $<40 \mathrm{k}$ ) and $4,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

| ITE LAND USE CODE | LAND USE DESCRIPTION | UNITS | GENERATED <br> DAILY <br> TRAFFIC | TRIPS GENERATED IN AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | TRIPS GENERATED IN PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#822 | Strip Retail Plaza(<40k) | 15.6 | 888 | 60\% | 40\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 23 | 15 | 38 | 53 | 53 | 106 |
| \#937 | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 4.0 | 2,134 | 51\% | 49\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 175 | 168 | 343 | 78 | 78 | 156 |
| Total New Volume Site Trips |  |  | 3,022 | 198 | 183 | 381 | 131 | 131 | 262 |

Data from ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition
Land Use \#822 calculated by using Fitted Curve Equations, Land Use \#937 calculated by using Average Rates

Furthermore, as a broad category, generated trips can be categorized further as primary (new), pass-by, and internal trips.

## Methodology:

Not all trips generated by a development are primary (new) trips. For some land uses, the trips generated by a proposed development are captured from the adjacent street system and do not generate an entirely "new" trip. A pass-by trip is an intermediate stop between an existing origin and a destination without a route diversion. These types of trips are known as pass-by trips and are assumed to already occur on the adjacent street. Considerable research has examined these trip types, and national and local rates have been published. Research has shown that fast food restaurants and larger convenience markets can experience pass-by trip rates of $75 \%$ and greater.

Other trips a development generates that should not be added to the adjacent street or intersections are internal. These trips are self-explanatory and can be categorized for developments with complementary land uses such as retail, residential, office, hotel, and restaurants. For example, a customer of a retail shop may decide to eat at the adjacent restaurant in the same development. Similarly, nearby residents within a reasonable distance may walk and eat at an adjacent restaurant instead of using a vehicle for travel.

The Knoxville/Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission (the previous name for Knoxville/Knox County Planning) produced a memo on March 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 1997$, to establish the agreed
upon pass-by trip rates for several land uses in Knox County for transportation studies. These land uses included fast-food restaurants, supermarkets, convenience markets, and shopping centers. In Knox County's memo, shopping centers, which would characterize the retail portion of The Village at Hardin Valley development, are allowed a pass-by rate of up to $30 \%$ of gross leasable area (GLA). For this study, a pass-by rate of $10 \%$ was used for a conservative analysis. Knox County allows up to $25 \%$ pass-by rates for fast-food restaurants. A $25 \%$ rate was used for the proposed coffee/donut shop, and this rate was also used in the previous traffic impact study for the proposed Chick-fil-A restaurant by TWM, Inc.

Furthermore, since The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant will be interconnected, have complementary land uses, share road entrances, and thus, are highly likely to share some patrons, a minor amount of internal trip reductions were calculated and used in the analyses. These internal trips were calculated using OTISS (Online Traffic Impact Study Software) Pro 5.0 software. OTISS Pro 5.0 is an online add-on tool for the ITE Trip Generation website application. The internal trip reductions calculated in the OTISS software are based on NCHRP Report 684 methods.

Thus, considering internal trips, pass-by trips, and the overall trip generation volumes for both developments, it is estimated that 252 vehicles will enter and 228 will exit, for a total of 480 new trips during the AM peak hour in 2025. Similarly, it is estimated that 183 vehicles will enter and 164 will exit, for a total of 347 new trips during the PM peak hour in the year 2025. The difference between the total external and new primary trips will be the pass-by trips comprised of vehicles already traveling by the development properties. A summary of these trips is provided in Table 6 b. This table shows the breakdown of trips for each land use and the totals.

The trips for the Chick-fil-A restaurant shown in Table 6 b were obtained from the previous traffic impact study by TWM, Inc. These calculated generated trips in the previous TWM, Inc. study were derived from a local traffic count at a similar, nearby Chick-fil-A restaurant in the West Knoxville area and did not use ITE trip generation data.

TABLE 6b
TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY \& CHICK-FIL-A RESTAURANT WITH INTERNAL AND PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIPS

| ITE LAND <br> USE CODE | LAND USE <br> DESCRIPTION | UNITS | GENERATEDDAILYTRAFFIC | TRIPS GENERATED IN AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | TRIPS <br> GENERATED IN <br> PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#822 | Strip Retail Plaza(<40k) | 15.6 | 888 | 60\% | 40\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 23 | 15 | 38 | 53 | 53 | 106 |
| \#937 | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 4.0 | 2,134 | 51\% | 49\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 175 | 168 | 343 | 78 | 78 | 156 |
| \#934* | Chick-fil-A <br> Restaurant | 5.4 | - | 53\% | 47\% |  | 56\% | 44\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 137 | 122 | 259 | 115 | 90 | 205 |
| Total Vehicle Trips Before Reductions |  |  |  | 335 | 305 | 640 | 246 | 221 | 467 |
| Internal Vehicle Trips - Strip Retail Center Internal Vehicle Trips - Coffee/Donut Shop Internal Vehicle Trips - Chick-fil-A Restaurant |  |  |  | -2 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -7 | -11 |
|  |  |  |  | -2 | -1 | -3 | -7 | -2 | -9 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | -2 |
| Internal Vehicle Trips - Total |  |  |  | -4 | -4 | -8 | -11 | -11 | -22 |
| External Vehicle Trips - Strip Retail Center |  |  |  | 21 | 13 | 34 | 49 | 46 | 95 |
| External Vehicle Trips - Coffee/Donut Shop |  |  |  | 173 | 167 | 340 | 71 | 76 | 147 |
| External Vehicle Trips - Chick-fil-A Restaurant |  |  |  | 137 | 121 | 258 | 115 | 88 | 203 |
| External Vehicle Trips - Total |  |  |  | 331 | 301 | 632 | 235 | 210 | 445 |
| Pass-by Vehicle Trips - Strip Retail Center <br> Pass-by Vehicle Trips - Coffee/Donut Shop <br> Pass-by Vehicle Trips - Chick-fil-A Restaurant |  |  |  | -2 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -5 | -10 |
|  |  |  |  | -43 | -42 | -85 | -18 | -19 | -37 |
|  |  |  |  | -34 | -30 | -64 | -29 | -22 | -51 |
| Pass-by Vehicle Trips - Total |  |  |  | -79 | -73 | -152 | -52 | -46 | -98 |
| Total New Vehicle Trips - Strip Retail Center |  |  |  | 19 | 12 | 31 | 44 | 41 | 85 |
| Total New Vehicle Trips - Coffee/Donut Shop |  |  |  | 130 | 125 | 255 | 53 | 57 | 110 |
| Total New Vehicle Trips - Chick-fil-A Restaurant |  |  |  | 103 | 91 | 194 | 86 | 66 | 152 |
| Total New Vehicle Trips |  |  |  | 252 | 228 | 480 | 183 | 164 | 347 |

* Local study trip generation results by TWM, Inc.

Internal Vehicle Trips calculated according to NCHRP Report 684 methods in the OTISS Pro 5.0 software
Pass-by Trip Assumed Percentages: 10\% for Land Use \#822 and 25\% for Land Uses \#937 and \#934 in the AM and PM Peak Hour

## - TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT:

The projected trip distribution and assignment for The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant are based on the existing traffic volumes, observed directional vehicle flows, and engineering judgment.

For the primary generated trips for The Village at Hardin Valley, nearly all were assumed to be comprised of traffic to and from the east and west via Hardin Valley Road, with some of the trips to and from the Pellissippi State Community College campus via Performing Arts Way, the apartment residents on Greenland Way and the businesses on Award Winning Way. The North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road was assumed to be where most pass-by trips would occur for the retail development and the coffee/donut shop in The Village at Hardin Valley. Pass-by trips for Chick-fil-A were derived from the previous traffic impact study by TWM, Inc. and inputted as closely as possible.

With many land uses, potential directions of movement, and several entrances, the inbound and outbound share of trips was assumed and broken down to estimate the overall distribution at the three entrances, as shown in Table 7. The percentages shown in the table take into account the assumptions presented in the previous study for the Chick-fil-A restaurant, the distribution of existing traffic, and the internal layout of The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant. The assumed distribution also considers the impact of the additional entrances provided by The Village at Hardin Valley and

| TABLE 7 <br> THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY ASSUMED DRIVEWAY DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTRANCE | LAND USE DESCRIPTION | AM PEAK HOUR |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |
|  |  | INBOUND | OUIBOUND | INBOUND | OUIBOUND |
| North <br> Entrance | Strip Retail Plaza ( $<40 \mathrm{k}$ ) | 90\% | 30\% | 85\% | 50\% |
|  | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 85\% | 30\% | 80\% | 50\% |
|  | Chick-fil-A | 20\% | 5\% | 10\% | 15\% |
| Southwest Entrance | Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 4\% | 29\% | 4\% | 20\% |
|  | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 6\% |
|  | Chick-fil-A | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| Southeast Entrance | Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 6\% | 41\% | 11\% | 30\% |
|  | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 12\% | 64\% | 17\% | 44\% |
|  | Chick-fil-A | 80\% | 90\% | 90\% | 80\% |

its effect on the customers' travel patterns for Chick-fil-A, which were not accounted for in the previous study.

The assumed directional trip distributions for the three land uses at the three entrances are shown in Figures 6a-6c. Figure 6a shows the trip distribution for the strip retail plaza. Figures 6b and 6 c include the assumed trip distribution for the coffee/donut shop and the Chick-fil-A restaurant, respectively.

The percentages shown in Figures $6 a-6 c$ only pertain to the trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant calculated from the data shown in Tables 6a and 6b. Since the development will have three entrances with several directions of movement, the OTISS Pro 5.0 software was used to calculate the trip distribution and assignment volumes at the entrances based on the assumed percentages. The spreadsheets produced by this online software are presented in Appendix H .

Figures 7a-7c show the traffic assignment of the computed trips generated by the developments based on the assumed distribution of trips shown in Figures 6a-6c. Figure 7a shows the assignment of the total new generated trips, Figure 7 b shows the assigned pass-by trips at the entrances, and Figure 7c shows the total external trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant. Since the pass-by trips are "captured" by the developments from the adjacent roads, the pass-by trips shown in Figure 7b entering the developments are subsequently removed (subtracted) from the adjacent thru movements.

Note: The intersection percentages and volumes shown in the figures at the proposed Southwest and Southeast Entrances at Spring Bluff Way are shown for accounting and informational purposes only.




TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY TOTAL NEW TRIPS


TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY PASS-BY TRIPS


TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY TOTAL EXTERNAL TRIPS

|  | AM PEAK | PM PEAK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER | 331 | 235 |
| EXIT | 301 | 210 |

## - PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT:

Overall, several additive steps were taken to estimate the total projected traffic volumes at the intersections when The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant are both fully constructed and operational in 2025. The steps are illustrated below for clarity and review:


The calculated peak hour trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant were added to the 2025 projected horizon year traffic (Figure 5) by following the predicted trip distributions and assignments (Figures $6 a-6 c \& 7 a-7 c$ ). This procedure was completed to obtain the total projected traffic volumes at the studied intersections when the proposed developments are entirely built with full business operations in 2025. Figure 8 shows the projected 2025 AM and PM peak hour volumes with the generated traffic at the entrances and the adjacent studied intersections. Note: the volumes between the intersections of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way and Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way do not balance due to the presence of the bank entrance between the two. Likewise, the volumes between the two proposed entrances on Spring Bluff Way also do not balance due to the businesses located on the south side of Spring Bluff Way.


Capacity analyses were conducted to determine the projected LOS with the development traffic in 2025, shown in Figure 8. Intersection capacity results from the projected 2025 peak hour traffic are shown in Table 8.

Appendix F includes the worksheets for the projected 2025 peak hour capacity analyses. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the results of the projected 2025 conditions shown in the table. However, the number of lanes on Hardin Valley Road is modified in the projected analyses to reflect Knox County's project, which will provide additional lanes and be completed by 2025. As shown in Table 8, with the additional thru lanes on Hardin Valley Road, the signalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way is projected to operate with better LOS and reduced vehicle delays than shown in the previous results without the project shown in Table 5. The unsignalized intersections are all calculated to operate adequately with relatively reasonable vehicle delays in the projected 2025 conditions, except for the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way. The northbound (and southbound approach) are projected to experience high vehicle delays, particularly in the AM peak hour.

TABLE 8
2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ <br> MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at <br> Performing Arts Way (SB) and Greenland Way (NB) |  | Eastbound | B | 19.2 |  | B | 14.9 |  |
|  |  | Westbound | B | 14.1 |  | B | 12.6 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | C | 31.6 |  | C | 23.4 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 9.7 |  | C | 25.1 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 18.3 | 0.72 | B | 14.9 | 0.63 |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB) |  | Northbound Left/Thru | F | 97.4 | 0.667 | E | 37.4 | 0.280 |
|  |  | Northbound Right | C | 21.5 | 0.009 | C | 19.0 | 0.129 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | A | 0.0 | - | A | 0.0 | - |
|  |  | Westbound Left | B | 12.4 | 0.051 | B | 10.7 | 0.008 |
|  |  | Southbound Left/Thru/Right | F | 68.0 | 0.176 | A | 0.0 | - |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at North Entrance (NB) |  | Northbound Right | C | 15.9 | 0.206 | B | 14.1 | 0.165 |
|  |  | Westbound Left | B | 12.7 | 0.128 | B | 11.5 | 0.114 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Award Winning Way (SB \& NB) at Spring Bluff Way (WB) |  | Westbound Left/Right | A | 8.9 | 0.074 | A | 8.7 | 0.052 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | A | 7.4 | 0.056 | A | 7.5 | 0.060 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greenland Way (SB \& NB) at Spring Bluff Way (EB) |  | Northbound Left | A | 7.7 | 0.019 | A | 7.8 | 0.017 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | C | 15.5 | 0.544 | B | 12.3 | 0.362 |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | A | 8.5 | 0.023 | A | 8.8 | 0.025 |

[^2]
## - POTENTIAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY ISSUES:

The study area was investigated for potential existing and future safety issues when The Village at Hardin Valley development is constructed. These transportation features are discussed in the following pages.

## - Evaluation of Sight Distance

For intersections, sight distance evaluations have two categories: Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) and Intersection Sight Distance (ISD).

## Methodology:

SSD is the distance required for a motorist on a major street to perceive, react, and the vehicle to come to a complete stop before colliding with an object on the road. For evaluating intersections, this object would be another vehicle entering the intersection from a minor street. SSD can be considered the minimum visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection.

ISD is the required visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection per section 3.04.J. 5 in the Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations. ISD is based on the time required to perceive, react, and complete the desired traffic maneuver once a motorist on a minor street
 decides to perform a traffic maneuver. Three traffic maneuvers are available for vehicles stopped on a minor street at a 4 -way intersection: (1) left-turn, (2) right-turn, (3) or a crossing maneuver across the major street. For turns from the minor street, ISD is needed to allow a stopped motorist to turn onto a major street without being overtaken by an approaching vehicle. The most critical ISD is for left turns from the minor street. The ISD for this maneuver includes the time to turn left and clear half of the intersection without conflicting with the oncoming traffic from the left and accelerating to the road's operating speed without causing the approaching vehicles from the right to reduce their speed substantially.

With a posted speed limit of $40-\mathrm{mph}$ on Hardin Valley Road at the proposed North Entrance, the ISD is 400 feet calculated based on Knox County's policy requiring 10 feet of sight distance per 1mph of speed. This distance is required for a motorist to safely exit to the right (eastbound) at Hardin Valley Road. Left-turn movements will not occur at this entrance since it will have a right-in/right-out configuration while allowing left-turns in.

Visual observations of the sight distance at the proposed North Entrance were undertaken. Using a Nikon Laser Rangefinder at the proposed North Entrance location, the available sight distance was visually estimated to be greater than 999 feet to the west (limit of rangefinder). Based on visual observation, the available sight distance from the proposed North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road will be more than adequate for motorists exiting the development to the east.

Images of the existing sight distance at the proposed entrance location are labeled below with the ISD and rangefinder-measured sight distance.


## - Evaluation of Turn Lane Thresholds

The need for a separate right-turn lane was evaluated in the projected 2025 conditions for the proposed North Entrance on Hardin Valley Road. The evaluation did not include left turns on Hardin Valley Road since a center TWLTL is already provided to allow storage of left-turn entering vehicles.

The criteria used for this turn lane evaluation were based on Knox County's "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy". This design policy relates vehicle volume thresholds based on prevailing speeds for two-lane and four-lane roadways. The location of the proposed North Entrance on Hardin Valley Road is within a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed zone; thus, it was evaluated based on this speed. Furthermore, the evaluation was also based on the understanding that Hardin Valley Road will be modified to include two lanes in each direction in 2025.

According to Knox County's guidelines, with a posted speed limit of $40-\mathrm{mph}$, a separate rightturn lane on Hardin Valley Road at the proposed North Entrance will be warranted based on the projected AM peak hour 2025 traffic volumes, but not in the PM peak hour. The worksheets for these evaluations are provided in Appendix I.

## - Projected Vehicle Queues

A companion software program was used to assist in the calculation of the 2025 AM and PM peak hour projected vehicle queues at the studied intersections. The previously mentioned Synchro software includes SimTraffic. The Synchro portion of the software performs the macroscopic calculations for intersections, and SimTraffic performs micro-simulation and animation of vehicular traffic. The SimTraffic software was used to estimate the projected vehicle queues.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue is the recognized measurement in the traffic engineering profession as the design standard used when considering vehicle queue lengths. A $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue length means $95 \%$ certainty that the vehicle queue will not extend beyond that point. The calculated vehicle queue results in SimTraffic were based on averaging the outcome obtained during ten traffic simulations in the software. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths at the intersections are shown in Table 9 for the projected 2025 conditions, and the vehicle queue worksheets from the software are in Appendix J.

TABLE 9
TURN LANE STORAGE \& VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -
2025 PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC WITH THE PROJECT

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ <br> MOVEMENT | PROVIDED STORAGE <br> LENGTH (ft) | SIMTRAFFIC $95^{\text {th }}$ PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft) |  | ADEQUATE LENGTH? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |  |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Performing Arts Way (SB) and Greenland Way (NB) |  | Eastbound Left | 80 | 63 | 86 | NO |
|  |  | Eastbound Thru ${ }^{1}$ | n/a | 206 | 207 | n/a |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | 200 | 28 | 25 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Westbound Left | 125 | 95 | 98 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Westbound Thru1 ${ }^{1}$ | n/a | 230 | 191 | n/a |
|  |  | Westbound Right | 125 | 14 | 17 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Northbound Left | 80 | 114 | 83 | NO |
|  |  | Northbound Thru/Right | n/a | 106 | 84 | n/a |
|  |  | Southbound Left/Thru | 500 | 17 | 89 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Southbound Right | 500 | 37 | 48 | $\checkmark$ |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB) |  | Eastbound Left | TWLTL | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Eastbound Thru/Right | n/a | 13 | 5 | n/a |
|  |  | Westbound Left | TWLTL | 34 | 12 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Westbound Thru/Right | n/a | 63 | 17 | n/a |
|  |  | Northbound Left/Thrut ${ }^{2}$ | 300 | 218 | 84 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Northbound Right ${ }^{2}$ | 300 | - | 40 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Southbound Left/Thru/Right | n/a | 20 | - | n/a |
| Hardin Valley Road (WB \& EB) at North Entrance (NB) |  | Eastbound Thru/Right | n/a | 10 | - | n/a |
|  |  | Westbound Left | TWLTL | 59 | 58 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Westbound Thru ${ }^{1}$ | n/a | 19 | 34 | n/a |
|  |  | Northbound Right ${ }^{3}$ | 50 | 62 | 62 | NO |
| Award Winning Way (SB \& NB) at Spring Bluff Way (WB) |  | Westbound Left/Right ${ }^{3}$ | 75 | 45 | 44 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Southbound Left/Thru ${ }^{2}$ | 300 | - | 8 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greenland Way (SB \& NB) at Spring Bluff Way (EB) | STOP <br>  | Southbound Right ${ }^{4}$ | 100 | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Eastbound Left ${ }^{3}$ | 75 | 47 | 74 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Eastbound Right ${ }^{4}$ | 100 | 6 | 27 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | Northbound Left | 75 | 4 | 18 | $\checkmark$ |

[^3]Table 9 shows considerable projected vehicle queue lengths for the northbound shared left/thru lane on Award Winning Way at Hardin Valley Road and Bryant Lane in the AM peak hour. While considerable, the reported vehicle queues on this approach are expected to be less since the calculated lengths from SimTraffic do not account for two-stage left turns. Two-stage turns are likely to occur since a TWLTL is provided in the center of Hardin Valley Road, and these maneuvers were observed during the traffic count. The Synchro software accounts for two-stage left-turn movements and calculates the northbound left-turn lane with vehicle queues of 82.5 feet in the AM peak hour and 27.5 feet in the PM peak hour. The capacity analysis worksheets in Appendix F report the results of these vehicle queues from the Synchro software.

At the signalized intersection in the 2025 peak hour conditions, two vehicle queues are projected
to extend beyond the available vehicle storage provided. The eastbound left-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road is calculated to be just marginally inadequate by 6 feet. However, the northbound left-turn lane storage on Greenland Way at the traffic signal is calculated to be inadequate in length by 34 feet.

The other calculated vehicle queue result shown as marginally inadequate is the northbound approach at the proposed North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road, which is calculated to be slightly longer than the available distance shown in the site plan without interfering with the internal vehicle movements in the parking lot. However, this excess vehicle queue distance will be short in duration and will only occasionally affect vehicles traveling internally in the parking lot. The internal throat depth at this entrance is shown on the site plan as 50 feet, and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue length is calculated to be 62 feet during the AM and PM peak hours.

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is an overview of recommendations to minimize the transportation impacts of The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and safety level.

Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way: The 2025 level of service calculations for this intersection with the projected trips from The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant resulted in very reasonable vehicle delays and LOS. These promising results were obtained due to Knox County Engineering's plan to provide additional thru lanes on Hardin Valley Road. All turn lane storage results are reasonably adequate except for the northbound left-turn lane on Greenland Way.

It is recommended that the northbound left-turn lane on Greenland Way at this intersection be extended to provide a minimum storage of 125 feet. However, due to the readily available existing unused pavement in the center of the road, providing up to 175 feet of storage on Greenland Way will fully ensure during extreme peak traffic periods that vehicles in this left-turn lane do not block northbound vehicles in the shared thru/right lane approaching Hardin Valley Road. This modification can easily be accomplished by solely restriping Greenland Way's pavement. The bank entrance should include a "Do Not Block Driveway" sign facing northbound traffic on Greenland Way on the south side of the bank entrance to reduce the potential of vehicles blocking this entrance. Greenland Way is striped to the south of the bank entrance with a center left-
 turn lane to nowhere.

While not performed for this study, Knox County Engineering should adjust the signal timing for the AM, Mid-Day, and PM peaks to optimize traffic flows while minimizing the potential of vehicle queues spilling outside their designated storage lanes. These adjustments should occur once the lane additions are provided on Hardin Valley Road and when the Chick-fil-A restaurant and The Village at Hardin Valley all become fully operational. Fine-tuning the signal timing may be needed several times as each piece is added to the road system.

Finally, a summary of the capacity analyses for this intersection is presented in Table 10. This table provides a side-by-side summary and comparison of the intersection for the 2023 conditions, projected conditions in 2025 without the project, and the projected conditions in 2025 with the project. As seen in Table 10, the proposed lane additions by Knox County Engineering on Hardin Valley Road will significantly reduce vehicle delays in the projected 2025 conditions, even with the projected trips from the developments.

TABLE 10
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY
HARDIN VALLEY ROAD AT PERFORMING ARTS WAY AND GREENLAND WAY

| APPROACH / PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT | 2023 EXISTING |  |  | 2025 WITHOUT THE PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH THE PROJECT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | C | 23.2 |  | C | 29.3 |  | B | 19.2 |  |
| Westbound | B | 18.5 |  | C | 22.7 |  | B | 14.1 |  |
| Northbound | C | 20.9 |  | C | 20.8 |  | C | 31.6 |  |
| Southbound | A | 7.8 |  | A | 8.2 |  | A | 9.7 |  |
| Summary | C | 20.5 | 0.88 | C | 25.3 | 0.93 | B | 18.3 | 0.72 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | C | 20.4 |  | C | 23.8 |  | B | 14.9 |  |
| Westbound | B | 19.5 |  | C | 24.0 |  | B | 12.6 |  |
| Northbound | B | 18.8 |  | B | 18.6 |  | C | 23.4 |  |
| Southbound | C | 30.4 |  | C | 31.3 |  | C | 25.1 |  |
| Summary | C | 20.2 | 0.86 | C | 23.9 | 0.91 | B | 14.9 | 0.63 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c V Volume-to-Capacity Ratio }}$


Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way: The 2025 projected level of service calculations for this intersection resulted in high vehicle delays and poor LOS for the northbound and southbound approaches of Award Winning Way and Bryant Lane. These approaches are projected to have these results due to the large volumes on Hardin Valley Road.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue for the northbound left-turn movement on Award Winning Way was projected to be 218 feet in the 2025 AM peak hour if motorists do not utilize the center TWLTL for two-stage left turns. This result is nearly nine passenger cars, assuming a length of 25 feet per vehicle. If motorists use this center lane as a temporary refuge when turning left to wait for a gap in westbound traffic as they currently do, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue was calculated to be 82.5 feet, just over three passenger cars. Either result will be fully contained in the lane storage on Award Winning Way between Hardin Valley Road and Spring Bluff Way to the south. The distance between these two roads is 300 feet.

The driveway distribution assumptions used in the study for the northbound left-turn movement towards the west on Hardin Valley Road were purposely set lower. This strategy was done to reflect the difficulty in this movement due to the high volumes on Hardin Valley Road and the expectation that present and future motorists will recognize this difficulty and divert their travel to the east to the traffic signal to then head west on Hardin Valley Road. This distribution strategy also created a worst-case scenario for northbound left turns at the traffic signal and its effect on the amount of vehicle storage needed.

At a minimum, motorists intending to head west on Hardin Valley Road will be able to access the traffic signal to the east, which has been projected to have reasonable vehicle delays for the northbound approach and will have an appropriate, if not excessive, amount of vehicle storage.

At a minimum, however, it is recommended that the northbound lanes on Award Winning Way be defined and marked on the pavement. The width of the northbound approach of Award Winning Way at Hardin Valley Road is 24 feet, allowing two 12-foot lanes, but it is not marked as such. The approach should be delineated with a white lane line to provide a separate shared left/thru lane and an exclusive right-turn lane. The lanes should include shared white left/thru arrows and white right-turn arrows along its length.

These lane designations should be applied from Hardin Valley Road to Spring Bluff Way, approximately 300 feet in length.

Hardin Valley Road at the Proposed North Entrance: The 2025 projected level of service calculations for this intersection resulted in minimal vehicle delays and good LOS for exiting northbound and entering movements. While the delays for exiting vehicles are projected to be minimal, the vehicle queues on the exiting north approach are expected to occasionally extend past the northern internal parking lot aisleway. These vehicle queues may briefly interfere with internal vehicle movements, especially during peak periods.

3a) This entrance intersection will be constructed with a right-in/right-out configuration but allow left turns into the development from the east on Hardin Valley Road. Exiting leftturn movements to the west will not be allowed at this entrance. This entrance should be constructed with this configuration as proposed due to the limited internal vehicle storage available within the site. If allowed, the vehicle queues for a left-turn movement toward the west would quickly and easily block vehicles internally and likely spill back enough to interfere with the drive-through lane operations. It is recommended that the following be considered in the design and construction of the entrance:
i) The entering and exiting lanes should have a width and inner radius to facilitate the largest expected-sized vehicle entering and exiting at Hardin Valley Road. The layout and elements of the intersection should follow Knox County Engineering standards.
ii) The island separating the entering and exiting movements should be raised concrete and sized adequately to dissuade illegal, restricted left-turning movements to the west on Hardin Valley Road.
iii) Traffic signage with breakaway posts at this intersection should include a Stop Sign (R1-1). The Stop Sign (R1-1) should be supplemented with a 24 " white stop bar on the exiting lane approaching Hardin Valley Road, a minimum of 4 feet away from the road edge. Other signs that should be posted at the entrance include a Keep Right Sign (R4-7) at the head of the island for exiting vehicles, a No Left Turn Sign (R3-2) at Hardin Valley facing the exiting lane, and a Do Not Enter Sign (R5-1) facing the exiting lane but on the outside edge of the entering lane.

3b) It is recommended that the sidewalk at the proposed North Entrance be installed with pavement markings, detectable warning surfaces, and other items to reduce the
potential turning vehicle conflicts with pedestrians. Details regarding the appropriate and desired treatments to reduce pedestrian conflicts and inappropriate vehicle movements should be discussed during the detailed design review with Knox County Engineering. The discussions should include incorporating the new entrance with the new lanes on Hardin Valley, which Knox County's project will provide.

3c) The intersection sight distance from the North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road must not be impacted by future landscaping, signage, or vegetation.

Based on the posted speed limit of $40-\mathrm{mph}$ on Hardin Valley Road, the required ISD is 400 feet, looking to the west for exiting right-turning vehicles. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be greater than 999 feet to the west on Hardin Valley Road. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.

3d) The construction of a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road for vehicles entering the proposed North Entrance is warranted based on the projected 2025 AM peak hour traffic volumes. It is not projected to be warranted in the 2025 PM peak hour.

Due to the existing site limitations along Hardin Valley Road, which include extensive underground utilities, it is recommended that the eastbound right-turn lane be designed and constructed with a minimal lane taper but with a maximum deceleration length possible within the confines of the frontage limits. The civil site designer should coordinate the design of this turn lane with Knox County, and it should include a white right-turn arrow and lane line on the pavement for this exclusive lane. As part of this eastbound right-turn lane provision, the existing sidewalk on the south side of Hardin Valley Road will need to be modified and reconstructed.

The Village at Hardin Valley Internal Drive/Parking Lot Aisleways: The current layout plan shows three entrance driveways with several parking lot aisleways constructed for the development, as shown in Figure 3.

4a) Stop Signs (R1-1) with $24^{\prime \prime}$ white stop bars are recommended to be installed at the internal locations with other signage, as shown below.


4b) Sight distance at the new internal aisleway intersections must not be impacted by new signage, parked cars, or future landscaping. With an assumed speed of $15-\mathrm{mph}$ in the development, the internal intersection sight distance is 170 feet. The required stopping sight distance is 80 feet for a level road grade. The site designer should ensure that internal sight distance lengths are met.

4c) All drainage grates and covers for the commercial development must be pedestrian and bicycle safe.

4d) Internal sidewalks are proposed adjacent to the front of The Village at Hardin Valley building. Sidewalks should have appropriate ADA-compliant ramps, and the internal sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width to meet Knox County regulations.

4e) Internal guide signs should direct vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop to the drive-thru lane's location. White pavement lane markings, arrows, or messages should also be considered to facilitate orderly vehicular movements.

4f) All road and intersection elements should be designed to AASHTO and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.

Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way: The prior traffic impact study for the Chick-fil-A restaurant by TWM, Inc. recommended that a 100 -foot eastbound right-turn lane be constructed on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way and a 100-foot southbound right-turn lane be constructed on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way.

The findings of this current study did not indicate that an eastbound right-turn lane on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way would be necessary. While the findings also did not indicate a need for a southbound right-turn lane on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way, this current study agrees with this recommendation due to the known popularity of Chick-fil-A restaurants and the planned coffee/donut shop. A southbound right-turn lane at this intersection would ensure that entering vehicle queues from this direction will not extend back to the traffic signal on Hardin Valley Road.

## APPENDIX A

Historical Traffic Count Data

## Historical Traffic Counts

Organization: TDOT
Station ID \#: 47000084
Location: Hardin Valley Road, southwest of Valley Vista Road


2013-2023 Growth Rate = 24.2\%

Average Annual Growth Rate $=$ 2.2\%


APPENDIX B

WALK Score

## WALKSCORE

(from walkscore.com)


## Scores for Spring Bluff Way




| Scores for Spring Bluff Way |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wailk Spore 32 |  |
| Walk 5 | ore Transit Score $\quad$ Bike Score |
| Bike Score lanes and <br> $90-100$ <br> 70-89 <br> 50-69 <br> 0-49 | easures whether an area is good for biking based on bike rails, hills, road connectivity, and destinations. <br> Biker's Paradise <br> Daily errands can be accomplished on a bike <br> Very Bikeable <br> Biking is convenient for most trips <br> Bikeable <br> Some bike infrastructure <br> Somewhat Bikeable <br> Minimal bike infrastructure |

## Travel Time Map

Explore how far you can travel by car, bus, bike and foot from Spring Bluff Way.


## APPENDIX C

Knoxville Area Transit Map and Information



Route 16 - Cedar Bluff: Weekdays
Going away from Walmart

| Walmart | Park Village @ Woodpark | Parkwest Hospital | Windsor Square | Parkwest Hospital | Cedar Bluff @ Fox Lonas | Walmart |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 6:15 AM | 6:27 AM | 6:32 AM | 6:42 AM | 6:50 AM | 6:54 AM | 7:10 AM |
| 7:15 AM | 7:27 AM | 7:32 AM | 7:42 AM | 7:50 AM | 7:54 AM | 8:10 AM |
| 8:15 AM | 8:27 AM | 8:32 AM | 8:42 AM | 8:50 AM | 8:54 AM | 9:10 AM |
| 9:15 AM | 9:27 AM | 9:32 AM | 9:42 AM | 9:50 AM | 9:54 AM | 10:10 AM |
| 10:15 AM | 10:27 AM | 10:32 AM | 10:42 AM | 10:50 AM | 10:54 AM | 11:10 AM |
| 11:15 AM | 11:27 AM | 11:32 AM | 11:42 AM | 11:50 AM | 11:54 AM | 12:10 PM |
| 12:15 PM | 12:27 PM | 12:32 PM | 12:42 PM | 12:50 PM | 12:54 PM | 1:10 PM |
| 1:15 PM | 1:27 PM | 1:32 PM | 1:42 PM | 1:50 PM | 1:54 PM | 2:10 PM |
| 2:15 PM | 2:27 PM | 2:32 PM | 2:42 PM | 2:50 PM | 2:54 PM | 3:10 PM |
| 3:15 PM | 3:27 PM | 3:32 PM | 3:42 PM | 3:50 PM | 3:54 PM | 4:10 PM |
| 4:15 PM | 4:27 PM | 4:32 PM | 4:42 PM | 4:50 PM | 4:54 PM | 5:10 PM |
| 5:15 PM | 5:27 PM | 5:32 PM | 5:42 PM | 5:50 PM | 5:54 PM | 6:10 PM |
| 6:15 PM | 6:27 PM | 6:32 PM | 6:42 PM | 6:50 PM | 6:54 PM | 7:10 PM |
| 7:15 PM | 7:27 PM | 7:32 PM | 7:42 PM | 7:50 PM | 7:54 PM | 8:10 PM |
| 8:15 PM | 8:27 PM | 8:32 PM | 8:42 PM | 8:50 PM | 8:54 PM | 9:10 PM |
| 9:15 PM | 9:27 PM | 9:32 PM | 9:42 PM | 9:50 PM | 9:54 PM | 10:10 PM |

## Route 16 - Cedar Bluff: SATURDAYS

Going away from Walmart

| Walmart | Park Village @ Woodpark | Parkwest <br> Hospital | Windsor Square | Parkwest Hospital | Cedar Bluff @ <br> Fox Lonas | Walmart |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 7:15 AM | 7:27 AM | 7:32 AM | 7:42 AM | 7:50 AM | 7:54 AM | 8:10 AM |
| 8:15 AM | 8:27 AM | 8:32 AM | 8:42 AM | 8:50 AM | 8:54 AM | 9:10 AM |
| 9:15 AM | 9:27 AM | 9:32 AM | 9:42 AM | 9:50 AM | 9:54 AM | 10:10 AM |
| 10:15 AM | 10:27 AM | 10:32 AM | 10:42 AM | 10:50 AM | 10:54 AM | 11:10 AM |
| 11:15 AM | 11:27 AM | 11:32 AM | 11:42 AM | 11:50 AM | 11:54 AM | 12:10 PM |
| 12:15 PM | 12:27 PM | 12:32 PM | 12:42 PM | 12:50 PM | 12:54 PM | 1:10 PM |
| 1:15 PM | 1:27 PM | 1:32 PM | 1:42 PM | 1:50 PM | 1:54 PM | 2:10 PM |
| 2:15 PM | 2:27 PM | 2:32 PM | 2:42 PM | 2:50 PM | 2:54 PM | 3:10 PM |
| 3:15 PM | 3:27 PM | 3:32 PM | 3:42 PM | 3:50 PM | 3:54 PM | 4:10 PM |
| 4:15 PM | 4:27 PM | 4:32 PM | 4:42 PM | 4:50 PM | 4:54 PM | 5:10 PM |
| 5:15 PM | 5:27 PM | 5:32 PM | 5:42 PM | 5:50 PM | 5:54 PM | 6:10 PM |
| 6:15 PM | 6:27 PM | 6:32 PM | 6:42 PM | 6:50 PM | 6:54 PM | 7:10 PM |
| 7:15 PM | 7:27 PM | 7:32 PM | 7:42 PM | 7:50 PM | 7:54 PM | 8:10 PM |
| 8:15 PM | 8:27 PM | 8:32 PM | 8:42 PM | 8:50 PM | 8:54 PM | 9:10 PM |
| 9:15 PM | 9:27 PM | 9:32 PM | 9:42 PM | 9:50 PM | 9:54 PM | 10:10 PM |

## APPENDIX D

Zoning MAP


## APPENDIX E

## Manual Traffic Count Data

Major Street: Hardin Valley Road (WB and EB)
Minor Street: Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB)
Traffic Control: Traffic Signal

|  | Bryant Lane |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { TIME } \\ \text { BEGIN } \end{gathered}$ | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VEHICLE } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | PEAK HOUR |
|  | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 4 | 398 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 209 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 1 | 463 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 4 | 499 | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 202 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 7 | 463 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 305 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 221 | 4 | 538 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 252 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 8 | 485 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 126 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 266 | 6 | 412 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 4 | 337 |  |
| TOTAL | 3 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 1617 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1880 | 38 | 3595 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 146 | 2 | 263 |  |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 125 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 6 | 303 |  |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 126 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 196 | 6 | 340 | 11:30 AM - 12:30 PM |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 151 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 158 | 5 | 327 |  |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 165 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 166 | 2 | 348 |  |
| 12:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 164 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 144 | 3 | 324 |  |
| 12:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 138 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 156 | 4 | 313 |  |
| 12:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 125 | 7 | 275 |  |
| TOTAL | 4 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 1103 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1256 | 35 | 2493 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 177 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 199 | 1 | 386 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 134 | 5 | 332 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 154 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 133 | 2 | 296 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 183 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 354 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 195 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 156 | 1 | 364 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 226 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 171 | 5 | 411 |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 231 | 1 | 438 |  |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 208 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 210 | 7 | 436 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 191 | 6 | 425 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 279 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 6 | 491 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 256 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 173 | 2 | 437 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 240 | 2 | 526 | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 209 | 5 | 485 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 220 | 4 | 495 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 282 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 219 | 5 | 516 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 264 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 220 | 2 | 499 |  |
| TOTAL | 4 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 3637 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 3067 | 59 | 6891 |  |

2024 AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

|  | Bryant Lane |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME |  | THBOU |  |  | ESTBOU |  |  | RTHBOU |  |  | STBOUN |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT |
| 7:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 4 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 202 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 7 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 305 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 221 | 4 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 252 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 8 |
| TOTAL | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 978 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 952 | 23 |
| TRUCK \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.3\% |
| PHF mvmt | 0.25 | - | 0.50 | 0.90 | 0.80 | - | 0.45 | - | 0.25 | - | 0.89 | 0.72 |
| PHF ${ }_{\text {app }}$ | 0.33 |  |  | 0.80 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| PHF $_{\text {int }}$ | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2024 PM Peak Hour

 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM|  |  | yant Lan |  |  | n Valley |  |  | Winnin |  |  | n Valley |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME |  | THBOU |  |  | ESTBOU |  |  | THBOU |  |  | STBOUND |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT | LT | THRU | RT |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 240 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 209 | 5 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 220 | 4 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 282 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 219 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1082 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 888 | 16 |
| TRUCK \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% |
| PHF $_{\text {mvmt }}$ | - | - | - | 0.42 | 0.96 | 0.25 | 0.58 | - | 0.58 | - | 0.93 | 0.80 |
| PHF $_{\text {app }}$ | - |  |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |
| PHF $_{\text {int }}$ | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## PEAK HOUR DATA

Major Street: Hardin Valley Road (WB and EB)
Minor Street: Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB)
Traffic Control: Traffic Signal

5/2/2024 (Thursday)
Mostly Sunny and Warm Conducted by: Ajax Engineering


## TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Major Street: Award Winning Way (SB and NB)
Minor Street: Spring Bluff Way (WB)
Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Minor Street

5/2/2024 (Thursday)
Mostly Sunny and Warm Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

|  | Awa | Way |  | Vay | Awar | Way | VEHICLE TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |  |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 7:45 AM | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 8:00 AM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 8:15 AM | 5 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| TOTAL | 20 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 48 |
| PHF | 0.63 | 0.71 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |  |
| TRUCK \% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |


|  | Awa | Way |  |  | Awa | Way | VEHICLE TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | WESTBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  |
| BEGIN | LT | THRU | LT | RT | THRU | RT |  |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 18 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 1 | 52 |
| PHF | 0.42 | 0.75 | - | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.25 |  |
| TRUCK \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |

## APPENDIX F

Capacity Analyses - HCM Worksheets (Synchro 11)

## Existing Conditions

HCM 6th TWSC
3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane \& Hardin Valley Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | 4 |  |  | $\%$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「' | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{4} \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 967 | 15 | 47 | 1039 | 6 | 19 | 4 | 77 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 967 | 15 | 47 | 1039 | 6 | 19 | 4 | 77 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 7\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 80 |  | 190 | 90 |  | 90 | 80 |  | 190 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.857 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1778 | 1853 | 1591 | 1787 | 1828 | 1615 | 1814 | 1636 | 0 | 0 | 1725 | 1543 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.104 |  |  | 0.089 |  |  | 0.754 |  |  |  | 0.689 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 195 | 1853 | 1591 | 167 | 1828 | 1615 | 1440 | 1636 | 0 | 0 | 1251 | 1543 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 83 |  |  | 83 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 834 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 510 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 17 | 1099 | 17 | 51 | 1129 | 7 | 25 | 5 | 100 | 5 | 0 | 43 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 17 | 1099 | 17 | 51 | 1129 | 7 | 25 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 43 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |  | 26.3\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | None | Min | Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 67.8 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 70.7 | 68.1 | 68.1 | 8.4 | 8.4 |  |  | 8.4 | 8.4 |

Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 1

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $t$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |  | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.88 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.04 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 3.3 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 15.7 |  |  | 39.3 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 3.3 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 15.7 |  |  | 39.3 | 4.1 |
| LOS | A | C | A | A | B | A | D | B |  |  | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.2 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 2 | 489 | 0 | 5 | 289 | 0 | 14 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 6 | \#857 | 0 | 13 | \#933 | 0 | 34 | 35 |  |  | 10 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 754 |  |  | 353 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 217 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 80 |  | 190 | 90 |  | 90 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 406 | 1250 | 1101 | 390 | 1333 | 1200 | 386 | 512 |  |  | 335 | 474 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.88 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 93.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 20.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM 6th TWSC
3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane \& Hardin Valley Road



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{4}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 24 | 889 | 13 | 102 | 1081 | 22 | 19 | 2 | 84 | 36 | 5 | 28 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 24 | 889 | 13 | 102 | 1081 | 22 | 19 | 2 | 84 | 36 | 5 | 28 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 7\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 80 |  | 190 | 90 |  | 90 | 80 |  | 190 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.853 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.958 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1778 | 1835 | 1591 | 1787 | 1828 | 1615 | 1814 | 1629 | 0 | 0 | 1739 | 1543 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.095 |  |  | 0.151 |  |  | 0.723 |  |  |  | 0.678 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 178 | 1835 | 1591 | 284 | 1828 | 1615 | 1381 | 1629 | 0 | 0 | 1231 | 1543 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 83 |  |  | 83 |  | 102 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 834 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 510 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 25 | 926 | 14 | 107 | 1138 | 23 | 23 | 2 | 102 | 46 | 6 | 36 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 25 | 926 | 14 | 107 | 1138 | 23 | 23 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 36 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |  | 26.3\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | None | Min | Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.2 | 60.1 | 60.1 | 72.7 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 9.9 | 9.9 |  |  | 9.9 | 9.9 |

Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 1

|  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.11 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.86 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.40 |  |  | 0.41 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 4.5 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 13.7 |  |  | 50.5 | 1.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.5 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 13.7 |  |  | 50.5 | 1.4 |
| LOS | A | C | A | A | C | A | D | B |  |  | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.4 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 3 | 364 | 0 | 12 | 319 | 0 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 30 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 10 | \#770 | 0 | 28 | \#1019 | 0 | 34 | 38 |  |  | 59 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 754 |  |  | 353 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 217 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 80 |  | 190 | 90 |  | 90 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 388 | 1157 | 1033 | 455 | 1319 | 1189 | 362 | 502 |  |  | 322 | 465 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.08 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 95.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 20.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.8\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road


Projected Conditions Without the Project

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | $1$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 16 | 1026 | 16 | 50 | 1102 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 30 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 1026 | 16 | 50 | 1102 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 7\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 80 |  | 190 | 90 |  | 90 | 80 |  | 190 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.857 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1778 | 1853 | 1591 | 1787 | 1828 | 1615 | 1814 | 1636 | 0 | 0 | 1725 | 1543 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.063 |  |  | 0.059 |  |  | 0.754 |  |  |  | 0.685 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 118 | 1853 | 1591 | 111 | 1828 | 1615 | 1440 | 1636 | 0 | 0 | 1244 | 1543 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 83 |  |  | 83 |  | 106 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 834 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 510 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 18 | 1166 | 18 | 54 | 1198 | 7 | 26 | 5 | 106 | 5 | 0 | 46 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 18 | 1166 | 18 | 54 | 1198 | 7 | 26 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 46 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |  | 26.3\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | None | Min | Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 67.8 | 62.9 | 62.9 | 70.7 | 68.1 | 68.1 | 8.5 | 8.5 |  |  | 8.5 | 8.5 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement W | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 21 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | -4 | - | - | -10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 25 | 50 | 50 | 90 | 63 | 71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 30 |





|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 25 | 943 | 14 | 108 | 1147 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 89 | 38 | 5 | 30 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 25 | 943 | 14 | 108 | 1147 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 89 | 38 | 5 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 7\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 80 |  | 190 | 90 |  | 90 | 80 |  | 190 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.853 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.957 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1778 | 1835 | 1591 | 1787 | 1828 | 1615 | 1814 | 1629 | 0 | 0 | 1737 | 1543 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.062 |  |  | 0.121 |  |  | 0.721 |  |  |  | 0.672 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 116 | 1835 | 1591 | 228 | 1828 | 1615 | 1377 | 1629 | 0 | 0 | 1220 | 1543 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 83 |  |  | 83 |  | 109 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 834 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 510 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 26 | 982 | 15 | 114 | 1207 | 24 | 24 | 2 | 109 | 49 | 6 | 38 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 26 | 982 | 15 | 114 | 1207 | 24 | 24 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 38 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |  | 26.3\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | None | Min | Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 67.1 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 74.0 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 |  |  | 10.1 | 10.1 |



Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | * | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 958 | 17 | 5 | 1191 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 958 | 17 | 5 | 1191 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 2 | - | - | -2 | - | - | -4 | - | - | 4 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 1030 | 18 | 5 | 1254 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





Projected Conditions With the Project

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}+$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 23 | 1051 | 46 | 104 | 1124 | 6 | 162 | 8 | 126 | 3 | 5 | 38 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 23 | 1051 | 46 | 104 | 1124 | 6 | 162 | 8 | 126 | 3 | 5 | 38 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 1\％ |  |  | －2\％ |  |  | －3\％ |  |  | 7\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 80 |  | 200 | 125 |  | 125 | 80 |  | 190 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.859 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.981 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1719 | 3404 | 1538 | 1728 | 3241 | 1507 | 1814 | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1781 | 1543 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.184 |  |  | 0.136 |  |  | 0.749 |  |  |  | 0.892 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 333 | 3404 | 1538 | 247 | 3241 | 1507 | 1430 | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1619 | 1543 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 83 |  |  | 83 |  | 164 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 476 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 390 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 8.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ | 2\％ | 5\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 26 | 1194 | 52 | 113 | 1222 | 7 | 210 | 10 | 164 | 5 | 8 | 58 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 26 | 1194 | 52 | 113 | 1222 | 7 | 210 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 58 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 17．8\％ | 55．9\％ | 55．9\％ | 17．8\％ | 55．9\％ | 55．9\％ | 26．3\％ | 26．3\％ |  | 26．3\％ | 26．3\％ | 26．3\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce（s） | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce（s） | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | None | Min | Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time（s） |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 52.2 | 45.7 | 45.7 | 58.8 | 55.7 | 55.7 | 18.6 | 18.6 |  |  | 18.6 | 18.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.20 | 0.20 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.04 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 7.1 | 20.2 | 1.2 | 10.3 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 9.2 |  |  | 32.9 | 4.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 7.1 | 20.2 | 1.2 | 10.3 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 9.2 |  |  | 32.9 | 4.6 |
| LOS | A | C | A | B | B | A | D | A |  |  | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.2 |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 31.6 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 5 | 265 | 0 | 22 | 183 | 0 | 111 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | 14 | 376 | 7 | 46 | 390 | 0 | 186 | 38 |  |  | 17 | 1 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 396 |  |  | 353 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 217 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 80 |  | 200 | 125 |  | 125 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 455 | 2323 | 1076 | 415 | 2230 | 1063 | 406 | 583 |  |  | 460 | 498 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.12 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 118
Actuated Cycle Length: 90.9
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72

| Intersection Signal Delay: 18.3 | Intersection LOS: B |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.7\% | ICU Level of Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road




| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 96 | 220 | 433 | - | -510 | - | -09 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.667 | 0.009 | - | - | -0.051 | - | -0.176 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 97.4 | 21.5 | 0 | - | -12.4 | - | - | 68 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | C | A | - | - | $B$ | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - |

## Notes

```
~: Volume exceeds capacity $: Delay exceeds 300s +: Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon
```

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 1306 | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 537 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 415 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 |


|  | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach | 0.6 | 15.9 |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | C LOS |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 57 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 57 | 21 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | -4 | - | - | -10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 25 | 50 | 50 | 90 | 63 | 71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 62 | 4 | 3 | 90 | 30 |





| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 35 | 982 | 29 | 164 | 1173 | 23 | 82 | 9 | 124 | 38 | 14 | 40 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 35 | 982 | 29 | 164 | 1173 | 23 | 82 | 9 | 124 | 38 | 14 | 40 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 1\% |  |  | -2\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 7\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 80 |  | 200 | 125 |  | 125 | 80 |  | 190 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.860 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.965 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1719 | 3371 | 1538 | 1728 | 3241 | 1507 | 1814 | 1642 | 0 | 0 | 1752 | 1543 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.184 |  |  | 0.191 |  |  | 0.713 |  |  |  | 0.633 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 333 | 3371 | 1538 | 347 | 3241 | 1507 | 1361 | 1642 | 0 | 0 | 1149 | 1543 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 83 |  |  | 83 |  | 151 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 476 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 390 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 8.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 36 | 1023 | 30 | 173 | 1235 | 24 | 100 | 11 | 151 | 49 | 18 | 51 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 1023 | 30 | 173 | 1235 | 24 | 100 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 51 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 17.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |  | 26.3\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | None | Min | Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 47.0 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 53.3 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 12.1 | 12.1 |  |  | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.59 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.60 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.43 |  |  | 0.39 | 0.17 |
| Control Delay | 5.5 | 15.6 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 42.8 | 11.4 |  |  | 40.9 | 4.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.5 | 15.6 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 42.8 | 11.4 |  |  | 40.9 | 4.3 |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | B | A | D | B |  |  | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 14.9 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 23.4 |  |  | 25.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (t) | 5 | 172 | 0 | 24 | 221 | 0 | 45 | 5 |  |  | 30 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | 15 | 281 | 0 | 54 | 343 | 0 | 101 | 49 |  |  | 70 | 6 |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 396 |  |  | 353 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 217 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 80 |  | 200 | 125 |  | 125 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 500 | 2627 | 1217 | 510 | 2526 | 1193 | 444 | 637 |  |  | 374 | 559 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.18 | 0.09 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 79.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 14.9 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $72.2 \%$Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road


HCM 6th TWSC
3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane \& Hardin Valley Road


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1290 | 0 | 0 | 1117 | 0 |  | 0 | 2396 | 2396 | 1096 | 2415 | 2417 | 1290 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1096 | 1096 | - | 1300 | 1300 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1300 | 1300 | - | 1115 | 1117 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | 4.1 | - |  | - | 6.3 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 6.6 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 5.3 | 4.7 | - | 6.9 | 6.3 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 5.3 | 4.7 | - | 6.9 | 6.3 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | 2.2 | - |  | - | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 544 | - | - | 633 | - |  | - | $\sim 40$ | 58 | 296 | 13 | 19 | 175 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 333 | 372 | - | 150 | 175 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 267 | 312 | - | 199 | 222 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 544 | - | - | 633 | - |  | - | $\sim 40$ | 58 | 296 | 11 | 19 | 175 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 153 | 181 | - | 77 | 97 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | 333 | 372 | - | 150 | 174 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 265 | 310 | - | 173 | 222 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBL | BT |  | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 153 | 296 | 544 | - |  | - | 633 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.28 | 0.129 | - |  |  | - | 0.008 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 37.4 | 19 | 0 |  |  | - | 10.7 | - | - | 0 |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | E | C | A | - |  | - | B | - | - | A |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0 |  |  | - | 0 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity |  | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL |  |
| Lane Configurations | 瑯 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 |  | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 976 | 47 | 64 | 1231 | 0 | 70 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 976 | 47 | 64 | 1231 | 0 | 70 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 50 | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 1 | - | - | -2 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1084 | 52 | 71 | 1368 | 0 | 78 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 1136 | 0 | - | 568 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | - | 6.9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 622 | - | 0 | 471 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 622 | - | - | 471 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | 14.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 471 | - | - | 622 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.165 | - | -0.114 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 14.1 | - | - | 11.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | 0.4 | - |



| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 238 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 44 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 194 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 755 | 1029 | - | - | 1555 | - |
| Stage 1 | 984 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 844 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 710 | 1029 | - | - | 1555 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 710 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 984 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 793 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.7 |  | 0 |  | 6.9 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1019 | 1555 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.052 | 0.06 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.7 | 7.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



LOCAL CONTROLLER PROGRAMMING

Intersection:
Timing changed:
Controller type:

Hardin Valley Road at Greenland Way/Pelliss
December 2017
Peek 3000 E

TIME BY PHASE (SEC) \& FUNCTIONS

| PHASE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOVEMENTS | WBLT | EB | NB | SB | EBLT | WB |  |  |
| INITIAL | 6 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 15 |  |  |
| PASSAGE | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |  |  |
| YELLOW | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| RED CLEAR | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| WALK |  | 7 | 7 | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |
| PED CLEAR |  | 15 | 20 | 20 |  | 22 |  |  |
| MAX 1 | 15 | 100 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 100 |  |  |
| MAX 2 | 15 | 100 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 100 |  |  |
| RECALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



PHASING SEQUENCE


Date: \begin{tabular}{l}
Initial: <br>
\hline

 

\hline $7 / 13 / 2016$ \& Comment: <br>
\hline $12 / 7 / 2017$ \& JWS \& See other volume-density timing parameters <br>
\hline \& \& Changed to split phase north/south <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## APPENDIX G

## ITE and local Trip Generation Data

# Land Use: 822 <br> Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) 

## Description

A strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. Each study site in this land use has less than 40,000 square feet of gross leasable area (GLA). Because a strip retail plaza is open-air, the GLA is the same as the gross floor area of the building.

The 40,000 square feet GFA threshold between strip retail plaza and shopping plaza (Land Use 821) was selected based on an examination of the overall shopping center/plaza database. No shopping plaza with a supermarket as its anchor is smaller than 40,000 square feet GLA.

Shopping center (>150k) (Land use 820), shopping plaza (40-150k) (Land Use 821), and factory outlet center (Land Use 823) are related uses.

## Additional Data

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), California, Delaware, Florida, New Jersey, Ontario (CAN), South Dakota, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

## Source Numbers

$304,358,423,428,437,507,715,728,936,960,961,974,1009$

## Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) <br> (822)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 4
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 19
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54.45 | $47.86-65.07$ | 7.81 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Small Sample Size


## Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) <br> (822)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 5
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 18
Directional Distribution: 60\% entering, 40\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.36 | $1.60-3.73$ | 0.94 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Small Sample Size


## Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) <br> (822)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 25
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 21
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.59 | $2.81-15.20$ | 2.94 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Land Use: 937 <br> Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window 

## Description

This land use includes any coffee and donut restaurant that has a drive-through window as well as a walk-in entrance area at which a patron can purchase and consume items. The restaurant sells freshly brewed coffee (along with coffee-related accessories) and a variety of food/drink products such as donuts, bagels, breads, muffins, cakes, sandwiches, wraps, salads, and other hot and cold beverages. The restaurant marketing and sales may emphasize coffee beverages over food (or vice versa).

A coffee/donut shop typically holds long store hours (more than 15 hours) with an early morning opening. Limited indoor seating is generally provided for patrons, but table service is not provided.

Coffee/donut shop without drive-through window (Land Use 936) and coffee/donut shop with drive-through window and no indoor seating (Land Use 938) are related uses.

## Additional Data

The sites were surveyed in the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in California, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ontario (CAN), Pennsylvania, Quebec (CAN), Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

## Specialized Land Use Data

One study was conducted during the pandemic in 2020. Twelve sites were counted in Illinois and Missouri during the AM and PM adjacent street peak hours. The data have not been incorporated within the overall ITE trip generation database and are not reflected in the data plots for this land use. Consideration for their inclusion will be given for the 12th Edition of Trip Generation Manual after additional post-pandemic data are collected. Overall, the pandemic counts yielded an AM adjacent street peak weighted average rate of 84 vehicle trips per 1,000 square feet GFA, roughly equivalent to the pre-pandemic average. The PM adjacent street peak rate was 56 (roughly 40 percent higher than the pre-pandemic value). The higher PM peak rate for these coffee/donut shops conforms with anecdotal observations that with the temporary or permanent closures of many restaurants during the pandemic, the drive-through restaurants that were open did a brisk business even during their off-peak periods.

## Source Numbers

$594,599,615,617,618,621,622,635,639,712,714,725,726,728,853,854,892,903,928,959$, 979, 982, 1004, 1042, 1044

Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window
(937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 6
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 533.57 | $309.41-869.00$ | 243.65 |

Data Plot and Equation


Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window
(937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 78
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85.88 | $18.51-282.05$ | 44.92 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

(937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 36
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38.99 | $13.78-92.31$ | 17.79 |

Data Plot and Equation


## APPENDIX H

OTISS PRO WORKSHEETS

## § Turning Movement Volume



## Background Traffica $+=\times 0$

## Turning Movement Volume



## Site Generated Traffic* $+=x$

## 『 Turning Movement Volume




## Background Traffic $+=\times 0$

## § Turning Movement Volume




## Scenario - 4

Scenario Name: 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West
Dev. phase:

User Group:
No. of Years to Project 0
Analyst Note: This includes pass-by trips in order to get the software to calculate them. However, the software does not correctly calculate the distribution of pass-by trips. Therefore, a copy is made of this scenario

Warning:
VEHICLE TRIPS BEFORE REDUCTION

| nd Use \& Data Source | Location | IV | Size | Time Period | Method | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rate/Equation | Split\% | Split\% |  |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | General Urban/Suburban | 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA | 15.6 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Best Fit (LOG) | 23 | 15 | 38 |
| Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed |  |  |  |  | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.66 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+1.84$ | 60\% | 40\% |  |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through | GeneralUrban/Suburban | 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA | 4 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Average | 175 | 168 | 343 |
| Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed |  |  |  |  | 85.88 | 51\% | 49\% |  |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | General Urban/Suburban | 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA | 5.30 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Average | 137 | 122 | 259 |
| Data Source: Private Data Sets |  |  |  |  | 48.79 | 53\% | 47\% |  |

## VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION

## bASELINE SITE VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS:

| Land Use | Baseline Site Vehicle Mode Share |  | Baseline Site Vehicle Occupancy |  | Baseline Site Vehicle Directional Split |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 40 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 49 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 100 | 100 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 53 | 47 |


| Land Use | Person Trips by Vehicle |  | Person Trips by Other Modes |  | Total Baseline Site Person Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry | Exit | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 23 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 15 |
|  | 38 |  | 0 |  | 38 |  |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 175 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 168 |
|  | 343 |  | 0 |  | 343 |  |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 137 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 22 |
|  | 259 |  | 0 |  | 259 |  |

INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION



## INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS:

822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

| Internal Person Trips From |
| :--- | :--- |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window |

9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]
Total Internal Person Trips

|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

| Internal Person Trips From | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Internal Person Trips | 2 | 1 | 3 |

9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]

| 9007- Chick-fil-A [Private] |
| :--- |
| Internal Person Trips From |


| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window |
| Tol |


|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |

INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIPS AND CAPTURE:
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

| Total Internal Person Trips | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Mode Share | 100\% | 100\% | - |
| Vehicle Occupancy | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Vehicle Internal Trips | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Total External Vehicle Trips | 21 | 13 | 34 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | 9\% | 13\% | 0\% |

## 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window



| Total Vehicle Internal Trips | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total External Vehicle Trips | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ |  |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ |  |

9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]

| Total Internal Person Trips | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Mode Share | 100\% | 100\% | - |
| Vehicle Occupancy | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Vehicle Internal Trips | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total External Vehicle Trips | 137 | 121 | 258 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |

## PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

| Land Use | External Vehicle Trips |  | Pass-by Vehicle Trip \% |  | Pass-by Vehicle Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 21 | 13 | 10.00\% | 10.00\% | 2 | 1 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 173 | 167 | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 43 | 42 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 137 | 121 | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 34 | 30 |

## dvener vemil frp revucton

| Land Use | External Vehicle Trips |  | Diverted Vehicle Trip \% |  | Diverted Vehicle Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 21 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 173 | 167 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 137 | 121 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |

Exrav vicle rip pedectow

| Land Use | (External - (Pass-by + Diverted)) Vehicle Trips |  | Extra Vehicle Trip Reduction \% |  | Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 19 | 12 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 130 | 125 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 103 | 91 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |

## NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

| Land Use | New Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 19 | 12 | 31 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 130 | 125 | 255 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 103 | 91 | 194 |


| RESULTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Totals | Entry | Exit | Total |
| Vehicle Trips Before Reduction | 335 | 305 | 640 |
| Internal Vehicle Trips | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| External Vehicle Trips | 331 | 301 | 632 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Pass-by Vehicle Trips | 79 | 73 | 152 |
| Diverted Vehicle Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| w Vehicle Trips | 252 | 228 | 480 |


| Project: The Village at Hardin Valley |  |  | Trip Distribution Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |  |  |
| Site | Driveways | Inbound | Outbound | Total Trips |
|  | North Front Driveway | 149 | 47 | 196 |
| Village at H Valley | SW Rear Driveway | 5 | 16 | 21 |
|  | SE Rear Driveway | 98 | 165 | 263 |


| Project: The Village at Hardin Valley |  |  | Trip Assignment Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |
| Assigned New Trips |  |  |  |
| Site | Routes | Inbound | Outbound |
| Village at H Valley | From West | 102 | Not Defined |
|  | To and From Pell State | 8 | 7 |
|  | To and From East | 39 | 40 |
|  | From SW | 0 | Not Defined |
|  | To and From SE | 0 | 0 |
|  | To and From SW | 2 | 2 |
|  | To and From West | 3 | 5 |
|  | To and From East | 0 | 1 |
|  | West via East | 0 | 8 |
|  | To and From SE | 0 | 0 |
|  | To and From SW | 1 | 0 |
|  | To and From West | 30 | 4 |
|  | To and From SE | 10 | 9 |
|  | To and From East | 37 | 29 |
|  | West via East | 15 | 119 |
|  | To and From Pell State | 5 | 4 |
| Assigned Pass-by Trips |  |  |  |
| Site | Driveway | Turning Movement | Assigned Pass-by Trips |
| Village at H Valley | SW Rear Driveway | NEB-Left SWB-Right | 1 |

SE Rear Driveway
UnAssigned trips are more than 0 for some driveways

| 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peforming Arts Way |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Greenland Way |  |  |
| Lane Group | SEBL | SEBT | SEBR | NEBL | NEBT | NEBR | SWBL | SWBT | SWBR | NWBL | NWBT | NWBR |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shared? | Yes |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | Yes |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 3 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 1026 | 16 | 50 | 1102 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 82 |
| Background Traffic | 3 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 1026 | 16 | 50 | 1102 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 82 |
| Site Generated Trips | 0 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 40 | 15 | 37 | 39 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 30 |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 3 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 1026 | 16 | 50 | 1102 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 82 |
| Total Build Traffic | 3 | 5 | 38 | 23 | 1066 | 31 | 87 | 1141 | 6 | 147 | 8 | 112 |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2:Hardin at Award Win
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West
Report Generated by OTISS Pro

|  | Bryant Lane |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SEBL | SEBT | SEBR | NEBL | NEBT | NEBR | SWBL | SWBT | SWBR | NWBL | NWBT | NWBR |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shared? | Yes |  | Yes | No |  | Yes | No |  | Yes | Yes |  | No |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Traffic | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1055 | 24 | 21 | 1131 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Background Traffic | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1055 | 24 | 21 | 1131 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Site Generated Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 33 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1055 | 24 | 21 | 1131 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Build Traffic | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1172 | 57 | 21 | 1258 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
3:Award at S Bluff
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

| Report Generated by OTIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring Bluff Way |  |  | Spring Bluff Way |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBT | NEBR | SWBL | SWBT | SWBR | NWBL | NWBT | NWBR | SEBL | SEBT | SEBR |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shared? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 21 | 0 |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 21 | 0 |
| Site Generated Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 21 |
| Total Build Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 57 | 21 |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley


5:North Entrance
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

| 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |
| :--- |


| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shared? |  | Yes | No |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 1058 | 0 | 0 | 1152 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Background Traffic | 1058 | 0 | 0 | 1152 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 15 | 102 | 47 | 127 | 0 | 47 |  |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | -35 | 35 | 38 | -38 | 38 | 35 |  |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | -35 | 35 | 38 | -38 | 38 | 35 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 1023 | 35 | 38 | 1114 | 0 | 35 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 1038 | 137 | 85 | 1241 | 0 | 82 |  |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
6:SW Entrance
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

|  | Spring Bluff Way |  | Spring Bluff Way |  | SW Rear Driveway |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBT | SWBT | SWBR | SEBL | SEBR |  |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shared? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 5 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 7 |  |


| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 1 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 6 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 7 |  |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
7:SE Entrance

| 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  |  |  |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring Bluff Way |  | Spring Bluff Way |  | CR 300 |  |  |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBT | sWBT | SWBR | SEBL | SEBR |  |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shared? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 41 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 41 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 31 | 9 | 0 | 67 | 161 | 4 |  |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 1 | 40 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 32 | 49 | 41 | 68 | 162 | 5 |  |



## Scenario - 5

Scenario Name: 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West
Dev. phase:

User Group:

$$
\text { No. of Years to Project } 0
$$

Analyst Note: This includes pass-by trips in order to get the software to calculate them. However, the software does not correctly calculate the distribution of pass-by trips. Therefore, a copy is made of this scenario

Warning:
VEHICLE TRIPS BEFORE REDUCTION

| nd Use \& Data Source | Location | IV | Size | Time Period | Method | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rate/Equation | Split\% | Split\% |  |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | General Urban/Suburban | 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA | 15.6 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Best Fit (LOG) | 53 | 53 | 106 |
| Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed |  |  |  |  | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.71 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.72$ | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through | GeneralUrban/Suburban | 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA | 4 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Average | 78 | 78 | 156 |
| Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed |  |  |  |  | 38.99 | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | General Urban/Suburban | 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA | 5.30 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Average | 115 | 90 | 205 |
| Data Source: Private Data Sets |  |  |  |  | 38.62 | 56\% | 44\% |  |

## VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION

## bASELINE SITE VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS:

| Land Use | Baseline Site Vehicle Mode Share |  | Baseline Site Vehicle Occupancy |  | Baseline Site Vehicle Directional Split |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 50 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 50 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 100 | 100 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 56 | 44 |


| Land Use | Person Trips by Vehicle |  | Person Trips by Other Modes |  | Total Baseline Site Person Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry | Exit | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 53 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 53 |
|  | 106 |  | 0 |  | 106 |  |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 78 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 78 |
|  | 156 |  | 0 |  | 156 |  |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 115 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 90 |
|  | 205 |  | 0 |  | 205 |  |

INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION



INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS:
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

| Internal Person Trips From | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Internal Person Trips | 4 | 7 | 11 |

937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

| Internal Person Trips From | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Internal Person Trips | 7 | 2 | 9 |


| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] |
| :--- |
| Internal Person Trips From |



INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIPS AND CAPTURE:
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

| Total Internal Person Trips | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Mode Share | 100\% | 100\% | - |
| Vehicle Occupancy | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Vehicle Internal Trips | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| Total External Vehicle Trips | 49 | 46 | 95 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | 7\% | 13\% | 0\% |

## 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window



| Total Vehicle Internal Trips | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total External Vehicle Trips | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 147 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |

9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]

| Total Internal Person Trips | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Mode Share | 100\% | 100\% | - |
| Vehicle Occupancy | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Vehicle Internal Trips | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total External Vehicle Trips | 115 | 88 | 203 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |

## PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

| Land Use | External Vehicle Trips |  | Pass-by Vehicle Trip \% |  | Pass-by Vehicle Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 49 | 46 | 10.00\% | 10.00\% | 5 | 5 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 71 | 76 | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 18 | 19 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 115 | 88 | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 29 | 22 |

## dvener vemil frp revucton

| Land Use | External Vehicle Trips |  | Diverted Vehicle Trip \% |  | Diverted Vehicle Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 49 | 46 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 71 | 76 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 115 | 88 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |

ExTav vincle frp peouctow

| Land Use | (External - (Pass-by + Diverted)) Vehicle Trips |  | Extra Vehicle Trip Reduction \% |  | Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Entry (\%) | Exit (\%) | Entry | Exit |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 44 | 41 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 53 | 57 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 86 | 66 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |

## NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

| Land Use | New Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 44 | 41 | 85 |
| 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 53 | 57 | 110 |
| 9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] | 86 | 66 | 152 |


| RESULTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Totals | Entry | Exit | Total |
| Vehicle Trips Before Reduction | 246 | 221 | 467 |
| Internal Vehicle Trips | 11 | 11 | 22 |
| External Vehicle Trips | 235 | 210 | 445 |
| Internal Vehicle Trip Capture | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Pass-by Vehicle Trips | 52 | 46 | 98 |
| Diverted Vehicle Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Project: The Village at Hardin Valley |  |  | Trip Distribution Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |  |
| Site | Driveways | Inbound | Outbound | Total Trips |
|  | North Front Driveway | 88 | 60 | 148 |
| Village at H Valley | SW Rear Driveway | 4 | 14 | 18 |
|  | SE Rear Driveway | 91 | 90 | 181 |


| Project: The Village at Hardin Valley |  |  | Trip Assignment Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |
| Assigned New Trips |  |  |  |
| Site | Routes | Inbound | Outbound |
| Village at H Valley | From West | 37 | Not Defined |
|  | To and From Pell State | 10 | 10 |
|  | To and From East | 41 | 50 |
|  | From SW | 0 | Not Defined |
|  | To and From SE | 0 | 0 |
|  | To and From SW | 1 | 1 |
|  | To and From West | 3 | 3 |
|  | To and From East | 0 | 2 |
|  | West via East | 0 | 8 |
|  | To and From SE | 0 | 0 |
|  | To and From SW | 1 | 0 |
|  | To and From West | 20 | 3 |
|  | To and From SE | 16 | 15 |
|  | To and From East | 41 | 23 |
|  | West via East | 4 | 43 |
|  | To and From Pell State | 9 | 6 |
| Assigned Pass-by Trips |  |  |  |
| Site | Driveway | Turning Movement | Assigned Pass-by Trips |
| Village at H Valley | North Front Driveway | NEB-Right | 21 |
|  |  | SWB-Left | 25 |

SE Rear Driveway
UnAssigned trips are more than 0 for some driveways

| 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peforming Arts Way |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Greenland Way |  |  |
| Lane Group | SEBL | SEBT | SEBR | NEBL | NEBT | NEBR | SWBL | SWBT | SWBR | NWBL | NWBT | NWBR |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shared? | Yes |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | Yes |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 38 | 5 | 30 | 25 | 943 | 14 | 108 | 1147 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 89 |
| Background Traffic | 38 | 5 | 30 | 25 | 943 | 14 | 108 | 1147 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 89 |
| Site Generated Trips | 0 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 4 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 51 | 6 | 25 |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 38 | 5 | 30 | 25 | 943 | 14 | 108 | 1147 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 89 |
| Total Build Traffic | 38 | 14 | 40 | 35 | 993 | 18 | 149 | 1188 | 23 | 71 | 8 | 114 |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

## 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

2:Hardin at Award Win

|  | Bryant Lane |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Hardin Valley Road |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SEBL | SEBT | SEBR | NEBL | NEBT | NEBR | SWBL | SWBT | SWBR | NWBL | NWBT | NWBR |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shared? | Yes |  | Yes | No |  | Yes | No |  | Yes | Yes |  | No |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 958 | 17 | 5 | 1191 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 24 |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 958 | 17 | 5 | 1191 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 24 |
| Site Generated Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 23 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 958 | 17 | 5 | 1191 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 24 |
| Total Build Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 999 | 40 | 5 | 1242 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 24 |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
3:Award at S Bluff
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

|  | Spring Bluff Way |  |  | Spring Bluff Way |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  | Award Winning Way |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBT | NEBR | SWBL | SWBT | SWBR | NWBL | NWBT | NWBR | SEBL | SEBT | SEBR |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shared? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 0 |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 0 |
| Site Generated Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 0 |
| Total Build Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 39 | 6 | 0 |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

|  | Spring Bluff Way |  | Greenland Way |  | Greenland Way |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBR | NWBL | NWBT | SEBT | SEBR |  |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shared? | Yes |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 64 | 3 | 4 | 47 | 70 | 62 |  |
| Background Traffic | 64 | 3 | 4 | 47 | 70 | 62 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 82 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 54 |  |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 64 | 3 | 4 | 47 | 70 | 62 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 146 | 18 | 20 | 47 | 70 | 116 |  |

5:North Entrance
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West
Report Generated by OTISS Pro

|  | Hardin Valley Road | Hardin Valley Road | North Front Driveway |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Group | NEBT NEBR | SWBL SWBT | NWBL NWBR |  |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shared? |  | Yes | No |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 982 | 0 | 0 | 1197 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Background Traffic | 982 | 0 | 0 | 1197 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 4 | 37 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 60 |  |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | -21 | 21 | 25 | -25 | 25 | 21 |  |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | -21 | 21 | 25 | -25 | 25 | 21 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 961 | 21 | 25 | 1172 | 0 | 21 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 965 | 58 | 76 | 1223 | 0 | 81 |  |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
6:SW Entrance
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West
Report Generated by OTISS Pro

|  | Spring Bluff Way |  | Spring Bluff Way |  | CR 600 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBT | SWBT | SWBR | SEBL | SEBR |  |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shared? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 4 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 4 |  |


| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 4 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 4 |  |

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
7:SE Entrance

| 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West |  |  |  |  |  |  | Report Generated by OTISS Pro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring Bluff Way |  | Spring Bluff Way |  | CR 600 |  |  |
| Lane Group | NEBL | NEBT | sWBT | SWBR | SEBL | SEBR |  |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shared? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| \% Growth Over 0 Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Growth Factor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turning Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Traffic | 0 | 67 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Background Traffic | 0 | 67 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Generated Trips | 21 | 10 | 0 | 70 | 87 | 3 |  |
| Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary) | 1 | -1 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Diverted Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Non-primary Trips | 1 | -1 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Build Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redistributed Background Traffic | 1 | 66 | 64 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Total Build Traffic | 22 | 76 | 64 | 72 | 88 | 5 |  |

## APPENDIX I

Knox County Turn Lane Volume Threshold Worksheets

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE YOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| Fewer 'Than 25 $\begin{array}{r} 25-49 \\ 50-99 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes <br> Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | Yes <br> Yes | Yes Yes | Yes <br> Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | , | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 500-549 \\ 550-599 \end{array}$ | Yes | Yes <br> Yes | Yes Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1043 / 2 * 1.05 \\ =547.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| RIGHT-TURN | TH | OUHI VOL | PLUS L | T-TURN | VOLUM | * |
| VOLUME | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+1>600$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | * |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 132 $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 100-149 \\ \hline 150-199 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y't5 } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\} \begin{aligned} & \text { Hardin } \\ & \text { the Pro } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes |  | \{ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\} \begin{array}{r} 2025 \mathrm{P} \\ \mathrm{~EB} \text { Righ } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ted AM } \\ & \text { rns }=132 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Right W | Lane ted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

RIGHT-TURN LANE YOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN <br> VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| Fewer Than 25 $\begin{aligned} & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes <br> Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | Yes <br> Yes | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | , | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 500-549 \\ 550-599 \end{array}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 976 / 2 * 1.05 \\ =512.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| RIGHT-TURN | TH | UGH VOI | PLUS L | T-TURN | VOLUM | * |
| VOLUME | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+1>600$ |
| 47Fewer Than 25 <br> $25-49$ <br> $50-99$ |  | * |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Hardi |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 200-249 \\ 250-299 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |  | ed North nce | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 300-349 \\ 350-399 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cted PM } \\ & \text { urns }=47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | Ri | rn Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathcal{G}_{\text {Yes }}$ | ted <br> cues | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.


## APPENDIX J

## SimTraffic Vehicle Queue Worksheets

Intersection: 3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | LT | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 8 | 25 | 47 | 134 | 14 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 2 | 11 | 12 | 95 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 | 34 | 63 | 218 | 20 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 397 |  | 81 | 292 | 328 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 27 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | TR | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 192 | 173 | 26 | 79 | 204 | 155 | 12 | 107 | 95 | 15 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 130 | 112 | 8 | 48 | 123 | 82 | 3 | 69 | 54 | 3 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 63 | 206 | 185 | 28 | 95 | 230 | 176 | 14 | 114 | 106 | 17 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 407 | 407 |  |  | 397 | 397 |  |  | 300 | 246 | 246 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 80 |  |  | 200 | 125 |  |  | 125 | 80 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 17 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 2 |  | 9 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | 12 | 3 |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: North Entrance \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 50 | 10 | 5 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 | 59 | 19 | 13 | 62 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 170 |  | 407 | 407 | 76 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 18 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 11: Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 118 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 65 | 64 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 153 | 152 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 170 | 170 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 14: Award Winning Way \& Spring Bluff Way

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 16: Greenland Way \& Spring Bluff Way

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 63 | 18 | 15 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 47 | 6 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 72 | 20 | 20 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 80 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 2 | 9 | 9 | 58 | 31 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 34 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 | 12 | 17 | 84 | 40 |
| Link Distance (tt) | 397 |  | 81 | 292 | 292 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | TR | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 63 | 172 | 180 | 22 | 88 | 176 | 123 | 13 | 76 | 80 | 78 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 122 | 119 | 6 | 53 | 107 | 60 | 4 | 44 | 49 | 43 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 86 | 194 | 207 | 25 | 98 | 191 | 131 | 17 | 83 | 84 | 89 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 407 | 407 |  |  | 397 | 397 |  |  | 300 | 246 | 246 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 80 |  |  | 200 | 125 |  |  | 125 | 80 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 14 | 1 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 5 | 0 |  | 3 | 5 | 0 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: North Entrance \& Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 18 | 21 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 5 | 4 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 34 | 28 | 62 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 407 | 407 | 76 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 11: Hardin Valley Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 140 | 134 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 68 | 71 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 165 | 168 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 170 | 170 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 4 |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 14: Award Winning Way \& Spring Bluff Way

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 33 | 3 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 44 | 8 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 292 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 16: Greenland Way \& Spring Bluff Way

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 64 | 18 | 12 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 10 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 74 | 27 | 18 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 100 | 50 |
| Storage Bal Dist (ft) | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX K

## Letter to Address TIS Review Comments

11812 Black Road
Knoxville, Tennessee 37932
Phone (865) 556-0042
ajaxengineering@gmail.com

June 20, 2024

PROJECT NAME: The Village at Hardin Valley (7-A-24-DP)
TO: Knoxville-Knox County Planning
SUBJECT: Response Document for The Village at Hardin Valley TIS Review Comments
Knoxville-Knox County Planning and Knox County Engineering Staff:
The following response document addresses the comments in an email from Mike Conger, PE, dated June 18, 2024. This letter is added to the end of the revised report in Appendix L.

1. Comments from Knox County Engineering:

- Proposed concrete island at Restaurant with drive-thru needs to be curbed around and eliminate access to the main driveway aisle.
- Main drive aisle should line up with Chikfila.

Response: The site designer addressed these comments in the revised site plan, which is incorporated into the revised TIS in all figures that include the site plan.
2. Comments from Knoxville-Knox County Planning:

- There should be additional discussion in the TIS regarding internal site circulation in relation to the main Chick Fil A access and how it interacts with the coffee shop drive thru window. It is suggested that consideration be given for swapping the drive thru window to the opposite (west) side of the building which would potentially reduce conflict with the heavy traffic movements accessing Chick Fil A and also provide a more logical circulation pattern rather than the "contra-flow" situation as shown where the far-right lane is being used for eastbound rather than a more logical westbound direction. Additionally, the access to the currently shown drive-thru lane appears to be problematic from a turning radius standpoint for traffic entering the site from the Southeast entrance. There should also be an indication on the site plan of where the drive thru order board will be located and a discussion of any potential queueing issues that may need to be addressed. In summary, please evaluate the drive thru circulation pattern in order to determine the optimum orientation for the overall site layout.

Response: An additional discussion has been included in the revised report on Pages 21-22. The drive-thru window has stayed in the same place on the east side of the building, and the order board has been identified in Figure 3. Additional recommendations are offered in the report sections under "The Village at Hardin Valley Internal Drive/Parking Lot Aisleways" related to the drive-thru lane. This includes the following: "Internal guide signs should direct vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop to the drive-thru lane's location. White pavement lane markings, arrows, or messages should also be considered to facilitate orderly vehicular movements."

In addition to the revisions listed above, other changes in the report include the following:

- Updated Title Page
- Updated Table of Contents
- Updated Page Footers
- Added Appendix K to include this response letter

If you have any questions or further comments, don't hesitate to get in touch with me. We look forward to your approval.

Sincerely,
Ajax Engineering, LLC Robert W. Jacks, P.E.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 2018$ Major Road Plan by Knoxville/Knox County Planning
    ${ }^{2}$ Edge of curb face to edge of curb face or edge of pavements near project site
    ${ }^{3}$ According to Knoxville Area Transit System Map

[^1]:    Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

[^2]:    Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

[^3]:    Note: 95th percentile queues were calculated in SimTraffic 11 software
    ${ }^{1}$ Longest reported length in through dual lanes
    ${ }^{2}$ Distance between Hardin Valley Road and Spring Bluff Way
    ${ }^{3}$ Distance based on the available distance shown in site plan without interferring with internal aisleway vehicle movements
    ${ }^{4}$ Proposed lengths from Chick-fil-A traffic impact study

