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## Executive Summary

Ball Homes, LLC is proposing a residential development (i.e. Seal Property Subdivision) with single-family housing located in Knox County. The project is located south of the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road, east of Creekside Manor Lane, and west of Marietta Church Road. The full buildout of the development will consist of 265 single family lots. Construction is proposed to take place this year and this study assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2023.

The main driveway connection is located at the proposed roundabout at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road. The main driveway connection will have a 10 foot wide curbed median, a roadway width of 18 feet and total width of 46 feet. A second driveway connection is proposed along Hardin Valley Road 770 feet east of the proposed roundabout.

In order to maintain or provide an acceptable level-of-service for each of the intersections studied, some recommendations are presented.

## Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road

The background and full buildout traffic conditions at the proposed roundabout intersection will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours. The addition of the driveway to the proposed roundabout at Hickory Creek Road, Hardin Valley Road and E Gallaher Ferry Road will only cause a minor increase in delay to the intersection; therefore there are no recommended improvements.

## Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane

As a part of the Seal Property Subdivision the driveway connection (Road "A") will tie into the existing intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane. The full buildout traffic conditions for the eastbound and westbound left turning movements operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours, the northbound approach (Road "A") operates at a LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound approach (Muddy Creek Lane) will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours after the completion of the Seal Property Subdivision.

After the completion of the Seal Property Subdivision neither an eastbound right turn lane nor a westbound left turn lane are warranted at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane / driveway connection (Road "A").
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Project Description

This report provides a summary of a transportation impact analysis that was performed for the Seal Property Subdivision. The project is located south of the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road, east of Creekside Manor Lane, and west of Marietta Church Road. The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.

The full build out of the development will consist of 265 single family lots. Construction is proposed to take place this year, and this study assumes full build out for the development will occur in 2023.

The existing intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road will be replaced by a proposed roundabout designed by others. The roundabout is expected to be completed prior to the construction of the main driveway connection and the subdivision Road " A " will tie directly into the proposed roundabout. The driveway connection will have a 10 foot wide curbed median, a roadway width of 18 feet and total width of 46 feet. A second driveway connection is proposed along Hardin Valley Road 770 feet east of the proposed roundabout. The proposed site layout including the roundabout is shown in Figure 2.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the impacts to the traffic conditions caused by the proposed development.

Seal Property Subdivision
Transportation Impact Analysis
July 27, 2020


Figure 1: Location Map


Figure 2: Site Plan
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### 1.2 Existing Site Conditions

Hardin Valley Road at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road is a two-lane road. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission classifies Hardin Valley Road as a Minor Arterial with a 70 feet right-of-way per the Major Road Plan. The posted speed limit on Hardin Valley Road is 40 mph .

Hickory Creek Road at the intersection with Hardin Valley Road is a two-lane road. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission classifies Hickory Creek Road as a Minor Arterial with a 60 feet right-of-way per the Major Road Plan. The posted speed limit on Hickory Creek Road is 40 mph .

E Gallaher Ferry Road at the intersection with Hickory Creek Road is a two-lane road. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission classifies E Gallaher Ferry Road as a Major Collector with a 60 feet right-of-way per the Major Road Plan. The posted speed limit on E Gallaher Ferry Road is 30 mph .

Marietta Church Road at the intersection with Hardin Valley Road is a two-lane road. The Knoxville-Knox County Planning Commission classifies Marietta Church Road as a Minor Collector with a 60 feet right-of-way per the Major Road Plan. The posted speed limit on Marietta Church Road is 30 mph .

There are no existing sidewalks or designated bike lanes along Hardin Valley Road, Hickory Creek Road, E Gallaher Ferry Road or Marietta Church Road in the vicinity of the proposed development.

An aerial photo of the existing intersection is included in Attachment 1.
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## 2 Existing Traffic Volumes

Due to the altered traffic patterns from COVID-19 FMA did not collect any new turning movement counts for the Seal Property Subdivision transportation impact analysis.

As part of a different transportation analysis Cannon \& Cannon, Inc. (CCI) conducted a peak hour turning movement count at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road on Thursday November 1, 2018 from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. The AM peak hour occurred between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. with an AM peak volume of 375 vehicles and an AM peak hour factor of 0.93. The PM peak hour occurred between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. with a PM peak hour volume of 442 vehicles and a PM peak hour factor of 0.90 . The CCI peak hour turning movement count is included in Attachment 2.

In order to calculate existing traffic conditions FMA estimated growth rate from the 2018 turning movement count to the projected existing 2020 traffic conditions. The growth rate was determined by analyzing nearby traffic counts provided by the Knoxville Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) in the vicinity of the proposed development. Traffic counts located on Hardin Valley Road, E Gallaher Ferry Road and Marietta Church Road had an average growth rate of approximately $5 \%$. The ADT trend line growth charts are included in Attachment 3. Figure 3 shows the projected 2020 traffic volumes including both the AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road.


LEGEND:
$\longleftarrow 5$ (16) TURNING MOVEMENT VOLUME AM (PM)

Figure 3: 2020 Existing Peak Hour Traffic
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### 2.1 Hunters Way Subdivision

Hunters Way Subdivision is an existing subdivision located on Hardin Valley Road between Hickory Creek Road and Marietta Church Road. Hunters Way Subdivision has 40 existing single family homes.

Due to the altered traffic patterns from COVID-19 FMA did not collect any new turning movement counts for the intersection of Muddy Creek Lane at Hardin Valley Road. FMA estimated the traffic generated at the intersection of Muddy Creek Lane at Hardin Valley Road using the Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Single- Family Detached Housing or Land Use 210 was used to calculate site trips for the subdivision using the fitted curve equations. The land use worksheets are included in Attachment 5 and a trip generation summary is shown in Table 2.1-1.

Table 2.1-1
Trip Generation Summary Hunters Way Subdivision

| Land Use | Density | Daily <br> Trips | AM Peak Hour <br> Enter <br> Exit | PM Peak Hour <br> Enter |  | Exit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Family <br> Detached Housing <br> (LUC 210) | 40 Lots | 448 | 8 | 25 | 26 | 16 |

FMA assumed the directional distribution of the traffic generated by the Hunters Way Subdivision was determined using the existing traffic volumes along Hardin Valley Road. FMA assumed that $90 \%$ of traffic would enter/exit from Hardin Valley Road, $10 \%$ of traffic would enter/exit from Hickory Creek Road.

The thru traffic on Hardin Valley Road was estimated from Figure 3: 2020 Existing Peak Hour Traffic derived from the Cannon \& Cannon, Inc. (CCI) turning movement count.

Figure 4 shows the combined existing peak hour traffic at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane.


LEGEND:
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Figure 4: 2020 Combined Existing Peak Hour Traffic
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## 3 Background Growth

The Knoxville Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) maintains count stations in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Knoxville TPO count station ID 093M353 is located on Hardin Valley Road east of Marietta Church Road. The annual growth rate for this station over the last thirteen years is approximately $5.91 \%$ and the 2016 ADT was 5,340 vehicles per day.

Knoxville TPO count station ID 093M279 is located on E Gallaher Ferry Road north of Hardin Valley Road. The annual growth rate for this station over the last sixteen years is approximately $4.48 \%$ and the 2017 ADT was 790 vehicles per day.

Knoxville TPO count station ID 093M275 is located on Marietta Church Road south of Hardin Valley Road. The annual growth rate for this station over the last sixteen years is approximately $4.51 \%$ and the 2017 ADT was 1670 vehicles per day.

For the purpose of this study, an annual growth rate of $5.0 \%$ was assumed for the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road and Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane until full occupancy is reached in 2023. Attachment 3 shows the trend line growth charts for the TPO count station.

The proposed roundabout at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road, Hardin Valley Road and E Gallaher Ferry Road is currently in the design phase and is expected to be completed prior to the installation of the driveway connection. FMA assumed that the proposed roundabout project will be completed by the year 2023.

Figure 5 demonstrates the projected background peak hour volumes at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road and Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane after applying the background growth rate to the existing conditions.


LEGEND:
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Figure 5: 2023 Background Peak Hour Traffic
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### 3.1 Vining Mill Subdivision

The Vining Mill Subdivision is located on Hardin Valley Road east of the intersection of Marietta Church Road in Knox County. This residential development is currently under construction and as of June 2020 there are 28 single-family homes completed out of the 190 single-family lots planned for the full buildout of the subdivision.

The existing main subdivision entrance is located on Hardin Valley Road 1,685 feet east of the intersection of Marietta Church Road and a second driveway connection is proposed on Marietta Church Road 1,050 feet south of the intersection with Hardin Valley Road. As of June 2020 Boston Ivy Lane has been built with a temporary cul-de-sac, English Ivy Lane has been built with a temporary cul-de-sac and the second driveway connection to Marietta Church Road has not been built.

AJAX Engineering conducted a traffic impact study for the Vining Mill Subdivision in January 2017. The trip generation for the Vining Mill Subdivision includes 190 singlefamily detached houses. The estimated new site trips as stated in the "Vining Mill Subdivision Traffic Impact Study" is 1,896 daily trips, 143 trips during the AM peak hour and 188 trips during the PM peak hour. The trip distribution for the site trips is $90 \%$ of traffic entering/exiting from the direction of Pellissippi Parkway (SR162), 5\% of traffic entering/exiting from Marietta Church Road and $5 \%$ of traffic entering/exiting from Hickory Creek Road. Figure 2a "Proposed Plan Layout Vining Mill", Figure 5 "Vining Mill Subdivision Directional Distribution of Generated Traffic for Vining Mill" and Figure 6 "Vining Mill Subdivision Traffic Assignment of Generated Traffic for Vining Mill" are included in Attachment 3.

The 2018 turning movement count was completed prior to the construction of the Vining Mill Subdivision; therefore, the additional traffic from the construction of 28 single-family homes was considered in order to get an accurate depiction of the existing 2020 turning movement count. The additional traffic on Hickory Creek Road from the 28 single-family homes was considered negligible at 1 vehicle or less during the AM and PM peak hours so was not added to the existing traffic count shown on Figure 3.

The total additional traffic on Hickory Creek Road from the full buildout of the Vining Mill Subdivision is 6 trips eastbound and 2 trips westbound during the AM peak hour and 4 trips eastbound and 6 trips westbound during the PM peak hour as shown on Figure 6 "Vining Mill Subdivision Traffic Assignment of Generated Traffic for Vining Mill".

Figure 6 shows the Vining Mill Subdivision peak hour site trips and Figure 7 shows the 2023 background traffic including the trips from the Vining Mill Subdivision.


LEGEND:
$\longleftarrow 5$ (16) TURNING MOVEMENT VOLUME AM (PM)

Figure 6: Vining Mill Subdivision Peak Hour Site Trips


LEGEND:
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Figure 7: 2023 Combined Background Peak Hour Traffic
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## 4 Trip Generation and Trip Distribution

The Seal Property Subdivision proposes 265 single family lots. Single- Family Detached Housing or Land Use 210 was used to calculate site trips for the subdivision using the fitted curve equations from the Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. The land use worksheets are included in Attachment 5.

The total trips generated by the full buildout of the Seal Property Subdivision was estimated to be 2,549 daily trips. The estimated trips are 193 trips during the AM peak hour and 259 trips during the PM peak hour. A trip generation summary is shown in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1
Seal Property Subdivision
Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | Density | Daily <br> Trips | AM Peak Hour <br> Enter <br> Exit |  | PM Peak Hour <br> Enter |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2549 | 48 | 145 | 163 | 96 |
| Single-Family <br> Detached Housing <br> (LUC 210) | 265 Lots | 2549 |  |  |  |  |

The existing distribution of traffic at the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road is 60\% eastbound, $25 \%$ northbound and $15 \%$ southbound during the AM peak hour and $35 \%$ eastbound, $60 \%$ northbound and $5 \%$ southbound during the PM peak hour.

The directional distribution of the traffic generated by the Seal Property Subdivision was determined using the existing traffic volumes along Hardin Valley Road in combination with the concept plan layout. FMA assumed that $85 \%$ of traffic would enter/exit from Hardin Valley Road, 10\% of traffic would enter/exit from Hickory Creek Road and 5\% of traffic would enter/exit from E Gallaher Ferry Road.

The subdivision traffic will enter / exit using both the roundabout and the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at the driveway connection (Road " A "). FMA assumed that $25 \%$ of the proposed site traffic would enter from the intersection Hardin Valley Road at the driveway connection (Road " A ") and $75 \%$ of the proposed site traffic would enter via the roundabout. FMA assumed that $50 \%$ of the proposed site traffic would exit from the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at the driveway connection (Road " A ") and $50 \%$ of the proposed site traffic would exit via the roundabout.
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Figure 8 shows the peak hour trip distribution and Figure 9 shows the peak hour site trips for the Seal Property Subdivision.

Figure 10 shows the combined peak hour traffic after the full buildout of the subdivision.


LEGEND:
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Figure 8: Peak Hour Trip Distribution
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Figure 9: Seal Property Subdivision Peak Hour Site Trips
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Figure 10: 2023 Full Buildout Peak Hour Traffic
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## $5 \quad$ Projected Capacity and Level of Service

The existing intersections of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road and Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane are both two-way stop controlled intersections. During the background and full buildout conditions the intersection of Hickory Creek at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road will be replaced by a proposed roundabout designed by others.

Unsignalized intersection capacity analyses were performed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7) for the AM and PM peak hours to evaluate the traffic conditions at the intersections of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road and Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane / Driveway Connection (Road "A").

Roundabout intersection capacity analyses were performed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7) for the AM and PM peak hours to evaluate the traffic conditions at the proposed intersection of Hickory Creek at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road for the background and full buildout conditions.

The results from the analyses are expressed with a term "level of service" (LOS), which is based on the amount of delay experienced at the intersection. The LOS index ranges from LOS A, indicating excellent traffic conditions with minimal delay, to LOS F indicating very congested conditions with excessive delay. LOS D generally is considered the minimum acceptable condition in urban areas. The existing, background and full buildout HCS7 worksheets are included in Attachments 6, 7 and 8.

Table 5-1 shows the results of the capacity analyses.

Table 5-1
Intersection Analysis
Level of Service (LOS) Summary

|  |  | Delay (sec)/LOS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Hardin Valley Road @ Hickory Creek Road (Existing 2020) |  |
| AM Peak | EB Approach | 10.2 / B |
|  | NB Left Turn | $7.5 / \mathrm{A}$ |
| PM Peak | EB Approach | $9.8 / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | NB Left Turn | $7.8 / \mathrm{A}$ |
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| Hardin Valley Road @ Muddy Creek Lane (Existing 2020) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak | EB Left Turn SB Approach | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 11.5 / \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ |
| PM Peak | EB Left Turn SB Approach | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 12.1 / \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ |
| Hardin Valley Road @ Hickory Creek Road (Background 2023) |  |  |
| AM Peak | Intersection | 4.5 / A |
| PM Peak | Intersection | 4.8 / A |
| Hardin Valley Road @ Muddy Creek Lane (Background 2023) |  |  |
| AM Peak | EB Left Turn SB Approach | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 12.1 / \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ |
| PM Peak | EB Left Turn SB Approach | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 12.9 / \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ |
| Hardin Valley Road @ Hickory Creek Road (Full Buildout 2023) |  |  |
| AM Peak | Intersection | 4.8 / A |
| PM Peak | Intersection | 5.7 / A |
| Hardin Valley Road @ Muddy Creek Lane / Road "A" (Full Buildout 2023) |  |  |
| AM Peak | EB Left Turn WB Left Turn NB Approach SB Approach | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 8.3 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 12.3 / \mathrm{B} \\ & 16.6 / \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
| PM Peak | EB Left Turn WB Left Turn NB Approach SB Approach | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 7.9 / \mathrm{A} \\ & 10.9 / \mathrm{B} \\ & 19.4 / \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
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## 6 Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

The intersection of Hardin Valley Road at the driveway connection (Road "A") was evaluated to determine if a right turn lane or a left turn lane are warranted. The Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works handbook, "Access Control and Driveway Design Policy," was used to analyze the information. Neither an eastbound right turn lane nor a westbound left turn lane on Hardin Valley Road is warranted. The turn lane warrant worksheets and analysis are included in Attachment 9.

## 7 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 7.1 Hickory Creek Road @ Hardin Valley Road

The existing conditions at the two-way stop controlled intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Hardin Valley Road / E Gallaher Ferry Road and the background and full conditions at the proposed roundabout were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7).

The existing traffic conditions for the eastbound approach (Hickory Creek Road) operate at a LOS B during the AM peak hour and a LOS A during the PM Peak hour and the northbound left turn (Hardin Valley Road) operates at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The background and full buildout traffic conditions at the proposed roundabout intersection will operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The unsignalized intersection capacity analyses shows a $95 \%$ queue length at the full buildout of approximately two car lengths for the eastbound approach during the AM peak hour and two car lengths for the westbound approach during the PM peak hour; therefore the existing storage at the intersection is adequate and no change is necessary.

Hickory Creek Road is classified as a Minor Arterial per the Major Road Plan. The minimum intersection spacing required on an arterial is 400 feet per the "KnoxvilleKnox County Subdivision Regulations" as amended through February 13, 2020. The proposed driveway connection is located approximately 915 feet east of the intersection of Hickory Creek Road at Covered Bridge Boulevard. This driveway connection exceeds the typical minimum separation on a Minor Arterial; therefore, no change is necessary.
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### 7.2 Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane

The existing, background and full buildout conditions at the unsignalized intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane were analyzed using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS7).

The existing traffic conditions for the eastbound left turn movement (Hardin Valley Road) currently operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound approach (Muddy Creek Lane) operates at a LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours.

The background traffic conditions for the eastbound left turn movement (Hardin Valley Road) currently operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound approach (Muddy Creek Lane) operates at a LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours.

As a part of the Seal Property Subdivision the driveway connection (Road "A") will tie into the existing intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane. The full buildout traffic conditions for the eastbound and westbound left turning movements operate at a LOS A during both the AM and PM peak hours, the northbound approach (Road "A") operates at a LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours and the southbound approach (Muddy Creek Lane) will operate at a LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours after the completion of the Seal Property Subdivision.

The standard practice for a residential subdivision with 150 or more lots is to require at least two access points to provide alternative access opportunities in the event that one access is blocked by a fallen tree, crash, or other. The Seal Property Subdivision has one proposed driveway entrance/exit at the Hickory Creek Road, Hardin Valley road and E Gallaher Ferry Road roundabout and a second driveway connection onto Hardin Valley Road. The two access points in combination with the subdivision layout should provide adequate accessibility.

After the completion of the Seal Property Subdivision neither an eastbound right turn lane nor a westbound left turn lane are warranted at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane / driveway connection (Road " A ").

The minimum required sight distance for a road with a posted speed limit of 45 mph is 450 feet in each direction in accordance with the "Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations" amended through February 13, 2020. FMA measured the sight distance at the existing intersection of Hardin Valley Road at Muddy Creek Lane in July 2020. At 15 feet from the edge of pavement the sight distance is greater than 600 feet eastbound and 580 feet westbound.

# Seal Property Subdivision <br> Transportation Impact Analysis <br> July 27, 2020 

Hardin Valley Road is classified as a Minor Arterial per the Major Road Plan. The minimum intersection spacing required on an arterial is 400 feet per the "KnoxvilleKnox County Subdivision Regulations" as amended through February 13, 2020. The proposed driveway connection (Road " A ") is located approximately 770 feet east of the proposed roundabout and 705 feet west of the intersection with Marietta Church Road. This driveway connection exceeds the typical minimum separation on a Minor Arterial; therefore, no change is necessary.

### 7.3 Subdivision Roads

Road "A", Road "B", Road "C" and Road "D" will have a width of 26 feet in accordance with the "Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations" amended through February 13, 2020.

The minimum required sight distance for the internal subdivision Road "A", Road "B", Road "C" and Road "D" will be 250 feet in each direction in accordance with the "Knoxville-Knox County Subdivision Regulations" as amended through February 13, 2020. The sight triangles for the intersections of the internal subdivision roads are provided in Attachment 10.

Sight triangles are used to provide adequate sight distance for a stopped driver on the minor approach to enter or cross the major roadway. Any object (i.e. buildings, hedges, trees, walls, fences, etc.) within the sight triangle that would obstruct the driver's view of an approaching vehicle should be removed or modified. FMA recommends any necessary landscaping that may be involved to maintain the clear sight triangles and comply with Knox County Engineering and Public Works requirements.

Any required sight distance easements for the internal subdivision intersections of Road "A", Road "B", Road "C", and Road "D" should be coordinated with Knox County Engineering and Public Works and included on the final design drawings prior to construction of the subdivision.

Attachment 1
Aerial Photo


Hickory Creek at Hardin Valley




Project: Hickory Creek Subdivision
Intersection: Hardin Valley Rd/E Gallaher Ferry Rd at Hickory Creek Rd
Date Conducted: November 1, 2018

| AM Peak Hour | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM | 405 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| PM Peak Hour | 5:00 PM -6:00 PM | 445 |


|  | Hardin | Valley <br> Nort | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E Galla } \\ & \text { abound } \end{aligned}$ | er Ferry | Hardin | Valley <br> Sout | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E Galla } \\ & \text { ibound } \end{aligned}$ | er Ferry |  | kory C West | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reek Ro } \\ & \text { ound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ckory C } \\ & \text { Eastb } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reek } \\ & \text { ound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour begins at 7:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:15 AM | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 7:30 AM | 24 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 7:45 AM | 29 | 8 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 53 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 8:00 AM | 16 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| Total Volume | 88 | 17 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 48 | 10 | 58 | 9 | 0 | 233 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 405 |
| Existing (5\% over 2 yrs) | 97 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 | 53 | 11 |  | 10 | 0 | 257 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 447 |
| Future (5\% over 5 yrs) | 112 | 22 | 0 |  | 0 | 61 | 13 |  | 11 | 0 | 297 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 517 |
| PHF | 0.76 | 0.53 | - |  | - | 0.80 | 0.63 |  | 0.45 | - | 0.95 |  | - | - |  |  | 0.93 |
| Peak Hour Analysis from 2:30 PM to 6:00 PM PM Peak Hour begins at 5:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00 PM | 53 | 12 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| 5:15 PM | 68 | 12 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 36 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 5:30 PM | 65 | 8 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| 5:45 PM | 44 | 8 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Total Volume | 230 | 40 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 145 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| Existing (5\% over 2 yrs) | 254 | 44 | 0 |  | 0 | 17 | 6 |  | 11 | 0 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 491 |
| Future (5\% over 5 yrs ) | 294 | 51 | 0 |  | 0 | 19 | 6 |  | 13 | 0 | 185 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 568 |
| PHF | 0.85 | 0.83 | - |  | - | 0.75 | 0.63 |  | 0.63 | - | 0.81 |  | - | - | - |  | 0.90 |

Attachment 3
Vining Mill Subdivision




## Attachment 4 ADT Trends

Adjusted
Average Daily

Year

2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018

Traffic


Most Recent Trend Line Growth

| Year | ADT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 3020 |
| 2016 | 5340 |

Annual Percent Growth
5.91\%

Adjusted
Average Daily

| Year | Traffic |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 460 |

2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011 2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018


790

Most Recent Trend Line Growth

| Year | ADT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 460 |
| 2017 | 790 |

Annual Percent Growth
4.48\%

Adjusted
Average Daily

| Year | Traffic |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 970 |

2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011 2012
2013
2014
2015
1110

1110

1320

1440

1670
2018


Most Recent Trend Line Growth

| Year | ADT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 970 |
| 2017 | 1670 |

Annual Percent Growth
4.51\%

## Attachment 5

Trip Generation
Project: Seal Property Subdivision
Date Conducted: 6/9/2020

Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)
269 Single Family Lots

Average Daily Traffic
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.71$
$\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(269)+2.71$
$\mathrm{T}=2584$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
$\mathrm{T}=0.71(\mathrm{X})+4.80$
$\mathrm{T}=0.71(269)+4.80$
$\mathrm{T}=196$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.20$
$\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(269)+0.20$
$\mathrm{T}=263$

| Time Period | Percent |  | Number |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total Trips | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
| Weekday (24 hours) | 2584 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 1292 | 1292 |
| AM Peak Hour | 196 | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ | 49 | 147 |
| PM Peak Hour | 263 | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 166 | 97 |

Project: Hunters Way Subdivision
Date Conducted: 7/27/2020
Single-Family Detached Housing (LUC 210)
40 Single Family Lots
Average Daily Traffic
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.71$
$\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(40)+2.71$
$\mathrm{T}=448$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
$\mathrm{T}=0.71(\mathrm{X})+4.80$
$\mathrm{T}=0.71(40)+4.80$
$\mathrm{T}=33$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
$\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.20$
$\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(40)+0.20$
$\mathrm{T}=42$

|  |  | Percent |  | Number |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time Period | Total Trips | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
| Weekday (24 hours) | 448 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 224 | 224 |
| AM Peak Hour | 33 | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ | 8 | 25 |
| PM Peak Hour | 42 | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 26 | 16 |

## Single-Family Detached Housing

(210)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

 On a: Weekday

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)



## Data Plot and Equation



# Single-Family Detached Housing (210) 

$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\hline \text { Vehicle Trip Ends vs: } \\ \text { On a: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Dwelling Units } \\ \text { Weekday, }\end{array} \\ & \text { Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, } \\ \text { One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. }\end{array}\right\}$

## Data Plot and Equation



Attachment 6
Intersection Worksheets - Existing AM/PM Peaks

## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hickory Creek at Hardin V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $6 / 11 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hickory Creek Road |
| Analysis Year | 2020 | North/South Street | Hardin Valley/E Gallaher |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | $592.006-$ Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.52 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hardin Valley at Muddy C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hardin Valley Road |
| Analysis Year | 2020 | North/South Street | Muddy Creek Lane |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hickory Creek at Hardin V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $6 / 11 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hickory Creek Road |
| Analysis Year | 2020 | North/South Street | Hardin Valley/E Gallaher |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | $592.006-$ Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.52 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hardin Valley at Muddy C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hardin Valley Road |
| Analysis Year | 2020 | North/South Street | Muddy Creek Lane |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 3 | 174 |  |  |  | 296 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 2 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



Attachment 7
Intersection Worksheets - Background AM/PM Peaks

|  |  |  |  | HCS7 Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Addie Kirkham |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Hickory Creek at Hardin Valley |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. | FMA |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Hickory Creek Road / Hardin Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 6/11/2020 |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | E Gallaher Ferry / Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period (hrs) |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | Background AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Knox County |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 11 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 13 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Flow Rate (VpcE), $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0 | 12 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 14 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane |  |  | Left | Right | Bypass | Left |  | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left |  | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway (s) |  |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  |  | 763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (s) |  |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  |  | 087 |  |
| Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane |  |  | Left | Right | Bypass | Left |  | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left |  | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h |  |  |  | 340 |  |  |  | 153 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry Volume veh/h |  |  |  | 333 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), pc/h |  |  | 67 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 407 |  |  | 129 |  |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h |  |  | 395 |  |  | 143 |  |  |  | 36 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (Cpce), pc/h |  |  |  | 1289 |  |  |  | 1363 |  |  | 911 |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  |  |  | 1264 |  |  |  | 1336 |  |  | 893 |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 07 |  |
| Delay and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane |  |  | Left | Right | Bypass |  | eft | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |  |  | ght | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  |  |  | 5.2 |  |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |  | . 6 |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | . 2 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  |  | 5.2 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hardin Valley at Muddy C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hardin Valley Road |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Muddy Creek Lane |
| Time Analyzed | Background AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service




## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hardin Valley at Muddy C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hardin Valley Road |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Muddy Creek Lane |
| Time Analyzed | Background PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



Attachment 8


## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hardin Valley at Muddy C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hardin Valley Road |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Muddy Creek / Driveway |
| Time Analyzed | Full Buildout AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 1 | 415 | 2 |  | 10 | 161 | 7 |  | 7 | 0 | 66 |  | 22 | 0 | 3 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1391 |  |  |  | 1107 |  |  |  |  | 572 |  |  |  | 337 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |  |  | 16.6 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.6 |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |  |  | 16.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |



## General Information

| Analyst | Addie Kirkham | Intersection | Hardin Valley at Muddy C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | FMA | Jurisdiction | Knox County |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Hardin Valley Road |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Muddy Creek Lane |
| Time Analyzed | Full Buildout PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 592.006 Seal Property Subdivision |  |  |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 3 | 237 | 8 |  | 33 | 448 | 23 |  | 5 | 0 | 43 |  | 14 | 0 | 2 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Attachment 9

## Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Project: Seal Property Subdivision

Hardin Valley Road at
at Driveway Connection
LEFT TURN
AM
PM
Hardin Valley Road at Driveway Connection
RIGHT TURN
AM
PM

VOLUMES

| Opposing | Thru | LT | LT MAX | Warrant Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 418 | 168 | 10 | 70 | NO |
| 248 | 471 | 33 | 40 | NO |

VOLUMES

| Thru | RT | RT MAX | Warrant Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 416 | 2 | 149 | NO |
| 240 | 8 | 349 | NO |

## TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE YOLUME TIIRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH (If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

| OPPOSING VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUNDE PYUS REGETTURNVOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-309 | 400-499 | 450-499 | $500 \cdot 549$ | 550-599 | $=1>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 2511-209 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $40 \text { } P$ | $\operatorname{eak} 33 L^{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { cil. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-64 y \\ & 650-699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 20 -20 | 15 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists


## TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVALLING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGE VOLUME PLUS LEET-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | - | eak 8 RT |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { I'es } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Y'e } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-489 \\ & 450-489 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { yex } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yess } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-541 \\ & 550-594 \end{aligned}$ | yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { yes } \end{aligned}$ | Its <br> res | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Y'es | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LERT-TURN VOLUNEE * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 501-549 | 550-600 | $+1>6100$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fwer Tham } 25 \\ 25-48 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ak 2 RT | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yis } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yps } \end{aligned}$ | Yu Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & Y 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Ios } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y'es } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I'es } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yus } \end{aligned}$ |
| , 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a lett-turn lane exists.

Attachment 10
Sight Triangles






Date: July 27, 2020

## Project Name: Sealy Property Subdivision

## To: Knoxville-Knox County Planning

## Subject: TIS Review for Sealy Property Subdivision (8-SC-20-C / 8-E-20-UR)

Dear Knoxville-Knox County Planning staff,

The following comment response document is submitted to address comments dated July 21, 2020:

1. Reviewer Comment: The TIA scope determination form indicated that the applicant must look at two access points for the subdivision, not only the single access point as shown in the site plan and analyzed in the TIS. Please reevaluate the TIS with two access points. Please revise Section 6.2 first paragraph of the TIS in relation to this issue. Even though there is a boulevard located at the single proposed access currently, this does not preclude a requirement of a second access given the number of units and surrounding traffic patterns that must be evaluated by the jurisdiction. There are 3-2 access options to the applicant and they are as follow:
a. Connection to the stub-out of Creekside Manor Subdivision
b. Connection to Hickory Creek Road
c. Connection to Hardin Valley Road

Response: The site layout was revised to include a second driveway connection to Hardin Valley Road.
2. Reviewer Comment: Page 6, please provide a revised site plan to include the parcel lines around the whole parcel.

Response: Revised Figure 2: Site Plan per the updated subdivision layout and added the property lines.
3. Reviewer Comment: Page 7, please include Marietta Church Road as a separate paragraph since the property has frontage along this road as well.

Response: Added a separate paragraph for the existing site conditions along Marietta Church Road.
4. Reviewer Comment: Page 12 second paragraph, revise " 1,685 " to " 1,685 feet".

Response: Revised to "1,685 feet"
5. Reviewer Comment: Please evaluate sight distance within the study given the sight triangles presented at the back of the TIS and at the access points for the subdivision.

Response: Evaluated sight distance at the intersection of Hardin Valley Road at driveway connection (Road " A ") and added a more detailed explanation of the sight triangles.
6. Reviewer Comment: Page 21 (end), there looks to be another section (6.3) needed for internal roads of the subdivision.

Response: Added Section 6.3 Subdivision Roads.

Sincerely,


Addie Kirkham, P.E.

