

Transportation Impact Study The Village at Hardin Valley Knox County, Tennessee



Revised June 2024

Prepared for: OKR, GP 11421 Sam Lee Road Knoxville, TN 37932



7-A-24-DP TIS Version 2 6/20/2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING CONDITIONS	
STUDY AREA	
EXISTING ROADWAYS	
PHOTO EXHIBITS	
EXISTING TRANSPORTATION VOLUMES PER MODE	
PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES	
WALK SCORE	
TRANSIT SERVICES	
CRASH DATA	20
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	21
LOCATION AND SITE PLAN	
PROPOSED USES AND ZONING REQUIREMENTS	24
ON-SITE CIRCULATION	25
SERVICE AND DELIVERY VEHICLE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	25
ANALYSIS OF EXISTING AND PROJECTED CONDITIONS	26
EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	26
PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT	35
TRIP GENERATION	38
TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT	42
PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT	50
POTENTIAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY ISSUES	53
CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	58
HARDIN VALLEY ROAD AT PERFORMING ARTS WAY AND GREENLAND WAY	
HARDIN VALLEY ROAD AT BRYANT LANE AND AWARD WINNING WAY	
HARDIN VALLEY ROAD AT THE PROPOSED NORTH ENTRANCE	
THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY INTERNAL DRIVE/PARKING LOT AISLEWAYS	
GREENLAND WAY AT SPRING BLUFF WAY	



APPENDIX

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A - HISTORICAL TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

APPENDIX B - WALK SCORE

APPENDIX C - KNOXVILLE AREA TRANSIT MAP AND INFORMATION

APPENDIX D - ZONING MAP

APPENDIX E - MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

APPENDIX F - CAPACITY ANALYSES – HCM WORKSHEETS (SYNCHRO 11)

APPENDIX G - ITE AND LOCAL TRIP GENERATION DATA

APPENDIX H - OTISS PRO WORKSHEETS

APPENDIX I - KNOX COUNTY TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLD WORKSHEETS

APPENDIX J - SIMTRAFFIC VEHICLE QUEUE WORKSHEETS

APPENDIX K - LETTER TO ADDRESS TIS REVIEW COMMENTS



LIST OF FIGURES

FIG	URE	PAGE
1.	LOCATION MAP	7
2.	TRAFFIC COUNT LOCATIONS, TRAFFIC SIGNAGE & EXISTING LANE CONFIGURATIONS	11
3.	PROPOSED PLAN LAYOUT – THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY	23
4A.	PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES – EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (UNADJUSTED)	28
4B.	PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES – EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (ADJUSTED TO 2023 TRAFFIC VOLUMES)	29
5.	2025 PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES – PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT	37
6A.	DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF GENERATED TRAFFIC DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOUR FOR STRIP RETAIL PLAZA	44
6в.	DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF GENERATED TRAFFIC DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOUR FOR COFFEE/DONUT SHOP WITH DRIVE-THROUGH	45
6C.	DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF GENERATED TRAFFIC DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOUR FOR CHICK-FIL-A RESTAURANT	46
7A.	TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT OF GENERATED TRAFFIC DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOUR – TOTAL NEW TRIPS	47
7B.	TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT OF GENERATED TRAFFIC DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOUR – PASS-BY TRIPS	48
7c.	TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT OF GENERATED TRAFFIC DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOUR – TOTAL EXTERNAL TRIPS	49
8.	2025 PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES – PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	51



LIST OF TABLES

TAE	BLE	GE
1.	STUDY CORRIDOR CHARACTERISTICS	.8
2.	LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS	.32
3.	LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS	.33
4.	2023 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	.34
5.	2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT	.36
6A.	TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY	.39
6в.	TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY & CHICK-FIL-A RESTAURANT WITH INTERNAL AND PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIPS	.41
7.	THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY ASSUMED DRIVEWAY DISTRIBUTION	.42
8.	2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT	.52
9.	TURN LANE STORAGE & VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY – 2025 PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC WITH THE PROJECT	.56
10.	INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY HARDIN VALLEY ROAD AT PERFORMING ARTS WAY AND GREENLAND WAY	.60



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Preface:

OKR, GP proposes a commercial development on a 3.55 +/- acre property southwest of the signalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way in Northwest Knox County, TN. The proposed development will include a strip retail plaza with a floor area of 15,625 ft² plus a 4,000 ft² coffee/donut shop with a drive-through window. The development is named and referenced in this study as "The Village at Hardin Valley". The development proposes three entrances, one on Hardin Valley Road to the north and two on Spring Bluff Way to the south. The development is anticipated to be fully built and occupied by the end of 2025. In addition, adjacent to the proposed site, a Chick-fil-A restaurant is currently being constructed, and its customers will solely enter and exit via The Village at Hardin Valley development property. This restaurant is expected to be open for business before The Village at Hardin Valley development is fully constructed and occupied.

The primary purpose of this study is to determine and evaluate the potential combined impacts of the developments on the adjacent transportation system. The study includes a review of the primary access roads and intersections, and it is a Level 1 study established by Knoxville/Knox County Planning. Recommendations and mitigation measures are offered to accommodate the new commercial development if transportation operations are projected to be below recognized engineering standards.

Study Results:

The significant findings of this study include the following:

The Village at Hardin Valley development, with a strip retail plaza and a coffee/donut shop with a drive-through, is estimated to generate 3,022 trips at full build-out and occupancy on an average weekday. Of these daily trips, 381 are projected to occur during the AM peak hour and 262 in the PM peak hour in 2025. However, some of these trips are expected to be comprised of motorists already traveling through the adjacent Hardin Valley Road corridor and, to a lesser extent, the other adjacent roadways. The Chick-fil-A restaurant will also generate significant vehicle trips. Between The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant, some generated trips are projected to be internal to the developments and will not impact the external road system.



- The Village at Hardin Valley development will have three entrances and they are expected to operate adequately with respect to vehicle delays and queues.
- During peak hours, motorists exiting the development towards the west on Hardin Valley Road via Award Winning Way will experience high delays at the intersection, particularly in the AM peak hour. This experience and occurrence will likely shift the vast majority of westbound exiting motorists away from Award Winning Way and shift the demand to the traffic signal slightly east of the development to continue their intended travel to the west.
- The signalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way is projected to operate with reasonable vehicle delays and queues even with the future projected trips from the Chick-fil-A restaurant and The Village at Hardin Valley development. This reasonable outcome is possible due to a Knox County Engineering project to increase the number of thru lanes on Hardin Valley Road within the next 12 months. This project will provide two thru lanes of traffic on Hardin Valley Road, eastbound and westbound, at the intersection. However, the northbound left-turn lane vehicle storage on Greenland Way should be increased at this intersection. Knox County Engineering should fine-tune the signal timing as the various projects come to fruition and completion.
- The projected 2025 traffic volumes will warrant the construction of a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road at the proposed North Entrance for The Village at Hardin Valley.

Recommendations:

The following is a summary of recommendations based on the study analyses. The recommendations are offered to minimize the impacts of the proposed developments on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and improved safety. More details regarding all these recommendations are discussed at the end of the report.

Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way:

• It is recommended that the northbound left-turn lane on Greenland Way at this intersection be extended to provide a minimum storage of 125 feet. However, due to the readily available existing unused pavement in the center of the road, providing up to 175 feet of storage on Greenland Way will fully ensure that vehicles in this left-turn lane do not block northbound vehicles in the shared



thru/right lane approaching Hardin Valley Road during extreme peak traffic periods. This modification can easily be accomplished by solely re-striping Greenland Way's pavement. The bank entrance should include a "Do Not Block Driveway" sign facing northbound traffic on Greenland Way on the south side of the bank entrance to reduce the potential of vehicles blocking this entrance.

• Knox County Engineering should adjust the signal timing for the AM, Mid-Day, and PM peaks to optimize traffic flows while minimizing the potential of vehicle queues spilling outside their designated storage lanes. These adjustments should occur once the lane additions are provided on Hardin Valley Road and when the Chick-fil-A restaurant and The Village at Hardin Valley all become fully operational. Fine-tuning the signal timing may be needed several times as each piece is added to the road system.

Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way:

• It is recommended that the northbound lanes on Award Winning Way be defined and marked on the pavement. The width of the northbound exiting approach of Award Winning Way at Hardin Valley Road is 24 feet, allowing for two 12-foot lanes, but it is not marked as such. The approach should be delineated with a white lane line to provide a separate shared left/thru lane and an exclusive right-turn lane. The lanes should include shared white left/thru arrows and white right-turn arrows along its length. These lane designations should be applied from Hardin Valley Road to Spring Bluff Way, approximately 300 feet in length.

Hardin Valley Road at the Proposed North Entrance:

- This entrance intersection will be constructed with a right-in/right-out configuration, but it will allow left turns into the development from the east on Hardin Valley Road. Exiting left-turn movements to the west will not be allowed at this entrance. This entrance should be constructed with this configuration as proposed due to the limited internal vehicle storage available within the site. It is recommended that the following be considered in the design and construction of the entrance:
 - i) The entering and exiting lanes should have a width and inner radius to facilitate the largest expected-sized vehicle entering and exiting at Hardin Valley Road. The layout and elements of the intersection should follow Knox County Engineering standards.
 - ii) The island separating the entering and exiting movements should be raised



- concrete and sized adequately to dissuade illegal, restricted left-turning movements to the west on Hardin Valley Road.
- Traffic signage with breakaway posts at this intersection should include a Stop Sign (R1-1). The Stop Sign (R1-1) should be supplemented with a 24" white stop bar on the exiting lane approaching Hardin Valley Road, a minimum of 4 feet away from the road edge. Other signs that should be posted at the entrance include a Keep Right Sign (R4-7) at the head of the island for exiting vehicles, a No Left Turn Sign (R3-2) at Hardin Valley facing the exiting lane, and a Do Not Enter Sign (R5-1) facing the exiting lane but on the outside edge of the entering lane.
- It is recommended that the sidewalk at the proposed North Entrance be installed with pavement markings, detectable warning surfaces, and other items to reduce the potential turning vehicle conflicts with pedestrians. Details regarding the appropriate and desired treatments to reduce pedestrian conflicts and inappropriate vehicle movements should be discussed during the detailed design review with Knox County Engineering.
- The intersection sight distance from the North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road must not be impacted by future landscaping, signage, or vegetation. Based on the posted speed limit of 40-mph on Hardin Valley Road, the required ISD is 400 feet, looking to the west for exiting right-turning vehicles. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be greater than 999 feet to the west on Hardin Valley Road. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.
- The construction of a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road for vehicles entering the proposed North Entrance is warranted based on the projected 2025 AM peak hour traffic volumes. It is not projected to be warranted in the 2025 PM peak hour. Due to the existing site limitations along Hardin Valley Road, which include extensive underground utilities, it is recommended that the eastbound right-turn lane be designed and constructed with a minimal lane taper but with a maximum deceleration length possible within the confines of the frontage limits. The civil site designer should coordinate the design of this turn lane with Knox County, and it should include a white right-turn arrow and lane line on the pavement for this exclusive lane. As part of this eastbound right-turn lane provision, the existing sidewalk on the south side of Hardin Valley Road will need to be modified and reconstructed.



4

The Village at Hardin Valley Internal Drive/Parking Lot Aisleways:

- Stop Signs (R1-1) with 24" white stop bars are recommended to be installed at the internal locations, as shown in the report.
- Sight distance at the new internal aisleway intersections must not be impacted by new signage, parked cars, or future landscaping. With an assumed speed of 15-mph in the development, the internal intersection sight distance is 170 feet. The required stopping sight distance is 80 feet for a level road grade. The site designer should ensure that internal sight distance lengths are met.
- All drainage grates and covers for the commercial development must be pedestrian and bicycle safe.
- Internal sidewalks are proposed adjacent to the front of The Village at Hardin Valley building. Sidewalks should have appropriate ADA-compliant ramps, and the internal sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width to meet Knox County regulations.
- Internal guide signs should direct vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop to the drive-thru lane's location. White pavement lane markings, arrows, or messages should also be considered to facilitate orderly vehicular movements.
- All road and intersection elements should be designed according to AASHTO and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.

Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way:

• The prior traffic impact study for the Chick-fil-A restaurant by TWM, Inc. recommended that a 100-foot eastbound right-turn lane be constructed on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way and a 100-foot southbound right-turn lane be constructed on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way. The findings of this current study did not indicate that an eastbound right-turn lane on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way would be necessary. While the findings also did not indicate a need for a southbound right-turn lane on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way, this current study agrees with this recommendation due to the known popularity of Chick-fil-A restaurants and the planned coffee/donut shop. A southbound right-turn lane at this intersection would ensure that entering vehicle queues from this direction will not extend back to the traffic signal on Hardin Valley Road.



DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

STUDY AREA:

The proposed location of this new commercial development is shown on a map in Figure 1. The commercial development will comprise a single building with internal aisleways and parking areas on 3.55 ± acres. The building will include retail shops and a coffee/donut shop with a single-lane drive-through window. The proposed main entrance to the development will be on the property's north side at Hardin Valley Road, with two other proposed entrances to the south at Spring Bluff Way.



View of Development Site from Opposite Side of Hardin Valley Road (Looking Southeast)

The adjacent Hardin Valley Road corridor is occupied with educational, commercial, office, retail, and residential properties near the proposed development site. The proposed development will be constructed in an aggressively growing area of Knox County. The Chick-fil-A restaurant is currently undergoing construction and will be adjacent to The Village at Hardin Valley.

The development site is flat and was initially graded as part of the construction and development of the commercial building development of Spring Bluff Way. This commercial building is currently occupied by the Knoxville campus for King University, as well as a Japanese restaurant, a fitness center, a pizza shop, and others. The proposed development property has an open grassed lawn and has been regularly maintained. The development will be located on three existing parcels, one of which is an asphalt parking lot that provides overflow parking for the university and businesses along Spring Bluff Way. This parking lot will be removed and incorporated into the overall development plan for The Village at Hardin Valley. Road access to the proposed development will occur primarily via Hardin Valley Road to the north, with secondary access provided via Spring Bluff Way to the south, which intersects Award Winning Way and Greenland Way to the west and east, respectively.






Figure 1 Location Map

EXISTING ROADWAYS:

Table 1 lists the characteristics of the existing primary access roadways adjacent to the development property and included in the study:

TABLE 1 STUDY CORRIDOR CHARACTERISTICS

NAME	CLASSIFICATION 1	SPEED LIMIT	LANES	ROAD WIDTH ²	TRANSIT 3	PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES	BICYCLE FACILITIES
Hardin Valley Road	Minor Arterial	40 mph	3 lanes with TWLTL	43.5 feet	None	5' sidewalks on both sides of roadway	No bike lanes
Performing Arts Way	Private	20 mph	3 lanes	38 feet	None	None	No bike lanes
Greenland Way	Private	Not Posted	2 lanes with TWLTL	38.5 feet	None	None	No bike lanes
Spring Bluff Way	Private	Not Posted	2 lanes	26 feet	None	None	No bike lanes
Award Winning Way	Private	Not Posted	3 lanes / boulevard	50.5 feet	None	5' sidewalk on East Side between Hardin Valley Road & Spring Bluff Way	No bike lanes
Bryant Lane	Local	25 mph	2 lanes	16 feet	None	None	No bike lanes

¹ 2018 Major Road Plan by Knoxville/Knox County Planning

<u>Hardin Valley Road</u> is classified as a minor arterial and traverses in a generally northeast-southwest direction. Hardin Valley Road is 6.1 miles long. This road runs between Ball Camp Byington Road on its northeast side to the intersection with Hickory Creek Road and Gallaher Ferry Road on its southwest end at a recently constructed roundabout. Just to the east of the study area, Hardin Valley Road provides access to Pellissippi Parkway (SR 162) for travel to the south towards Interstate 40/75/140 and to the north towards Oak Ridge, TN. The posted speed limit on Hardin Valley Road is 40 mph at the development property.

There are 5-foot wide concrete sidewalks on both sides of Hardin Valley Road, and the roadway is lined with 6" concrete curbs and 24" gutters on its edge. The sidewalks begin just west of the Pellissippi Parkway interchange on the east end and terminate on the west end at North Campbell Station Road, approximately 2.5 miles long. Hardin Valley Road has three lanes with a center two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL) adjacent to the development property.



² Edge of curb face to edge of curb face or edge of pavements near project site

³ According to Knoxville Area Transit System Map

Hardin Valley Road intersects Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way to the east of the development site, and it operates as a signalized intersection. The intersection has crosswalks on all the approaches and provides push buttons and signal heads for pedestrian crossings. The Chick-fil-A restaurant under construction will be on the southwest corner of the signalized intersection. Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way are both private roadways. Performing Arts Way has two lanes approaching from the north the



Hardin Valley Road at
Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way
(Looking East)

intersection, one with a shared left/thru lane and the other with an exclusive right-turn lane at the traffic signal. Performing Arts Way provides one of three access points to Pellissippi State Community College to the north, and it is the furthest entrance to the west and south of the campus.

At the traffic signal, Greenland Way has a boulevard typical section with two northbound lanes: a separate left-turn lane and a shared thru/right-turn lane. The single southbound lane on Greenland Way is separated from the northbound lanes by a raised 6-foot median. Greenland Way provides access to Spring Bluff Way to the south of the signalized intersection. In addition to the businesses in the large building to the south along Spring Bluff Way, Greenland Way provides road access to a bank on the southeast corner of the traffic signal and the Enclave of



Hardin Valley Road at
Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way
(Looking West)

Hardin Valley, a multi-unit luxury apartment complex further to the south. This road has single lanes in each direction, with a center lane, and ends within the apartment complex to the south.


Hardin Valley Road intersects Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way adjacent and to the west of the development site, and it operates as a two-way stop-controlled unsignalized intersection. Bryant Lane is a local, narrow, lightly traveled roadway with two lanes of traffic. It provides road access to seven single-family detached houses and ends at a cul-de-sac approximately 2,400 feet to the north off Hardin Valley Road. At Hardin Valley Road, the southbound approach of Bryant Lane has a shared left, thru, and right lane.

Award Winning Way has a boulevard typical section with two lanes on its northbound approach at the intersection with Hardin Valley Road and Bryant Lane, with the approach operating with a shared left/thru lane and a right-turn lane. However, these lanes are not marked or designated on the pavement. The boulevard's two northbound lanes have a width of 24 feet, a raised median of 9 feet, and a southbound lane of 17.5 feet. Award Winning Way provides access to Spring Bluff Way south of Hardin Valley Road. In addition to the businesses along Spring Bluff Way, Award Winning Way provides road access to three buildings further to the south currently occupied by several tenants, including mortgage lenders, financial service providers, and others. On the west side of Award Winning Way, opposite the proposed development site, Valley Church recently graded the property for a community center that will be built in the future.

Within the next 12 months, Knox County Engineering plans to provide additional lanes on Hardin Valley Road within the study area. Currently, Hardin Valley Road experiences large vehicle queues and delays, particularly in the eastbound direction toward Pellissippi Parkway during peak hours. These additional lanes on Hardin Valley Road will be carved out mostly within the existing road footprint by reducing the width of the existing lanes and slightly widening the roadway at narrow points. This additional laneage will be provided between Bryant Lane/Award Winning Way on the west side and up to the Pellissippi Parkway interchange to the east. It will provide two lanes in each direction, with a center lane for turning movements. The two lanes in the eastbound direction on Hardin Valley Road will begin at the intersection with Bryant Lane/Award Winning Way. The two lanes in the westbound direction will end at the intersection with Bryant Lane/Award Winning Way. A 270-foot taper to the east will merge the two lanes into one past this intersection to the west. This project is still under design review but was incorporated into the study analyses in the projected 2025 conditions.

Figure 2 shows the existing lane configurations of the intersections examined in the study, the traffic count locations, and the current traffic signage in the study area. The traffic signage shown in Figure 2 only includes warning and regulatory signage near the development site. The pages following Figure 2 give a further overview of the site study area with photographs.







11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 2


The Village at Hardin Valley

Traffic Count Locations, Traffic Signage \$ Existing Lane Configurations

PHOTO EXHIBITS




Proposed Development Site




























Intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way





Spring Bluff Way







■ EXISTING TRANSPORTATION VOLUMES PER MODE:

One annual vehicular traffic count location is near the study area, and the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) conducts this count. The count location data is the following and can be viewed with further details in Appendix A:

- o Existing vehicular roadway traffic:
 - TDOT reported an Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Hardin Valley Road, west of Pellissippi Parkway and east of the proposed development site, at 19,435 vehicles per day in 2023. From 2013 to 2023, this count station has indicated a 2.2% average annual traffic growth rate.
- o Existing bicycle and pedestrian volumes:

The average daily pedestrian and bicycle traffic along Hardin Valley Road and the other studied adjacent roads is unknown. Nonetheless, with sidewalks on both sides of Hardin Valley Road, this corridor was observed to have a fair amount of pedestrian and bicyclist activity during the traffic counts. During the 8-hour traffic count for this study, a total of eight bicyclists and 51 pedestrians were observed traveling eastbound and westbound on Hardin Valley Road. In the afternoon, many pedestrians were joggers of high school age.

An online website, <u>strava.com</u>, provides "heat" maps detailing routes taken by pedestrians, joggers, and bicyclists. The provided heat maps show the last two years of data, are updated monthly, and are gathered from individuals allowing their smart devices to track and compile their routes (millions of users). The activities in the maps are shown on the roads with color intensities with darker colors signifying higher activity. The Strava heat maps show some bicycle activity along Hardin Valley Road and on the nearby roads of Award Winning Way, Greenland Way, and Performing Arts Way. However, quite a bit of pedestrian activity is shown along all the roads adjacent to the development site, particularly on Hardin Valley Road, where sidewalks are provided on both sides.








PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES:

Bicycle lanes are unavailable on Hardin Valley Road or on any of the streets adjacent to the proposed development site. The closest bicycle facilities are half a mile away to the northeast at Pellissippi State Community College on the Pellissippi Parkway Greenway. The Pellissippi Parkway Greenway runs from Pellissippi State Community College south to Carmichael Road and parallels Pellissippi Parkway to the west. The greenway is paved and is approximately one mile in length.

Knox County completed a Greenway Corridor Study in 2020. This study evaluated potential alignments greenways throughout Knox County. The study identified and evaluated one of the corridors, Beaver Creek West. corridor would run from Melton Hill Park in Hardin Valley to Interstate 75 in Powell. One of the preferred routes for this corridor is shown along Hardin Valley Road front the proposed development site on the north side of Hardin Valley Road. As shown in the image from the greenway study, the




Knox County Greenway Corridor Study (2020)
Beaver Creek West Greenway
Potential Routes

yellow dashed lines are the preferred route, the red dashed line is the alternate route, and the purple line is a proposed connector route. The construction of The Village at Hardin Valley is not expected to impact this preferred route if and when it is constructed in the future.

WALK SCORE:

A private company offers a website at walkscore.com that grades and gives scores to locations within the United States based on "walkability", "bikeability", and transit availability based on a patented system. According to the website, the numerical values assigned for the Walk Score and the Bike Score are based on the distance to the closest amenity in various relevant categories (businesses, schools, parks, etc.) and are graded from 0 to 100.



Appendix B shows maps and other information

for the Walk, Transit, and Bike Score at the development property at Spring Bluff Way. The project site location is graded with a Walk Score of 32. This Walk Score indicates that the site is car-dependent and that most trips require a vehicle to travel to and from the development property. The site is not given a Transit Score since public transportation is unavailable at the development site. The site has a Bike Score of 7, indicating minimal bike infrastructure but somewhat bikeable. These scores indicate that the development site has some potential for generating alternate transportation modes to and from the site.

Overall, it is assumed that some pedestrian and bicycle traffic in the surrounding area will likely occur to and from the proposed The Village at Hardin Valley Road. It is suspected that most of these non-vehicular trips would occur to and from the nearby apartments and businesses. Ultimately, the new development is not expected to generate measurable bicycle or pedestrian trips that would significantly reduce vehicle trips. Thus, these potential vehicle trip reductions are ignored for the study analyses.

TRANSIT SERVICES:

The City of Knoxville has a network of public transit opportunities offered by Knoxville Area



Transit (KAT). Bus service is not available near the development site. The overall KAT bus system map is provided in Appendix C.

The closest public transit bus service is 5.4 miles to the east at the corner of North Cedar Bluff Road and Fox Lonas Road and is Route 16, "Cedar Bluff". It operates on weekdays and Saturdays, and this route map is included in Appendix C. Since the COVID-19 pandemic, KAT had to reduce its service schedule due to workforce shortages. These changes took place on August 29th, 2022, and the reduced schedule for this route is also




included in Appendix C. However, KAT increased services on April 8, 2024, for some routes on Sundays and evenings, but this did not include Route 16. Other transit services in the area include the East Tennessee Human Resource Agency (ETHRA) and the Community Action Committee (CAC), which provides transportation services when requested.

Since the distance to the nearest public bus service is several miles away, with limited sidewalks or bike lanes available to access the bus stop without using a private vehicle, the proposed development is not expected to have any reduced vehicle trips due to public transit usage.

CRASH DATA:

The Knoxville TPO provides a website that lists bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicle severe or fatal crashes from October 2016 to September 2021. The data shows that two of these incidents occurred near the development site during that time period. The closest incident occurred Greenland Way on July 24th, 2019, and involved a motor vehicle with a serious injury. No data is given as to the cause of The next nearest incident occurred on July 6th, 2019, and involved a motor vehicle with a serious injury, and no data is given as to the cause of the crash.





PROJECT DESCRIPTION

■ LOCATION AND SITE PLAN:



Hardin Valley Road at the Location of the Proposed North Entrance for the Development Property (Looking Northwest)

The proposed plan layout with a 15,560 ft² strip retail plaza and a 4,000 ft² coffee/donut shop is provided by Urban Engineering, Inc. and is shown in Figure 3. The design proposes three entrances for the development: the main entrance at Hardin Valley Road to the north and two secondary entrances to the southeast and southwest at Spring Bluff Way.

The North Entrance on Hardin Valley Road is proposed to have full access for all movements except for exiting left turns towards the west. The North Entrance for the proposed development will tie into Hardin Valley Road,

537 feet west of the signalized intersection and 267 feet east of the unsignalized intersection with Award Winning Way and Bryant Lane (centerline to centerline). The secondary entrances on Spring Bluff Way will be approximately 200 feet west and east of Greenland Way and Award Winning Way, respectively. The Chick-fil-A restaurant under construction will be provided sole external road access via the Southeast Entrance, shown as part of The Village at Hardin Valley. Chick-fil-A will not have direct external road access to Hardin Valley Road or Greenland Way. However, restaurant patrons will be able to enter and exit at any of the three proposed entrances for The Village at Hardin Valley due to the interconnected parking lots and aisleways that will be provided.

The site plan in Figure 3 shows one building for The Village at Hardin Valley. The building will include several individual units, allowing for a mix of retail shops and the coffee/donut shop. The building will be one-story in height and will include a drive-through lane for the coffee/donut shop at the rear of the site. The drive-through lane will be accompanied with a narrow, 3-foot raised barrier to direct drive-through traffic flow and separate it from the two-way traffic in the adjacent parking lot aisleway. The drive-through pick-up window will be located on the east end of the building, with the drive-through lane for the shop having a counter-clockwise direction. The drive-thru lane will have a slip lane at the east end of the building at the drive-through pick-



up window. The order board will be located near the southeast corner of the building. It is expected that any vehicle queues from the drive-thru will extend along the southern side of the building and, in worst-case situations, will be able to stack around the western end of the building. With the proposed layout, it is not expected that there will be any conflicts with the heavy traffic movements and vehicle queues to and from the Chick-fil-A restaurant. Guide signs will be provided internally to notify vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop where to enter the drive-thru lane. Coffee/donut shop customers entering from the North Entrance will be directed towards the southwest of the building. Customers from the Southwest Entrance will be able to enter the drive-thru via the opening in the concrete raised barrier, or if the queue is substantial enough, they will be required to travel around the building in a counter-clockwise direction. Similarly, internal guide signs will notify customers from the Southeast Entrance to travel around the building in a counter-clockwise direction to enter the drive-thru lane.

The internal site design shows 187 parking spaces, including six ADA-accessible parking spaces. The standard parking spaces will be 17.5 feet x 9 feet. Two trash dumpsters will be located on the south corners of the property. Sidewalks and concrete areas will be provided in front of the building.

The schedule for completing this new commercial development depends on construction timelines. This project is also contingent on permitting, design, and other regulatory approvals. The Village at Hardin Valley is expected to be built within a short timeframe to coincide closely with the opening of the Chick-fil-A restaurant. Based on this forecast, this study assumed that the total construction build-out of both developments with full occupancy and operations would occur by the end of next year (2025).






Figure 3
Proposed Plan Layout
The Village at Hardin Valley

Not to Scale



PROPOSED USES AND ZONING REQUIREMENTS:

The three existing parcels comprising The Village at Hardin Valley property are in Knox County and are zoned as Planned Commercial (PC). Uses permitted in the Planned Commercial (PC) zone include a variety of developments and in general, include office and commercial services. All the properties in this area along Hardin Valley Road are overlaid with a Technology (TO) zone. According to the Knoxville/Knox County Planning website, the Technology (TO) overlay zone is described as a means to "encourage technology and related land uses while preserving forested ridges, rolling hills, and broad valleys. The zoning is fairly flexible and allows most types of office and light industry, with limits on retail development". This overlay designation and the PC zoning were not changed for the proposed development. The most recently published online KGIS zoning map is provided in Appendix D. The existing adjacent surrounding zoning and land uses are the following:

- Hardin Valley Road binds the development site to the north and northwest. Across Hardin Valley Road, two parcels are zoned Business and Technology Park (BP), and they are occupied by single-family detached houses, with one owned by the State of Tennessee. The parcel to the northwest and across Hardin Valley Road has road access to Bryant Lane to the west. To the northeast, the other parcel across Hardin Valley Road has a shared private driveway to the south and will be approximately 320 feet northeast of the proposed North Entrance for The Village at Hardin Valley.
- One property is adjacent to the east-northeast and includes the parcel currently under construction for the Chick-fil-A restaurant. This property is zoned as Planned Commercial (PC) and will have external road access via the entrances provided for The Village at Hardin Valley. The Chick-fil-A restaurant will have two drive-through lanes, 62 interior seats, 20 exterior seats, and 72 parking spots.
- Spring Bluff Way binds the development to the south. On the other side of Spring Bluff Way, the parcel to the south is occupied by a large single building and parking areas for several businesses and King University. This property to the south is zoned as Planned Commercial (PC) and has access to Hardin Valley Road via Spring Bluff Way to Award Winning Way and Greenland Way to the west and east.
- Award Winning Way binds the development property to the west. Across Award Winning Way, one parcel is zoned as Office (OB) and is owned by Valley Church. This Church began grading operations for a new community center but currently has not progressed beyond installing a detention pond, erosion control features, and establishing a building pad. It is unknown when the community center will begin



building construction. Once completed, the community center will have singular road access via Award Winning Way and is not expected to have direct access to Hardin Valley Road to the north.





• ON-SITE CIRCULATION:

The internal road surfaces will be asphalt paved, designed, and constructed according to Knox County's regulations. The developer will maintain the parking areas and internal pavement in the development after construction, and it will remain privately owned. The drive-through lane will operate in a counter-clockwise direction, beginning at the rear of the site (south) and ending on the east side of the building where the drive-through window will be located.

SERVICE AND DELIVERY VEHICLE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION:

Besides customer passenger vehicles, the internal pavement areas will provide access to service, delivery, maintenance, and fire protection/rescue vehicles. In particular, the site will be designed for delivery trucks. None of these non-passenger vehicle types should impact adjacent roadway operations other than when they occasionally enter and exit the development.

The development's internal pavement will accommodate the larger vehicle types and customers' standard passenger vehicles. The internal pavement areas will be designed and constructed to Knox County's specifications and are expected to be adequate for fire protection and rescue vehicles, trash collection trucks, single-unit delivery trucks, and delivery trucks.



ANALYSIS OF EXISTING AND PROJECTED CONDITIONS

■ <u>Existing Traffic Conditions</u>:

This study conducted traffic counts at two intersections near the proposed development site on Thursday, May 2nd, 2024. An 8-hour traffic count was conducted at the unsignalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way, and an informal, brief traffic count was conducted at the unsignalized t-intersection of Award Winning Way at Spring Bluff Way only during the identified peak hours on Hardin Valley Road. Manual traffic counts were conducted to identify and tabulate the morning and afternoon peak period volumes and the travel directions near the proposed development site. Local public schools were in session when the traffic counts were conducted. The identified peak hours in the morning and afternoon were 7:30 – 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 – 5:45 p.m. The manual tabulated traffic counts can be reviewed in Figure 4a and Appendix E. Figure 4a also includes the volumes at the signalized intersection tabulated in 2023 for the Chick-fil-A traffic impact study conducted by TWM, Inc. The intersection counts from this study for Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way are also included in Figure 4a. Some observations of the vehicular traffic at the intersections tabulated for this study include the following:

Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way

- In the morning, two pedestrians and no bicyclists were observed on the Hardin Valley Road sidewalks. In the mid-day hours, four pedestrians were observed. In the afternoon, eight bicyclists and 45 pedestrians traveled on the Hardin Valley Road sidewalks. One person on rollerblades was also observed on the sidewalk. Many of the pedestrians observed in the late afternoon occurred in groups. These groups were presumed to be students from the nearby Hardin Valley Academy High School's athletic teams based on appearance.
- Most vehicles at this intersection were passenger vehicles, but school buses, semi-tractor trailer trucks, single-unit trucks, trash collection, and construction vehicles with trailers were observed. Several dump trucks and concrete mixer trucks were also observed, with several dump trucks turning to and from the west on Award Winning Way, which were assumed to be associated with the construction of Chick-fil-A.
- In the peak times, substantial vehicle backups occurred for eastbound traffic on Hardin Valley Road from the adjacent signalized intersection. These backups were especially evident during the afternoon when the nearby Hardin Valley school students were released for the day, coinciding with many students leaving the Pellissippi State





- Community College campus. At times, the vehicle backups on Hardin Valley Road extended to the west as far as could be visibly seen.
- Many motorists turning left from Award Winning Way to westbound Hardin Valley Road used the center TWLTL as a temporary refuge. These two-stage turns allowed motorists to cross the eastbound lane of Hardin Valley Road and wait in the center for an appropriate gap in traffic in the westbound flow.
- During the eastbound vehicle backups, many courteous drivers were observed allowing motorists to enter Hardin Valley Road from Award Winning Way.

Since the two traffic counts at the adjacent intersections on Hardin Valley Road were conducted a year apart, and to conserve resources, Knox County Engineering suggested that only the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way be conducted for this study and that the volumes between the two intersections be adjusted accordingly to balance the incoming and outgoing eastbound and westbound traffic volumes on Hardin Valley Road. Initially, it was expected that the current traffic count would show higher volumes on Hardin Valley Road than the one conducted last year in 2023. However, the opposite was observed. Thus, the volumes newly tabulated at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way were adjusted upwards to match the higher volumes observed at the signalized intersection conducted in 2023 for the Chick-fil-A traffic impact study. This adjustment was accomplished by increasing the current tabulated volumes based on the proportionality of the individual movements at the intersections. Figure 4b reflects the adjusted intersection traffic volumes between the two intersections and balances and normalizes all the traffic volumes to the year 2023.

Note: the volumes presented in the study between the intersections of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way and Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way do not "balance" due to the presence of the bank entrance between the two. Likewise, the volumes between the two proposed entrances on Spring Bluff Way also do not "balance" due to the businesses located on the south side of Spring Bluff Way.









I I 8 I 2 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 4b

The Village at Hardin Valley

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes -EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (Adjusted to 2023 Traffic Volumes) Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the Level of Service (LOS) for the 2023 intersection traffic volumes on Hardin Valley Road, as shown in Figure 4b. The capacity analyses were calculated following the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods and utilizing Synchro Traffic Software (Version 11).

Methodology:

LOS is a qualitative measurement developed by the transportation profession to express how well an intersection or roadway performs based on a driver's perception. LOS designations include LOS A through LOS F. The designation of LOS A signifies a roadway or intersection operating at best, while LOS F signifies road operations at worst. This grading system provides a reliable, straightforward means to communicate road operations to the public. The HCM lists level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections and signalized intersections.



LOS is defined by delay per vehicle (seconds), and roadway facilities are also characterized by the volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c). LOS designations, which are based on delay, are reported differently for unsignalized and signalized intersections. For example, a delay of 20 seconds at an unsignalized intersection would indicate LOS C, representing the additional delay a motorist would experience traveling through the intersection. Also, for example, a v/c ratio of 0.75 for an approach at an unsignalized intersection would indicate that it is operating at 75% of its available capacity. This difference is primarily due to motorists' different expectations between the two road facilities. Generally, for most instances, the LOS D / LOS E boundary is considered the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak periods in urban and suburban areas.

For unsignalized intersections, LOS is measured in terms of delay (in seconds). This measure is an attempt to quantify delay, including travel time, driver discomfort, and fuel consumption. For unsignalized intersections, the analysis assumes that the mainline thru and right-turn traffic does not stop and is not affected by the traffic on the minor side streets. Thus, the LOS for a two-way stop (or yield) controlled intersection is defined by



the delay for each minor approach and major street left-turn movements. Table 2 lists the level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections. The analysis results of unsignalized intersections using the HCM methodologies are conservative due to the more significant vehicle gap parameters used in the method. More often, in normal road conditions, drivers are more willing to accept smaller gaps in traffic than what is modeled using the HCM methodology. The unsignalized intersection methodology also does not account for more significant gaps sometimes produced by nearby upstream and downstream signalized intersections. For unsignalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 35 seconds.

For signalized intersections, LOS is based on delay (in seconds) for various movements within the intersection and the overall operation of all the traffic entering the intersection. This delay measures driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time and depends on traffic signal cycle lengths, lengths of green phases, and the quality of traffic progression. This control delay includes deceleration/acceleration delay, queue move-up time, and stopped delay time. For signalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 55 seconds. Table 3 lists the level of service criteria for signalized intersections.



TABLE 2
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

LEVEL OF SERVICE	DESCRIPTION	CONTROL DELAY (seconds/vehicle)
A	Little or no delay	0 - 10
В	Short Traffic Delays	>10 -15
С	Average Traffic Delays	>15 - 25
D	Long Traffic Delays	>25 - 35
E	Very Long Traffic Delays	>35 - 50
F	Extreme Traffic Delays	>50

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 7th Edition







TABLE 3
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS



LEVEL OF SERVICE	DESCRIPTION	CONTROL DELAY (seconds/vehicle)
	Operation with very low control delay.	
A	Progression is extremely favorable	≤10.0
	and most vehicles do not stop at all.	
	Generally good level of progression.	
В	More vehicles stop than with LOS A,	> 10 - 20
	causing higher levels of average delay.	
	Higher delays with individual cycle failures	
C	may begin at this level. Many vehicles may	> 20 - 35
	still pass through without stopping.	
	Approaching unstable flow. The influence	
D	of congestion becomes more noticeable.	> 35 - 55
	Many vehicles stop.	
	Considered the limit of acceptable delay.	
E	High delays indicated by poor progression,	> 55 - 80
	long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios.	
	Unacceptable delay occurs.	
F	Progression is extremely poor with	>80
	long cycle lengths and high v/c ratios.	

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 7th Edition





Intersection capacity results from the "normalized" 2023 peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 4. The intersections in the table are shown with a LOS designation, delay (in seconds), and v/c ratio (volume/capacity) for the AM and PM peak hours. Appendix F includes the worksheets for these peak hour capacity analyses. The existing intersections along Spring Bluff Way were not included in the analyses for the 2023 conditions.

As shown in Table 4, the signalized intersection is calculated to operate with average LOS and reasonable vehicle delays in the 2023 conditions. The unsignalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way is calculated with good to average LOS and reasonable vehicle delays for the mainline approach. However, the northbound and southbound approaches operate with high vehicle delays due to the large conflicting volumes on Hardin Valley Road.

TABLE 4 2023 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS a	DELAY b	v/c °	LOS a	DELAY b	v/c °
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at		Eastbound	С	23.2		С	20.4	
Performing Arts Way (SB) and	Zed	Westbound	В	18.5		В	19.5	
Greenland Way (NB)	Signalize	Northbound	С	20.9		В	18.8	
	Sig	Southbound	A	7.8		С	30.4	
		Summary	С	20.5	0.88	С	20.2	0.86
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at	ਰ	Northbound Left/Thru	E	37.7	0.141	D	26.7	0.063
Bryant Lane (SB) and	STOP : E	Northbound Right	С	17.6	0.007	С	16.8	0.107
Award Winning Way (NB)	gna	Eastbound Left	A	0.0	-	A	0.0	-
	Jnsi	Westbound Left	В	11.0	0.040	В	10.1	0.007
		Southbound Left/Thru/Right	E	45.4	0.120	A	0.0	-

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology

The signal timing used to analyze the Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way intersection was obtained from Knox County Engineering and is included in Appendix F. The traffic signal operates in an actuated-uncoordinated system. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the existing analysis and were used as given.




^a Level of Service, ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT:

Horizon year traffic conditions represent the projected traffic volumes in the study area without the proposed project being developed (no-build option). This proposed development's build-out and full occupancy are assumed to occur by 2025. While the Chick-fil-A restaurant will be operational before The Village at Hardin Valley, they are both analyzed together in the projected conditions in this study with a horizon year of 2025. While the Chick-fil-A restaurant was previously analyzed in a separate study, its trips are accounted for and included in the analysis for The Village at Hardin Valley due to its interconnection and sharing of entrances.

According to the nearby TDOT count station, vehicular traffic on Hardin Valley Road has grown moderately over the past ten years. The data in Appendix A shows that this road has experienced an annual growth of 2.2% over the past ten years. While the count station recorded a significant drop in volumes in 2020 due to the pandemic, it has since recorded traffic volumes in line with past growth.



For this study, an annual growth rate of

+3% was used to calculate future growth on the studied intersections up to 2025 to account for potential traffic growth in the study area. This growth rate is the same assumption used in the Chick-fil-A restaurant's traffic impact study. The annual growth rate of 3% was applied to the existing 2023 intersection volumes to estimate the future volumes in the horizon year of 2025 without the potential development traffic.

Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the projected LOS in 2025 without the projects at the intersections on Hardin Valley Road. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the capacity analyses in the projected conditions without the project. While not requested in the Planning scope of work, results were also determined for the unsignalized intersection of Award Winning Way at Spring Bluff Way and Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way. The results are shown in Table 5, and Appendix F includes the capacity analysis worksheets.

As expected, the results in Table 5 show moderately worse vehicle delays for all the signalized



intersection approaches in the 2025 projected conditions without the developments' generated trips versus the 2023 conditions. However, these results for the traffic signal do not consider Knox County's proposed lane additions on Hardin Valley Road. The intersections at Spring Bluff Way are calculated with minimal vehicle delays. The results also show increased delays on the northbound and southbound approaches of Award Winning Way and Bryant Lane at Hardin Valley Road in the projected conditions.

TABLE 5 2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT


	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS a	DELAY b	v/c °	LOS a	DELAY b	v/c °
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at		Eastbound	С	29.3		С	23.8	
Performing Arts Way (SB) and	Signalized	Westbound	С	22.7		С	24.0	
Greenland Way (NB)	nali	Northbound	С	20.8		В	18.6	
	Sign	Southbound	A	8.2		С	31.3	
		Summary	С	25.3	0.93	С	23.9	0.91
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at	P.	Northbound Left/Thru	E	42.8	0.174	D	28.9	0.069
Bryant Lane (SB) and	dize	Northbound Right	С	18.7	0.008	С	17.9	0.120
Award Winning Way (NB)	Unsignali	Eastbound Left	A	0.0	-	A	0.0	-
	Jusi	Westbound Left	В	11.4	0.045	В	10.4	0.008
	_	Southbound Left/Thru/Right	F	51.7	0.136	A	0.0	-
Award Winning Way (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	A	8.6	0.021	A	8.5	0.012
Spring Bluff Way (WB)	STOP ITE	Southbound Left	A	7.3	0.023	A	7.4	0.024
	Unsignali							
Greenland Way (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left	A	7.4	0.007	A	7.5	0.003
Spring Bluff Way (EB)	STOP IT	Eastbound Left/Right	В	10.1	0.089	В	10.2	0.111
	Unsignali							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology

Figure 5 shows the projected 2025 horizon year traffic volumes at the intersections without the projects during the AM and PM peak hours. This figure also introduces traffic volumes on Spring Bluff Way for accounting and informational purposes only.



^a Level of Service, ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle), ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio





11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE




The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT

■ TRIP GENERATION:

A generated trip is a single or one-direction vehicle movement entering or exiting the study site. The estimated amount of traffic the proposed commercial development will generate was calculated based on rates and equations provided by the <u>Trip Generation Manual</u>, 11th Edition, an Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) publication. The <u>Trip Generation Manual</u> is the most popular resource for determining trip generation rates when transportation impact studies are produced. The Manual includes data for various land uses and provides a method for calculating generated trips



based on development variables such as the number of dwelling units and building square footage. The data and calculations from ITE for the proposed land uses in this study are shown in Appendix G.

For this development, the closest land uses represented in the <u>Trip Generation Manual</u> for The Village at Hardin Valley are Land Use #822, Strip Retail Plaza (<40k ft²) and Land Use #937, Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window.

The description for Land Use #822 is "a strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit." For Land Use #822, the gross leasable area in the ITE data is set at less than 40,000 square feet, and the The Village at Hardin Valley will be below this limit with 15,625 ft². The description for Land Use #937 is "any coffee and donut restaurant that has a drive-through window as well as a walk-in entrance area at which a patron can purchase and consume items." This description exactly represents the proposed 4,000 ft² coffee/donut shop at The Village at Hardin Valley.

As shown in Table 6a, the total weekday traffic trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley could be expected to be 3,022 vehicles. The AM peak hour is calculated with 381 vehicle trips, and the PM peak hour with 262 vehicles.



TABLE 6a
TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY
15,625 ft² Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) and 4,000 ft² Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

ITE LAND USE CODE	LAND USE DESCRIPTION	UNITS	GENERATED DAILY TRAFFIC	1000	TRIPS VERATEI PEAK HO	2002		TRIPS JERATEI PEAK HO	
			1	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
#822 Strip Retail Pla (<40k)	Strip Retail Plaza	za 15.6	888	60%	40%		50%	50%	
	And the second second second			23	15	38	53	53	106
	Coffee/Donut Shop			51%	49%		50%	50%	
#937 with Drive-Through Window		2,134	175	168	343	78	78	156	
Total New Volume Site Trips			3,022	198	183	381	131	131	262

Data from ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition

Land Use #822 calculated by using Fitted Curve Equations, Land Use #937 calculated by using Average Rates

Furthermore, as a broad category, generated trips can be categorized further as primary (new), pass-by, and internal trips.

Methodology:

Not all trips generated by a development are primary (new) trips. For some land uses, the trips generated by a proposed development are captured from the adjacent street system and do not generate an entirely "new" trip. A pass-by trip is an intermediate stop between an existing origin and a destination without a route diversion. These types of trips are known as pass-by trips and are assumed to already occur on the adjacent street. Considerable research has examined these trip types, and national and local rates have been published. Research has shown that fast food restaurants and larger convenience markets can experience pass-by trip rates of 75% and greater.

Other trips a development generates that should not be added to the adjacent street or intersections are internal. These trips are self-explanatory and can be categorized for developments with complementary land uses such as retail, residential, office, hotel, and restaurants. For example, a customer of a retail shop may decide to eat at the adjacent restaurant in the same development. Similarly, nearby residents within a reasonable distance may walk and eat at an adjacent restaurant instead of using a vehicle for travel.

The Knoxville/Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission (the previous name for Knoxville/Knox County Planning) produced a memo on March 12th, 1997, to establish the agreed



upon pass-by trip rates for several land uses in Knox County for transportation studies. These land uses included fast-food restaurants, supermarkets, convenience markets, and shopping centers. In Knox County's memo, shopping centers, which would characterize the retail portion of The Village at Hardin Valley development, are allowed a pass-by rate of up to 30% of gross leasable area (GLA). For this study, a pass-by rate of 10% was used for a conservative analysis. Knox County allows up to 25% pass-by rates for fast-food restaurants. A 25% rate was used for the proposed coffee/donut shop, and this rate was also used in the previous traffic impact study for the proposed Chick-fil-A restaurant by TWM, Inc.

Furthermore, since The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant will be interconnected, have complementary land uses, share road entrances, and thus, are highly likely to share some patrons, a minor amount of internal trip reductions were calculated and used in the analyses. These internal trips were calculated using OTISS (Online Traffic Impact Study Software) Pro 5.0 software. OTISS Pro 5.0 is an online add-on tool for the ITE Trip Generation website application. The internal trip reductions calculated in the OTISS software are based on NCHRP Report 684 methods.

Thus, considering internal trips, pass-by trips, and the overall trip generation volumes for both developments, it is estimated that 252 vehicles will enter and 228 will exit, for a total of 480 new trips during the AM peak hour in 2025. Similarly, it is estimated that 183 vehicles will enter and 164 will exit, for a total of 347 new trips during the PM peak hour in the year 2025. The difference between the total external and new primary trips will be the pass-by trips comprised of vehicles already traveling by the development properties. A summary of these trips is provided in Table 6b. This table shows the breakdown of trips for each land use and the totals.

The trips for the Chick-fil-A restaurant shown in Table 6b were obtained from the previous traffic impact study by TWM, Inc. These calculated generated trips in the previous TWM, Inc. study were derived from a local traffic count at a similar, nearby Chick-fil-A restaurant in the West Knoxville area and did not use ITE trip generation data.



TABLE 6b
TRIP GENERATION FOR THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY & CHICK-FIL-A RESTAURANT WITH INTERNAL AND PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIPS

ITE LAND USE CODE	LAND USE DESCRIPTION	GENERATED UNITS DAILY TRAFFIC		UNITS DAILY AM PEAR		OUR	TRIPS GENERATED IN PM PEAK HOUR		
				ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
#822	Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	15.6	888	60% 23	40% 15	38	50% 53	50% 53	106
-	Coffee/Donut Shop			F10/	400/		F00/	F00/	
#937	with Drive-Through Window	4.0	2,134	51% 175	49% 168	343	50% 78	50% 78	156
	Chick-fil-A			53%	47%		56%	44%	
#934 *	Restaurant	5.4	-	137	122	259	115	90	205
Total Vehicle Trips Before Reductions					305	640	246	221	467
Internal Vehicle Trips - Strip Retail Center					-2	-4	-4	-7	-11
Internal Vehicle Trips - Coffee/Donut Shop					-1	-3	-7	-2	-9
	Internal Vehicl	le Trips - Chick-	fil-A Restaurant	0	-1	-1	0	-2	-2
		Internal Vehi	icle Trips - Total	-4	-4	-8	-11	-11	-22
	External Ve	ehicle Trips - St	rip Retail Center	21	13	34	49	46	95
	External Ve	hicle Trips - Co	ffee/Donut Shop	173	167	340	71	76	147
	External Vehicl	le Trips - Chick-	fil-A Restaurant	137	121	258	115	88	203
		External Vehi	icle Trips - Total	331	301	632	235	210	445
	Pass-by Ve	ehicle Trips - St	rip Retail Center	-2	-1	-3	-5	-5	-10
	Pass-by Ve	hicle Trips - Co	ffee/Donut Shop	-43	-42	-85	-18	-19	-37
	Pass-by Vehicle Trips - Chick-fil-A Restaurant					-64	-29	-22	-51
	Pass-by Vehicle Trips - Total					-152	-52	-46	-98
Total New Vehicle Trips - Strip Retail Center					12	31	44	41	85
Total New Vehicle Trips - Coffee/Donut Shop					125 91	255	53	57	110
	Total New Vehicle Trips - Chick-fil-A Restaurant					194	86	66	152
		Total Ne	w Vehicle Trips	252	228	480	183	164	347

^{*} Local study trip generation results by TWM, Inc.

Internal Vehicle Trips calculated according to NCHRP Report 684 methods in the OTISS Pro 5.0 software

Pass-by Trip Assumed Percentages: 10% for Land Use #822 and 25% for Land Uses #937 and #934 in the AM and PM Peak Hour



■ TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT:

The projected trip distribution and assignment for The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chickfil-A restaurant are based on the existing traffic volumes, observed directional vehicle flows, and engineering judgment.

For the primary generated trips for The Village at Hardin Valley, nearly all were assumed to be comprised of traffic to and from the east and west via Hardin Valley Road, with some of the trips to and from the Pellissippi State Community College campus via Performing Arts Way, the apartment residents on Greenland Way and the businesses on Award Winning Way. The North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road was assumed to be where most pass-by trips would occur for the retail development and the coffee/donut shop in The Village at Hardin Valley. Pass-by trips for Chick-fil-A were derived from the previous traffic impact study by TWM, Inc. and inputted as closely as possible.

With many land uses, potential directions of movement, and several entrances, the inbound and outbound share of trips was assumed and broken down to estimate the overall distribution at the three entrances, as shown The percentages in Table 7. shown in the table take into account the assumptions presented in the previous study for the Chick-fil-A restaurant, the distribution of existing traffic, and the internal layout of The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant. The assumed distribution also considers the impact of the additional entrances provided by The Village at Hardin Valley and

TABLE /
THE VILLAGE AT HARDIN VALLEY
ASSUMED DRIVEWAY DISTRIBUTION

ENTRANCE	LAND USE DESCRIPTION	AM PEA	AK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
	DESCRIPTION	INBOUND	OUTBOUND	INBOUND	OUTBOUND	
North Entrance	Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	90%	30%	85%	50%	
	Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	85%	30%	80%	50%	
	Chick-fil-A	20%	5%	10%	15%	
Southwest Entrance	Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	4%	29%	4%	20%	
	Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	3%	6%	3%	6%	
	Chick-fil-A	0%	5%	0%	5%	
Southeast Entrance	Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	6%	41%	11%	30%	
	Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	12%	64%	17%	44%	
	Chick-fil-A	80%	90%	90%	80%	



its effect on the customers' travel patterns for Chick-fil-A, which were not accounted for in the previous study.


The assumed directional trip distributions for the three land uses at the three entrances are shown in Figures 6a - 6c. Figure 6a shows the trip distribution for the strip retail plaza. Figures 6b and 6c include the assumed trip distribution for the coffee/donut shop and the Chick-fil-A restaurant, respectively.

The percentages shown in Figures 6a – 6c only pertain to the trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant calculated from the data shown in Tables 6a and 6b. Since the development will have three entrances with several directions of movement, the OTISS Pro 5.0 software was used to calculate the trip distribution and assignment volumes at the entrances based on the assumed percentages. The spreadsheets produced by this online software are presented in Appendix H.

Figures 7a – 7c show the traffic assignment of the computed trips generated by the developments based on the assumed distribution of trips shown in Figures 6a – 6c. Figure 7a shows the assignment of the total new generated trips, Figure 7b shows the assigned pass-by trips at the entrances, and Figure 7c shows the total external trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant. Since the pass-by trips are "captured" by the developments from the adjacent roads, the pass-by trips shown in Figure 7b entering the developments are subsequently removed (subtracted) from the adjacent thru movements.

Note: The intersection percentages and volumes shown in the figures at the proposed Southwest and Southeast Entrances at Spring Bluff Way are shown for accounting and informational purposes only.








I 1812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 6a

The Village at Hardin Valley

Directional Distribution of Generated Traffic during AM and PM Peak Hour for Strip Retail Plaza






I 1812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 6b

The Village at Hardin Valley

Directional Distribution of Generated Traffic during AM and PM Peak Hour for Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through






11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 6c

The Village at Hardin Valley

Directional Distribution of Generated Traffic during AM and PM Peak Hour for Chick-fil-A Restaurant






I 1812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 7a

The Village at Hardin Valley

Traffic Assignment of Generated Trips during AM and PM Peak Hour -Total New Trips






11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



The Village at Hardin Valley

Traffic Assignment of Generated Trips during AM and PM Peak Hour -Pass-By Trips





11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE




FIGURE 7c

The Village at Hardin Valley

Traffic Assignment of Generated Trips during AM and PM Peak Hour -Total External Trips


PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT:

Overall, several additive steps were taken to estimate the <u>total</u> projected traffic volumes at the intersections when The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant are both fully constructed and operational in 2025. The steps are illustrated below for clarity and review:



The calculated peak hour trips generated by The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant were added to the 2025 projected horizon year traffic (Figure 5) by following the predicted trip distributions and assignments (Figures 6a – 6c & 7a – 7c). This procedure was completed to obtain the <u>total</u> projected traffic volumes at the studied intersections when the proposed developments are entirely built with full business operations in 2025. Figure 8 shows the projected 2025 AM and PM peak hour volumes with the generated traffic at the entrances and the adjacent studied intersections. Note: the volumes between the intersections of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way and Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way do not balance due to the presence of the bank entrance between the two. Likewise, the volumes between the two proposed entrances on Spring Bluff Way also do not balance due to the businesses located on the south side of Spring Bluff Way.







I I 8 I 2 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: (865) 556-0042 Email: ajaxengineering@gmail.com NOT TO SCALE



FIGURE 8

The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT

Capacity analyses were conducted to determine the projected LOS with the development traffic in 2025, shown in Figure 8. Intersection capacity results from the projected 2025 peak hour traffic are shown in Table 8.

Appendix F includes the worksheets for the projected 2025 peak hour capacity analyses. The signal timings were not changed or optimized for the results of the projected 2025 conditions shown in the table. However, the number of lanes on Hardin Valley Road is modified in the projected analyses to reflect Knox County's project, which will provide additional lanes and be completed by 2025. As shown in Table 8, with the additional thru lanes on Hardin Valley Road, the signalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way is projected to operate with better LOS and reduced vehicle delays than shown in the previous results without the project shown in Table 5. The unsignalized intersections are all calculated to operate adequately with relatively reasonable vehicle delays in the projected 2025 conditions, except for the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way. The northbound (and southbound approach) are projected to experience high vehicle delays, particularly in the AM peak hour.

TABLE 8 2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS a	DELAY b	v/c °	LOS ª	DELAY b	v/c °
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at		Eastbound	В	19.2		В	14.9	
Performing Arts Way (SB) and	Signalized	Westbound	В	14.1		В	12.6	
Greenland Way (NB)	gnali	Northbound	C	31.6		С	23.4	
	Si Si	Southbound	A	9.7		С	25.1	
		Summary	В	18.3	0.72	В	14.9	0.63
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at	ਰ	Northbound Left/Thru	F	97.4	0.667	E	37.4	0.280
Bryant Lane (SB) and	Unsignalized	Northbound Right	C	21.5	0.009	С	19.0	0.129
Award Winning Way (NB)	STOP E	Eastbound Left	A	0.0	-	A	0.0	-
	Jnsi	Westbound Left	В	12.4	0.051	В	10.7	0.008
	1	Southbound Left/Thru/Right	F	68.0	0.176	A	0.0	-
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at	zed	Northbound Right	С	15.9	0.206	В	14.1	0.165
North Entrance (NB)	STOP E	Westbound Left	В	12.7	0.128	В	11.5	0.114
	Unsignalized							
Award Winning Way (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	A	8.9	0.074	A	8.7	0.052
Spring Bluff Way (WB)	STOP E	Southbound Left	A	7.4	0.056	A	7.5	0.060
	Unsig							
Greenland Way (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left	A	7.7	0.019	A	7.8	0.017
Spring Bluff Way (EB)	Unsignalized	Eastbound Left	С	15.5	0.544	В	12.3	0.362
	gisi	Eastbound Right	A	8.5	0.023	A	8.8	0.025
	ŭ							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



POTENTIAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY ISSUES:

The study area was investigated for potential existing and future safety issues when The Village at Hardin Valley development is constructed. These transportation features are discussed in the following pages.


EVALUATION OF SIGHT DISTANCE

For intersections, sight distance evaluations have two categories: Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) and Intersection Sight Distance (ISD).

Methodology:

SSD is the distance required for a motorist on a major street to perceive, react, and the vehicle to come to a complete stop before colliding with an object on the road. For evaluating intersections, this object would be another vehicle entering the intersection from a minor street. SSD can be considered the <u>minimum</u> visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection.

ISD is the <u>required</u> visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection per section 3.04.J.5 in the Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations. ISD is based on the time required to perceive, react, and complete the desired traffic maneuver once a motorist on a minor street decides to perform a traffic maneuver.



Three traffic maneuvers are available for vehicles stopped on a minor street at a 4-way intersection: (1) left-turn, (2) right-turn, (3) or a crossing maneuver across the major street. For turns from the minor street, ISD is needed to allow a stopped motorist to turn onto a major street without being overtaken by an approaching vehicle. The most critical ISD is for left turns from the minor street. The ISD for this maneuver includes the time to turn left and clear half of the intersection without conflicting with the oncoming traffic from the left and accelerating to the road's operating speed without causing the approaching vehicles from the right to reduce their speed substantially.



With a posted speed limit of 40-mph on Hardin Valley Road at the proposed North Entrance, the ISD is 400 feet calculated based on Knox County's policy requiring 10 feet of sight distance per 1-mph of speed. This distance is required for a motorist to safely exit to the right (eastbound) at Hardin Valley Road. Left-turn movements will not occur at this entrance since it will have a right-in/right-out configuration while allowing left-turns in.

Visual observations of the sight distance at the proposed North Entrance were undertaken. Using a Nikon Laser Rangefinder at the proposed North Entrance location, the available sight distance was visually estimated to be greater than 999 feet to the west (limit of rangefinder). Based on visual observation, the available sight distance from the proposed North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road will be more than adequate for motorists exiting the development to the east.

Images of the existing sight distance at the proposed entrance location are labeled below with the ISD and rangefinder-measured sight distance.





View of Sight Distance on Hardin Valley Road at the Proposed North Entrance Location (Looking West)



View of Sight Distance on Hardin Valley Road at the Proposed North Entrance Location (Looking East)

• EVALUATION OF TURN LANE THRESHOLDS

The need for a separate right-turn lane was evaluated in the projected 2025 conditions for the proposed North Entrance on Hardin Valley Road. The evaluation did not include left turns on Hardin Valley Road since a center TWLTL is already provided to allow storage of left-turn entering vehicles.

The criteria used for this turn lane evaluation were based on Knox County's "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy". This design policy relates vehicle volume thresholds based on prevailing speeds for two-lane and four-lane roadways. The location of the proposed North Entrance on Hardin Valley Road is within a 40-mph speed zone; thus, it was evaluated based on this speed. Furthermore, the evaluation was also based on the understanding that Hardin Valley Road will be modified to include two lanes in each direction in 2025.

According to Knox County's guidelines, with a posted speed limit of 40-mph, a separate right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road at the proposed North Entrance will be warranted based on the projected AM peak hour 2025 traffic volumes, but not in the PM peak hour. The worksheets for these evaluations are provided in Appendix I.

• PROJECTED VEHICLE QUEUES

A companion software program was used to assist in the calculation of the 2025 AM and PM peak hour projected vehicle queues at the studied intersections. The previously mentioned Synchro software includes SimTraffic. The Synchro portion of the software performs the macroscopic calculations for intersections, and SimTraffic performs micro-simulation and animation of vehicular traffic. The SimTraffic software was used to estimate the projected vehicle queues.

The 95th percentile vehicle queue is the recognized measurement in the traffic engineering profession as the design standard used when considering vehicle queue lengths. A 95th percentile vehicle queue length means 95% certainty that the vehicle queue will not extend beyond that point. The calculated vehicle queue results in SimTraffic were based on averaging the outcome obtained during ten traffic simulations in the software. The 95th percentile vehicle queue lengths at the intersections are shown in Table 9 for the projected 2025 conditions, and the vehicle queue worksheets from the software are in Appendix J.



TABLE 9
TURN LANE STORAGE & VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY 2025 PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC WITH THE PROJECT

INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	PROVIDED STORAGE		5 th PERCENTILE ENGTH (ft)	ADEQUATE
	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LENGTH (ft)	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR	LENGTH?
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at		Eastbound Left	80	63	86	NO
Performing Arts Way (SB) and		Eastbound Thru ¹	n/a	206	207	n/a
Greenland Way (NB)		Eastbound Right	200	28	25	~
		Westbound Left	125	95	98	~
	Signalized	Westbound Thru ¹	n/a	230	191	n/a
	on ignali	Westbound Right	125	14	17	~
	<u> </u>	Northbound Left	80	114	83	NO
		Northbound Thru/Right	n/a	106	84	n/a
		Southbound Left/Thru	500	17	89	~
		Southbound Right	500	37	48	~
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at		Eastbound Left	TWLTL	-	-	~
Bryant Lane (SB) and	ਚ	Eastbound Thru/Right	n/a	13	5	n/a
Award Winning Way (NB)	Unsignalized	Westbound Left	TWLTL	34	12	~
	STOP E	Westbound Thru/Right	n/a	63	17	n/a
	Jusi	Northbound Left/Thru ²	300	218	84	~
		Northbound Right ²	300	-	40	~
		Southbound Left/Thru/Right	n/a	20	-	n/a
Hardin Valley Road (WB & EB) at	pəz	Eastbound Thru/Right	n/a	10	-	n/a
North Entrance (NB)	Unsignalized	Westbound Left	TWLTL	59	58	~
	STOP E	Westbound Thru ¹	n/a	19	34	n/a
		Northbound Right 3	50	62	62	NO
Award Winning Way (SB & NB) at	pəz	Westbound Left/Right ³	75	45	44	~
Spring Bluff Way (WB)	STOP IT	Southbound Left/Thru ²	300	-	8	~
	Unsignalized					
Greenland Way (SB & NB) at		Southbound Right ⁴	100	-	-	~
Spring Bluff Way (EB)	STOP ZZIPEL	Eastbound Left ³	75	47	74	~
-	Unsignalized	Eastbound Right ⁴	100	6	27	~
	Ľ,	Northbound Left	75	4	18	~

Note: 95th percentile queues were calculated in SimTraffic 11 software

Table 9 shows considerable projected vehicle queue lengths for the northbound shared left/thru lane on Award Winning Way at Hardin Valley Road and Bryant Lane in the AM peak hour. While considerable, the reported vehicle queues on this approach are expected to be less since the calculated lengths from SimTraffic do not account for two-stage left turns. Two-stage turns are likely to occur since a TWLTL is provided in the center of Hardin Valley Road, and these maneuvers were observed during the traffic count. The Synchro software accounts for two-stage left-turn movements and calculates the northbound left-turn lane with vehicle queues of 82.5 feet in the AM peak hour and 27.5 feet in the PM peak hour. The capacity analysis worksheets in Appendix F report the results of these vehicle queues from the Synchro software.

At the signalized intersection in the 2025 peak hour conditions, two vehicle queues are projected

56



Longest reported length in through dual lanes

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Distance between Hardin Valley Road and Spring Bluff Way

³ Distance based on the available distance shown in site plan without interferring with internal aisleway vehicle movements

⁴ Proposed lengths from Chick-fil-A traffic impact study

to extend beyond the available vehicle storage provided. The eastbound left-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road is calculated to be just marginally inadequate by 6 feet. However, the northbound left-turn lane storage on Greenland Way at the traffic signal is calculated to be inadequate in length by 34 feet.

The other calculated vehicle queue result shown as marginally inadequate is the northbound approach at the proposed North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road, which is calculated to be slightly longer than the available distance shown in the site plan without interfering with the internal vehicle movements in the parking lot. However, this excess vehicle queue distance will be short in duration and will only occasionally affect vehicles traveling internally in the parking lot. The internal throat depth at this entrance is shown on the site plan as 50 feet, and the 95th percentile vehicle queue length is calculated to be 62 feet during the AM and PM peak hours.



CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is an overview of recommendations to minimize the transportation impacts of The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant on the adjacent transportation system while attempting to achieve an acceptable traffic flow and safety level.



Hardin Valley Road at Performing Arts Way and Greenland Way: The 2025 level of service calculations for this intersection with the projected trips from The Village at Hardin Valley and the Chick-fil-A restaurant resulted in very reasonable vehicle delays and LOS. These promising results were obtained due to Knox County Engineering's plan to provide additional thru lanes on Hardin Valley Road. All turn lane storage results are reasonably adequate except for the northbound left-turn lane on Greenland Way.

It is recommended that the northbound left-turn lane on Greenland Way at this intersection be extended to provide a minimum storage of 125 feet. However, due to the readily available existing unused pavement in the center of the road, providing up to 175 feet of storage on Greenland Way will fully ensure during extreme peak traffic periods that vehicles in this left-turn lane do not block northbound vehicles in the shared thru/right lane approaching Hardin Valley Road. This modification can easily be

accomplished by solely restriping Greenland Way's pavement. The bank entrance should include a "Do Not Block Driveway" sign facing northbound traffic on Greenland Way on the south side of the bank entrance to reduce the potential of vehicles blocking this entrance. Greenland Way is striped to the south of the bank entrance with a center leftturn lane to nowhere.





While not performed for this study, Knox County Engineering should adjust the signal timing for the AM, Mid-Day, and PM peaks to optimize traffic flows while minimizing the potential of vehicle queues spilling outside their designated storage lanes. These adjustments should occur once the lane additions are provided on Hardin Valley Road and when the Chick-fil-A restaurant and The Village at Hardin Valley all become fully operational. Fine-tuning the signal timing may be needed several times as each piece is added to the road system.

Finally, a summary of the capacity analyses for this intersection is presented in Table 10. This table provides a side-by-side summary and comparison of the intersection for the 2023 conditions, projected conditions in 2025 without the project, and the projected conditions in 2025 with the project. As seen in Table 10, the proposed lane additions by Knox County Engineering on Hardin Valley Road will significantly reduce vehicle delays in the projected 2025 conditions, even with the projected trips from the developments.





TABLE 10
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY
HARDIN VALLEY ROAD AT PERFORMING ARTS WAY AND GREENLAND WAY

APPROACH / PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT	20	023 EXISTIN	īG	2025 WIT	HOUT THE	PROJECT	2025 W	TTH THE PE	ROJECT
HOOK MOVEMENT	LOSª	Delay ^b	v/c °	LOSª	Delay ^b	v/c °	LOSª	Delay ^b	v/c °
AM Peak									
Eastbound	C	23.2		C	29.3		В	19.2	
Westbound	В	18.5		С	22.7		В	14.1	
Northbound	С	20.9		С	20.8		С	31.6	
Southbound	A	7.8		A	8.2		A	9.7	
Summary	С	20.5	0.88	С	25.3	0.93	В	18.3	0.72
•									
PM Peak									
Eastbound	С	20.4		С	23.8		В	14.9	
Westbound	В	19.5		С	24.0		В	12.6	
Northbound	В	18.8		В	18.6		С	23.4	
Southbound	С	30.4		С	31.3		С	25.1	
Summary	С	20.2	0.86	С	23.9	0.91	В	14.9	0.63
•									

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 11 software and reported with HCM 6th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio









Hardin Valley Road at Bryant Lane and Award Winning Way: The 2025 projected level of service calculations for this intersection resulted in high vehicle delays and poor LOS for the northbound and southbound approaches of Award Winning Way and Bryant Lane. These approaches are projected to have these results due to the large volumes on Hardin Valley Road.

The 95th percentile vehicle queue for the northbound left-turn movement on Award Winning Way was projected to be 218 feet in the 2025 AM peak hour if motorists do not utilize the center TWLTL for two-stage left turns. This result is nearly nine passenger cars, assuming a length of 25 feet per vehicle. If motorists use this center lane as a temporary refuge when turning left to wait for a gap in westbound traffic as they currently do, the 95th percentile queue was calculated to be 82.5 feet, just over three passenger cars. Either result will be fully contained in the lane storage on Award Winning Way between Hardin Valley Road and Spring Bluff Way to the south. The distance between these two roads is 300 feet.

The driveway distribution assumptions used in the study for the northbound left-turn movement towards the west on Hardin Valley Road were purposely set lower. This strategy was done to reflect the difficulty in this movement due to the high volumes on Hardin Valley Road and the expectation that present and future motorists will recognize this difficulty and divert their travel to the east to the traffic signal to then head west on Hardin Valley Road. This distribution strategy also created a worst-case scenario for northbound left turns at the traffic signal and its effect on the amount of vehicle storage needed.

At a minimum, motorists intending to head west on Hardin Valley Road will be able to access the traffic signal to the east, which has been projected to have reasonable vehicle delays for the northbound approach and will have an appropriate, if not excessive, amount of vehicle storage.

At a minimum, however, it is recommended that the northbound lanes on Award Winning Way be defined and marked on the pavement. The width of the northbound approach of Award Winning Way at Hardin Valley Road is 24 feet, allowing two 12-foot lanes, but it is not marked as such. The approach should be delineated with a white lane line to provide a separate shared left/thru lane and an exclusive right-turn lane. The lanes should include shared white left/thru arrows and white right-turn arrows along its length.



These lane designations should be applied from Hardin Valley Road to Spring Bluff Way, approximately 300 feet in length.



Hardin Valley Road at the Proposed North Entrance: The 2025 projected level of service calculations for this intersection resulted in minimal vehicle delays and good LOS for exiting northbound and entering movements. While the delays for exiting vehicles are projected to be minimal, the vehicle queues on the exiting north approach are expected to occasionally extend past the northern internal parking lot aisleway. These vehicle queues may briefly interfere with internal vehicle movements, especially during peak periods.

- 3a) This entrance intersection will be constructed with a right-in/right-out configuration but allow left turns into the development from the east on Hardin Valley Road. Exiting left-turn movements to the west will not be allowed at this entrance. This entrance should be constructed with this configuration as proposed due to the limited internal vehicle storage available within the site. If allowed, the vehicle queues for a left-turn movement toward the west would quickly and easily block vehicles internally and likely spill back enough to interfere with the drive-through lane operations. It is recommended that the following be considered in the design and construction of the entrance:
 - i) The entering and exiting lanes should have a width and inner radius to facilitate the largest expected-sized vehicle entering and exiting at Hardin Valley Road. The layout and elements of the intersection should follow Knox County Engineering standards.
 - ii) The island separating the entering and exiting movements should be raised concrete and sized adequately to dissuade illegal, restricted left-turning movements to the west on Hardin Valley Road.
 - iii) Traffic signage with breakaway posts at this intersection should include a Stop Sign (R1-1). The Stop Sign (R1-1) should be supplemented with a 24" white stop bar on the exiting lane approaching Hardin Valley Road, a minimum of 4 feet away from the road edge. Other signs that should be posted at the entrance include a Keep Right Sign (R4-7) at the head of the island for exiting vehicles, a No Left Turn Sign (R3-2) at Hardin Valley facing the exiting lane, and a Do Not Enter Sign (R5-1) facing the exiting lane but on the outside edge of the entering lane.
- 3b) It is recommended that the sidewalk at the proposed North Entrance be installed with pavement markings, detectable warning surfaces, and other items to reduce the



potential turning vehicle conflicts with pedestrians. Details regarding the appropriate and desired treatments to reduce pedestrian conflicts and inappropriate vehicle movements should be discussed during the detailed design review with Knox County Engineering. The discussions should include incorporating the new entrance with the new lanes on Hardin Valley, which Knox County's project will provide.

- 3c) The intersection sight distance from the North Entrance at Hardin Valley Road must not be impacted by future landscaping, signage, or vegetation.
 - Based on the posted speed limit of 40-mph on Hardin Valley Road, the required ISD is 400 feet, looking to the west for exiting right-turning vehicles. The available sight distance was visually estimated to be greater than 999 feet to the west on Hardin Valley Road. The site designer must also verify that this distance will be available based on the final site plans.
- 3d) The construction of a separate eastbound right-turn lane on Hardin Valley Road for vehicles entering the proposed North Entrance is warranted based on the projected 2025 AM peak hour traffic volumes. It is not projected to be warranted in the 2025 PM peak hour.


Due to the existing site limitations along Hardin Valley Road, which include extensive underground utilities, it is recommended that the eastbound right-turn lane be designed and constructed with a minimal lane taper but with a maximum deceleration length possible within the confines of the frontage limits. The civil site designer should coordinate the design of this turn lane with Knox County, and it should include a white right-turn arrow and lane line on the pavement for this exclusive lane. As part of this eastbound right-turn lane provision, the existing sidewalk on the south side of Hardin Valley Road will need to be modified and reconstructed.





<u>The Village at Hardin Valley Internal Drive/Parking Lot Aisleways</u>: The current layout plan shows three entrance driveways with several parking lot aisleways constructed for the development, as shown in Figure 3.

4a) Stop Signs (R1-1) with 24" white stop bars are recommended to be installed at the internal locations with other signage, as shown below.



4b) Sight distance at the new internal aisleway intersections must not be impacted by new signage, parked cars, or future landscaping. With an assumed speed of 15-mph in the development, the internal intersection sight distance is 170 feet. The required stopping sight distance is 80 feet for a level road grade. The site designer should ensure that internal sight distance lengths are met.



- 4c) All drainage grates and covers for the commercial development must be pedestrian and bicycle safe.
- 4d) Internal sidewalks are proposed adjacent to the front of The Village at Hardin Valley building. Sidewalks should have appropriate ADA-compliant ramps, and the internal sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width to meet Knox County regulations.
- 4e) Internal guide signs should direct vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop to the drive-thru lane's location. White pavement lane markings, arrows, or messages should also be considered to facilitate orderly vehicular movements.
- 4f) All road and intersection elements should be designed to AASHTO and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.



<u>Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way:</u> The prior traffic impact study for the Chick-fil-A restaurant by TWM, Inc. recommended that a 100-foot eastbound right-turn lane be constructed on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way and a 100-foot southbound right-turn lane be constructed on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way.

The findings of this current study did not indicate that an eastbound right-turn lane on Spring Bluff Way at Greenland Way would be necessary. While the findings also did not indicate a need for a southbound right-turn lane on Greenland Way at Spring Bluff Way, this current study agrees with this recommendation due to the known popularity of Chickfil-A restaurants and the planned coffee/donut shop. A southbound right-turn lane at this intersection would ensure that entering vehicle queues from this direction will not extend back to the traffic signal on Hardin Valley Road.



APPENDIX A


HISTORICAL TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Historical Traffic Counts


Organization: TDOT Station ID #: 47000084

Location: Hardin Valley Road, southwest of Valley Vista Road

YEAR	AADT	
2013	15,642	
2014	17,441	
2015	17,615	
2016	17,791	
2017	17,969	ine
2018	18,120	Trendline
2019	16,739	Tre
2020	14,864	
2021	16,495	
2022	17,402	
2023	19,435	V



2013 - 2023 Growth Rate = 24.2% Average Annual Growth Rate = 2.2%




APPENDIX B


WALK SCORE

WALKSCORE


(from walkscore.com)
















•		_	• т	\mathbf{r}	T1/	
^		нΙ	•		ΙX	•
_						

KNOXVILLE AREA TRANSIT MAP AND INFORMATION





Route 16 - Cedar Bluff: Weekdays


Going away	from Walmart			Going to W	almart	
	Park Village @	Parkwest	Windsor	Parkwest	Cedar Bluff @	
Walmart	Woodpark	Hospital	Square	Hospital	Fox Lonas	Walmart
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6:15 AM	6:27 AM	6:32 AM	6:42 AM	6:50 AM	6:54 AM	7:10 AM
7:15 AM	7:27 AM	7:32 AM	7:42 AM	7:50 AM	7:54 AM	8:10 AM
8:15 AM	8:27 AM	8:32 AM	8:42 AM	8:50 AM	8:54 AM	9:10 AM
9:15 AM	9:27 AM	9:32 AM	9:42 AM	9:50 AM	9:54 AM	10:10 AM
10:15 AM	10:27 AM	10:32 AM	10:42 AM	10:50 AM	10:54 AM	11:10 AM
11:15 AM	11:27 AM	11:32 AM	11:42 AM	11:50 AM	11:54 AM	12:10 PM
12:15 PM	12:27 PM	12:32 PM	12:42 PM	12:50 PM	12:54 PM	1:10 PM
1:15 PM	1:27 PM	1:32 PM	1:42 PM	1:50 PM	1:54 PM	2:10 PM
2:15 PM	2:27 PM	2:32 PM	2:42 PM	2:50 PM	2:54 PM	3:10 PM
3:15 PM	3:27 PM	3:32 PM	3:42 PM	3:50 PM	3:54 PM	4:10 PM
4:15 PM	4:27 PM	4:32 PM	4:42 PM	4:50 PM	4:54 PM	5:10 PM
5:15 PM	5:27 PM	5:32 PM	5:42 PM	5:50 PM	5:54 PM	6:10 PM
6:15 PM	6:27 PM	6:32 PM	6:42 PM	6:50 PM	6:54 PM	7:10 PM
7:15 PM	7:27 PM	7:32 PM	7:42 PM	7:50 PM	7:54 PM	8:10 PM
8:15 PM	8:27 PM	8:32 PM	8:42 PM	8:50 PM	8:54 PM	9:10 PM
9:15 PM	9:27 PM	9:32 PM	9:42 PM	9:50 PM	9:54 PM	10:10 PM

Route 16 - Cedar Bluff: SATURDAYS

Going away	from Walmart			Going to W	almart	
	Park Village @	Parkwest	Windsor	Parkwest	Cedar Bluff @	
Walmart	Woodpark	Hospital	Square	Hospital	Fox Lonas	Walmart
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7:15 AM	7:27 AM	7:32 AM	7:42 AM	7:50 AM	7:54 AM	8:10 AM
8:15 AM	8:27 AM	8:32 AM	8:42 AM	8:50 AM	8:54 AM	9:10 AM
9:15 AM	9:27 AM	9:32 AM	9:42 AM	9:50 AM	9:54 AM	10:10 AM
10:15 AM	10:27 AM	10:32 AM	10:42 AM	10:50 AM	10:54 AM	11:10 AM
11:15 AM	11:27 AM	11:32 AM	11:42 AM	11:50 AM	11:54 AM	12:10 PM
12:15 PM	12:27 PM	12:32 PM	12:42 PM	12:50 PM	12:54 PM	1:10 PM
1:15 PM	1:27 PM	1:32 PM	1:42 PM	1:50 PM	1:54 PM	2:10 PM
2:15 PM	2:27 PM	2:32 PM	2:42 PM	2:50 PM	2:54 PM	3:10 PM
3:15 PM	3:27 PM	3:32 PM	3:42 PM	3:50 PM	3:54 PM	4:10 PM
4:15 PM	4:27 PM	4:32 PM	4:42 PM	4:50 PM	4:54 PM	5:10 PM
5:15 PM	5:27 PM	5:32 PM	5:42 PM	5:50 PM	5:54 PM	6:10 PM
6:15 PM	6:27 PM	6:32 PM	6:42 PM	6:50 PM	6:54 PM	7:10 PM
7:15 PM	7:27 PM	7:32 PM	7:42 PM	7:50 PM	7:54 PM	8:10 PM
8:15 PM	8:27 PM	8:32 PM	8:42 PM	8:50 PM	8:54 PM	9:10 PM
9:15 PM	9:27 PM	9:32 PM	9:42 PM	9:50 PM	9:54 PM	10:10 PM

APPENDIX D

ZONING MAP



APPENDIX E

MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Major Street: Hardin Valley Road (WB and EB) Minor Street: Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB) Traffic Control: Traffic Signal

5/2/2024 (Thursday) Mostly Sunny and Warm Conducted by: Ajax Engineering


		Bryant Lane		Ha	rdin Valley R	oad	Awa	ard Winning	Way	На	rdin Valley R	oad	1	
TIME	S	OUTHBOUN	D	7	VESTBOUNI)	N	ORTHBOUN	ID		EASTBOUNI)	VEHICLE	PEAK
BEGIN	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	TOTAL	HOUR
7:00 AM	0	0	0	4	185	0	0	0	0	0	205	4	398	
7:15 AM	1	0	0	2	209	0	1	0	0	0	249	1	463	
7:30 AM	2	0	1	5	219	0	0	0	0	0	268	4	499	7:30 AM - 8:30 AM
7:45 AM	0	0	0	5	202	0	3	0	0	0	246	7	463	
8:00 AM	0	0	1	5	305	0	1	0	1	0	221	4	538	
8:15 AM	0	0	0	3	252	0	5	0	0	0	217	8	485	
8:30 AM	0	0	1	11	126	0	1	0	0	1	266	6	412	
8:45 AM	0	0	0	4	119	0	2	0	0	0	208	4	337	
TOTAL	3	0	3	39	1617	0	13	0	1	1	1880	38	3595	
11:00 AM	0	0	0	3	105	0	3	0	4	0	146	2	263	
11:15 AM	0	0	1	2	125	2	2	0	0	0	165	6	303	
11:30 AM	0	0	1	2	126	1	3	0	5	0	196	6	340	11:30 AM - 12:30 PM
11:45 AM	0	0	0	5	151	1	3	0	4	0	158	5	327	
12:00 PM	0	0	0	6	165	0	3	0	6	0	166	2	348	
12:15 PM	2	0	0	4	164	1	4	0	2	0	144	3	324	
12:30 PM	1	0	1	3	138	0	3	0	7	0	156	4	313	
12:45 PM	1	0	0	7	129	0	1	0	5	0	125	7	275	
TOTAL	4	0	3	32	1103	5	22	0	33	0	1256	35	2493	
	-													
2:00 PM	1	0	0	1	177	0	4	0	3	0	199	1	386	
2:15 PM	0	0	0	1	190	0	0	0	2	0	134	5	332	
2:30 PM	1	0	0	3	154	0	1	0	2	0	133	2	296	
2:45 PM	0	0	0	3	183	0	1	0	2	0	160	5	354	
3:00 PM	0	0	0	4	195	0	2	0	6	0	156	1	364	
3:15 PM	0	0	0	2	226	1	2	0	4	0	171	5	411	
3:30 PM	0	0	0	0	201	1	2	0	2	0	231	1	438	
3:45 PM	0	0	0	4	208	0	1	0	6	0	210	7	436	
4:00 PM	0	0	1	3	222	0	0	0	1	1	191	6	425	
4:15 PM	0	0	0	2	279	1	2	0	0	0	201	6	491	
4:30 PM	1	0	0	2	256	0	1	0	2	0	173	2	437	
4:45 PM	0	0	0	2	278	0	0	0	4	0	240	2	526	4:45 PM - 5:45 PM
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	259	0	2	0	10	0	209	5	485	
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	263	0	3	0	5	0	220	4	495	
5:30 PM	0	0	0	3	282	1	2	0	4	0	219	5	516	
5:45 PM	1	0	1	2	264	0	2	0	7	0	220	2	499	
TOTAL	4	0	2	32	3637	4	25	0	60	1	3067	59	6891	

2024 AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

		Bryant Lane		Ha	rdin Valley R	oad	Awa	ard Winning	Way	Ha	rdin Valley R	oad
TIME	S	OUTHBOUN	D	WESTBOUND			N	ORTHBOUN	ID]	EASTBOUNI)
BEGIN	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT
7:30 AM	2	0	1	5	219	0	0	0	0	0	268	4
7:45 AM	0	0	0	5	202	0	3	0	0	0	246	7
8:00 AM	0	0	1	5	305	0	1	0	1	0	221	4
8:15 AM	0	0	0	3	252	0	5	0	0	0	217	8
TOTAL	2	0	2	18	978	0	9	0	1	0	952	23
TRUCK %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	4.3%
PHF mvmt	0.25	-	0.50	0.90	0.80	•	0.45	-	0.25	•	0.89	0.72
PHF app		0.33	,		0.80			0.50			0.90	
PHF int		,	,			0.	.92		,			

2024 PM Peak Hour 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

		Bryant Lane		Ha	rdin Valley R	oad	Awa	ard Winning	Way	Ha	rdin Valley R	oad
TIME	S	OUTHBOUN	D	1	WESTBOUNI)	N	ORTHBOUN	ID]	EASTBOUNI)
BEGIN	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	RT
4:45 PM	0	0	0	2	278	0	0	0	4	0	240	2
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	259	0	2	0	10	0	209	5
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	263	0	3	0	5	0	220	4
5:30 PM	0	0	0	3	282	1	2	0	4	0	219	5
TOTAL	0	0	0	5	1082	1	7	0	23	0	888	16
TRUCK %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
PHF mvmt	•	-	•	0.42	0.96	0.25	0.58	-	0.58		0.93	0.80
PHF app		-			0.95			0.63			0.93	
PHF int						0.	96					




PEAK HOUR DATA

Major Street: Hardin Valley Road (WB and EB)

Minor Street: Bryant Lane (SB) and Award Winning Way (NB)

Traffic Control: Traffic Signal

5/2/2024 (Thursday) Mostly Sunny and Warm Conducted by: Ajax Engineering



TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Major Street: Award Winning Way (SB and NB)


Minor Street: Spring Bluff Way (WB) Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Minor Street 5/2/2024 (Thursday) Mostly Sunny and Warm Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

	Award Wi	nning Way	Spring B	Bluff Way	Award Wi	nning Way	VEHICLE
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	WESTE	BOUND	NORTH	BOUND	TOTAL
BEGIN	LT	THRU	LT	RT	THRU	RT	
7:30 AM	4	4	0	0	0	0	8
7:45 AM	8	3	1	2	1	0	15
8:00 AM	3	4	0	2	0	0	9
8:15 AM	5	6	0	4	1	0	16
TOTAL	20	17	1	8	2	0	48
PHF	0.63	0.71	0.25	0.50	0.50	1	
TRUCK %	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	

	Award Wi	nning Way	Spring B	luff Way	Award Wi	nning Way	VEHICLE
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	WESTE	OUND	NORTH	BOUND	TOTAL
BEGIN	LT	THRU	LT	RT	THRU	RT	
4:45 PM	2	2	0	2	2	0	8
5:00 PM	0	5	0	1	11	1	18
5:15 PM	0	4	0	3	5	0	12
5:30 PM	3	4	0	0	7	0	14
TOTAL	5	15	0	6	25	1	52
PHF	0.42	0.75	1	0.50	0.57	0.25	
TRUCK %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

APPENDIX F

CAPACITY ANALYSES – HCM WORKSHEETS (SYNCHRO 11)



Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ች	1			ĵ.			4	7		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	994	23	20	1066	0	9	0	1	2	0	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	994	23	20	1066	0	9	0	1	2	0	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	50	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	2	-	-	-2	-	-	-4	-	-	4	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	80	80	80	50	50	50	33	33	33
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	4	0	5	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	1104	26	25	1333	0	18	0	2	6	0	6
Major/Minor N	/lajor1		N	Major2		ľ	Minor1		1	Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1333	0	0	1130	0	0	2503	2500	1117	2501	2513	1333
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1117	1117	-	1383	1383	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1386	1383	-	1118	1130	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	6.41	5.7	5.8	7.9	7.3	6.6
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.41	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.41	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.599	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	524	-	-	626	-	-	32	51	288	11	16	164
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	310	366	-	132	157	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	230	290	-	198	219	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	524	-	-	626	-	-	30	49	288	11	15	164
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	128	166	-	73	84	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	310	366	-	132	151	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	213	278	-	197	219	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			0.2			35.7			45.4		
HCM LOS							Е			Е		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	t ſ	NBLn11	VBLn2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR :	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		128	288	524	-	-	626	-	-	101		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			0.007	-	-	-	0.04	-	-	0.12		
HCM Control Delay (s)		37.7	17.6	0	-	-	11	-	-			
HCM Lane LOS		E	С	A	-	-	В	-	-	E		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.5	0	0	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.4		

	۶	→	•	•	←	4	4	†	~	/	+	-√
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ች	†	7	ች	†	7	ሻ	1			स	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	15	967	15	47	1039	6	19	4	77	3	0	28
Future Volume (vph)	15	967	15	47	1039	6	19	4	77	3	0	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	1700	1%	1700	1700	-2%	1700	1700	-3%	1700	1700	7%	1700
Storage Length (ft)	80	170	190	90	270	90	80	070	190	0	7,0	0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	100		_	100		-	75			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.857				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.950	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1778	1853	1591	1787	1828	1615	1814	1636	0	0	1725	1543
Flt Permitted	0.104			0.089			0.754				0.689	
Satd. Flow (perm)	195	1853	1591	167	1828	1615	1440	1636	0	0	1251	1543
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			83			83		100				83
Link Speed (mph)		40			40			30			20	
Link Distance (ft)		834			433			510			297	
Travel Time (s)		14.2			7.4			11.6			10.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.65	0.65	0.65
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	17	1099	17	51	1129	7	25	5	100	5	0	43
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	17	1099	17	51	1129	7	25	105	0	0	5	43
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	8	8		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0	21.0	12.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (s)	21.0	66.0	66.0	21.0	66.0	66.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0
Total Split (%)	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	26.3%	26.3%		26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	60.0	60.0	15.0	60.0	60.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Recall Mode	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min	None	None		None	None	None
Walk Time (s)		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	67.8	63.0	63.0	70.7	68.1	68.1	8.4	8.4			8.4	8.4

	•	→	•	•	•	•	4	†	~	\	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.73	0.68	0.68	0.76	0.73	0.73	0.09	0.09			0.09	0.09
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.88	0.02	0.22	0.85	0.01	0.19	0.44			0.04	0.20
Control Delay	3.3	23.8	0.0	4.8	19.3	0.0	42.7	15.7			39.3	4.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	3.3	23.8	0.0	4.8	19.3	0.0	42.7	15.7			39.3	4.1
LOS	Α	С	Α	Α	В	Α	D	В			D	Α
Approach Delay		23.2			18.5			20.9			7.8	
Approach LOS		С			В			С			Α	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	2	489	0	5	289	0	14	3			3	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	6	#857	0	13	#933	0	34	35			10	0
Internal Link Dist (ft)		754			353			430			217	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	80		190	90		90	80					
Base Capacity (vph)	406	1250	1101	390	1333	1200	386	512			335	474
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.88	0.02	0.13	0.85	0.01	0.06	0.21			0.01	0.09

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 118

Actuated Cycle Length: 93.3

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88


Intersection Signal Delay: 20.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.0%

Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.



Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	ĵ.		ሻ	f.			र्स	7		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	903	16	5	1122	1	7	Ö	23	0	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	903	16	5	1122	1	7	0	23	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	50	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	2	-	-	-2	-	-	-4	-	-	4	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	95	95	95	63	63	63	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	971	17	5	1181	1	11	0	37	0	0	0
Major/Minor N	/lajor1		N	Major2			Minor1		1	Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1182	0	0	988	0	0	2172	2172	980	2190	2180	1182
Stage 1	1102	-	-	700	-	-	980	980	700	1192	1192	1102
Stage 2		-	_		-	-	1192	1192	-	998	988	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1		-	4.1	-	_	6.3	5.7	5.8	7.9	7.3	6.6
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	7.1			7.1	-		5.3	4.7	5.0	6.9	6.3	0.0
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-			-	-	-	5.3	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2		_	2.2	_	_	3.5	4.7	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	598		-	708	-		55	77	341	20	29	205
Stage 1	570		_	700			377	411	- 341	177	202	205
Stage 2			-	-	-	_	300	343	-	237	263	
Platoon blocked, %						-	300	J4J		231	203	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	598			708	-	-	55	76	341	18	29	205
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	570			700	-		177	204	J41 -	95	116	205
Stage 1	_			-	-	-	377	411	-	177	201	
Stage 2		_	_		-	_	298	341	-	212	263	-
Jiaye Z	-	_	_	-	-	_	270	J 4 I	-	Z 1 Z	203	-
Approach	ED			MD			ND			CD		
Approach	EB			WB			NB 10.1			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			0			19.1			0		
HCM LOS							С			А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t l	VBLn1 N		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR:	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		177	341	598	-	-	708	-	-	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.063	0.107	-	-	-	0.007	-	-	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)		26.7	16.8	0	-	-	10.1	-	-	0		
HCM Lane LOS		D	С	Α	-	-	В	-	-	Α		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.4	0	-	-	0	-	-	-		

	۶	→	•	•	←	4	4	†	~	/	+	-√
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	†	7	*	†	7	ሻ	1			स	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	24	889	13	102	1081	22	19	2	84	36	5	28
Future Volume (vph)	24	889	13	102	1081	22	19	2	84	36	5	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	1700	1%	1700	1700	-2%	1700	1700	-3%	1700	1700	7%	1700
Storage Length (ft)	80	170	190	90	270	90	80	070	190	0	7,0	0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	100			100		•	75			25		·
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.853				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.958	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1778	1835	1591	1787	1828	1615	1814	1629	0	0	1739	1543
Flt Permitted	0.095			0.151			0.723				0.678	
Satd. Flow (perm)	178	1835	1591	284	1828	1615	1381	1629	0	0	1231	1543
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			83			83		102				83
Link Speed (mph)		40			40			30			20	
Link Distance (ft)		834			433			510			297	
Travel Time (s)		14.2			7.4			11.6			10.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.78	0.78	0.78
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	3%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	25	926	14	107	1138	23	23	2	102	46	6	36
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	25	926	14	107	1138	23	23	104	0	0	52	36
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	8	8		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0	21.0	12.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (s)	21.0	66.0	66.0	21.0	66.0	66.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0
Total Split (%)	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	26.3%	26.3%		26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	60.0	60.0	15.0	60.0	60.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Recall Mode	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min	None	None		None	None	None
Walk Time (s)		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	66.2	60.1	60.1	72.7	69.0	69.0	9.9	9.9			9.9	9.9

Timing	Plan:	PΜ	Peak
--------	-------	----	------

	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	-	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.69	0.63	0.63	0.76	0.72	0.72	0.10	0.10			0.10	0.10
v/c Ratio	0.11	0.80	0.01	0.32	0.86	0.02	0.16	0.40			0.41	0.15
Control Delay	4.5	21.2	0.0	5.5	21.3	0.0	42.0	13.7			50.5	1.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	4.5	21.2	0.0	5.5	21.3	0.0	42.0	13.7			50.5	1.4
LOS	Α	С	Α	Α	С	Α	D	В			D	Α
Approach Delay		20.4			19.5			18.8			30.4	
Approach LOS		С			В			В			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	3	364	0	12	319	0	13	1			30	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	10	#770	0	28	#1019	0	34	38			59	0
Internal Link Dist (ft)		754			353			430			217	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	80		190	90		90	80					
Base Capacity (vph)	388	1157	1033	455	1319	1189	362	502			322	465
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.06	0.80	0.01	0.24	0.86	0.02	0.06	0.21			0.16	0.08

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 118

Actuated Cycle Length: 95.6

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86


Intersection Signal Delay: 20.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.8%

Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.





	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	~	\	+	-√
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ኻ		7	ሻ	†	7	ሻ	4			4	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	16	1026	16	50	1102	6	20	4	82	3	0	30
Future Volume (vph)	16	1026	16	50	1102	6	20	4	82	3	0	30
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		1%			-2%			-3%			7%	,,,,,
Storage Length (ft)	80		190	90		90	80		190	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	100			100			75			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.857				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.950	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1778	1853	1591	1787	1828	1615	1814	1636	0	0	1725	1543
Flt Permitted	0.063			0.059			0.754				0.685	
Satd. Flow (perm)	118	1853	1591	111	1828	1615	1440	1636	0	0	1244	1543
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			83			83		106				83
Link Speed (mph)		40			40			30			20	
Link Distance (ft)		834			433			510			297	
Travel Time (s)		14.2			7.4			11.6			10.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.65	0.65	0.65
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	18	1166	18	54	1198	7	26	5	106	5	0	46
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	18	1166	18	54	1198	7	26	111	0	0	5	46
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	8	8		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0	21.0	12.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (s)	21.0	66.0	66.0	21.0	66.0	66.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0
Total Split (%)	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	26.3%	26.3%		26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	60.0	60.0	15.0	60.0	60.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Recall Mode	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min	None	None		None	None	None
Walk Time (s)		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	67.8	62.9	62.9	70.7	68.1	68.1	8.5	8.5			8.5	8.5

	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	~	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.73	0.67	0.67	0.76	0.73	0.73	0.09	0.09			0.09	0.09
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.93	0.02	0.28	0.90	0.01	0.20	0.45			0.04	0.21
Control Delay	3.8	30.1	0.0	8.2	23.5	0.0	42.9	15.6			39.3	4.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	3.8	30.1	0.0	8.2	23.5	0.0	42.9	15.6			39.3	4.9
LOS	Α	С	Α	Α	С	Α	D	В			D	Α
Approach Delay		29.3			22.7			20.8			8.2	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			Α	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	2	571	0	5	339	0	14	3			3	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	6	#946	0	20	#1026	0	34	35			10	0
Internal Link Dist (ft)		754			353			430			217	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	80		190	90		90	80					
Base Capacity (vph)	359	1250	1100	356	1333	1200	385	515			333	474
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.05	0.93	0.02	0.15	0.90	0.01	0.07	0.22			0.02	0.10

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 118

Actuated Cycle Length: 93.3

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93


Intersection Signal Delay: 25.3

Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service E

Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.3%

Analysis Period (min) 15

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.



^{# 95}th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ħ	(*	f)			र्स	7		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1055	24	21	1131	0	10	Ö	1	2	0	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1055	24	21	1131	0	10	0	1	2	0	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	50	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	2	-	-	-2	-	-	-4	-	-	4	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	80	80	80	50	50	50	33	33	33
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	4	0	5	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	1172	27	26	1414	0	20	0	2	6	0	6
Major/Minor M	1ajor1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
	1414	0	0	1199	0	0	2655	2652	1186	2653	2665	1414
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1186	1186	-	1466	1466	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1469	1466	-	1187	1199	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	6.41	5.7	5.8	7.9	7.3	6.6
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.41	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.41	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.599	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	488	-	-	589	-	-	25	42	265	9	13	146
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	287	344	-	116	140	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	210	269	-	178	200	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	488	-	-	589	-	-	23	40	265	9	12	146
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	115	152	-	64	74	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	287	344	-	116	134	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	192	257	-	177	200	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			0.2			40.6			51.7		
HCM LOS							Е			F		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBLn1 i	NBLn2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		115	265	488	-	_	589	_	_	89		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.174		-	-	-	0.045	_	-	0.136		
HCM Control Delay (s)		42.8	18.7	0	-	-	11.4	-	-	51.7		
HCM Lane LOS		E	С	A	-	_	В	_	_	F		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.6	0	0	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.5		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	5					
iiii Deiay, S/VeII						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	- W		+	7		4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	9	2	0	24	21
Future Vol, veh/h	1	9	2	0	24	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage		_	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	_	-4	-	_	-10
Peak Hour Factor	25	50	50	90	63	71
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0
Mymt Flow	4	18	4	0	38	30
IVIVIIIL I IOW	4	10	4	U	30	30
Major/Minor	Minor1	<u> </u>	Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	110	4	0	0	4	0
Stage 1	4	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	106	-	_	_	-	_
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.21	_	_	4.11	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	_	_	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	_	_	_	_	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	_	_	2.209	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	892	1082			1624	
Stage 1	1024	1002	_		1024	-
	923	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	923	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	074	1000	-	-	1/04	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	871	1082	-	-	1624	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	871	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1024	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	901	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.6		0		4.1	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)					1624	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-		0.021	0.023	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	o (7.3	0
HCM Lane LOS				6.0 A		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	١	-	-		Α 0.1	А
HOW YOU WILLE CIVEN)	-	-	0.1	0.1	-

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.9					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥		7		Þ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	37	4	7	76	20	35
Future Vol, veh/h	37	4	7	76	20	35
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	<u>.</u>	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	50	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storag		_		0	0	_
Grade, %	5	_	_	-3	-5	_
Peak Hour Factor	59	59	68	68	73	73
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	1	1	1	1	1
Mvmt Flow	63	7	10	112	27	48
IVIVIIIL I IOW	03	,	10	112	21	40
Major/Minor	Minor2	1	Major1	١	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	183	51	75	0	-	0
Stage 1	51	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	132	-	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.43	6.71	4.11	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.43	-	-	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.43				_	
	3.527		2.209	-		
Follow-up Hdwy				-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	764	1013	1531	-	-	-
Stage 1	955	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	860	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	759	1013	1531	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	759	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	948	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	860	-	-	-	-	_
3						
					CD.	
A no no no le	ED		NID			
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1		NB 0.6		0 2B	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1					
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	10.1 B	NRI	0.6	FBI n1	0	SRR
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvi	10.1 B	NBL	0.6 NBT	EBLn1		SBR
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvi Capacity (veh/h)	10.1 B	1531	0.6 NBT	778	0 SBT	-
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvi Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	10.1 B mt	1531 0.007	0.6 NBT -	778 0.089	O SBT -	SBR -
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvi Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s	10.1 B mt	1531 0.007 7.4	0.6 NBT	778 0.089 10.1	SBT -	- - -
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvi Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	10.1 B mt	1531 0.007	0.6 NBT -	778 0.089	O SBT -	-

	۶	→	•	•	-	•	•	†	<i>></i>	/	+	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*		7	*	†	7	ሻ	f)			4	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	25	943	14	108	1147	23	20	2	89	38	5	30
Future Volume (vph)	25	943	14	108	1147	23	20	2	89	38	5	30
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		1%			-2%			-3%			7%	
Storage Length (ft)	80		190	90		90	80		190	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	100			100			75			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.853				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.957	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1778	1835	1591	1787	1828	1615	1814	1629	0	0	1737	1543
Flt Permitted	0.062			0.121			0.721				0.672	
Satd. Flow (perm)	116	1835	1591	228	1828	1615	1377	1629	0	0	1220	1543
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			83			83		109				83
Link Speed (mph)		40			40			30			20	
Link Distance (ft)		834			433			510			297	
Travel Time (s)		14.2			7.4			11.6			10.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.78	0.78	0.78
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	3%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	26	982	15	114	1207	24	24	2	109	49	6	38
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	26	982	15	114	1207	24	24	111	0	0	55	38
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	8	8		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0	21.0	12.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (s)	21.0	66.0	66.0	21.0	66.0	66.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0
Total Split (%)	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	26.3%	26.3%		26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	60.0	60.0	15.0	60.0	60.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Recall Mode	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min	None	None		None	None	None
Walk Time (s)		7.0	7.0	23	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	67.1	61.0	61.0	74.0	70.1	70.1	10.1	10.1			10.1	10.1
7.0. Ellot Grocii (3)	07.1	51.0	51.0	, 4.0	, 0. 1	, 0. 1	10.1	10.1			10.1	10.1

Timing	Plan:	PΜ	Peak
--------	-------	----	------

	۶	-	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	-	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.69	0.63	0.63	0.76	0.72	0.72	0.10	0.10			0.10	0.10
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.85	0.01	0.38	0.91	0.02	0.17	0.42			0.43	0.16
Control Delay	5.4	24.6	0.0	6.7	26.1	0.0	42.2	13.5			51.6	1.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	5.4	24.6	0.0	6.7	26.1	0.0	42.2	13.5			51.6	1.9
LOS	Α	С	А	Α	С	Α	D	В			D	Α
Approach Delay		23.8			24.0			18.6			31.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			В			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	3	417	0	13	383	0	13	1			32	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	10	#868	0	31	#1132	0	35	40			63	0
Internal Link Dist (ft)		754			353			430			217	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	80		190	90		90	80					
Base Capacity (vph)	346	1153	1031	417	1321	1190	355	501			315	460
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.08	0.85	0.01	0.27	0.91	0.02	0.07	0.22			0.17	0.08

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 118 Actuated Cycle Length: 97 Natural Cycle: 90


Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91

Intersection Signal Delay: 23.9 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 89.4% ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.




^{# 95}th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	f)		ሻ	f.			र्स	7		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	958	17	5	1191	1	7	Ö	24	0	0	0
Future Vol., veh/h	0	958	17	5	1191	1	7	0	24	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	50	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	2	-	-	-2	-	-	-4	-	-	4	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	95	95	95	63	63	63	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	1030	18	5	1254	1	11	0	38	0	0	0
Major/Minor M	ajor1		N	Major2		N	/linor1		N	Minor2		
	1255	0	0	1048	0	0	2304	2304	1039	2323	2313	1255
Stage 1	1233	-	-	1040	-	-	1039	1039	1037	1265	1265	1233
Stage 2			_		-	-	1265	1265	-	1058	1048	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-		6.3	5.7	5.8	7.9	7.3	6.6
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	7.1			7.1	-	_	5.3	4.7	5.0	6.9	6.3	0.0
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-			-		5.3	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	_	_	2.2	_	_	3.5	4.7	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	561		_	672	_		46	65	317	16	23	184
Stage 1	501	-		012	-	-	354	391	J17 -	158	183	104
Stage 2	-	-	-		-		278	321	-	217	243	
Platoon blocked, %							270	JZI		217	240	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	561	-	-	672	-		46	65	317	14	23	184
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	501	-		012	-	-	162	190	J17 -	84	104	104
Stage 1	-	-			-	-	354	391	-	158	182	
Stage 2		-	_		_	-	276	319	-	191	243	-
Jiaye Z	-	-		-		-	210	J 17	-	171	243	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	0			0			20.4			<u> </u>		
HCM LOS	U			U			20.4 C					
HCM LOS							C			А		
Minor Long/Major M		IDI1 N	IDI ~2	ED!	EDT	EDD	WDI	WDT	WDD	CDI n1		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	ľ	VBLn1 N		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	ODLIII		
Capacity (veh/h)		162	317	561	-	-	672	-	-	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.069	0.12	-	-	-	0.008	-	-	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)		28.9	17.9	0	-	-	10.4	-	-	0		
HCM Lane LOS		D	С	A	-	-	В	-	-	Α		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.4	0	-	-	0	-	-	-		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.6					
		WDD	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥	,	↑	7	4.	ર્ન
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	6	25	1	16	6
Future Vol, veh/h	0	6	25	1	16	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	-4	-	-	-10
Peak Hour Factor	90	50	57	25	42	75
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0
Mvmt Flow	0	12	44	4	38	8
Maiau/Minau	1! 1		1-1-1		Malana	
	1inor1		/lajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	128	44	0	0	48	0
Stage 1	44	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.21	-	-	4.11	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	-	-	2.209	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	871	1029	-	-	1566	-
Stage 1	984	-	-	_	-	-
Stage 2	944	-	-	_	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	850	1029	_	_	1566	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	850	1027	_		1000	_
Stage 1	984	-		-		_
Ü	904	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	721	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.5		0		6.1	
HCM LOS	A					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBT		VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-			1566	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.012	0.024	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	8.5	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	Α	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0	0.1	-
				-		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.1					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥		ነ		₽	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	64	3	4	47	70	62
Future Vol, veh/h	64	3	4	47	70	62
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	50	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	5	-	-	-3	-5	-
Peak Hour Factor	77	77	92	92	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	1	1	1	2	1	1
Mvmt Flow	83	4	4	51	80	71
	Minor2		Major1		/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	175	116	151	0	-	0
Stage 1	116	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	59	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.41	6.71	4.11	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.41	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.41	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.509	3.309	2.209	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	778	924	1436	-	-	-
Stage 1	883	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	950	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				_	-	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	776	924	1436	_	_	_
Mov Cap 1 Maneuver	776	724	1430	_	_	_
Stage 1	880		_	_	_	_
Stage 2	950		-			
Staye 2	700	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.2		0.6		0	
HCM LOS	В					
Minor Long /Maior M	a.t	NDI	NDT	FDI1	CDT	CDD
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	MRT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1436	-	782	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.003	-	0.111	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s))	7.5	-	10.2	-	-
		7.5 A 0	-	10.2 B 0.4	-	-



Lanes, Volumes, Timings 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road

	۶	→	•	•	+	•	•	†	~	/	+	-√
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	^	7	*	^	7	ሻ	f)			4	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	23	1051	46	104	1124	6	162	8	126	3	5	38
Future Volume (vph)	23	1051	46	104	1124	6	162	8	126	3	5	38
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	11	11	11	11	10	10	12	12	12	12	12	12
Grade (%)		1%			-2%			-3%			7%	
Storage Length (ft)	80		200	125		125	80		190	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	50			50			75			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.859				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.981	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1719	3404	1538	1728	3241	1507	1814	1640	0	0	1781	1543
Flt Permitted	0.184			0.136			0.749				0.892	
Satd. Flow (perm)	333	3404	1538	247	3241	1507	1430	1640	0	0	1619	1543
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			83			83		164				83
Link Speed (mph)		40			40			30			20	
Link Distance (ft)		476			433			390			297	
Travel Time (s)		8.1			7.4			8.9			10.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.65	0.65	0.65
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	26	1194	52	113	1222	7	210	10	164	5	8	58
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	26	1194	52	113	1222	7	210	174	0	0	13	58
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	8	8		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0	21.0	12.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (s)	21.0	66.0	66.0	21.0	66.0	66.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0
Total Split (%)	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	26.3%	26.3%		26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	60.0	60.0	15.0	60.0	60.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Recall Mode												
		Min	Min	None	Min	Min	None	None		None	None	None
	None	Min 7.0	Min 7.0	None	Min 7.0	Min 7.0	None 7.0	None 7.0		None 7.0	None 7.0	None 7.0
Walk Time (s) Flash Dont Walk (s)		Min 7.0 15.0	Min 7.0 15.0	None	Min 7.0 22.0	Min 7.0 22.0	7.0 20.0	7.0 20.0		7.0 20.0	7.0 20.0	7.0 20.0

6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road

	•	-	•	•	←	•	•	†	~	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Act Effct Green (s)	52.2	45.7	45.7	58.8	55.7	55.7	18.6	18.6			18.6	18.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.50	0.50	0.65	0.61	0.61	0.20	0.20			0.20	0.20
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.70	0.06	0.39	0.62	0.01	0.72	0.37			0.04	0.15
Control Delay	7.1	20.2	1.2	10.3	14.5	0.0	50.2	9.2			32.9	4.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	7.1	20.2	1.2	10.3	14.5	0.0	50.2	9.2			32.9	4.6
LOS	Α	С	Α	В	В	Α	D	Α			С	Α
Approach Delay		19.2			14.1			31.6			9.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			Α	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	5	265	0	22	183	0	111	5			6	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	14	376	7	46	390	0	186	38			17	1
Internal Link Dist (ft)		396			353			310			217	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	80		200	125		125	80					
Base Capacity (vph)	455	2323	1076	415	2230	1063	406	583			460	498
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.06	0.51	0.05	0.27	0.55	0.01	0.52	0.30			0.03	0.12

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 118

Actuated Cycle Length: 90.9

Natural Cycle: 60


Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.3 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.7% ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road



Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	1>	LDIX	ሻ	1	WDIX	NDL	4	T T	JDL	4	ODIC
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1172	57	21	1241	0	32	0	1	2	0	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1172	57	21	1241	0	32	0	1	2	0	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-		None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	50	_	-	50	_	-	_	_	0	_	_	-
Veh in Median Storage,		0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	_	2	-	-	-2	-	-	-4	-	-	4	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	80	80	80	50	50	50	33	33	33
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	4	0	5	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	1302	63	26	1551	0	64	0	2	6	0	6
Major/Minor N	1ajor1		N	Major2		1	Minor1		N	Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1551	0	0	1365	0	0	2940	2937	1334	2938	2968	1551
Stage 1	-	-	_	-	-	-	1334	1334	-	1603	1603	-
Stage 2	_	-	_	_	_	_	1606	1603	_	1335	1365	_
Critical Hdwy	4.1	_	_	4.1	_	-	6.41	5.7	5.8	7.9	7.3	6.6
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	_	_	-	_	_	5.41	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	_	-	_	_	-	5.41	4.7	_	6.9	6.3	_
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	_	_	2.2	_	_	3.599	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	433	-	-	510	-	-	~ 17	29	220	~ 5	7	119
Stage 1	-	_	_	-	_	_	244	302	-	94	117	-
Stage 2	_	-	-	_	-	-	180	238	-	142	160	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	_						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	433	-	-	510	-	-	~ 16	28	220	~ 5	7	119
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	96	130	-	49	59	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	244	302	-	94	111	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	162	226	-	141	160	-
J												
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			0.2			95.1			68		
HCM LOS	U			0.2			75.1 F			F		
TIOW EOS							•			•		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBLn1 I	VIRI n2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SRI n1		
Capacity (veh/h)		96	220	433		-	510	-	-	69		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.667		TJJ	_		0.051	_		0.176		
HCM Control Delay (s)		97.4	21.5	0	-	_	12.4	_		68		
HCM Lane LOS		77.4 F	C C	A	_	_	В	_	_	F		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		3.3	0	0	_	_	0.2	_	_	0.6		
		3.0					5.2			3.0		
Notes										de .		
~: Volume exceeds cap	acity	\$: De	elay exc	eeds 30	J0s	+: Com	putatior	Not D	efined	*: All	major v	olume i

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8					
		EDD.	MDI	MOT	ND	NICO
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ΦÞ			^		- 7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1043	132	62	1262	0	77
Future Vol, veh/h	1043	132	62	1262	0	77
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	50	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	1	-	-	-2	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	0	0	2	0	0
Mvmt Flow	1159	147	69	1402	0	86
		_		_		
	/lajor1		/lajor2		/linor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	1306	0	-	653
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.1	-	-	6.9
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	537	-	0	415
Stage 1	_	-	_	-	0	-
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	0	_
Platoon blocked, %	_	_		_		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	_	_	537	_	_	415
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	_		-	_		413
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	•	-	•	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.6		15.9	
HCM LOS			3.3		C	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t ſ	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		415	-	-	537	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.206	-	-	0.128	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		15.9	-	-	12.7	-
HCM Lane LOS		С	-	-	В	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.8	-	-	0.4	-
_(, , ,						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	6.5					
					0=:	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y			- 7		4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	3	31	2	3	57	21
Future Vol, veh/h	3	31	2	3	57	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	-4	-	-	-10
Peak Hour Factor	25	50	50	90	63	71
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0
Mvmt Flow	12	62	4	3	90	30
N 4 - 1 - 1 / N 41 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	N'1		1-!1		M - ! O	
	1inor1		/lajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	214	4	0	0	7	0
Stage 1	4	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	210	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.21	-	-	4.11	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy		3.309	-	-	2.209	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	779	1082	-	-	1620	-
Stage 1	1024	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	830	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	735	1082	-	-	1620	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	735	-	-	_	-	-
Stage 1	1024	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	784	-	-	-	-	_
2.ago 2						
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.9		0		5.5	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBT	NIDDV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
						SDI
Capacity (veh/h)		-		1005	1620	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-		0.074		-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	8.9	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	Α	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0.2	0.2	-

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	8.8						
		EDD	NDI	NDT	CDT	CDD	J
Movement Configurations	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	220	7	ነ	↑	↑	125	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	239	14 14	18 18	75 75	19	125	
Future Vol, veh/h	239				19	125	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0 Ctop	0 Ctop	0	0	0 Eroo	0 Free	
Sign Control RT Channelized	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free		
	-	None	50	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	0		-	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage		-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	5	-	-	-3	5	- 70	
Peak Hour Factor	59	59	68	68	73	73	
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	1	1	110	1	1	
Mvmt Flow	405	24	26	110	26	171	
Major/Minor	Minor2	1	Major1	1	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	188	26	197	0	-	0	
Stage 1	26	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	162	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.43	6.71	4.11	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.43	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.43	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.527	3.309	2.209	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	758	1049	1382	-	-	-	
Stage 1	987	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	826	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	744	1049	1382	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	744	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	968	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	826	-	-	-	-	-	
J							
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
			1.5				
HCM Control Delay, s	15.1		1.5		0		
HCM LOS	С						
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1 I	EBLn2	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)		1382	-	744	1049	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.019	-	0.544		-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.7	-	15.5	8.5	-	
HCM Lane LOS		Α	-	С	Α	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	3.3	0.1	-	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	<i>></i>	/	+	-√
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ች	^	7	*	^	7	ሻ	₽.			ર્ન	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	35	982	29	164	1173	23	82	9	124	38	14	40
Future Volume (vph)	35	982	29	164	1173	23	82	9	124	38	14	40
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	11	11	11	11	10	10	12	12	12	12	12	12
Grade (%)		1%			-2%			-3%			7%	
Storage Length (ft)	80		200	125		125	80		190	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	50			50			75			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.860				0.850
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950				0.965	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1719	3371	1538	1728	3241	1507	1814	1642	0	0	1752	1543
Flt Permitted	0.184			0.191			0.713				0.633	
Satd. Flow (perm)	333	3371	1538	347	3241	1507	1361	1642	0	0	1149	1543
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			83			83		151				83
Link Speed (mph)		40			40			30			20	
Link Distance (ft)		476			433			390			297	
Travel Time (s)		8.1			7.4			8.9			10.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.78	0.78	0.78
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	3%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	1023	30	173	1235	24	100	11	151	49	18	51
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	1023	30	173	1235	24	100	162	0	0	67	51
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			8			4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	8	8		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	21.0	21.0	12.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (s)	21.0	66.0	66.0	21.0	66.0	66.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0
Total Split (%)	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	17.8%	55.9%	55.9%	26.3%	26.3%		26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	60.0	60.0	15.0	60.0	60.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Minimum Gap (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Time Before Reduce (s)	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Time To Reduce (s)	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Recall Mode	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min	None	None		None	None	None
Walk Time (s)		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		15.0	15.0		22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0

6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road

	ᄼ	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	~	-	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Act Effct Green (s)	47.0	40.4	40.4	53.3	48.3	48.3	12.1	12.1			12.1	12.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.59	0.51	0.51	0.67	0.61	0.61	0.15	0.15			0.15	0.15
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.60	0.04	0.46	0.63	0.03	0.49	0.43			0.39	0.17
Control Delay	5.5	15.6	0.1	8.5	13.4	0.0	42.8	11.4			40.9	4.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	5.5	15.6	0.1	8.5	13.4	0.0	42.8	11.4			40.9	4.3
LOS	Α	В	Α	Α	В	Α	D	В			D	Α
Approach Delay		14.9			12.6			23.4			25.1	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	5	172	0	24	221	0	45	5			30	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	15	281	0	54	343	0	101	49			70	6
Internal Link Dist (ft)		396			353			310			217	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	80		200	125		125	80					
Base Capacity (vph)	500	2627	1217	510	2526	1193	444	637			374	559
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.39	0.02	0.34	0.49	0.02	0.23	0.25			0.18	0.09

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 118

Actuated Cycle Length: 79.7

Natural Cycle: 60


Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.9 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.2% ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road



Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9												
		EDT	EDD	WDI	WDT	WDD	NIDI	NIDT	NDD	CDI	CDT	CDD	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	<u>ነ</u>	\$	40	7	1225	1	27	4	74	0	4	0	
Traffic Vol., veh/h	0	999	40	5	1225	1	27	0	24	0	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	999	40	5	1225	1	27	0	24	0	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0 Free	Free	0 Free	0	O Ctop	O Ctop	0 Stop	O Ctop	0 Stop	O Ctop	
Sign Control RT Channelized	Free	Free	None			Free None	Stop	Stop	Stop None	Stop	Stop	Stop None	
	50	-	None -	50	-	None -	-	-	0	-	-	None	
Storage Length Veh in Median Storage,		0	-	50	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Grade, %	# -	2	-	-	-2	-	-	-4	-	-	4	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	95	95	95	63	63	63	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mymt Flow	0	1074	43	5	1289	1	43	0	38	0	0	0	
VIVIII I IOW	U	1074	40	J	1207	1	40	U	30	U	U	U	
	lajor1		1	Major2		1	/linor1			Minor2			
J	1290	0	0	1117	0	0	2396	2396	1096	2415	2417	1290	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1096	1096	-	1300	1300	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1300	1300	-	1115	1117	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	6.3	5.7	5.8	7.9	7.3	6.6	
ritical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.3	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.3	4.7	-	6.9	6.3	-	
ollow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	544	-	-	633	-	-	~ 40	58	296	13	19	175	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	333	372	-	150	175	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	267	312	-	199	222	-	
Platoon blocked, %	E 4.4	-	-	(00	-	-	40	F0	007	11	10	475	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	544	-	-	633	-	-	~ 40	58	296	11	19	175	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	153	181	-	77 150	97	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	333	372	-	150	174	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	265	310	-	173	222	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0			0			28.7			0			
HCM LOS							D			Α			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	N	NBLn1 ľ	VIRI n2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SRI n1			
Capacity (veh/h)		153	296	544	LDI	LDIX -	633	WD1	אוטוע	JULITI			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			0.129	544	-		0.008	-	-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		37.4	19	0	-	-	10.7		-	0			
ICM Control Delay (s)		37.4 E	C	A	-	-	В	-	-	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		1.1	0.4	0	_		0						
		1.1	0.7	U			U						
Votes													
-: Volume exceeds capa	acity	\$: De	elay exc	eeds 30	00s	+: Com	putation	Not D	efined	*: All	major v	olume i	in platoon

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7					
		TDD.	MDI	WDT	NDI	NDD
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	↑ ↑		<u> ነ</u>	^		7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	976	47	64	1231	0	70
Future Vol, veh/h	976	47	64	1231	0	70
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
_ 3	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	50	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	1	-	-	-2	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	0	0	2	0	0
	1084	52	71	1368	0	78
		_		_		
	ajor1	N	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	1136	0	-	568
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.1	-	-	6.9
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	_	-	622	-	0	471
Stage 1			-	_	0	-
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	0	-
Platoon blocked, %	_	_		_	- 0	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	_	_	622	-		471
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-		022	_	-	4/1
	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.6		14.1	
HCM LOS	U		5.0		В	
HOW LOS					U	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	<u> </u>	VBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		471	-	-	622	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.165	-	_	0.114	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		14.1	-			-
HCM Lane LOS		В	_	_	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.6	_		0.4	_
115W 75W 70W Q(VCH)		0.0			0.7	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	5.5					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥			7		4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	26	25	3	39	6
Future Vol, veh/h	1	26	25	3	39	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	-4	-	-	-10
Peak Hour Factor	90	50	57	25	42	75
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0
Mvmt Flow	1	52	44	12	93	8
		02			, 0	
	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	238	44	0	0	56	0
Stage 1	44	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	194	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.21	-	-	4.11	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	-	-	2.209	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	755	1029	-	-	1555	-
Stage 1	984	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 2	844	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	710	1029	_	_	1555	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	710	1027	_	_	1000	_
Stage 1	984					
Stage 2	793	_			_	
Staye 2	173	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.7		0		6.9	
HCM LOS	Α					
Ndinon Long /Nd - ' Nd		NDT	MDD	MDL 4	CDI	CDT
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	l	NBT		VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-		1019	1555	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.052	0.06	-
LICIA Control Doloy (a)			_	8.7	7.5	0
HCM Control Delay (s)		-				
HCM Lane LOS HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	A 0.2	A 0.2	A

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	6.1					
		EDD	ND	NDT	CDT	CDD
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	`	7	<u></u>		↑	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	214	19	21	46	68	144
Future Vol, veh/h	214	19	21	46	68	144
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	0	50	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	5	-	-	-3	5	-
Peak Hour Factor	77	77	92	92	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	1	1	1	2	1	1
Mvmt Flow	278	25	23	50	78	166
	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	174	78	244	0	-	0
Stage 1	78	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	96	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.41	6.71	4.11	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.41	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.41	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.509	3.309	2.209	_	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	780	975	1328	_	-	_
Stage 1	927	-	-	_	_	_
Stage 2	906	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	700			_	_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	767	975	1328		-	-
	767			-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	911	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	906	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	12		2.4		0	
HCM LOS	В		2		Ū	
TIOW E00						
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1 l	EBLn2	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		1328	-	767	975	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.017	-	0.362	0.025	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	-	12.3	8.8	-
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	В	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1)	0.1	-	1.7	0.1	-
1.5W 70W 70W Q(VCI	'/	0.1		1.7	0.1	

LOCAL CONTROLLER PROGRAMMING


Intersection:


Hardin Valley Road at Greenland Way/Pellist

Timing changed: Controller type: December 2017 Peek 3000 E

TIME BY PHASE (SEC) & FUNCTIONS

PHASE	[1]	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
MOVEMENTS	WBLT	EΒ	NB	SB	EBLT	WB		
INITIAL	6	15	8	8	6	15		
PASSAGE	3	6	. 3	3	3	6	*********	
YELLOW	4	4	. 4	4	4	4		
RED CLEAR	2	2	2	2	2	2		
WALK		7	7	7		7		
PED CLEAR		15	20	20	1	22		,
MAX 1	15	100	25	25	15	100		
MAX 2	15	100	25	25	15	100		
RECALL		,						





Date:		Initial:	Comment:
	7/13/2016	JWS	See other volume-density timing parameters
	12/7/2017	JWS	See other volume-density timing parameters Changed to split phase north/south
:			
		·	

APPENDIX G

ITE AND LOCAL TRIP GENERATION DATA

Land Use: 822 Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

Description

A strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. Each study site in this land use has less than 40,000 square feet of gross leasable area (GLA). Because a strip retail plaza is open-air, the GLA is the same as the gross floor area of the building.

The 40,000 square feet GFA threshold between strip retail plaza and shopping plaza (Land Use 821) was selected based on an examination of the overall shopping center/plaza database. No shopping plaza with a supermarket as its anchor is smaller than 40,000 square feet GLA.

Shopping center (>150k) (Land use 820), shopping plaza (40-150k) (Land Use 821), and factory outlet center (Land Use 823) are related uses.

Additional Data

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/tripand-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), California, Delaware, Florida, New Jersey, Ontario (CAN), South Dakota, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Source Numbers

304, 358, 423, 428, 437, 507, 715, 728, 936, 960, 961, 974, 1009



Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

(822)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 4 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 19


Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
54.45	47.86 - 65.07	7.81

Data Plot and Equation

Caution - Small Sample Size



Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

(822)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 5 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 18


Directional Distribution: 60% entering, 40% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
2.36	1.60 - 3.73	0.94

Data Plot and Equation

Caution - Small Sample Size



Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

(822)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.


Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 25 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 21

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
6.59	2.81 - 15.20	2.94



Land Use: 937 Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

Description

This land use includes any coffee and donut restaurant that has a drive-through window as well as a walk-in entrance area at which a patron can purchase and consume items. The restaurant sells freshly brewed coffee (along with coffee-related accessories) and a variety of food/drink products such as donuts, bagels, breads, muffins, cakes, sandwiches, wraps, salads, and other hot and cold beverages. The restaurant marketing and sales may emphasize coffee beverages over food (or vice versa).

A coffee/donut shop typically holds long store hours (more than 15 hours) with an early morning opening. Limited indoor seating is generally provided for patrons, but table service is not provided.

Coffee/donut shop without drive-through window (Land Use 936) and coffee/donut shop with drive-through window and no indoor seating (Land Use 938) are related uses.

Additional Data

The sites were surveyed in the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in California, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ontario (CAN), Pennsylvania, Quebec (CAN), Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Specialized Land Use Data

One study was conducted during the pandemic in 2020. Twelve sites were counted in Illinois and Missouri during the AM and PM adjacent street peak hours. The data have not been incorporated within the overall ITE trip generation database and are not reflected in the data plots for this land use. Consideration for their inclusion will be given for the 12th Edition of Trip Generation Manual after additional post-pandemic data are collected. Overall, the pandemic counts yielded an AM adjacent street peak weighted average rate of 84 vehicle trips per 1,000 square feet GFA, roughly equivalent to the pre-pandemic average. The PM adjacent street peak rate was 56 (roughly 40 percent higher than the pre-pandemic value). The higher PM peak rate for these coffee/donut shops conforms with anecdotal observations that with the temporary or permanent closures of many restaurants during the pandemic, the drive-through restaurants that were open did a brisk business even during their off-peak periods.

Source Numbers

594, 599, 615, 617, 618, 621, 622, 635, 639, 712, 714, 725, 726, 728, 853, 854, 892, 903, 928, 959, 979, 982, 1004, 1042, 1044



Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

(937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday


Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 6 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
533.57	309.41 - 869.00	243.65



Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

(937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.


Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 78 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2

Directional Distribution: 51% entering, 49% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
85.88	18.51 - 282.05	44.92



Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

(937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.


Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 36 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
38.99	13.78 - 92.31	17.79



APPENDIX H OTISS PRO WORKSHEETS




































Volume display zoom-out



















PROJECT DETAILS

Type of Project:

Project No:

2409

The Village at Hardin Valley

City: Knoxville

Country: Knox Analyst Name: Robert Jacks, PE Built-up Area(Sq.ft):

Date: 5/17/2024 Clients Name:

Tennessee State/Province:

ZIP/Postal Code: No. of Scenarios: 8

Analysis Region:

Project Name:

SCENARIO SUMMARY

Scenarios	Namo	No. of Land Uses	Phases of	No. of Years to Project	Hear Croup	Estir	Estimated New Vehicle Trips		
	Name	No. of Land Oses	Development	Traffic	User Group	Entry	Exit	Total	
Scenario - 1	2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West	3	1	0		252	228	480	

Scenario - 4

Scenario Name: 2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

User Group:

Dev. phase: 1

No. of Years to Project 0 Traffic:

Analyst Note: This includes pass-by trips in order to get the software to calculate them. However, the software does not correctly calculate the distribution of pass-by trips. Therefore, a copy is made of this scenario.

Warning:

VEHICLE TRIPS BEFORE REDUCTION

Land Use & Data Source	Location	IV	Size	Time Period	Method	Entry	Exit	Total									
	Location	''	Size	Tillie Fellou	Rate/Equation	Split%	Split%	IOtal									
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	General	1000 Sg. Ft. GLA	15.6	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Best Fit (LOG)	23	15	38									
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban	1000 Sq. Ft. GLA	15.6	Adjacent Street Traffic,	Ln(T) =0.66Ln(X) + 1.84	60%	40%	30									
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through	General	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 5~ 54 654	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Average	175	168	343							
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban				1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA 4	4	Adjacent Street Traffic,	85.88
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	General	1000 0	F 20	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Average	137	122	250									
Data Source: Private Data Sets	Urban/Suburban	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA 5.30	5.30	Adjacent Street Traffic,	48.79	53%	47%	259									

VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION

BASELINE SITE VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS:

Land Use	Baseline Site Vehicle Mode Share		Baseline Site Vehicle Occupancy		Baseline Site Vehicle Directional Split	
Land Ose	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	100	100	1	1	60	40
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	100	100	1	1	51	49
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	100	100	1.00	1.00	53	47

ESTIMATED BASELINE SITE PERSON TRIPS:

Land Use	Person Trips by Vehicle		Person Trips by Other Modes		Total Baseline Site Person Trips	
Lailu OSE	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	23	15	0	0	23	15
622 - Strip Retail Flaza (N40K)	38		0	0 38		8
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	175	168	0	0	175	168
357 - Corree/Donat Shop with Drive-Through Window	3	343	0		175	43
0007 Chial fil A [Daimaka]	137	122	0	0	137	122
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	259		0		2!	59

INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

LAND USE GROUP ASSIGNMENT:

Land Use	Land Use Group
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	Retail
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	Resturant
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	Resturant

BALANCED PERSON TRIPS:

822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40)	k)					937	' - Coffee/Donut Shop wi	th Drive-Through Window
Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
15	1	13	2	2	44	25	1	175

Generated By OTISS Pro v2.1 2

Person latery									
Persons Early PAF	Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
Persons Ecit	23	1	4	1	1	24	14	1	168
15	822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40	0k)							9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]
Persons Entry	Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
1	15	1	0	0	0	34	25	1	137
1	Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
937 Coffee (Donut Shop with Drine Through Window Persons Exity PAF UIPTC UInconstrained Demand UIPC PAF Persons Exity 168 1	•	1			1			1	
Persons Esit PAF				_	<u>-</u>	1,			
168 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 137 Persons Entry PAF UBTC Unconstrained Demand	937 - Coffee/Donut Shop w	ith Drive-Through Wi	indow						9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]
Persons Entry	Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
175 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 122 INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS: 822 - Steip Retail Plaza (cd0k) Internal Person Trips From	168	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	137
NTERNAL PERSON TRIPS:	Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
	175	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	122
	INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS:								
Internal Person Trips From		0k)							
1		•					Entry	Exit	Total
Total Internal Person Trips 2 2 2 4 4	937 - Coffee/Donut Shop w	vith Drive-Through Wi	indow				1	2	3
Say - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window Internal Person Trips From Entry Exit Total 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (40k) 2 1 3 9007 - Chick-Hi-A (Private) 0 0 0 Total Internal Person Trips From Entry Exit Total 9007 - Chick-Hi-A (Private) 2 1 3 9007 - Chick-Hi-A (Private)	9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]						1	0	1
Internal Person Trips From Entry Exit Total 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (40k) 2 1 1 3 3 9007 - Chick-fil-A (Private) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Internal Person Trips	5					2	2	4
Internal Person Trips From Entry Exit Total 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (40k) 2 1 1 3 3 9007 - Chick-fil-A (Private) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
S22 - Strip Retail Plaza (-40k)			Vindow					T	
SOUT - Chick-fil-A [Private] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
Total Internal Person Trips		JK)							
S007 - Chick-fil-A [Private] Internal Person Trips From		•							
Internal Person Trips From	Total internal Person Trips	•						1	3
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]								
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window 0	Internal Person Trips From						Entry	Exit	Total
Total Internal Person Trips	822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40)k)					0	1	1
Internal Vehicle Trips AND CAPTURE: 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)			indow						
Starl Retail Plaza (-40k)	Total Internal Person Trips	5					0	1	1
Starl Retail Plaza (-40k)									
Total Internal Person Trips 2 2 4 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% - Vehicle Occupancy 1.00 1.00 - Total Vehicle Internal Trips 2 2 4 Total External Vehicle Trips 21 13 34 Internal Vehicle Trip Capture 9% 13% 0% 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -									
Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% - Vehicle Occupancy 1.00 1.00 - Total Vehicle Internal Trips 2 2 4 Total External Vehicle Trips 21 13 34 Internal Vehicle Trip Capture 9% 13% 0% 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window Total Internal Person Trips 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -	822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<4	UK)							
Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% - Vehicle Occupancy 1.00 1.00 - Total Vehicle Internal Trips 2 2 4 Total External Vehicle Trips 21 13 34 Internal Vehicle Trip Capture 9% 13% 0% 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window Total Internal Person Trips 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -	Total Internal Person Trips						2	T 2	4
Vehicle Occupancy									
Total External Vehicle Trips 21 13 34 Internal Vehicle Trip Capture 9% 13% 0% 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window 2 1 3 Yehicle Mode Share 100% 100%									-
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture 9% 13% 0% 937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window Total Internal Person Trips 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -	Total Vehicle Internal Trip	s					2	2	4
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window Total Internal Person Trips 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -	Total External Vehicle Trips	5					21	13	34
Total Internal Person Trips 2 1 3 Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -	Internal Vehicle Trip Captu	ure					9%	13%	0%
Vehicle Mode Share 100% 100% -	937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window								
	Total Internal Person Trips						2	1	3
Vehicle Occupancy 1.00 1.00 -									-
	Vehicle Occupancy						1.00	1.00	-

Generated By OTISS Pro v2.1

Total Vehicle Internal Trips	2	1	3
Total External Vehicle Trips	173	167	340
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	1%	1%	0%
	•	•	

9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]

Total Internal Person Trips	0	1	1
Vehicle Mode Share	100%	100%	-
Vehicle Occupancy	1.00	1.00	-
Total Vehicle Internal Trips	0	1	1
Total External Vehicle Trips	137	121	258
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	0%	1%	0%

PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

Land Use	External Vehicle Trips		Pass-by Vehicle Trip %		Pass-by Vehicle Trips	
Land Ose	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	21	13	10.00%	10.00%	2	1
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	173	167	25.00%	25.00%	43	42
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	137	121	25.00%	25.00%	34	30

DIVERTED VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

Land Use	External Vehicle Trips		Diverted Vehicle Trip %		Diverted Vehicle Trips	
Lanu Ose	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	21	13	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	173	167	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	137	121	0.00%	0.00%	0	0

EXTRA VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

Land Use	(External - (Pass-by + Diverted)) Vehicle Trips		Extra Vehicle Trip Reduction %		Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips	
Land USE	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	19	12	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	130	125	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	103	91	0.00%	0.00%	0	0

NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

Land Use	New Vehicle Trips			
	Entry	Exit	Total	
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	19	12	31	
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	130	125	255	
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	103	91	194	

RESULTS

Site Totals	Entry	Exit	Total
Vehicle Trips Before Reduction	335	305	640
Internal Vehicle Trips	4	4	8
External Vehicle Trips	331	301	632
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	1%	1%	1%
Pass-by Vehicle Trips	79	73	152
Diverted Vehicle Trips	0	0	0

Generated By OTISS Pro v2.1

Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
New Vehicle Trips	252	228	480

Project: The Village at Ha	ardin Valley	Trip D	Trip Distribution Summary			
2025 AM Peak Hour - Re	Report Ger	nerated by OTISS Pro				
Site	Driveways	Inbound	Outbound Total Trip			
Village et II Valley	North Front Driveway	149	47	196		
Village at H Valley	SW Rear Driveway	5	16	21		
	SE Rear Driveway	98	165	263		

Project: The Village at Hardin	Trip Assignment Summary						
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Assigned New Trips	Distribution to West		Report Generated by OTISS Pro				
Site	Routes	Inbound	Outbound				
Village at H Valley	From West	102	Not Defined				
	To and From Pell State	8	7				
	To and From East	39	40				
	From SW	0	Not Defined				
	To and From SE	0	0				
	To and From SW	2	2				
	To and From West	3	5				
	To and From East	0	1				
	West via East	0	8				
	To and From SE	0	0				
	To and From SW	1	0				
	To and From West	30	4				
	To and From SE	10	9				
	To and From East	37	29				
	West via East	15	119				
	To and From Pell State	5	4				
Assigned Pass-by Trips							
Site	Driveway	Turning Movement	Assigned Pass-by Trips				
Village at H Valley	SW Rear Driveway	NEB-Left SWB-Right	1 0				

SWB-Right

0

SE Rear Driveway

UnAssigned trips are more than 0 for some driveways

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

1:H Valley at Greenlan Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Peforming Arts Way Hardin Valley Road			load	Har	din Valley F	Road	Greenland Way				
Lane Group	SEBL	SEBT	SEBR	NEBL	NEBT	NEBR	SWBL	SWBT	SWBR	NWBL	NWBT	NWBR
Configuration												
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Shared?	Yes		No	No		No	No		No	No		Yes
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Turning Volumes												
Existing Traffic	3	0	30	16	1026	16	50	1102	6	20	4	82
Background Traffic	3	0	30	16	1026	16	50	1102	6	20	4	82
Site Generated Trips	0	5	8	7	40	15	37	39	0	127	4	30
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Build Conditions												
Redistributed Background Traffic	3	0	30	16	1026	16	50	1102	6	20	4	82
Total Build Traffic	3	5	38	23	1066	31	87	1141	6	147	8	112

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

2:Hardin at Award Win Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Bryant Lane			Hardin Valley Road			Hardin Valley Road			Award Winning Way		
Lane Group	SEBL	SEBT	SEBR	NEBL	NEBT	NEBR	SWBL	SWBT	SWBR	NWBL	NWBT	NWBR
Configuration												
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Shared?	Yes		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	Yes		No
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Turning Volumes												
Existing Traffic	2	0	2	0	1055	24	21	1131	0	10	0	1
Background Traffic	2	0	2	0	1055	24	21	1131	0	10	0	1
Site Generated Trips	0	0	0	0	117	33	0	127	0	9	0	0
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Build Conditions												
Redistributed Background Traffic	2	0	2	0	1055	24	21	1131	0	10	0	1
Total Build Traffic	2	0	2	0	1172	57	21	1258	0	19	0	1

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

3:Award at S Bluff Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Spi	ring Bluff W	ay	Sp	ring Bluff W	/ay	Awa	ırd Winning	Way	Award Winning Way		
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBT	NEBR	SWBL	SWBT	SWBR	NWBL	NWBT	NWBR	SEBL	SEBT	SEBR
Configuration												
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Shared?	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Turning Volumes												
Existing Traffic	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	2	0	24	21	0
Background Traffic	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	2	0	24	21	0
Site Generated Trips	0	0	0	2	0	9	0	0	3	33	0	0
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Build Conditions												
Redistributed Background Traffic	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	2	0	24	21	0
Total Build Traffic	0	0	0	3	0	18	0	2	3	57	21	0

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

4:Greenland at S Bluff Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Sp	ring Bluff Way	G	reenland Way	G	reenland Way	
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBR	NWBL	NWBT	SEBT	SEBR	
Configuration							
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes		No			Yes	
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	37	4	7	76	20	35	
Background Traffic	37	4	7	76	20	35	
Site Generated Trips	161	9	10	0	0	57	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	37	4	7	76	20	35	
Total Build Traffic	198	13	17	76	20	92	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

5:North Entrance Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Hardin Valley Road	Hardin Valley Road	Street4	
Lane Group	NEBT NEBR	SWBL SWBT	NWBL NWBR	
Configuration				

	1	1	1	1	l o	1	
Number of Lanes	1	<u> </u>		1		1	
Shared?		Yes	No		0		
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	0	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	1058	0	0	1152	0	0	
Background Traffic	1058	0	0	1152	0	0	
Site Generated Trips	15	102	47	127	0	47	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	-35	35	38	-38	38	35	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	-35	35	38	-38	38	35	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	1023	35	38	1114	0	35	
Total Build Traffic	1038	137	85	1241	0	82	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

6:SW Entrance

2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Spr	ing Bluff Way	Sp	Spring Bluff Way		Rear Driveway	
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBT	SWBT	SWBR	SEBL	SEBR	
Configuration							
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes			Yes	Yes		
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	0	24	10	0	0	0	
Background Traffic	0	24	10	0	0	0	
Site Generated Trips	5	31	4	0	9	7	

Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	1	-1	0	0	1	0	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	1	-1	0	0	1	0	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	1	23	10	0	1	0	
Total Build Traffic	6	54	14	0	10	7	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 AM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

7:SE Entrance Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Spring Bluff Way		Sp	ring Bluff Way		CR 300	
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBT	SWBT	SWBR	SEBL	SEBR	
Configuration							
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes			Yes	Yes		
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	0	41	42	0	0	0	
Background Traffic	0	41	42	0	0	0	
Site Generated Trips	31	9	0	67	161	4	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	1	40	41	1	1	1	
Total Build Traffic	32	49	41	68	162	5	

PROJECT DETAILS

 Project Name:
 The Village at Hardin Valley
 Type of Project:

 Project No:
 2409
 City:

City: Knoxville

Country: Knox
Analyst Name: Robert Jacks, PE

Built-up Area(Sq.ft): Clients Name:

Date: 5/17/2024

ZIP/Postal Code: No. of Scenarios: 8

State/Province: **Tennessee** Analysis Region:

SCENARIO SUMMARY

Scenarios	Name	No of land liess	Phases of	No. of Years to Project	Hear Croup	Estimated New Vehicle Trips				
Scenarios	Name	No. of Land Uses	Development	Traffic	User Group		Total			
Scenario - 1	2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West	3	1	0		183	164	347		

Scenario - 5

Scenario Name: 2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

User Group:

Dev. phase: 1

No. of Years to Project 0 Traffic:

Analyst Note: This includes pass-by trips in order to get the software to calculate them. However, the software does not correctly calculate the distribution of pass-by trips. Therefore, a copy is made of this scenario.

Warning:

VEHICLE TRIPS BEFORE REDUCTION

Land Use & Data Source	Location	IV	Size	Time Period Method Entry E	Exit	Total			
Land Ose & Data Source	Location	١٧	3126	Time renou	Rate/Equation	Split%	Split%	Iotai	
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	General	1000 Sg. Ft. GLA	15.6	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Best Fit (LOG)	53	53	106	
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban	1000 3q. Ft. GLA	15.6	Adjacent Street Traffic,	Ln(T) =0.71Ln(X) + 2.72	50%	50%	100	
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through	General	1000 Sg. Ft. GFA	4	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Average	78	78	156	
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	4	Adjacent Street Traffic,	38.99	50%	50%	150	
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	General	1000 Sa. Ft. GFA	F 20	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Average	115	90	205	
Data Source: Private Data Sets	Urban/Suburban	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	5.30	Adjacent Street Traffic,	38.62	56%	44%	205	

VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION

BASELINE SITE VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS:

Land Use	Baseline Site Vehicle Mode Share Baseline Sit			icle Occupancy	Baseline Site Vehicle Directional Split		
Land Ose	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	100	100	1	1	50	50	
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	100	100	1	1	50	50	
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	100	100	1.00	1.00	56	44	

ESTIMATED BASELINE SITE PERSON TRIPS:

Land Use	Person Trips by Vehicle		Person Trips by Other Modes		Total Baseline Site Person Trips	
Lanu Ose	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	53	53	0	0	53	53
822 - Strip Retail Flaza (N40K)	106		0		106	
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	78	78	0	0	78	78
957 - Coffee/Donat Shop with Drive-Through Williadw	156		0		156	
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	115	90	0	0	115	90
	205		0		205	

INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

LAND USE GROUP ASSIGNMENT:

Land Use	Land Use Group
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	Retail
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	Resturant
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	Resturant

BALANCED PERSON TRIPS:

822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40	k)					937	- Coffee/Donut Shop wi	ith Drive-Through Window
Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
53	1	13	7	7	19	25	1	78

Generated By OTISS Pro v2.1 2

Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
53	1	4	2	2	11	14	1	78
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k	:)							9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]
Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
								•
53	1	0	0	0	29	25	1	115
Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
53	1	4	2	2	13	14	1	90
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop wit	th Drive-Through Wir	ndow						9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]
Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
78	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	115
Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
78	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	90
INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS: 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k Internal Person Trips From	k)					Entry	Exit	Total
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop wit	th Drive-Through Wir	ndow				2	7	9
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]						2	0	2
Total Internal Person Trips						4	7	11
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop wi Internal Person Trips From	ith Drive-Through W	indow				Fasher .	Exit	Total
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	·)					Entry 7	2	9
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	· /					0	0	0
Total Internal Person Trips						7	2	9
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]							•	-
Internal Person Trips From						Entry	Exit	Total
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	:)					0	2	2
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop wit	th Drive-Through Wir	ndow				0	0	0
Total Internal Person Trips						0	2	2
INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIPS AN 822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k								
Total Internal Person Trips			<u> </u>			4	7	11
Vehicle Mode Share						100%	100%	-
Vehicle Occupancy						1.00	1.00	-
Total Vehicle Internal Trips Total External Vehicle Trips						4 49	7 46	95
Internal Vehicle Trip Captur	re					49 7%	13%	0%
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop wi		indow						***
Total Internal Person Trips						7	2	9
Vehicle Mode Share						100%	100%	-
Vehicle Occupancy						1.00	1.00	- 1

Generated By OTISS Pro v2.1

Total Vehicle Internal Trips	7	2	9
Total External Vehicle Trips	71	76	147
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	9%	3%	0%

9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]

Total Internal Person Trips	0	2	2
Vehicle Mode Share	100%	100%	=
Vehicle Occupancy	1.00	1.00	-
Total Vehicle Internal Trips	0	2	2
Total External Vehicle Trips	115	88	203
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	0%	2%	0%

PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

Land Use	External Vehicle Trips		Pass-by Vehicle Trip %		Pass-by Vehicle Trips	
	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	49	46	10.00%	10.00%	5	5
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	71	76	25.00%	25.00%	18	19
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	115	88	25.00%	25.00%	29	22

DIVERTED VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

Land Use	External Vehicle Trips		Diverted Vehicle Trip %		Diverted Vehicle Trips	
	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	49	46	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	71	76	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	115	88	0.00%	0.00%	0	0

EXTRA VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION

Land Use	(External - (Pass-by + Diverted)) Vehicle Trips		Extra Vehicle Trip Reduction %		Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips	
Lanu OSC	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	44	41	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	53	57	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	86	66	0.00%	0.00%	0	0

NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

Land Use	New Vehicle Trips				
	Entry	Exit	Total		
822 - Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)	44	41	85		
937 - Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window	53	57	110		
9007 - Chick-fil-A [Private]	86	66	152		

RESULTS

Site Totals	Entry	Exit	Total
Vehicle Trips Before Reduction	246	221	467
Internal Vehicle Trips	11	11	22
External Vehicle Trips	235	210	445
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	4%	5%	5%
Pass-by Vehicle Trips	52	46	98
Diverted Vehicle Trips	0	0	0

Generated By OTISS Pro v2.1

Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
New Vehicle Trips	183	164	347

Project: The Village at Ha	rdin Valley	Trip Distribution Summary					
2025 PM Peak Hour - Rev	vised Distribution to West	Report Generated by OTISS Pro					
Site	Driveways	Inbound	Outbound	Total Trips			
Village at H Valley	North Front Driveway SW Rear Driveway	88 4 91	60 14	148 18 181			
	SE Rear Driveway	91	90	181			

Project: The Village at Hardin Va			Trip Assignment Summary
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Di Assigned New Trips	stribution to West		Report Generated by OTISS Pro
Site	Routes	Inbound	Outbound
	From West	37	Not Defined
	To and From Pell State	10	10
	To and From East	41	50
	From SW	0	Not Defined
	To and From SE	0	0
	To and From SW	1	1
	To and From West	3	3
Village at H Valley	To and From East	0	2
	West via East	0	8
	To and From SE	0	0
	To and From SW	1	0
	To and From West	20	3
	To and From SE	16	15
	To and From East	41	23
	West via East	4	43
	To and From Pell State	9	6
Assigned Pass-by Trips			
Site	Driveway	Turning Movement	Assigned Pass-by Trips
Village at H Valley	North Front Driveway	NEB-Right SWB-Left	21 25

SE Rear Driveway

UnAssigned trips are more than 0 for some driveways

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

1:H Valley at Greenlan Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Pefo	Peforming Arts Way			Hardin Valley Road			Hardin Valley Road			Greenland Way		
Lane Group	SEBL	SEBT	SEBR	NEBL	NEBT	NEBR	SWBL	SWBT	SWBR	NWBL	NWBT	NWBR	
Configuration													
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes		No	No		No	No		No	No		Yes	
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes													
Existing Traffic	38	5	30	25	943	14	108	1147	23	20	2	89	
Background Traffic	38	5	30	25	943	14	108	1147	23	20	2	89	
Site Generated Trips	0	9	10	10	50	4	41	41	0	51	6	25	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Build Conditions													
Redistributed Background Traffic	38	5	30	25	943	14	108	1147	23	20	2	89	
Total Build Traffic	38	14	40	35	993	18	149	1188	23	71	8	114	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

2:Hardin at Award Win Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Bryant Lane			Har	din Valley R	Road	Hardin Valley Road			Award Winning Way		
Lane Group	SEBL	SEBT	SEBR	NEBL	NEBT	NEBR	SWBL	SWBT	SWBR	NWBL	NWBT	NWBR
Configuration												
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Shared?	Yes		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	Yes		No
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Turning Volumes												
Existing Traffic	0	0	0	0	958	17	5	1191	1	7	0	24
Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	958	17	5	1191	1	7	0	24
Site Generated Trips	0	0	0	0	41	23	0	51	0	6	0	0
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Build Conditions												
Redistributed Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	958	17	5	1191	1	7	0	24
Total Build Traffic	0	0	0	0	999	40	5	1242	1	13	0	24

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

3:Award at S Bluff Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Spi	Spring Bluff Way			Spring Bluff Way			ırd Winning	Way	Award Winning Way		
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBT	NEBR	SWBL	SWBT	SWBR	NWBL	NWBT	NWBR	SEBL	SEBT	SEBR
Configuration												
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Shared?	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Turning Volumes												
Existing Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	25	1	16	6	0
Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	25	1	16	6	0
Site Generated Trips	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	2	23	0	0
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Build Conditions												
Redistributed Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	25	1	16	6	0
Total Build Traffic	0	0	0	1	0	12	0	25	3	39	6	0

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

4:Greenland at S Bluff Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Sp	oring Bluff Way	G	Greenland Way		reenland Way	
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBR	NWBL	NWBT	SEBT	SEBR	
Configuration							
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes		No			Yes	
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	64	3	4	47	70	62	
Background Traffic	64	3	4	47	70	62	
Site Generated Trips	82	15	16	0	0	54	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	64	3	4	47	70	62	
Total Build Traffic	146	18	20	47	70	116	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

5:North Entrance Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Hardin Valley Road	Hardin Valley Road	North Front Driveway	
Lane Group	NEBT NEBR	SWBL SWBT	NWBL NWBR	
Configuration				

Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	0	1	
Shared?		Yes	No		0		
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	0	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	982	0	0	1197	0	0	
Background Traffic	982	0	0	1197	0	0	
Site Generated Trips	4	37	51	51	0	60	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	-21	21	25	-25	25	21	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	-21	21	25	-25	25	21	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	961	21	25	1172	0	21	
Total Build Traffic	965	58	76	1223	0	81	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley

2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

6:SW Entrance
Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Spr	ing Bluff Way	Sp	ring Bluff Way		CR 600	
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBT	SWBT	SWBR	SEBL	SEBR	
Configuration							
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes			Yes	Yes		
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	0	17	6	0	0	0	
Background Traffic	0	17	6	0	0	0	
Site Generated Trips	4	21	3	0	10	4	

Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	0	17	6	0	0	0	
Total Build Traffic	4	38	9	0	10	4	

Project: The Village at Hardin Valley
2025 PM Peak Hour - Revised Distribution to West

7:SE Entrance Report Generated by OTISS Pro

	Sp	ring Bluff Way	Sp	ring Bluff Way		CR 600	
Lane Group	NEBL	NEBT	SWBT	SWBR	SEBL	SEBR	
Configuration							
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Shared?	Yes			Yes	Yes		
% Growth Over 0 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Factor	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Turning Volumes							
Existing Traffic	0	67	66	0	0	0	
Background Traffic	0	67	66	0	0	0	
Site Generated Trips	21	10	0	70	87	3	
Pass-by Trips (Non-Primary)	1	-1	-2	2	1	2	
Diverted Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extra Reduced Trips (Non-Primary)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-primary Trips	1	-1	-2	2	1	2	
Build Conditions							
Redistributed Background Traffic	1	66	64	2	1	2	
Total Build Traffic	22	76	64	72	88	5	

APPENDIX I
KNOX COUNTY TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLD WORKSHEETS

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

RI	GHT-TURN	THR	OUGH VOLUM	ME PLUS LE	THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME *												
	VOLUME	<100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399										
	Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99																
	100 - 149 150 - 199																
	200 - 249 250 - 299					Yes	Yes Yes										
	300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
	400 - 449 450 - 499	•	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes · Yes	Yes Yes										
	500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
	600 or More Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes										
	VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+/> 60										
	Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
32	100 - 149		48	Xes	Yes	Yes	Yes										
95 20	150 - 199	(<u>100</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes										
	200 - 249 250 - 299	Yes Yes		lley Road at seed North	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
	300 - 349 Yes 350 - 399 Yes		Entr	rance	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
	400 - 449 450 - 499	Yes Yes		ected AM Furns = 132	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
	500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes Yes		urn Lane	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes										
-0.004 0000	600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes										

^{*} Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

RIGHT-TURN	THE	OUGH VOLUM	ME PLUS LE	FT-TURN	VOLUME	5 *
VOLUME	<100	100 - 199	200 - 249	250 - 299	300 - 349	350 - 399
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99						
100 - 149 150 - 199						
200 - 249 250 - 299					Yes	Yes Yes
300 - 349 350 - 399			Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
400 - 449 450 - 499		Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes · Yes	Yes Yes
500 - 549 550 - 599	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
600 or More	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes 976/2 * 1.05	Yes	Yes
				= 512.4		
RIGHT-TURN	THE	ROUGH VOLUM	ME PLUS LE	FT-TURN	VOLUMI	<u> </u>
VOLUME	350 - 399	400 - 449	450 - 499	500 - 549	550 - 600	+/> 600
Fewer Than 25 25 - 49 50 - 99				Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
100 - 149 150 - 199	F-20-200 E		Yes Valley Road at	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
200 - 249	Yes Yes		posed North atrance	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
250 - 299						
250 - 299 300 - 349 350 - 399	Yes Yes		ojected PM t Turns = 47	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
300 - 349	Yes	EB Righ				
300 - 349 350 - 399 400 - 449	Yes Yes	EB Right Right Wa	t Turns = 47 Turn Lane	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes Yes

^{*} Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

600 or More

Yes

APPENDIX J

SIMTRAFFIC VEHICLE QUEUE WORKSHEETS

Intersection: 3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane & Hardin Valley Road

Movement	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	TR	L	TR	LT	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	8	25	47	134	14
Average Queue (ft)	2	11	12	95	4
95th Queue (ft)	13	34	63	218	20
Link Distance (ft)	397		81	292	328
Upstream Blk Time (%)			1	2	
Queuing Penalty (veh)			7	0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		50			
Storage Blk Time (%)		2	1		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		27	0		

Intersection: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	T	T	R	L	T	T	R	L	TR	LT	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	50	192	173	26	79	204	155	12	107	95	15	29
Average Queue (ft)	19	130	112	8	48	123	82	3	69	54	3	16
95th Queue (ft)	63	206	185	28	95	230	176	14	114	106	17	37
Link Distance (ft)		407	407			397	397			300	246	246
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	80			200	125			125	80			
Storage Blk Time (%)		17	0		0	5	2		9	2		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		4	0		0	5	0		12	3		

Intersection: 10: North Entrance & Hardin Valley Road

Movement	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB
Directions Served	TR	L	Т	T	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	6	50	10	5	56
Average Queue (ft)	1	31	2	1	35
95th Queue (ft)	10	59	19	13	62
Link Distance (ft)	170		407	407	76
Upstream Blk Time (%)					1
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		50			
Storage Blk Time (%)		3	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		18	0		

Intersection: 11: Hardin Valley Road

Movement	WB	WB
Directions Served	T	T
Maximum Queue (ft)	121	118
Average Queue (ft)	65	64
95th Queue (ft)	153	152
Link Distance (ft)	170	170
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	2
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 14: Award Winning Way & Spring Bluff Way

Movement	WB
Directions Served	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	33
Average Queue (ft)	21
95th Queue (ft)	45
Link Distance (ft)	184
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Intersection: 16: Greenland Way & Spring Bluff Way

Movement	EB	EB	NB
Directions Served	L	R	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	63	18	15
Average Queue (ft)	47	6	4
95th Queue (ft)	72	20	20
Link Distance (ft)	192		
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		100	50
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 80

Intersection: 3: Award Winning Way/Bryant Lane & Hardin Valley Road

Movement	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	TR	L	TR	LT	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	2	9	9	58	31
Average Queue (ft)	0	2	2	34	16
95th Queue (ft)	5	12	17	84	40
Link Distance (ft)	397		81	292	292
Upstream Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		50			
Storage Blk Time (%)			0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0		

Intersection: 6: Greenland Way/Performing Arts Way & Hardin Valley Road

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	T	T	R	L	T	T	R	L	TR	LT	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	63	172	180	22	88	176	123	13	76	80	78	41
Average Queue (ft)	28	122	119	6	53	107	60	4	44	49	43	24
95th Queue (ft)	86	194	207	25	98	191	131	17	83	84	89	48
Link Distance (ft)		407	407			397	397			300	246	246
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	80			200	125			125	80			
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	14	1		0	3	0		1	2		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	2	5	0		3	5	0		2	1		

Intersection: 10: North Entrance & Hardin Valley Road

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	
Directions Served	L	T	T	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	48	18	21	53	
Average Queue (ft)	29	5	4	35	
95th Queue (ft)	58	34	28	62	
Link Distance (ft)		407	407	76	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	50				
Storage Blk Time (%)	1	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	6	0			

Intersection: 11: Hardin Valley Road

Movement	WB	WB
Directions Served	T	T
Maximum Queue (ft)	140	134
Average Queue (ft)	68	71
95th Queue (ft)	165	168
Link Distance (ft)	170	170
Upstream Blk Time (%)	1	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)	5	4
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 14: Award Winning Way & Spring Bluff Way

Movement	WB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	33	3
Average Queue (ft)	18	1
95th Queue (ft)	44	8
Link Distance (ft)	184	292
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 16: Greenland Way & Spring Bluff Way

Movement	EB	EB	NB
Directions Served	L	R	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	64	18	12
Average Queue (ft)	44	10	3
95th Queue (ft)	74	27	18
Link Distance (ft)	192		
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		100	50
Storage Blk Time (%)	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0		

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 34

APPENDIX K

LETTER TO ADDRESS TIS REVIEW COMMENTS



11812 Black Road Knoxville, Tennessee 37932 Phone (865) 556-0042 ajaxengineering@gmail.com

June 20, 2024

PROJECT NAME: The Village at Hardin Valley (7-A-24-DP)

TO: Knoxville-Knox County Planning

SUBJECT: Response Document for The Village at Hardin Valley TIS Review Comments

Knoxville-Knox County Planning and Knox County Engineering Staff:

The following response document addresses the comments in an email from Mike Conger, PE, dated June 18, 2024. This letter is added to the end of the revised report in Appendix L.

1. Comments from Knox County Engineering:

- Proposed concrete island at Restaurant with drive-thru needs to be curbed around and eliminate access to the main driveway aisle.
- Main drive aisle should line up with Chikfila.

<u>Response</u>: The site designer addressed these comments in the revised site plan, which is incorporated into the revised TIS in all figures that include the site plan.

2. Comments from Knoxville-Knox County Planning:

• There should be additional discussion in the TIS regarding internal site circulation in relation to the main Chick Fil A access and how it interacts with the coffee shop drive thru window. It is suggested that consideration be given for swapping the drive thru window to the opposite (west) side of the building which would potentially reduce conflict with the heavy traffic movements accessing Chick Fil A and also provide a more logical circulation pattern rather than the "contra-flow" situation as shown where the far-right lane is being used for eastbound rather than a more logical westbound direction. Additionally, the access to the currently shown drive-thru lane appears to be problematic from a turning radius standpoint for traffic entering the site from the Southeast entrance. There should also be an indication on the site plan of where the drive thru order board will be located and a discussion of any potential queueing issues that may need to be addressed. In summary, please evaluate the drive thru circulation pattern in order to determine the optimum orientation for the overall site layout.

Response: An additional discussion has been included in the revised report on Pages 21-22. The drive-thru window has stayed in the same place on the east side of the building, and the order board has been identified in Figure 3. Additional recommendations are offered in the report sections under "The Village at Hardin Valley Internal Drive/Parking Lot Aisleways" related to the drive-thru lane. This includes the following: "Internal guide signs should direct vehicular customers of the coffee/donut shop to the drive-thru lane's location. White pavement lane markings, arrows, or messages should also be considered to facilitate orderly vehicular movements."


In addition to the revisions listed above, other changes in the report include the following:

- Updated Title Page
- Updated Table of Contents
- Updated Page Footers
- Added Appendix K to include this response letter

If you have any questions or further comments, don't hesitate to get in touch with me. We look forward to your approval.

Sincerely,

Ajax Engineering, LLC Robert W. Jacks, P.E.



Ajax Engineering, LLC 11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 ajaxengineering@gmail.com © 2024 Ajax Engineering, LLC



CIVIL ENGINEERING / TRAFFIC ENGINEERING