

Transportation Impact Letter Crenshaw Road Residential Development Knox County, Tennessee



October 2024

Prepared for: Sterling Engineering, Inc. 1020 William Blount Drive P.O. Box 4878 Maryville, TN 37802

> 8-A-24-DP TIL Version 1 10/21/2024



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Preface:

A residential development is proposed at the corner of Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road in South Knox County, TN. This review is being provided to assist in determining a suitable and most appropriate location for the Proposed Entrance based on transportation engineering guidelines. The proposed development will include 32 apartments on a single parcel totaling 4.13 +/- acres. The development initially proposed an entrance to Crenshaw Road to the south but has been asked to investigate whether other locations along Maryville Pike to the west would be more appropriate. If actualized, the residential development is expected to be fully constructed and occupied by residents by 2026.

This transportation report includes a review of three potential entrance locations: at the original location on Crenshaw Road, at Maryville Pike between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road, and at the existing t-intersection of Maryville Pike at Old Maryville Pike.

Recommendations:

The following recommendations are based on the transportation review of the Proposed Entrance locations for the Crenshaw Road Residential Development. More in-depth discussions on the pros and cons of these Proposed Entrance locations are provided at the end of the report.

- <u>Alternative #1, Proposed Entrance at Maryville Pike between Old Maryville Pike at</u> <u>Crenshaw Road</u>: Due to the potential of vehicle blocking, the minimal existing distance between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road, and the complication of meeting TDOT's corner clearance standards, Alternative #1 should be considered the least desirable alternative.
- <u>Alternative #2, Proposed Entrance at Existing Intersection of Old Maryville Pike at</u> <u>Maryville Pike</u>: If Alternative #2 is chosen, it is highly recommended that the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike be re-configured with a separate left/thrulane and a separate right-turn lane due to the current and projected high vehicle delays and to accommodate the higher volume right turn movements. If this alternative is chosen, the right-turn lane should be the continuation of the Old Maryville Pike's approach to Maryville Pike, and a left/thru lane should be constructed with a minimum of 75 feet of vehicle storage. Alternative #2 would be a better option than Alternative #1, but adding a 4th leg will increase overall intersection vehicle delays.



• <u>Alternative #3, Proposed Entrance at Crenshaw Road</u>: It is understood this location would be the least desired by the surrounding and nearby property owners along Crenshaw Road. However, from a transportation engineering perspective, Alternative #3 would be the best option due to its reduced impact on the existing intersections along Maryville Pike, especially for Old Maryville Pike's eastbound approach, which currently suffers considerable vehicle delays, particularly in the PM peak hour. The required sight distance will be achievable with vegetation removal along Crenshaw Road, and vehicle queues will remain reasonable at the existing intersections along Maryville Pike. Overall, the trips generated by the proposed residential development are expected to be minimal and will be dwarfed by the number of vehicles already traveling on Crenshaw Road due to its attractive connection between W Governor John Sevier Highway and Maryville Pike.



DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

STUDY AREA:

The proposed location of this residential development and alternative Proposed Entrance locations is shown in Figure 1 below.





• EXISTING ROADWAYS:

Maryville Pike (SR 33) is categorized as a Minor Arterial by TDOT and traverses north to south adjacent to the proposed development property. Adjacent to the site, the roadway has a 2-lane section with one lane in each direction. To the north, the Maryville Pike name designation begins on the south side of the Tennessee River near downtown Knoxville. To the south, Maryville Pike transitions to Old Knoxville Highway at the Blount County line, and the roadway continues towards Maryville, TN.



Maryville Pike intersects both Crenshaw Road and Old Maryville Pike near the development site at unsignalized t-intersections and on opposite sides. Turn lanes are not provided on Maryville Pike at these intersections. Sidewalks are not provided along Maryville Pike, and the posted speed limit is 45 mph. Maryville Pike has painted white edge lines and a double yellow centerline with a total pavement width of 28 feet. The shoulder pavement outside the white edge lines is approximately 2.5 feet, with the surfaces outside the pavement edge consisting of grass and other vegetation.

Maryville Pike is posted with Offset Side Road Warning Signs (W2-7) with an advisory speed plaque of 40 mph in advance of Crenshaw Road for motorists heading south and in advance of Topside Road for motorists heading north. To the north of the proposed development site, Maryville Pike is crossed by a bridge overpass for W Governor John Sevier Highway (SR 168). A bit further north of the overpass, a short curved road, Circle Oak Drive, intersects Maryville Pike at a t-intersection, providing access to and from W Governor John Sevier Highway to the east of the bridge overpass.

<u>Old Maryville Pike</u> is a short curved roadway that provides access between W Governor John Sevier Highway and Maryville Pike to the southwest of the bridge overpass. This roadway surrounds the west and rear sides of a Weigel's Convenience Market and has two lanes. This roadway is relatively wide, particularly at each end where it forms unsignalized t-intersections



at W Governor John Sevier Highway and Maryville Pike. Separate turn lanes are not provided on Old Maryville Pike at the intersections. A double yellow centerline is provided on Old Maryville Pike. This roadway has concrete curbing on its edges, and at its widest point near Maryville Pike, the pavement is nearly 38 feet wide. This width allows for side-by-side simultaneous left and right-turning passenger vehicles onto Maryville Pike even though it is striped as a



single lane. However, larger vehicles do not allow for side-by-side vehicle turn stacking on Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike.

In addition to a generous width at Maryville Pike, a short section of additional pavement in the southwest corner allows right-turning motorists onto Maryville Pike to partially accelerate before fully entering the southbound traffic stream, as shown in the above image.

Crenshaw Road intersects Maryville Pike at an unsignalized y-intersection, 300 feet south of the t-intersection at Old Maryville Pike (centerline to centerline). The approach pavement on Crenshaw Road is extremely wide at Maryville Pike due to the acute angle at which Crenshaw Road intersects. Many houses single-family detached occupy Crenshaw Road on large lots, but the road is also rather heavily traveled by motorists between W Governor John Sevier Highway and Maryville Pike. In particular, motorists



Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road

traveling from the south on Maryville Pike, heading north, and wanting to travel east on W Governor John Sevier Highway regularly use Crenshaw Road instead of traveling a bit further to the north and using Old Maryville Pike to access the highway. Crenshaw Road has a posted speed limit of 30 mph, a pavement width of around 17-18 feet, and a total length of 1,600 feet between Maryville Pike and W Governor John Sevier Highway.



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed residential development will include 32 apartment units spread over four buildings on a single parcel of 4.13 +/- acres, and the original site plan is shown in Figure 2. The proposed property is on a parcel bounded by W Governor John Sevier Highway to the north, Maryville Pike to the west, and Crenshaw Road to the southeast. The road frontage along Maryville Pike is directly across from Old Maryville Pike, which circles around a Weigel's Convenience Market to W Governor John Sevier Highway.

The proposed development originally proposed an entrance to Crenshaw Road to the south, as shown in Figure 2. This entrance was proposed to tie into Crenshaw Road from the north, approximately 480 feet to the northeast of the intersection of Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road. This location would be about the mid-point of the property road frontage along Crenshaw Road. It was requested that two alternative entrance locations also be investigated. Access to the W Governor John Sevier Highway to the north will not be allowed by TDOT.



These two alternative locations include tying a development entrance to the west to Maryville Pike. Alternative #1 would be an entrance to Maryville Pike between Old Maryville Pike to the north and Crenshaw Road to the south. This location would be approximately 150 feet south of Old Maryville Pike and 150 feet north of Crenshaw Road (centerline to centerline). Alternative #2 would tie into the existing t-intersection with Old Maryville Pike, transforming the intersection to a 4-way approach. This review presents the original entrance location on Crenshaw Road as Alternative #3.





Figure 2 Proposed Plan Layout Crenshaw Road Residential Development





ANALYSIS OF EXISTING AND PROJECTED CONDITIONS

EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS:

This review conducted a 6-hour traffic count at the unsignalized t-intersections of Maryville Pike at Old Maryville Pike and at Crenshaw Road on Wednesday, October 2^{nd} , 2024. Manual traffic counts were conducted to identify and tabulate the morning (7 – 9 a.m.) and afternoon (2 – 6 p.m.) peak period volumes and travel directions near the proposed development site. Local public schools were in session when the traffic counts were conducted. These intersections were both observed with an AM and PM peak hour of traffic at 7:15 – 8:15 a.m. and 4:30 – 5:30 p.m.

The manual tabulated traffic counts can be reviewed in Figure 3 and the Appendix. Some notes of the operations at the observed intersections include the following:

- Most vehicles at these intersections were passenger vehicles, but many larger vehicles, including school buses, semi-tractor trailer trucks, single-unit trucks, dump trucks, delivery vehicles, and construction trucks with trailers, were also observed.
- During the traffic counts, one pedestrian and one bicyclist were both observed heading north on Maryville Pike, turning onto Old Maryville Pike, and returning south a few minutes later. While not directly observed, it is believed that both trips were customers of the adjacent Weigel's Convenience Market.
- On the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike, much larger traffic volumes were observed turning right (southbound) versus left (northbound).
- Significant amounts of northbound right turns from Maryville Pike to Crenshaw Road were observed and were associated with motorists desiring to travel east on W Governor John Sevier Highway.
- A special event occurred during the traffic count in the afternoon. Mountain View United Methodist Church, located in the southeast corner of the y-intersection of Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road, held a donation event for victims of the recent hurricane that damaged large areas of East Tennessee and West North Carolina. Two event volunteers were observed at the intersection briefly, drawing attention to the event. One of two entrances to this Church is located on Crenshaw Road just northwest of the intersection of Maryville Pike. It is not believed that this event substantially inflated the traffic volumes.
- Construction activity also occurred during the traffic count observations. Specifically, an underground pipeline is being installed in the area, and this activity caused a brief



backup and traffic jam on Maryville Pike in both directions. Vehicles were observed stopped by construction south of Crenshaw Road and Topside Road in the afternoon, around 3:15 p.m. and at 4:15 p.m. After several minutes of waiting, several motorists were observed losing patience and turned their vehicles around to find another route to travel. However, this activity is not believed to have significantly altered the count results, especially as it relates to the identification and results of the afternoon peak hour, which was observed later, from 4:30 to 5:30 p.m.

Besides the brief construction backup in the afternoon, another vehicle queue anomaly was observed on Maryville Pike during the AM peak hour. This anomaly occurred when there were heavy vehicle flows on Maryville Pike with motorists attempting to turn left onto the opposite approaches of Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road simultaneously. Due to Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road's relative closeness, a brief gridlock occurred due to the left turners at each intersection being blocked by the heavy thru movements in each direction on Maryville Pike.





Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the Level of Service (LOS) for the existing 2024 traffic volumes shown in Figure 3 at the unsignalized intersections. The capacity analyses were calculated following the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods and utilizing Synchro Traffic Software (Version 12).

Intersection capacity results from the existing 2024 peak hour traffic are shown in Table 1. The intersections in the table are shown with a LOS designation, delay (in seconds), and v/c ratio (volume/capacity) for the AM and PM peak hours. The Appendix includes the worksheets for the existing 2024 peak hour capacity analyses.

As shown in Table 1, the unsignalized intersections are calculated to operate with average LOS and reasonable vehicle delays in the 2024 conditions for most turning movements. However, the eastbound shared left and right lane on Old Maryville Pike in the PM peak hour is reported with a LOS D. Likewise, the westbound shared left and right lane on Crenshaw Road in the PM peak hour is reported with a LOS D. It should be noted that both of these approaches at Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road are wide enough for the majority of vehicles to perform simultaneous left and right-turning movements by allowing side-by-side vehicle stacking. However, both approaches were modeled as a single lane in the software.

TABLE 12024 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	AM PEAK			PM PEAK		
INTERSECTION	INTERSECTION CONTROL		LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c °	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c ^c
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	pəz	Northbound Left	Α	8.3	0.095	А	9.2	0.142
Old Maryville Pike (EB)	STOP	Eastbound Left/Right	С	16.6	0.455	D	34.2	0.727
_	Unsign					-		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	pəz	Westbound Left/Right	С	19.2	0.169	D	25.0	0.166
Crenshaw Road (WB)	STOP	Southbound Left	Α	8.6	0.023	А	8.9	0.021
	Unsign							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 12 software and reported with HCM 7th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



<u>PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT:</u>

Horizon year traffic conditions represent the projected traffic volumes in the study area without the proposed project being developed (no-build option). This proposed development's build-out and full occupancy are assumed to occur by 2026.

According to the nearby Knoxville TPO count station, vehicular traffic on Maryville Pike has seen limited growth over the past ten years. This data is included in the Appendix. The data shows that Maryville Pike, just north of W Governor John Sevier Highway, has experienced an annual growth of 1.2%. For this transportation review, an annual growth rate of 2% was used to calculate future growth at the intersections up to 2026 to account for potential traffic growth in the study area and result in a conservative analysis. The annual growth rate of 2% was applied to the existing 2024 intersection volumes shown in Figure 3 to estimate the future volumes in the horizon year of 2026 without the potential development traffic.

Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the projected LOS in 2026 without the project at the intersections. These results are shown in Table 2, and the Appendix includes the capacity analysis worksheets. As expected, the results in Table 2 show moderately worse vehicle delays for the turning movements in the 2026 projected conditions, even without the developments' generated trips versus the 2024 existing conditions. The eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike is shown slipping to LOS E in the PM peak hour, even without the traffic generated by the proposed development.

TABLE 2

2026 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROJECT

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	AM PEAK			PM PEAK		
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c °	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c ^c
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left	Α	8.3	0.100	А	9.4	0.151
Old Maryville Pike (EB)	STOP	Eastbound Left/Right	C	17.8	0.490	Е	42.2	0.797
	Unsign							
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	С	20.3	0.186	D	27.2	0.188
Crenshaw Road (WB)	STOP	Southbound Left	Α	8.7	0.025	А	8.9	0.021
	Unsign							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 12 software and reported with HCM 7th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

Figure 4 shows the projected 2026 horizon year traffic volumes at the intersections without the project during the AM and PM peak hours.





• <u>TRIP GENERATION</u>:

A generated trip is a single or one-direction vehicle movement entering or exiting the study site. The estimated traffic the Crenshaw Road Residential Development will generate was based on equations provided by Knoxville/Knox County Planning. These equations were developed from an extensive local study to estimate townhouse (and apartment) trip generation in the surrounding area. This data is the preferred rate for townhouses and apartments in Knox County and Knoxville.

The data and calculations from the local trip generation study for the proposed Crenshaw Road Residential Development are shown in the Appendix. A summary of this information is presented in Table 3:

TABLE 3 TRIP GENERATION FOR CRENSHAW ROAD RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 32 Apartments

ITE LAND USE CODE	LAND USE DESCRIPTION	UNITS	GENERATED DAILY TRAFFIC	GENERATED TRAFFIC AM PEAK HOUR			ENERATE TRAFFIC PEAK HO		
				ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
Local Trip	Local Trip			22%	78%		55%	45%	
Rate Apartments	32 Apartments	343	4	15	19	18	14	32	
Total New Volume Site Trips		343	4	15	19	18	14	32	

Data from Local Trip Rates and calculated by using Fitted Curve Equations

For the proposed residential development, it could be expected that 4 vehicles will enter and 15 will exit, for a total of 19 generated trips during the AM peak hour in the year 2026. Similarly, it is estimated that 18 vehicles will enter and 14 will exit, for a total of 32 generated trips during the PM peak hour in the year 2026. The calculated trips generated for an average weekday are estimated to be 343 vehicles for the proposed development. No vehicle trip reductions were included in the calculations or analysis.



• <u>TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT</u>:

The projected trip distribution and assignment for the proposed Crenshaw Road Residential Development are based on the existing traffic volumes, observed directional vehicle flows, and engineering judgment. The following figures show the proposed residential development's respective trip distribution and assignment based on the three alternative entrance locations. Multiple figures are included to properly delineate the trips generated by the proposed residential development for the three alternative entrance locations.

The directional distribution of generated trips for the proposed Crenshaw Road Residential Development for Alternatives #1, #2, and #3 are provided in Figures 5a, 5b, and 5c. Figure 5a shows Alternative #1 with a Proposed Entrance at Maryville Pike on the west side between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road. Figure 5b shows Alternative #2 with a Proposed Entrance at Maryville Pike on the west side at the existing t-intersection at Old Maryville Pike, forming a 4-way intersection. The assumed trip distribution for Alternative #3 with the originally Proposed Entrance at Crenshaw Road is provided in Figure 5c. As shown in the figures, the assumptions regarding the projected traffic flows are heavily reliant on the known turning movements between W Governor John Sevier Highway and Maryville Pike, the projected use of Circle Oak Drive to the north, the use of Crenshaw Road as a conduit for travel to and from the east on W Governor John Sevier Highway, and general avoidance of left turn movements. Overall, it is assumed that 15% of generated traffic will travel north and south, towards downtown Knoxville and downtown Maryville, respectively. The figures show that the remaining generated traffic is assumed to travel east and west on W Governor John Sevier Highway.

Figures 6a – 6c show the traffic assignment of the computed trips generated by the proposed residential development based on the assumed distribution of trips shown in Figures 5a – 5c and according to each entrance location alternative.

Note: The intersection distribution and assignment for the Proposed Entrance at Crenshaw Road, Alternative #3, in Figures 5c, 6c, and 7c, are shown only for accounting and informational purposes and are not included in the capacity analyses or vehicle queue calculations due to the low vehicle volumes.















<u>PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT:</u>

Overall, several additive steps were taken to estimate the <u>total</u> projected traffic volumes at the adjacent studied intersections and for all three alternative Proposed Entrances to the Crenshaw Road Residential Development.

The calculated peak hour trips generated by the proposed residential development for the three alternatives (Figures 6a – 6c) were added to the 2026 traffic volumes without the project shown in Figure 4. Figures 7a -7c show the projected 2026 AM and PM peak hour volumes at the intersections for the three alternative Proposed Entrance locations.









Capacity analyses were conducted to determine the projected 2026 LOS with the development traffic, shown in Figures 7a - 7c. The intersection capacity results from the projected 2026 peak hour traffic for the three alternatives are shown in Tables 4a - 4c. The Appendix includes the worksheets for these capacity analyses.

As shown in the tables, all intersection approaches are calculated to operate with good to average vehicle delays in the projected 2026 conditions. However, the exception is the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike, which is calculated to operate at LOS E and F in the PM peak hour for all three alternatives. This approach is calculated to operate at LOS E for Alternatives #1 and #3 and LOS F for Alternative #2 with the Proposed Entrance opposite Old Maryville Pike, with the intersection transformed into a 4-way operation. Once again, these results were obtained assuming this approach operates with one lane but was often observed operating with dual occurring left and right turns due to its generous pavement width.

TABLE 4a

2026 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #1

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/		AM PEAK			PM PEAK	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c °	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c ^c
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left	А	8.3	0.106	А	9.4	0.158
Old Maryville Pike (EB)	STOP	Eastbound Left/Right	С	18.3	0.501	Е	46.8	0.829
	isi a							
	Ľ					-		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	С	20.0	0.194	D	25.1	0.210
Crenshaw Road (WB)	Cunsignaliz	Southbound Left	А	8.7	0.025	А	9.0	0.021
	igi gi							
						-		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	В	12.7	0.034	В	14.8	0.041
Proposed Entrance (WB)	Unsignaliz	Southbound Left	А	8.4	0.002	Α	8.6	0.011
	15 in 16							
	С'n							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 12 software and reported with HCM 7th Edition methodology

 $^{\rm a}$ Level of Service , $^{\rm b}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , $^{\rm c}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



TABLE 4b 2026 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #2

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	AM PEAK			PM PEAK		
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	CONTROL MOVEMENT I		DELAY ^b	v/c °	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c °
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left	А	8.3	0.100	А	9.4	0.151
Old Maryville Pike (EB) and	STOP I	Eastbound Left/Thru/Right	С	21.5	0.560	F	90.2	1.010
Proposed Entrance (WB)	E in the interview of t	Westbound Left/Thru/Right	С	17.8	0.056	D	27.8	0.090
	П	Southbound Left	Α	8.1	0.001	А	8.2	0.002
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	С	20.4	0.186	D	27.4	0.190
Crenshaw Road (WB)	STOP HE	Southbound Left	Α	8.7	0.025	Α	9.0	0.021
	Sign of the second							
	Ц							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 12 software and reported with HCM 7th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

TABLE 4c

2026 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #3

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	AM PEAK			PM PEAK		
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c °	LOS ^a	DELAY ^b	v/c ^c
				(seconds)			(seconds)	
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	pəz	Northbound Left	А	8.3	0.100	А	9.4	0.151
Old Maryville Pike (EB)	STOP	Eastbound Left/Right	С	18.0	0.495	Е	45.3	0.821
_	Unsign							
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	pəz	Westbound Left/Right	С	20.0	0.229	D	27.2	0.262
Crenshaw Road (WB)	STOP	Southbound Left	Α	8.7	0.028	Α	9.0	0.043
	Unsign							

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 12 software and reported with HCM 7th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

Vehicle queue lengths are another component of an intersection's assessment. An additional software program calculated the 2026 AM and PM peak hour projected vehicle queues at the studied intersections. The previously mentioned Synchro traffic software includes SimTraffic. The Synchro portion of the software performs the macroscopic calculations for intersections, and SimTraffic performs micro-simulation and animation of vehicular traffic. SimTraffic software was utilized to estimate the projected vehicle queues at the intersections.

The 95^{th} percentile vehicle queue is the recognized measurement in the transportation engineering profession as the design standard used when considering vehicle queue lengths. A 95^{th} percentile vehicle queue length means 95% certainty that the vehicle queue will not extend beyond that point. The calculated vehicle queue results were based on averaging the outcome obtained during ten traffic simulations in the software. These results are shown in Tables 5a - 5c.



The worksheet results from the SimTraffic software are provided in the Appendix. Results are not provided for the Proposed Entrance at Crenshaw Road, Alternative #3, but are assumed to be minimal.

Tables 5a – 5c show relatively reasonable vehicle queue lengths on all the intersection approaches for all three alternatives in the 2026 AM and PM peak hours. The longest calculated vehicle queue is on the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike during the PM peak hour. This approach's PM peak hour vehicle queue is shown for all three alternatives around 150 feet. This length would translate to six passenger vehicles, assuming 25 feet per vehicle. It should be noted that these longer vehicle queues for the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike were obtained by assuming that this approach operates with one lane even though it was often observed operating with dual occurring left and right turns due to its generous pavement width.

TABLE 5a VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -

PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #1

INTERSECTION	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	SIMTRAFFIC 95 th PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft)			
	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left/Thru	76	87		
Old Maryville Pike (EB)	Unsignali	Eastbound Left/Right	107	150		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	36	38		
Crenshaw Road (WB)	Cusignaliz	Southbound Left/Thru	48	51		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	36	37		
Proposed Entrance (WB)	STOP	Southbound Left/Thru	22	52		

TABLE 5b VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #2

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	SIMTRAFFIC 95 th PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft)			
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left/Thru/Right	75	90		
Old Maryville Pike (EB) and	STOP	Eastbound Left/Thru/Right	106	151		
Proposed Entrance (WB)	STOP	Westbound Left/Thru/Right	37	38		
	С ^и	Southbound Left/Thru/Right	11	17		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	37	30		
Crenshaw Road (WB)	STOP	Southbound Left/hruRight	57	54		
	Cunsignal					



TABLE 5c VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #3

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	SIMTRAFFIC 95 th PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft)			
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Northbound Left/Thru	75	100		
Old Maryville Pike (EB)	STOP In the strengt	Eastbound Left/Right	106	144		
	Unsign					
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	zed	Westbound Left/Right	39	38		
Crenshaw Road (WB)	STOP T	Southbound Left/Thru	55	71		
	Unsign		- -			

• EVALUATION OF SIGHT DISTANCE

With a posted speed limit of 45 mph on Maryville Pike, with two lanes, the required intersection sight distance (ISD) is 500 feet for left turns exiting the development site. This value is calculated based on AASHTO's (American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials) and TDOT's guidance. This distance is required for a motorist to safely exit to the left onto Maryville Pike from either of the two Proposed Entrance locations presented in this review as Alternative #1 or #2. The ISD for a right-turn movement from either Proposed Entrance location on Maryville Pike is 430 feet.

Knox County maintains Crenshaw Road and has a posted speed limit of 30 mph. Based on Knox County's policy of requiring 10 feet of sight distance per 1-mph of speed, the required intersection sight distance is 300 feet. This distance is required for a motorist to exit safely to the left and right at the Proposed Entrance on Crenshaw Road, presented in this review as Alternative #3.

Visual observations of the sight distances at the three potential Proposed Entrance locations were undertaken using a Nikon Laser Rangefinder. Images of the existing sight distance at the three Proposed Entrance locations are labeled below with the required ISD and rangefinder-measured sight distances.













• EVALUATION OF TURN LANE WARRANTS

The need for separate left and right-turn lanes at the Proposed Entrance locations on Maryville Pike for Alternatives #1 and #2 was evaluated in the projected 2026 conditions due to the large opposing volumes on this roadway.

The criteria used for the turn lane evaluations at the Proposed Entrance locations on Maryville Pike was based on TDOT's "Highway System Access Manual" since it is located on a State Route. The evaluation was based on the posted speed limit of 45 mph on Maryville Pike. This design policy relates vehicle volume thresholds based on prevailing speeds for two- and four-lane roadways.

According to TDOT's guidelines and based on the projected 2026 peak hour traffic volumes, the warrant threshold for a southbound separate left-turn lane on Maryville Pike at the Proposed Entrance for Alternative #1 would be met in the PM peak hour, but not the AM peak hour. Warrants for a separate northbound right-turn lane on Maryville Pike would not be met in the AM or PM peak hour for Alternative #1.

The Proposed Entrance for Alternative #2 would not meet warrant thresholds for a southbound separate left-turn or northbound right-turn lane in the AM or PM peak hour. The worksheets for these evaluations are provided in the Appendix.



CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is a summary discussion of the results of each potential alternative Proposed Entrance location for the proposed 32-unit residential development at the corner of Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road.

<u>Alternative #1, Proposed Entrance at Maryville Pike between Old Maryville Pike at Crenshaw</u> <u>Road</u>: The results of this alternative are the following:

<u>Level of Service Calculations</u>: The results for this alternative were reasonable, with average calculated vehicle delays for the t-intersections of Maryville Pike at Old Maryville Pike, Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road, and Maryville Pike at the Proposed Entrance. However, the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike is calculated at LOS E in the 2026 PM peak hour.

<u>Vehicle Queues</u>: The results for this alternative were reasonable for all approaches at the studied intersections. The worst-performing approach was the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike, with a 95th percentile vehicle queue of 150 feet in the PM peak hour, which would translate to six-passenger vehicles.

There would be limited distance for vehicle queue storage on Maryville Pike between this Proposed Entrance location and Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road. From centerline to centerline, there is only 300 feet of separation between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road at Maryville Pike. The Proposed Entrance location would need to be mid-way, 150 feet from each existing street.

The northbound and southbound left-turning movements on Maryville Pike to Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road are calculated to have a 95th percentile vehicle queue of 87 feet and 52 feet, respectively, in the 2026 PM peak hour. While reasonable and within the constraints of a mid-way point of 150 feet in each direction, the limited distance between three successive intersections could be an issue. As observed during the existing traffic count, a brief vehicle gridlock occurred on Maryville Pike when motorists were attempting to turn left onto the opposite, offset approaches of Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road. This gridlock occurred due to the relative closeness of Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road, with the left-turning motorists at each intersection being blocked by the large thru movements in each direction on Maryville Pike. The Synchro software image below illustrates this gridlock potential due to



nearby opposite and offset tintersections. Adding a Proposed Entrance between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road could aggravate this issue or induce it to occur more often.



<u>Sight Distance</u>: The sight distance at this Proposed Entrance location on Maryville Pike between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road was visually estimated to be adequate to the north. However, to the south, the sight distance is reduced due to a vertical crest curve on Maryville Pike at the intersection with Topside Road. Due to this restriction, an advisory speed plaque of 40 mph is posted on Maryville Pike in both directions below the Offset Side Road Warning Signs (W2-7) in advance of Crenshaw Road and Topside Road.

<u>Turn Lane Warrants</u>: For Alternative #1, the Proposed Entrance would meet a warrant for a southbound separate left-turn lane in the 2026 PM peak hour. However, due to the limited space on Maryville Pike between Old Maryville Pike and the Proposed Entrance, constructing a separate left-turn lane with appropriate lengths for the bay taper, deceleration distance, and storage length would be likely unachievable.

<u>Other Issues</u>: TDOT has published standard corner clearance requirements for entrance driveways. In TDOT's <u>Manual for Constructing Driveway Entrances on State Highways</u>, for an entrance driveway accessing a Minor Arterial roadway, the minimum clearance from Crenshaw Road and Old Maryville Pike (Local Streets) would be 100 feet. This 100-foot distance would be measured from the right-of-way lines of Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road to the location of the pavement edge for the Proposed Entrance. Due to the limited distance between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road, locating a Proposed Entrance between the two while meeting TDOT's clearance standard will likely prove to be difficult. This standard would require further investigation of property and right-of-way lines to determine the feasibility. The difficulty of meeting this standard is increased due to the y-shaped configuration of Crenshaw Road's intersection with Maryville Pike.



<u>Conclusions</u>: Due to the potential of vehicle blocking, the minimal existing distance between Old Maryville Pike and Crenshaw Road, and the complication of meeting TDOT's corner clearance standards, Alternative #1 should be considered the least desirable alternative

<u>Alternative #2, Proposed Entrance at Existing Intersection of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville</u> <u>Pike</u>: The results of this alternative are the following:

<u>Level of Service Calculations</u>: The results for this alternative were reasonable, with average calculated vehicle delays for the intersections of Maryville Pike at Old Maryville Pike and the Proposed Entrance and Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road. However, the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike for this alternative is calculated at LOS F in the 2026 PM peak hour.

<u>Vehicle Queues</u>: The results for this alternative were reasonable for all approaches at the studied intersections. The worst-performing approach was the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike, with a 95th percentile vehicle queue of 151 feet in the PM peak hour, which would translate to six-passenger vehicles.

The longest calculated vehicle queue for exiting traffic from the proposed development at the Proposed Entrance is calculated to be 38 feet in the PM peak hour, slightly less than two passenger cars.

<u>Sight Distance</u>: The sight distance at this Proposed Entrance location on Maryville Pike at Old Maryville Pike was visually estimated to be adequate to the north and south. Future motorists exiting the proposed residential development at this location are not expected to be impacted due to issues with sight distance. However, vegetation along the road frontage would need to be removed and maintained to meet the sight distance requirements.

<u>Turn Lane Warrants</u>: For Alternative #2, the Proposed Entrance would not meet warrants for separate left or right-turn lanes on Maryville Pike in the 2026 AM and PM peak hour.

Other Issues: None identified.

<u>Conclusions</u>: The eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike currently operates with high vehicle delays, particularly in the PM peak hour, and is projected to operate with high delays in the future, even without the proposed development. Most vehicles on this approach


are right turns towards the south on Maryville Pike. This approach only has a single lane but is wide enough to allow side-by-side passenger cars to simultaneously attempt to turn left and right, especially during peak periods. If Alternative #2 is chosen, it is highly recommended that the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike be re-configured with a separate left/thru-lane and a separate right-turn lane due to the current and projected high vehicle delays and to accommodate the higher volume right turn movements. If this alternative is chosen, the right-turn lane should be the continuation of the Old Maryville Pike's approach to Maryville Pike, and a left/thru lane should be constructed with a minimum of 75 feet of vehicle storage. Alternative #2 would be a better option than Alternative #1, but adding a 4th leg will increase overall intersection vehicle delays. Adding a lane to this approach would be assisted by its generous width but would require additional pavement, curb relocations, and stormwater (catch basin) modifications.

The results of adding an eastbound lane on Old Maryville Pike at the intersection of Maryville Pike and the Proposed Entrance are provided in the following tables. Table 6a shows the vehicle delay/LOS results, and Table 6b shows the 95th percentile vehicle queue results. While the results show that the recommended separate eastbound left/thru lane on Old Maryville Pike will operate with high vehicle delays in the PM peak hour, the 95th percentile vehicle queue will be 63 feet and will be contained within the recommended storage length of 75 feet. With a separate lane provided for right turn movements towards the south, the majority of traffic on this approach will operate with fewer restrictions due to separating the left and thru movements into an additional, separate lane.

TABLE 6a

2026 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #2 with Extra EB Lane

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	2	AM PEAK		1	PM PEAK	-
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS *	DELAY" (seconds)	v/c *	LOS'	DELAY " (seconds)	v/c'
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	a	Northbound Left	A	8.3	0.100	А	9.4	0.151
Old Maryville Pike (EB) and	STOP	Eastbound Left/Thru	D	27.0	0.289	F	62.0	0.537
Proposed Entrance (WB)	gua	Eastbound Right	В	11.5	0.271	C	17.6	0.473
	Isu	Westbound Left/Thru/Right	C	17.8	0.056	D	27.8	0.090
	2	Southbound Left	A	8.1	0.001	A	8.2	0.002

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 12 software and reported with HCM 7th Edition methodology

^a Level of Service , ^b Average Delay (sec/vehicle) , ^c Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



TABLE 6b

VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY -
PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH THE PROJECT - Alternative #2 with Extra EB Lane

	TRAFFIC	APPROACH/	SIMTRAFFIC 9 QUFUE LE	
INTERSECTION	CONTROL	MOVEMENT	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR
Maryville Pike (SB & NB) at	T	Northbound Left/Thru/Right	62	73
Old Maryville Pike (EB) and	STOP 2	Eastbound Left/Thru	52	63
Proposed Entrance (WB)	signa	Eastbound Right	75	94
	Unsi	Westbound Left/Thru/Right	37	39
	2	Southbound Left/Thru/Right	9	18

<u>Alternative #3, Proposed Entrance at Crenshaw Road</u>: The results of this alternative are the following:

Level of Service Calculations: The results for this alternative were reasonable, with average calculated vehicle delays for the t-intersections of Maryville Pike at Old Maryville Pike and Maryville Pike at Crenshaw Road. Based on the analyses, Alternative #3 (and Alternative #1) is calculated to have the least impact on the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike, which is already in the current conditions operating with high vehicle delays in the PM peak hour. The vehicle delay/LOS calculations at the Proposed Entrance on Crenshaw Road were not calculated due to low thru volumes on Crenshaw Road, and the intersection is expected to have minimal vehicle delays.

<u>Vehicle Queues</u>: The results for this alternative were reasonable for all approaches at the studied intersections on Maryville Pike. The worst performing approach was the eastbound approach of Old Maryville Pike at Maryville Pike, with a 95th percentile vehicle queue of 144 feet in the PM peak hour, which would translate to just under six passenger vehicles. Vehicle queues were not calculated at the Proposed Entrance location on Crenshaw Road due to, once again, the low thru volume present on Crenshaw Road. No vehicle queues would be expected on Crenshaw Road, and the Proposed Entrance approach could be expected to be at or less than one vehicle.



<u>Sight Distance</u>: The sight distance at this Proposed Entrance location on Crenshaw Road was visually estimated to be adequate to the east and west if vegetation was removed, including a large hackberry tree adjacent to the road. Vegetation along the road frontage would need to be removed and maintained to meet the sight distance requirements. In particular, in addition to the vegetation on the north side of Crenshaw Road along the development property's road frontage, additional vegetation on the south and west of this Proposed Entrance location must be removed and maintained. This vegetation is on the inside curve near an existing utility pole. If this vegetation were controlled, sight distance to the west would be available from the Proposed Entrance on Crenshaw Road up to Old Maryville Pike.



<u>Other Issues</u>: Locating the Proposed Entrance on Crenshaw Road will induce more travel on Crenshaw Road, which is not desired by the nearby residents. However, this residential development will generate minimal traffic, with 19 trips calculated during the AM peak hour and 32 during the PM peak hour. This roadway is currently used as a cut-thru between Maryville Pike and W Governor John Sevier Highway and experiences a lot of traffic outside of what would be expected based on the number of residences along Crenshaw Road (and Ottinger Drive – a dead-end street to the south and off Crenshaw Road). As tabulated in the traffic counts on Crenshaw Road at Maryville Pike, around 100 vehicles were recorded heading east on Crenshaw Road during the AM and PM peak hours, and around 20 – 30 vehicles heading west.

<u>Conclusions</u>: It is understood this location would be the least desired by the surrounding and nearby property owners along Crenshaw Road. However, from a transportation engineering perspective, Alternative #3 would be the best option due to its reduced impact on the existing intersections along Maryville Pike, especially for Old Maryville Pike's eastbound approach, which currently suffers considerable vehicle delays, particularly in the PM peak hour. The required sight distance will be achievable with vegetation removal along Crenshaw Road, and vehicle queues will remain reasonable at the existing intersections along Maryville Pike. Overall, the trips generated by the proposed residential development are expected to be minimal and will be dwarfed by the number of vehicles already traveling on Crenshaw Road due to its attractive connection between W Governor John Sevier Highway and Maryville Pike.



APPENDIX

Major Street: Maryville Pike (SB and NB) Minor Street: Old Maryville Pike (EB) Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Minor Street 10/2/2024 (Wednesday) Morning: Foggy / Afternoon: Mostly Sunny Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

	Maryvi	ille Pike	Maryvi	lle Pike	Old Mary	ville Pike		
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	NORTH	BOUND	EASTB	OUND	VEHICLE	PEAK
BEGIN	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	LT	RT	TOTAL	HOUR
7:00 AM	30	12	23	67	6	31	169	
7:15 AM	46	14	29	63	5	42	199	7:15 AM - 8:15 AM
7:30 AM	63	16	22	96	14	37	248	
7:45 AM	57	12	24	82	15	41	231	
8:00 AM	51	12	25	71	11	49	219	
8:15 AM	39	9	11	65	8	40	172	
8:30 AM	33	5	18	40	9	28	133	
8:45 AM	26	6	15	50	9	35	141	
TOTAL	345	86	167	534	77	303	1512	
	-					-		
2:00 PM	34	13	19	39	8	25	138	
2:15 PM	45	13	14	43	13	34	162	
2:30 PM	51	12	26	66	12	41	208	
2:45 PM	46	13	8	49	11	36	163	
3:00 PM	58	7	11	33	15	31	155	
3:15 PM	61	15	22	53	7	35	193	
3:30 PM	43	10	16	31	11	31	142	
3:45 PM	67	10	23	87	13	40	240	
4:00 PM	55	6	10	40	9	42	162	
4:15 PM	21	11	11	53	6	16	118	
4:30 PM	81	5	35	91	7	61	280	4:30 PM - 5:30 PM
4:45 PM	85	12	19	73	11	54	254	
5:00 PM	93	7	23	104	13	54	294	
5:15 PM	102	14	17	67	13	48	261	
5:30 PM	92	14	15	76	17	42	256	
5:45 PM	68	10	19	75	18	56	246	
TOTAL	1002	172	288	980	184	646	3272	

2024 AM Peak Hour

7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

	Maryvi	lle Pike	Maryvi	ille Pike	Old Mary	ville Pike
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	NORTH	BOUND	EASTB	OUND
BEGIN	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	LT	RT
7:15 AM	46	14	29	63	5	42
7:30 AM	63	16	22	96	14	37
7:45 AM	57	12	24	82	15	41
8:00 AM	51	12	25	71	11	49
TOTAL	217	54	100	312	45	169
PHF	0.86	0.84	0.86	0.81	0.75	0.86
TRUCK %	1.4%	1.9%	6.0%	1.6%	0.0%	2.4%

2024 PM Peak Hour

4:30 PM - 5:30 PM

	Maryvi	lle Pike	Maryvi	ille Pike	Old Mary	ville Pike
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	NORTH	BOUND	EASTB	OUND
BEGIN	THRU	RT	LT	THRU	LT	RT
4:30 PM	81	5	35	91	7	61
4:45 PM	85	12	19	73	11	54
5:00 PM	93	7	23	104	13	54
5:15 PM	102	14	17	67	13	48
TOTAL	361	38	94	335	44	217
PHF	0.88	0.68	0.67	0.81	0.85	0.89
TRUCK %	0.8%	5.3%	4.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%



PEAK HOUR DATA

Major Street: Maryville Pike (SB and NB) Minor Street: Old Maryville Pike (EB) Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Minor Street 10/2/2024 (Wednesday) Morning: Foggy / Afternoon: Mostly Sunny Conducted by: Ajax Engineering





Major Street: Maryville Pike (SB and NB) Minor Street: Crenshaw Road (WB) Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Minor Street 10/2/2024 (Wednesday) Morning: Foggy / Afternoon: Mostly Sunny Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

	Maryvi	ille Pike	Crensha	w Road	Maryvi	lle Pike		
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	WESTE	OUND	NORTH	BOUND	VEHICLE	PEAK
BEGIN	LT	THRU	LT	RT	THRU	RT	TOTAL	HOUR
7:00 AM	2	59	4	3	87	13	168	
7:15 AM	6	82	10	1	91	22	212	7:15 AM - 8:15 AM
7:30 AM	5	95	3	2	116	22	243	
7:45 AM	2	96	6	0	106	25	235	
8:00 AM	3	97	2	3	93	18	216	
8:15 AM	0	79	4	2	74	20	179	
8:30 AM	2	59	1	2	56	10	130	
8:45 AM	1	60	1	1	64	5	132	
TOTAL	21	627	31	14	687	135	1515	
2:00 PM	6	53	5	0	58	17	139	
2:15 PM	3	76	2	0	57	16	154	
2:30 PM	1	91	6	1	91	18	208	
2:45 PM	6	76	7	2	55	12	158	
3:00 PM	6	83	4	1	43	15	152	
3:15 PM	1	95	4	2	73	20	195	
3:30 PM	2	72	1	3	44	9	131	
3:45 PM	5	102	7	3	107	32	256	
4:00 PM	4	93	3	1	49	17	167	
4:15 PM	3	34	4	1	63	19	124	
4:30 PM	2	140	4	2	124	18	290	4:30 PM - 5:30 PM
4:45 PM	5	134	7	1	91	16	254	
5:00 PM	2	145	3	0	127	33	310	
5:15 PM	1	149	1	1	83	22	257	
5:30 PM	0	134	4	0	91	13	242	
5:45 PM	1	123	8	0	94	24	250	
TOTAL	48	1600	70	18	1250	301	3287	

2024 AM Peak Hour

7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

	Maryvi	lle Pike	Crensha	aw Road	Maryvi	ille Pike
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	WESTE	BOUND	NORTH	BOUND
BEGIN	LT	THRU	LT	RT	THRU	RT
7:15 AM	6	82	10	1	91	22
7:30 AM	5	95	3	2	116	22
7:45 AM	2	96	6	0	106	25
8:00 AM	3	97	2	3	93	18
TOTAL	16	370	21	6	406	87
PHF	0.67	0.95	0.53	0.50	0.88	0.87
TRUCK %	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%

2024 PM Peak Hour

4:30 PM - 5:30 PM

	Maryvi	lle Pike	Crensha	aw Road	Maryvi	lle Pike
TIME	SOUTH	BOUND	WESTE	BOUND	NORTH	BOUND
BEGIN	LT	THRU	LT	RT	THRU	RT
4:30 PM	2	140	4	2	124	18
4:45 PM	5	134	7	1	91	16
5:00 PM	2	145	3	0	127	33
5:15 PM	1	149	1	1	83	22
TOTAL	10	568	15	4	425	89
PHF	0.50	0.95	0.54	0.50	0.84	0.67
TRUCK %	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%



PEAK HOUR DATA

Major Street: Maryville Pike (SB and NB) Minor Street: Crenshaw Road (WB) Traffic Control: Stop Sign on Minor Street 10/2/2024 (Wednesday) Morning: Foggy / Afternoon: Mostly Sunny Conducted by: Ajax Engineering



Int Delay, s/veh 4.9 EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR Movement Y Lane Configurations đ Þ 217 Traffic Vol, veh/h 45 169 100 312 54 Future Vol, veh/h 45 169 100 312 217 54 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free RT Channelized -None -None -None Storage Length 0 -_ ---Veh in Median Storage, # 0 -0 0 -_ Grade, % -2 -3 3 ---Peak Hour Factor 81 84 75 86 86 86 Heavy Vehicles, % 0 2 6 2 1 2 Mvmt Flow 60 197 116 385 252 64

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Μ	ajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	902	284	317	0	-	0	
Stage 1	284	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	618	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6	6.02	4.16	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318	2.254	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	343	767	1221	-	-	-	
Stage 1	793	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	580	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	r 302	767	1221	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 302	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	697	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	580	-	-	-	-	-	
	==				0.5		

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay	y, s/v16.61	1.91	0	
HCM LOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	BLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	417	-	564	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.095	-	0.455	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	8.3	0	16.6	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	2.4	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	1.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		¢Î			ب
Traffic Vol, veh/h	21	6	406	87	16	370
Future Vol, veh/h	21	6	406	87	16	370
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	53	50	88	87	67	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	1
Mvmt Flow	40	12	461	100	24	389

Major/Minor	Minor1	Μ	lajor1	Ν	lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	949	511	0	0	561	0	
Stage 1	511	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	437	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	277	558	-	-	1020	-	
Stage 1	589	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	640	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		558	-	-	1020	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	268	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	589	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	620	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB		

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Dela	ay, s/v19.18	0	0.5	
HCM LOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	305	104	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.169	0.023	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	19.2	8.6	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.6	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	8					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	el 👘	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	44	217	94	335	361	38
Future Vol, veh/h	44	217	94	335	361	38
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-2	-	-	-3	3	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	89	67	81	68	88
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	4	1	1	5
Mvmt Flow	52	244	140	414	531	43

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ma	ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1247	552	574	0	-	0
Stage 1	552	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	694	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.01	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	2.236	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	222	552	989	-	-	-
Stage 1	617	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	539	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	181	552	989	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	181	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	504	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	539	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	/v34.16		2.34		0	

D HCM LOS

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	456	-	406	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.142	-	0.727	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.2	0	34.2	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	D	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	-	5.7	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	0.8					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ب
Traffic Vol, veh/h	15	4	425	89	10	568
Future Vol, veh/h	15	4	425	89	10	568
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	54	50	84	67	50	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	1	0	1
Mvmt Flow	28	8	506	133	20	598

Major/Minor	Minor1	M	ajor1	N	lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1210	572	0	0	639	0
Stage 1	572	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	638	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	190	515	-	-	955	-
Stage 1	551	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	512	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve		515	-	-	955	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r 184	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	551	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	496	-	-	-	-	-

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay,	s/v25.04	0	0.29
HCM LOS	D		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	215	58	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.166	0.021	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	25	8.9	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	D	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.6	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh 5.1 Movement EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR Y Lane Configurations đ Ъ Traffic Vol, veh/h 47 176 104 324 226 56 Future Vol, veh/h 47 176 104 324 226 56 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free RT Channelized None -None -None -Storage Length 0 -_ ---Veh in Median Storage, # 0 --0 0 -Grade, % -2 -3 3 ---Peak Hour Factor 81 84 75 86 86 86 Heavy Vehicles, % 0 2 6 2 1 2 Mvmt Flow 63 205 121 400 263 67

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	938	296	329	0	-	0
Stage 1	296	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	642	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.02	4.16	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318		-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		756	1208	-	-	-
Stage 1	785	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	567	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve		756	1208	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	684	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	567	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			1.93		0	
HCM LOS	С		1.00		U	
	U					
NA' I /NA - ' NA					ODT	000

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	BLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	418	-	546	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.1	-	0.49	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	8.3	0	17.8	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	С	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	2.7	-	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	1.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			÷.
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	6	422	90	17	385
Future Vol, veh/h	22	6	422	90	17	385
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	53	50	88	87	67	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	1
Mvmt Flow	42	12	480	103	25	405

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	987	531	0	0	583	0
Stage 1	531	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	456	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	262	544	-	-	1001	-
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	626	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	253	544	-	-	1001	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	253	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	606	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	\\/D		ND		CD	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s/	/v20.35	0	0.51
HCM LOS	С		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	288	106	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.186	0.025	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	20.3	8.7	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.7	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh 9.7 EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR Movement Y Lane Configurations đ Ъ Traffic Vol, veh/h 46 226 98 348 375 40 Future Vol, veh/h 46 226 98 348 375 40 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free RT Channelized None -None -None -Storage Length 0 -_ ---Veh in Median Storage, # 0 --0 0 -Grade, % -2 -3 3 ---Peak Hour Factor 89 81 88 85 67 68 Heavy Vehicles, % 0 1 4 1 5 1 Mvmt Flow 54 254 146 430 551 45

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1296	574	597	0	- -	0
Stage 1	574	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	722	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.01	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	2.236	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	209	537	970	-	-	-
Stage 1	605	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	525	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		537	970	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 167	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	485	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	525	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			2.38		0	
HCM LOS	E		2.00		U	
Minor Lane/Major Mv	mt	NBL	NBTE	EBLn1	SBT	SBR

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	457	- 387	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.151	- 0.797	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.4	0 42.2	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	A E	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	- 6.9	-	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	0.9						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	-
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ę	•
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	4	442	93	10	591	
Future Vol, veh/h	16	4	442	93	10	591	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	;
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	;
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	0	-	-	0)
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3	;
Peak Hour Factor	54	50	84	67	50	95	;
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	1	0	1	
Mvmt Flow	30	8	526	139	20	622)

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	1ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1258	596	0	0	665	0
Stage 1	596	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	662	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	178	499	-	-	934	-
Stage 1	536	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	498	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	172	499	-	-	934	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	172	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	536	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	482	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s/v	27.16	0	0.28
HCM LOS	D		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	200	56	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.188	0.021	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	27.2	8.9	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	D	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.7	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	5.3						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	ł
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	et 🔰		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	47	177	110	331	227	56	;
Future Vol, veh/h	47	177	110	331	227	56	;
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	;
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	÷
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	-2	-	-	-3	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	75	86	86	81	86	84	ł
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	6	2	1	2)
Mvmt Flow	63	206	128	409	264	67	

Major/Minor	Minor2	l	Major1	M	ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	962	297	331	0	-	0
Stage 1	297	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	664	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.02	4.16	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318	2.254	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	319	755	1207	-	-	-
Stage 1	784	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	555	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	275	755	1207	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	275	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	676	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	555	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	FB		NB		SB	

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s/v	/18.25	1.99	0	
HCM LOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	429	-	536	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.106	-	0.501	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	8.3	0	18.3	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	-	2.8	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	1.3						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ب	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	8	422	90	17	387	
Future Vol, veh/h	22	8	422	90	17	387	,
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	;
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	ļ
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0	1
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3	;
Peak Hour Factor	53	50	88	87	67	95	;
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	1	
Mvmt Flow	42	16	480	103	25	407	

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	989	531	0	0	583	0
Stage 1	531	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	458	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	261	544	-	-	1001	-
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	625	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	253	544	-	-	1001	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	253	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	605	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	\//R		NR		SB	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay,	s/v20.03	0	0.51
HCM LOS	С		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	297	106	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.194	0.025	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	20	8.7	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.7	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	0.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ર્સ
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	13	428	2	2	402
Future Vol, veh/h	2	13	428	2	2	402
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	81	90	90	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	1
Mvmt Flow	2	14	528	2	2	467

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1001	530	0	0	531	0
Stage 1	530	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	472	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	271	553	-	-	1047	-
Stage 1	595	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	632	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	r 271	553	-	-	1047	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 271	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	595	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	630	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	\\/D		ND		CD	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay	y, s/v12.68	0	0.04
HCM LOS	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	486	9	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.034	0.002	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	12.7	8.4	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	10.7					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y			ب	4Î	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	46	233	102	356	378	40
Future Vol, veh/h	46	233	102	356	378	40
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-2	-	-	-3	3	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	89	67	81	68	88
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	4	1	1	5
Mvmt Flow	54	262	152	440	556	45

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ν	lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1323	579	601	0	-	0
Stage 1	579	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	744	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.01	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5		2.236	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		534	966	-	-	-
Stage 1	602	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	514	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve	er 160	534	966	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	477	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	514	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay,			2.42		0	
HCM LOS	E					
Minor Lane/Maior My	ımt	NBI		-Bl n1	SBT	SBR

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	463	- 381	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.158	- 0.829	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.4	0 46.8	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	A E	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.6	- 7.6	-	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	1						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	•
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			÷.	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	9	445	93	10	593	}
Future Vol, veh/h	16	9	445	93	10	593	}
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	÷
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	,
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0)
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3	;
Peak Hour Factor	54	50	84	67	50	95	;
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	1	0	1	I
Mvmt Flow	30	18	530	139	20	624	ł

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1263	599	0	0	669	0
Stage 1	599	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	664	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	176	497	-	-	931	-
Stage 1	534	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	497	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	170	497	-	-	931	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	170	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	534	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	481	-	-	-	-	-
Annroach	W/R		NB		SB	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay	r, s/v25.05	0	0.28
HCM LOS	D		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	227	56	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.21	0.021	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	25.1	9	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	D	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.8	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	0.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	۰¥		¢Î			ب
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	12	446	8	10	601
Future Vol, veh/h	2	12	446	8	10	601
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	81	90	90	68
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mvmt Flow	2	13	551	9	11	884

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1461	555	0	0	560	0
Stage 1	555	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	906	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	143	535	-	-	1022	-
Stage 1	579	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	397	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	140	535	-	-	1022	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	140	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	579	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	389	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Del	elay, s/v14.83	0	0.11
HCM LOS	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWI	BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	382	22	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- ().041	0.011	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	14.8	8.6	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-

6.3

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
	EDL		EDN	VVDL		VUDN	INDL		NDN	SDL	SDI	SDR	
Lane Configurations		- 4 >			- (}			- 4 >			- (}		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	47	3	176	2	6	7	104	324	0	1	226	56	
Future Vol, veh/h	47	3	176	2	6	7	104	324	0	1	226	56	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-2	-	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	75	90	86	90	90	90	86	81	90	90	86	84	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	1	2	
Mvmt Flow	63	3	205	2	7	8	121	400	0	1	263	67	

Major/Minor	Minor2		Ν	/linor1			Major1		Ν	1ajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	944	940	296	909	974	400	329	0	0	400	0	0	
Stage 1	298	298	-	642	642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	645	642	-	267	332	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.7	6.1	6.02	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.16	-	-	4.1	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.318	3.5	4	3.3	2.254	-	-	2.2	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	271	295	756	258	254	654	1208	-	-	1170	-	-	
Stage 1	739	693	-	466	472	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	499	507	-	743	648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	227	257	756	162	221	654	1208	-	-	1170	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	227	257	-	162	221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	738	692	-	406	411	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	422	442	-	539	647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Dela	ay, s/v21.49	17.76	1.93	0.03	
HCM LOS	С	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR E	BLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	418	-	-	484	299	6	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.1	-	-	0.56	0.056	0.001	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	8.3	0	-	21.5	17.8	8.1	0	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	С	С	А	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	3.4	0.2	0	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	1.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ا
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	6	422	90	17	387
Future Vol, veh/h	22	6	422	90	17	387
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	53	50	88	87	67	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	1
Mvmt Flow	42	12	480	103	25	407

Major/Minor	Minor1	M	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	989	531	0	0	583	0
Stage 1	531	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	458	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	261	544	-	-	1001	-
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	625	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve		544	-	-	1001	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r 253	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	605	-	-	-	-	-

Approach WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s/v 20.4	0	0.51
HCM LOS C		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	287	106	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.186	0.025	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	20.4	8.7	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.7	0.1	-

Page 2

Synchro 12 Light Report

Int Delay, s/veh

20.4

Maxianant	EDI	ГРТ						NDT		ODI	ODT	CDD	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		- 4 >			- 4 >			- 4 >			- (}		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	46	13	226	2	4	8	98	348	3	2	375	40	
Future Vol, veh/h	46	13	226	2	4	8	98	348	3	2	375	40	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-2	-	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	85	90	89	90	90	90	67	81	90	90	68	88	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	5	
Mvmt Flow	54	14	254	2	4	9	146	430	3	2	551	45	

Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1303	1304	574	1287	1325	431	597	0	0	433	0	0	
Stage 1	579	579	-	724	724	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	724	726	-	563	601	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.7	6.1	6.01	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.14	-	-	4.1	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.309	3.5	4	3.3	2.236	-	-	2.2	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	160	187	537	142	157	628	970	-	-	1137	-	-	
Stage 1	538	538	-	420	433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	455	469	-	514	492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· 123	149	537	55	126	628	970	-	-	1137	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· 123	149	-	55	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	536	536	-	337	347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	355	376	-	263	491	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Del	lay, s/v90.24	27.81	2.37	0.03	
HCM LOS	F	D			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR E	BLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	454	-	-	319	173	7	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.151	-	-	1.01	0.09	0.002	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.4	0	-	90.2	27.8	8.2	0	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	F	D	А	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	-	-	11.2	0.3	0	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	0.9						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	•
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ŧ	•
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	4	445	93	10	593	}
Future Vol, veh/h	16	4	445	93	10	593	}
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	;
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	;
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	•
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0)
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3	}
Peak Hour Factor	54	50	84	67	50	95	;
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	1	0	1	
Mvmt Flow	30	8	530	139	20	624	ļ

Major/Minor	Minor1	M	ajor1	N	lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1263	599	0	0	669	0	
Stage 1	599	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	664	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	176	497	-	-	931	-	
Stage 1	534	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	497	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		497	-	-	931	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 170	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	534	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	481	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control De	elay, s/v27.38	0	0.28
HCM LOS	D		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	198	56	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.19	0.021	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	27.4	9	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	D	Α	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.7	0.1	-

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh 5.2 EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR Movement Y Lane Configurations đ Þ 227 Traffic Vol, veh/h 47 177 109 326 56 Future Vol, veh/h 47 177 109 326 227 56 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free RT Channelized None -None -None -Storage Length 0 -_ ---Veh in Median Storage, # 0 -0 0 -_ Grade, % -2 -3 3 --_ Peak Hour Factor 81 84 75 86 86 86 Heavy Vehicles, % 0 2 6 2 1 2 Mvmt Flow 63 206 127 402 264 67

Major/Minor	Minor2	I	Major1	Majo	or2	
Conflicting Flow All	953	297	331	0	-	0
Stage 1	297	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	656	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.02	4.16	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318	2.254	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	322	755	1207	-	-	-
Stage 1	784	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	559	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve		755	1207	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r 279	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	678	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	559	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay,	s/v 18.1		2		0	
HCM LOS	С					

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBL	n1 SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	431	- 5	39 -	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.105	- 0.4	98 -	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	8.3	0 18	3.1 -	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	С -	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	- 1	2.7 -	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	1.5						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ŧ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	13	422	90	19	385	;
Future Vol, veh/h	24	13	422	90	19	385	,
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	•
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3	
Peak Hour Factor	53	50	88	87	67	95	,
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	1	
Mvmt Flow	45	26	480	103	28	405	,

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	N	1ajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	993	531	0	0	583	0	
Stage 1	531	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	462	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	260	544	-	-	1001	-	
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	622	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve	r 250	544	-	-	1001	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r 250	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	576	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	600	-	-	-	-	-	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRV	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	311	118	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.229	0.028	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	20	8.7	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.9	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	10.8						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			ŧ	et 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	46	233	104	350	378	40	1
Future Vol, veh/h	46	233	104	350	378	40)
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None)
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	-2	-	-	-3	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	85	89	67	81	68	88	5
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	4	1	1	5	j
Mvmt Flow	54	262	155	432	556	45)

Major/Minor	Minor		Vaior1	N	loior?	
	Minor2		Major1		/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1321	579	601	0	-	0
Stage 1	579	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	743	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6	6.01	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	2.236	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	202	534	966	-	-	-
Stage 1	602	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	515	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	159	534	966	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	475	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	515	-	-	-	-	-
Ű						
					0.5	
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s/	/v46.89		2.49		0	
HCM LOS	E					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NRT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	m	476	NDT	381	001	OBIC

			001		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.161	-	0.83	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.4	0	46.9	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	A	E	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.6	-	7.6	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	1.4					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ا
Traffic Vol, veh/h	18	12	442	96	20	591
Future Vol, veh/h	18	12	442	96	20	591
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	1	-	-3	-	-	3
Peak Hour Factor	54	50	84	67	50	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	1	0	1
Mvmt Flow	33	24	526	143	40	622

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Μ	lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1300	598	0	0	669	0
Stage 1	598	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	702	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.6	6.3	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	167	498	-	-	930	-
Stage 1	535	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	476	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	156	498	-	-	930	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	156	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	535	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	445	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control De	elay, s/v27.15	0	0.55
HCM LOS	D		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	219	109	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.262	0.043	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	-	-	27.2	9	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	D	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	1	0.1	-

4.8

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	1		4			4			4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	47	3	176	2	6	7	104	324	0	1	226	56	
Future Vol, veh/h	47	3	176	2	6	7	104	324	0	1	226	56	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	75	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-2	-	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	75	90	86	90	90	90	86	81	90	90	86	84	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	1	2	
Mvmt Flow	63	3	205	2	7	8	121	400	0	1	263	67	

Major/Minor	Minor2		Ν	/linor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	944	940	296	909	974	400	329	0	0	400	0	0	
Stage 1	298	298	-	642	642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	645	642	-	267	332	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.7	6.1	6.02	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.16	-	-	4.1	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.318	3.5	4	3.3	2.254	-	-	2.2	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	271	295	756	258	254	654	1208	-	-	1170	-	-	
Stage 1	739	693	-	466	472	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	499	507	-	743	648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	227	257	756	162	221	654	1208	-	-	1170	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	227	257	-	162	221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	738	692	-	406	411	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	422	442	-	539	647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s/v	v 15.3	17.76	1.93	0.03	
HCM LOS	С	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1	EBLn2V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	418	-	-	229	756	299	6	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.1	-	-	0.289	0.271	0.056	0.001	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	8.3	0	-	27	11.5	17.8	8.1	0	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	D	В	С	А	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	1.2	1.1	0.2	0	-	-

6.9

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	1		4			4			4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	46	13	226	2	4	8	98	348	3	2	375	40	
Future Vol, veh/h	46	13	226	2	4	8	98	348	3	2	375	40	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	75	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-2	-	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	85	90	89	90	90	90	67	81	90	90	68	88	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	5	
Mvmt Flow	54	14	254	2	4	9	146	430	3	2	551	45	

Major/Minor	Minor2		N	/linor1			Major1		Ν	lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1303	1304	574	1287	1325	431	597	0	0	433	0	0	
Stage 1	579	579	-	724	724	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	724	726	-	563	601	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.7	6.1	6.01	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.14	-	-	4.1	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.7	5.1	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.309	3.5	4	3.3	2.236	-	-	2.2	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	160	187	537	142	157	628	970	-	-	1137	-	-	
Stage 1	538	538	-	420	433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	455	469	-	514	492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	r 123	149	537	55	126	628	970	-	-	1137	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 123	149	-	55	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	536	536	-	337	347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	355	376	-	263	491	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Dela	ıy, s/v27.02	27.81	2.37	0.03	
HCM LOS	D	D			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1	EBLn2V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	454	-	-	128	537	173	7	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.151	-	-	0.537	0.473	0.09	0.002	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.4	0	-	62	17.6	27.8	8.2	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	F	С	D	А	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	-	-	2.6	2.5	0.3	0	-	-	

Historical Traffic Counts

Organization: Knox TPO

Station ID #: 093M049

Location: Maryville Pike, south of Circle Oak Drive






TRIP GENERATION FOR CRENSHAW ROAD RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 32 Apartments

ITE LAND USE CODE	LAND USE DESCRIPTION	UNITS	GENERATED DAILY TRAFFIC		ENERATE TRAFFIC PEAK HC			ENERATE TRAFFIC PEAK HC	
				ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
Local Trip				22%	78%		55%	45%	
Rate	Apartments	32 Apartments	343	4	15	19	18	14	32
То	tal New Volume Si	te Trips	343	4	15	19	18	14	32

Data from Local Trip Rates and calculated by using Fitted Curve Equations

TRIP GENERATION FOR CRENSHAW ROAD RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 32 Apartments

32 Units = X

<u>Weekday:</u>

	T = 343 trips
	T = 15.193 * 22.55
Fitted Curve Equation:	$T = 15.193(X)^{0.899}$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Traffic between 7 and 9 am:

T = 19 trips
T = 0.758 * 25
Fitted Curve Equation: $T = 0.758(X)^{0.924}$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Traffic between 4 and 6 pm:

Fitted Curve Equation:	T = 0.60	69(X)+10.069		
	T =	0.669 *	32	+ 10.07
	T =	32 trips		

Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	137	96	11
Average Queue (ft)	61	30	1
95th Queue (ft)	107	76	8
Link Distance (ft)	201	82	243
Upstream Blk Time (%)		0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		2	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 6: Maryville Pike & Crenshaw Road

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	40	2	78
Average Queue (ft)	16	0	11
95th Queue (ft)	36	2	48
Link Distance (ft)	233	191	89
Upstream Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			1
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 8: Maryville Pike & Proposed Entrance

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	36	50	42
Average Queue (ft)	11	3	2
95th Queue (ft)	36	23	22
Link Distance (ft)	54	89	82
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0	0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0	0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	181	94	41
Average Queue (ft)	82	41	3
95th Queue (ft)	150	87	22
Link Distance (ft)	201	81	243
Upstream Blk Time (%)	1	1	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	3	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 6: Maryville Pike & Crenshaw Road

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	50	10	85
Average Queue (ft)	14	0	10
95th Queue (ft)	38	6	51
Link Distance (ft)	233	191	91
Upstream Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			3
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 7: Maryville Pike & Proposed Entrance

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	39	58	87
Average Queue (ft)	12	3	11
95th Queue (ft)	37	25	52
Link Distance (ft)	60	91	81
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0	0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0	2
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Movement	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	126	37	95	23
Average Queue (ft)	63	12	30	1
95th Queue (ft)	106	37	75	11
Link Distance (ft)	200	135	227	248
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 6: Maryville Pike & Crenshaw Road

LR 48 16 37 234	TR 5 0 4 191	LT 98 12 57
16 37	0 4	12 57
37	4	57
÷.		
234	101	007
	131	227
		0
		0

Network Summary

Movement	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	197	41	120	38
Average Queue (ft)	82	13	38	2
95th Queue (ft)	151	38	90	17
Link Distance (ft)	200	149	227	248
Upstream Blk Time (%)	1			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 6: Maryville Pike & Crenshaw Road

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	33	2	93
Average Queue (ft)	11	0	10
95th Queue (ft)	30	2	54
Link Distance (ft)	234	191	227
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	135	106	15
Average Queue (ft)	64	30	1
95th Queue (ft)	107	78	8
Link Distance (ft)	201	230	243
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 6: Maryville Pike & Crenshaw Road

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	48	4	85
Average Queue (ft)	16	0	13
95th Queue (ft)	39	3	55
Link Distance (ft)	234	191	230
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Maximum			00
Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	180	142	23
Average Queue (ft)	80	43	1
95th Queue (ft)	144	102	12
Link Distance (ft)	201	230	243
Upstream Blk Time (%)	1		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 6: Maryville Pike & Crenshaw Road

Movement	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LR	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	52	2	109
Average Queue (ft)	15	0	17
95th Queue (ft)	38	2	70
Link Distance (ft)	234	191	230
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Movement	EB	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	58	90	37	92	17
Average Queue (ft)	27	46	12	22	1
95th Queue (ft)	52	75	37	62	9
Link Distance (ft)		199	135	202	248
Upstream Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	75				
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	1			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0			

Movement	EB	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	71	122	44	109	40
Average Queue (ft)	34	53	13	28	3
95th Queue (ft)	63	94	39	73	18
Link Distance (ft)		199	149	202	248
Upstream Blk Time (%)		0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	75				
Storage Blk Time (%)	1	2			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	2	1			



Figure 3-16: Left-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Lane Rural Roadways (Unsignalized) 20, 21

²⁰ TRB, NCHRP Repot 745, Left-Turn Accommodations at Unsignalized Intersections (2013)

²¹ AASHTO, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets 7th Edition (2018)



Figure 3-18: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Two-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁴



Figure 3-19: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Four-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁵

²⁴ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)

²⁵ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)



Figure 3-16: Left-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Lane Rural Roadways (Unsignalized) 20, 21

²⁰ TRB, NCHRP Repot 745, Left-Turn Accommodations at Unsignalized Intersections (2013)

²¹ AASHTO, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets 7th Edition (2018)



Figure 3-18: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Two-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁴



Figure 3-19: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Four-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁵

²⁴ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)

²⁵ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)



Figure 3-16: Left-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Lane Rural Roadways (Unsignalized) 20, 21

²⁰ TRB, NCHRP Repot 745, Left-Turn Accommodations at Unsignalized Intersections (2013)

²¹ AASHTO, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets 7th Edition (2018)



Figure 3-18: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Two-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁴



Figure 3-19: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Four-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁵

²⁴ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)

²⁵ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)



Figure 3-16: Left-Turn Lane Warrant for Two-Lane Rural Roadways (Unsignalized) 20, 21

²⁰ TRB, NCHRP Repot 745, Left-Turn Accommodations at Unsignalized Intersections (2013)

²¹ AASHTO, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets 7th Edition (2018)



Figure 3-18: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Two-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁴



Figure 3-19: Right-Turn Lane Warrant along Four-Lane Roadway (Unsignalized Intersection with Two-Way Stop-Control)²⁵

²⁴ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)

²⁵ TRB, NCHRP 457, Evaluating Intersection Improvements (2001)



Ajax Engineering, LLC 11812 Black Road Knoxville, TN 37932 ajaxengineering@gmail.com © 2024 Ajax Engineering, LLC