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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Preface:

Maverick Development Group, LLC is proposing to construct a residential development adjacent to Brakebill Road and Hammer Road in East Knox County, TN. In this report, the name of this proposed residential development is referred to as "Brakebill Road Subdivision," and this development will consist of 227 single-family detached houses and 95 single-family attached houses on $100.6 \pm$ acres. This development is anticipated to be fully built-out and occupied by the year 2025. The primary purpose of this study is to determine and evaluate the potential impacts of the Brakebill Road Subdivision on the adjacent transportation system. The study includes a review of the primary access roads and the major surrounding intersections and is a Level 2 study as set forth by the Knoxville-Knox County Planning. Recommendations and mitigation measures will be offered where traffic operations have been projected to be below traffic engineering standards.

## Study Results:

The findings of this study include the following:

- At full build-out and occupancy, the Brakebill Road Subdivision with 227 singlefamily detached houses and 95 single-family attached houses is expected to generate approximately 3,123 trips on an average weekday. Of these trips, 217 of these trips are estimated to occur during the AM peak hour and 298 trips in the PM peak hour at full build-out and occupancy in the year 2025.
- A total of three new unsignalized intersections will be created externally on existing roads by this new development. These intersections are projected to operate with minimal delays. Of the four existing intersections examined in the study, all of them are expected to need modifications to meet the projected traffic volumes in the year 2025 .
- Based on the review of the vehicle crash history and the study observations on Brakebill Road, it was determined that the narrowness of Brakebill Road could be a contributing factor to vehicle crashes, and these crashes could be reduced with remediation strategies.


## Recommendations:

An overview of the recommendations for the external roadways and intersections is provided in Figure 10 at the end of the report. A discussion of these recommendations is offered in the following based on the study analyses. The recommendations marked with an asterisk indicate an existing need and are not associated with the projected transportation impacts of the proposed subdivision.

- Minor traffic signal timing changes might be required in the projected conditions at the existing signalized intersection of Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road to optimize traffic flows and reduce vehicle queues.
- A 24 " white stop bar needs to be installed on the eastbound approach of Hammer Road at Brakebill Road to improve visibility of the stop condition.
- The new Hammer Road at Road "B" intersection will require a white stop bar and Stop Sign (R1-1) on the Road "B" approach. Required sight distance at this proposed intersection needs to be verified by a licensed land surveyor and designed accordingly in the design plans. Larger curb radii should be considered to facilitate right-turns due to the narrowness of Hammer Road.
- The new Brakebill Road at Clubhouse Driveway intersection will require a 24 " white stop bar and Stop Sign (R1-1) on the Clubhouse Driveway approach. Required sight distance at this proposed intersection needs to be verified by a licensed land surveyor and designed accordingly in the design plans. Larger curb radii should be considered to facilitate right-turns due to the narrowness of Brakebill Road.
- The new Brakebill Road at Road "A" intersection will require a separate northbound left-turn lane on Brakebill Road with a storage length of 75 feet. This lane should be constructed before the residential subdivision is opened to residents. The new intersection will require a 24 " white stop bar and Stop Sign (R1-1) on the Road "A" approach. Required sight distance at this proposed intersection needs to be verified by a licensed land surveyor and designed accordingly in the design plans. Larger curb radii should be considered to facilitate right-turns due to the narrowness of Brakebill Road.
- Based on the projected traffic volumes in 2025, the existing turn lanes at the Strawberry Plains Pike and Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side) intersection will need to be modified. The projected results in the study show that an additional northbound left-turn lane will be required due to this traffic movement exceeding 300 vehicles per hour in the PM peak hour. Adding a second
northbound left-turn lane will require constructing a second lane on the westbound Interstate 40 On-Ramp and merging these lanes either before the entrance to westbound Interstate 40 or further downstream. The existing northbound left-turn lane has approximately 190 feet of storage. Based on the projected volumes, the second left-turn lane should also be constructed with 190 feet of storage. The projected 2025 volumes and calculations also indicate that the existing westbound Interstate 40 Off-Ramp double left-turn lanes will need to be lengthened by 25 feet to a total of 225 feet. Both modifications at this intersection are projected to be required due to overall traffic growth in the area and not directly due to the proposed residential subdivision.
*     - The existing Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road intersection currently meets warrants for traffic signalization based on the existing traffic counts and during an analysis by the Tennessee Department of Transportation in 2010. This intersection is presently operating with a reduced level of service and has high vehicle delays for eastbound left-turns. It is recommended that this intersection have a traffic signal installed and coordinated with the existing traffic signal to the south at the Strawberry Plains Pike and Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side) intersection. Based on the projected volumes in 2025 and a preliminary traffic signal design, the existing northbound left-turn lane at the Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road will need to be increased by 50 feet in length to a minimum of 200 feet. This traffic signal should be constructed before the residential subdivision is opened to residents. As part of this work, some items such as pavement markings, vegetation removal, and retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings need to be refreshed and re-installed as first identified in the TDOT Road Safety Audit Review (RSAR).
*     - Based on the narrowness of Brakebill Road and the recent past crash history, it is recommended that remediation strategies be employed to attempt to reduce future vehicle crashes. While this road was evaluated and deemed not to meet the benchmark for TDOT safety funding, Brakebill Road crash history indicates that a substantial amount of crashes involved opposite direction sideswipe and road departure crashes. The recommended strategies include identifying and removing/re-locating roadside hazards, installing advance warning signage on two existing horizontal curves, replacing and correcting existing warning road signage, replacing pavement markings, and installing rumble strips on the centerline and the edge line of Brakebill Road. Eventually, Brakebill Road will
need to be widened and upgraded since it is a major collector and is an important link between Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) and Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40. The current pavement width of Brakebill Road adjacent to the development site is approximately 18.5 feet, and it would be beneficial to widen and upgrade the road to facilitate travel in between Strawberry Plains Pike and Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E).
*     - Pavement markings on Brakebill Road, especially within the Knoxville City limits, is recommended to be reapplied.
- It is recommended that $25-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit signs be posted on Road " A " and Road " B " for vehicles traveling into the new residential subdivision.
- Stop Signs (R1-1) and 24 " white stop bars should be installed internally on the new streets, as shown in the report.
- Sight distance at the new intersections in the Brakebill Road Subdivision must not be impacted by new signage or future landscaping. For a posted speed limit of 25mph , the intersection sight distance requirement is 250 feet. The stopping sight distance required is 155 feet for a level road grade. The road layout designer should ensure that these sight distance lengths are met, and they should be labeled on the plans.
- All drainage grates and covers for the residential development need to be pedestrian and bicycle safe.
- The internal sidewalks that are proposed for the development should have appropriate ADA compliant curbed ramps at intersection corners, and the sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width.
- The United States Postal Service (USPS) has recently implemented changes to its guidelines for delivery in new residential subdivisions. If directed by the local post office, the designer should include an area within the development with a parking area for a centralized mail delivery center.
- Traffic calming measures might be needed for this development. Sections of the horizontal alignment for proposed Road "A", "C", and "D" within the development have long and straight road segments. The possible need for traffic calming measures inside the development will need to be coordinated with Knox County Engineering and Public Works during the detailed design phase.
- All road grade and intersection elements internally and externally should be designed to AASHTO, TDOT, and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.


## DESCRIPTION OF Existing Conditions

## - STUDY AREA:

The proposed location of this new development is shown on a map in Figure 1. The proposed development is to be located adjacent to Brakebill Road and Hammer Road in East Knox County, TN. The development site is located to the south of Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E), west of Strawberry Plains Pike, and north of Interstate 40. The proposed development is to be comprised of six internal paved roads and will contain 227 single-family detached houses and 95 single-family attached houses encompassing approximately 100.6 acres. Transportation impacts associated with the proposed development were analyzed at the following existing roadways and intersections, where the most significant impact is expected and as requested by Knoxville-Knox County Planning:

| o | Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| o | Hammer Road at Brakebill Road |
| o | Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road |
| o | Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side) |

In addition to these existing intersections, the study also includes the review of three new proposed intersections that will be constructed by the development. The subdivision will have two entrances, one will be located on Hammer Road on the north side, and one will be located on Brakebill Road on the east side of the development. The other proposed intersection will be for a subdivision clubhouse driveway on Brakebill Road.

The proposed development property is located within Knox County. However, all the existing study intersections, except for the Hammer Road at Brakebill Road intersection, are located within the City of Knoxville limits. Near this development, there are several residential areas, unused/woodland properties, farm properties, commercial developments, and a temple. The proposed development site property currently consists almost entirely of undeveloped woodlands.

The development property is bounded by Hammer Road to the north, Brakebill Road to the east, undeveloped property and a handful of individual residences to the west, and undeveloped property to the south.


Figure 1
Location Map

## - EXISTING ROADWAYS:

Table 1 lists the characteristics of the key existing roadways adjacent to the development property and included in the study:

TABLE 1
STUDY CORRIDOR CHARACTERISTICS

| NAME | CLASSIFICATION ${ }^{1}$ | SPEED <br> LIMIT | LANES | ROAD <br> WIDTH $^{2}$ | TRANSIT $^{3}$ | PEDESTRIAN <br> FACILIIIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asheville Highway <br> (US $25 \mathrm{~W} /$ Hwy 11E) | Major Arterial | 55 mph | 4 divided | 100 feet | None | No sidewalks along <br> roadway | No bike lanes |
| Brakebill Road | Major Collector | 30 mph | 2 undivided | 18.5 feet | None | No sidewalks along <br> roadway | No bike lanes |
| Hammer Road | Minor Collector | 30 mph | 2 undivided | 16.5 feet | None | No sidewalks along <br> roadway | No bike lanes |
| Strawberry Plains Pike | Minor Arterial | 40 mph | 4 divided | 120 feet | None | No sidewalks along <br> roadway | No bike lanes |

${ }^{1} 2018$ Major Road Plan by Knoxville/Knox County Planning
${ }^{2}$ Edge of curb to edge of curb or edge of pavements near project site
${ }^{3}$ According to Knoxville Area Transit System Map

Asheville Highway (US 25W / Hwy 11E) is a major arterial that traverses in a general northeast-southwest direction. According to Wikipedia, US 25W is 145.7 miles in length and runs in between Newport, Tennessee, and Corbin, Kentucky. Highway 11E is 120.9 miles in length and runs in between Knoxville, TN, and Bristol, Virginia. Closer to the study area, Asheville Highway provides convenient access to Knoxville to the southwest, Jefferson City to the northeast (via Hwy 11E), and Dandridge to the east
 (via US 25W). The posted speed limit on Asheville Highway is 55 mph .

At the intersection of Brakebill Road, Asheville Highway is a divided highway with a grass median. A traffic signal controls the traffic movements at the 4-way intersection of Brakebill Road at Asheville Highway, and the signal heads are supported on span wires. There are utility street lights at the intersection of Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road. The road opposite of Brakebill Road at the signalized intersection is named Neals Landing Boulevard and is the entrance to the Neals Landing Subdivision.

Brakebill Road will provide immediate access to the proposed Brakebill Road Subdivision property and traverses in a north-south direction. Brakebill Road is 1.3 miles in length and traverses in between Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) to the north and Strawberry Plains Pike to the south. Commercial development is located on each end of Brakebill Road with residential, farm properties, a temple, and undeveloped land in between.

Brakebill Road has a relatively straight horizontal alignment for much of its length but does have some significant horizontal curvature. On the southern end of Brakebill Road, at the intersection with Crosswood Boulevard (before the terminus at Strawberry Plains Pike), there is a very sharp horizontal road curve with a significant vertical change in grade. The cross slope at this horizontal curve is over $10 \%$, with a vertical grade of nearly $8 \%$.

While Brakebill Road is classified as a
 major collector, the pavement is relatively narrow. Evidence of wheel tracking off the pavement is present along Brakebill Road. Brakebill Road intersects Strawberry Plains Pike at a divided highway section with a grass median. At this intersection, the minor roadway, Brakebill Road, is controlled by a Stop Sign (R1-1) for eastbound traffic while Strawberry Plains Pike northbound and southbound traffic operates freely.

Hammer Road traverses in a general northeast-southwest direction between Brakebill Road on the east side and transitions to Manis Road on the west side (near Interstate 40). Hammer Road is classified as a minor collector in the study area. Hammer Road was once a continuous road
from Brakebill Road to what is now known as Governor John Sevier Highway (SR 168) before the construction of Interstate 40. Hammer Road currently ends on the north side of Interstate 40 at Manis Road, but commences again on the south side of Interstate 40 and eventually terminates at Governor John Sevier Highway (SR 168). Hammer Road is a relatively narrow road near the project site and consists entirely of individual residences and farm properties adjacent to the proposed development. The horizontal and vertical alignment on Hammer Road is relatively calm near the proposed development. However, several hundred feet to the west, Hammer Road has two sharp horizontal 90-degree curves. Hammer Road intersects Brakebill Road at a Tintersection. Northbound and southbound traffic on Brakebill Road operates freely at this intersection, while the eastbound approach at Hammer Road is controlled by a Stop Sign (R1-1).

Strawberry Plains Pike traverses in between Andrew Johnson Highway (Hwy 11E) to the northeast of the project site, past Governor John Sevier Highway (SR 168), and then ends at Thorngrove Pike to the southwest. In the study area, Strawberry Plains Pike is a divided highway with a grass median and has a significant amount of commercial businesses. Gas stations, restaurants, and hotels populate Strawberry Plains Pike adjacent to Interstate 40. Exit 398 of Interstate 40 at Strawberry Plains Pike attracts large amounts of truck traffic and motorists associated with the surrounding development. A traffic signal controls the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the northern (and southern) Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps, and the signal heads are supported on span wires. Roadway utility lighting is provided at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike with Brakebill Road and the Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north and south side).


Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramp (north side) and Brakebill Road

Figure 2 shows the lane configurations of the study area roadways and intersections, the study traffic count locations, and traffic signage in the study area. The traffic signage shown includes warning and regulatory signage. The pages following Figure 2 give an overview of the site study area with photographs.
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Brakebill Road

## - EXISTING TRANSPORTATION VOLUMES PER MODE:

There are two permanent vehicular traffic count locations nearby to this project site. One of these count locations is conducted by the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) every year. The Knoxville Regional TPO conducts the other count location. The count location data is the following:

- Existing vehicular roadway traffic:
- TDOT reported Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) on Brakebill Road near the project site at 3,844 vehicles per day in 2018 . From $2010-2018$, this count station has indicated a $1.6 \%$ average annual growth rate.
- The TPO reported Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on Strawberry Plains Pike to the south of Interstate 40 and the project site at 17,650 vehicles per day in 2016. Traffic data at this location on Strawberry Plains Pike has been collected sporadically throughout the past ten years. Due to this lack of regular data collection, a constant average annual growth rate for Strawberry Plains Pike is challenging to gauge. However, based on data only from 2006, 2008, 2012, and 2016, the average annual growth rate was calculated to be $-1.4 \%$. All the researched historical traffic count data for this report can be viewed in Appendix A.
o Existing bicycle and pedestrian volumes:
The average daily pedestrian and bicycle traffic along the study corridor is not known. A couple of pedestrians were observed at the intersections during the manual traffic counts. A single bicyclist was also seen on Brakebill Road. It is assumed that these volumes are minimal to non-existent in the study area.


## - ON-STREET PARKING:

Currently, on-street parking is not allowed on any of the studied roadways adjacent to the project site.

## - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES:

Bicycle facilities (lanes) and pedestrian sidewalks are not currently available within the project site study area or any of the studied roadways.

In the area, the closest bicycle accommodation is located to the northeast of the site near the intersection of Andrew Johnson Highway (11E) and Asheville Highway (US 25W). These accommodations are provided at Carter Park and include a 0.3-mile paved trail, ball fields, and playground areas. This park is located 3.7 miles away by roadway via Brakebill Road and Asheville Highway (US 25W).


## - WALK SCORE:



A private company offers an online website at walkscore.com that grades and gives scores to locations within the United States based on "walkability", "bikeability", and transit availability. According to the website, the numerical values assigned for the Walk Score and the Bike Score are based on the distance to the closest amenity in various relevant categories (businesses, schools, parks, etc.) and are graded from 0 to 100. The Transit Score measures how well a location is served by public transit based on distance and type of nearby transit. The Transit Score is also graded from 0 to 100 .

Appendix B shows maps and other information for the Walk Score, Bike Score, and Transit Score at the current property site address ( 521 Brakebill Road). Based on the project location, the location is graded with a Walk Score of 8 . This Walk Score indicates that the site is completely dependent on vehicles for errands and travel. The site is graded with a Bike Score of 15 , which means that there is minimal bike infrastructure but is somewhat bikeable. Also, based on the project location, the site is graded with a Transit Score of 0 due to no existing nearby public transportation options.

## - Transit Services:

The City of Knoxville has a network of public transit opportunities offered by Knoxville Area Transit (KAT). Bus service is not available in this area of Knox County. The overall KAT bus system map is in Appendix C. The closest public transit bus service is located 5 miles away via Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Chilhowee Drive adjacent to Holston Hills. This KAT service is Route 31 "Magnolia Avenue". It operates on weekdays and a limited schedule on the weekends, and this route map is also included in Appendix C.

Other transit services include the East Tennessee Human Resource Agency (ETHRA) and the Community Action Committee (CAC), which provides transportation services when requested. Private taxis and ride-sharing opportunities (Uber, etc.) are also available.

## Project Description

## - LOCATION AND SITE PLAN:

The proposed plan layout given by Urban Engineering, Inc. is shown in Figure 3. As can be seen in the figure, one entrance, Road " B ", will tie into Hammer Road 450 feet to the west of the intersection with Brakebill Road. The main entrance, Road "A", will tie into Brakebill Road approximately 1,065 feet to the south of Hammer Road. Six new streets will be constructed, and four of them will terminate at cul-de-sacs. The total lengths of the new streets together will be just over 9,460 feet ( 1.79 miles) in total length. The residential development will incorporate a portion of the total 100.6 acres with large amounts of open space/common areas. As shown in Figure 3, the Brakebill Road Subdivision is proposing 227 single-family detached houses and 95 single-family attached houses. The layout shows 95 of these homes as single-family attached lots on Road "E" and " F " and 227 as single-family detached lots on the north side. The size of the single-family detached lots will average 5,500 square feet (. 13 acre) to 8,500 square feet ( .20 acre) in size with a handful of lots near $1 / 3$ acre. Each home will have a garage and driveway. The attached lots will average around 3,000 square feet. A clubhouse is proposed for the residents with a swimming pool to the south of the Road "A" entrance. The clubhouse will provide parking for residents, and vehicular access will only be available via Brakebill Road.


Abandoned Residence on Site Property

The existing site is currently unoccupied and nearly completely wooded. Two buildings are located on the property in the southeast corner and comprise an abandoned residence and car garage. As shown in KGIS mapping, a portion of Sinking Creek crosses the property in the northeast corner of the site property.

The schedule for completion of this new residential development is dependent on economic factors and construction timelines. This project is also contingent on permitting, design, and other issues. However, after consultation with the site engineer, for this study, it was assumed that the total construction build-out of the development and full occupancy would occur within the next five years (2025).


Figure 3
Proposed Plan Layout
Brakebill Road Subdivision

## PROPOSED USES AND ZONING REQUIREMENTS:

The property for the proposed development is within the Knox County limits (and just outside the City of Knoxville) and was rezoned to Planned Residential (PR) with a density of <9 units per acre in March of 2018. It was initially zoned Agricultural (A). The development property currently exists of two separate parcels (Parcel 267 and 267.01). The current zoning map is provided in Appendix D. The existing adjacent surrounding land uses are the following:

- Ten properties to the north on the opposite side of Hammer Road are zoned as Agricultural (A), and two properties are zoned as Low Density Residential (RA). One property is zoned as Planned Residential (PR) with a density of 1-3 dwelling units per acre. Most of these properties are currently occupied with single-family homes.
- Two properties are located to the west and are currently zoned Agricultural (A) and Planned Residential (PR) with a density of $1-8$ dwelling units per acre. The one property that is zoned Agricultural (A) has a single-family residence. The other property zoned Planned Residential (PR) is vacant land, which appears to have been partially graded in the past in anticipation of residential development.
- To the south, two properties are zoned Agricultural (A). These two properties consist of vacant land and a single-family residence/farm property.
- The properties to the east on the opposite side of Brakebill Road are also zoned Agricultural (A). They consist of single-family residences and farm property. In addition to these residential properties, there is also a Hindu temple named BAPS Shri Swaminarayan Mandir.

The Planned Residential (PR) zone allows for a variety of land uses primarily within the residential realm. Uses permitted in this zone include single-family dwellings, duplexes, and multi-dwelling structures and developments.

## - DEVELOPMENT DENSITY:

The density allowed by Knox County for the development is nine dwelling units per acre based on the rezoning in March of 2018. With a maximum of 400 houses on 100.6 acres, the proposed density for the Brakebill Road Subdivision computes to 3.98 dwelling units per acre, which is far below the allowable of 9 units per acre.

## ON-SITE CIRCULATION:

The total length of the six new streets within the development will be just over 9,460 feet (1.79 miles) in length and will be designed and constructed to Knox County, TN specifications. The new streets shown in Figure 3 are labeled Road "A" thru Road "F". The internal roadways for the development will be paved with asphalt, include $8^{\prime \prime}$ extruded concrete curbs, and the lane widths will be 13 feet for a total of 26 -foot pavement width. The street right-of-way within the development will be 50 feet. Based on the concept plan, concrete sidewalks are proposed on at least one side of each internal street. After construction, Knox County will maintain the streets.

## - SERVICE AND DELIVERY VEHICLE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION:

Besides residential passenger vehicles, the new streets will also provide access for service, delivery, maintenance, and fire protection/rescue vehicles. It is not expected that any of these other types of vehicles will impact roadway operations other than when they occasionally enter and exit the development. It is anticipated that curbside garbage collection services will be available for this residential subdivision. Concerning fire protection and rescue vehicles, the new roads will be designed and constructed to Knox County specifications and thus expected to be adequate in size. The internal roadways in the subdivision are expected to be able to accommodate these larger types of vehicles along with standard passenger vehicles.

## Traffic Analysis of Existing and Projected Conditions

## - Existing Traffic Conditions:

Due to the current worldwide coronavirus pandemic, usable and accurate traffic count for analysis is not readily obtainable. Recently, the pandemic has not only closed schools and eliminated school-related traffic, but overall general traffic has been affected due to stay-at-home orders, work furloughs, job losses, and general anxiety with travel outside the home. According to the Federal Highway Administration (Traffic Volume Trends - March 2020), the State of Tennessee experienced 15.7 \% fewer miles driven by motorists in March of 2020 as compared to March 2019. It is assumed that this reduction increased in April during the height of the shutdowns. However, as of May 26, more community restrictions have been lifted in Knox County (known as Phase 2 of Knox County Reopening Plan), and overall travel has noticeably begun to increase and return closer to pre-lockdown levels in the area.

Because traffic counts conducted at this time would not yield accurate data, previous traffic count data was used for this study. The intersections that were recommended to be analyzed were previously studied for an unrelated development on the same property. For this previous study in March of 2018, traffic counts were conducted at the following existing unsignalized and signalized intersections:

- Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road
- Hammer Road at Brakebill Road
- Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road
- Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side)

Quality Counts, LLC conducted traffic counts on Tuesday, March 20, 2018. The counts were performed while the local schools were in session. The intersections of Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road and Hammer Road at Brakebill Road were counted from 7 - 9 am and $2-6 \mathrm{pm}$. The intersections of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road and Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramp (north side) were counted from 7 - $9 \mathrm{am}, 11 \mathrm{am}-1$ pm , and 2-6 pm. Based on the traffic volumes counted at the intersections, the AM and PM peak hour of traffic were observed at the following times:

- Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road
7:15-8:15 AM / 3:45-4:45 PM
- Hammer Road at Brakebill Road
7:30-8:30 AM / 4:15 - 5:15 PM
- Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road
7:15-8:15 AM / 4:30-5:30 PM
- Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side)
7:15-8:15 AM / 4:30 - 5:30 PM

The manual tabulated traffic counts can be reviewed in Appendix E. In Figure 4a, the volumes are shown from the 2018 traffic counts during the AM and PM peak hours observed at each intersection. (Note: For the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road, the Quality Counts, LLC data in the appendix shows the traffic count with Brakebill Road as the southbound approach and Strawberry Plains Pike as the westbound and eastbound approach. This report shows Brakebill Road as the eastbound approach and Strawberry Plains Pike as the northbound and southbound approaches.)

The next figure, Figure 4b, shows the traffic volumes at the intersections for the current year, 2020 based on the 2018 traffic volumes. The conversion of the traffic volumes from 2018 to 2020 was accomplished by applying a $+2 \%$ annual growth rate for two years. A $+2 \%$ annual growth rate was based on the historical TDOT data for Brakebill Road shown in Appendix A. From 2010 to 2018, the TDOT count location on Brakebill Road has demonstrated an average annual growth rate of $+1.6 \%$.

In addition to the existing intersections requested by the Planning Department, an additional traffic count was conducted on March 20, 2018 (by Ajax Engineering, LLC) just to the north of the project site at the intersection of Kilbridge Drive and Brakebill Road during the AM and PM peak hours. This intersection serves as an access point on Brakebill Road to an existing residential subdivision named Stonehaven. The AM and PM peak hour traffic count at this intersection is shown in Figure 4c. The results of this count will be discussed later in the report.




Capacity analyses were undertaken to determine the Level of Service (LOS) for the studied intersections for the present year 2020 traffic volumes shown in Figure 4b. The capacity analyses were calculated by following the methods outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and using Synchro Traffic Software (Version 8).

## Methodology:

LOS is a qualitative measurement developed by the transportation profession to express how well an intersection or roadway performs based on a driver's perception. LOS designations include LOS A through LOS F. The designation of LOS A signifies a roadway or intersection operating at best, while LOS F signifies road operations at worst. This grading system provides a reliable, straightforward means to communicate road operations to the public. The HCM lists level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections and signalized intersections.


LOS is defined by delay per vehicle (seconds), and roadway facilities are also characterized by the volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c). For example, a delay of 20 seconds at an unsignalized intersection would indicate LOS C, and this delay would represent the additional delay a motorist would experience traveling through the intersection. Also, for example, a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.75 for an approach at an unsignalized intersection would indicate that it is operating at $75 \%$ of its available capacity. The designations for LOS, which are based on delay, are reported differently for unsignalized and signalized intersections. This difference is primarily due to motorists having different expectations between the two road facilities. Generally, for most instances, the boundary of LOS D / LOS E is considered the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak periods in urban and suburban areas.

For unsignalized intersections, LOS is measured in terms of delay (in seconds). This measure is an attempt to quantify delay that includes travel time, driver discomfort, and fuel consumption. For unsignalized intersections, the analysis assumes that the mainline
thru and right-turn traffic does not stop and is not affected by the traffic on the minor side streets. Thus, the LOS for a two-way stop (or yield) controlled intersection is defined by the delay for each minor approach and major street left-turn movements. Table 2 lists the level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections. The analysis results of unsignalized intersections using the HCM methodologies are conservative due to the more significant vehicle gap parameters used in the method. More often, in normal road conditions, drivers are more willing to accept smaller gaps in traffic than what is modeled using the HCM methodology. The unsignalized intersection methodology also does not account for more significant gaps sometimes produced by nearby upstream and downstream signalized intersections. For unsignalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 35 seconds.

For signalized intersections, LOS is based upon delay (in seconds) for approaches within the intersection and the overall operation of the traffic entering an intersection. This delay is a measurement of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, lost travel time, and is dependent on traffic signal cycle lengths, lengths of green phases, and the quality of traffic progression. This control delay includes deceleration/acceleration delay, queue move-up time, and stopped delay time. Table 3 lists the level of service criteria for signalized intersections. For signalized intersections, in most instances, the upper limit of acceptable delay during peak hours is the LOS D/E boundary at 55 seconds.

For the analysis of the signalized intersections, the traffic signal timing information was obtained from the City of Knoxville and this information is shown in Appendix F. The intersections of Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road and Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side) operate with actuated traffic signals. They are currently not in coordination with any other traffic signals.

From the capacity calculations, the results from the existing peak hour vehicular traffic can be seen in Tables 4 a and 4 b for the intersections. Table 4 a contains the results for the two existing unsignalized intersections, and Table 4 b includes the results of the two existing signalized intersections. The intersections in the tables are shown with a LOS designation, delay (in seconds), and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (volume/capacity) for the AM and PM peak hours, and the graphs highlight the results. Appendix G includes the worksheets from the capacity analyses for the existing peak hour vehicular traffic.

Most of the intersection approaches and intersections are shown to operate at an adequate level during the existing AM and PM peak hours for vehicular traffic. However, for the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road, the existing peak hour level of service is calculated as extremely poor during the AM and PM peak hours for eastbound left-turning traffic. High delays for this movement are experienced by motorists attempting to turn left from Brakebill Road onto northbound Strawberry Plains Pike due to the sizeable conflicting traffic volumes.

TABLE 2
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS
STOP

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | CONTROL DELAY <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Little or no delay | $0-10$ |
| B | Short Traffic Delays | $>10-15$ |
| C | Average Traffic Delays | $>15-25$ |
| D | Long Traffic Delays | $>25-35$ |
| E | Very Long Traffic Delays | $>35-50$ |
| F | Extreme Traffic Delays | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition


TABLE 3
LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL OF <br> SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | CONTROL DELAY <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Operation with very low control delay. <br> Progression is extremely favorable <br> and most vehicles do not stop at all. | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | Generally good level of progression. <br> More vehicles stop than with LOS A, <br> causing higher levels of average delay. | $>10-20$ |
| C | Higher delays with individual cycle failures <br> may begin at this level. Many vehicles may <br> still pass through without stopping. | $>20-35$ |
| D | Approaching unstable flow. The influence <br> of congestion becomes more noticeable. <br> Many vehicles stop. | $>35-55$ |
| F | Considered the limit of acceptable delay. <br> High delays indicated by poor progression, <br> long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. | $>55-80$ |
| Unacceptable delay occurs. <br> Progression is extremely poor with <br> long cycle lengths and high v/c ratios. | $>80$ |  |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition


TABLE 4a
2020 UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C |
| Hammer Road at Brakebill Road |  | Northbound Left | A | 7.6 | 0.008 | A | 7.7 | 0.012 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left/Right | B | 10.4 | 0.065 | B | 10.9 | 0.062 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road |  | Northbound Left | B | 11.5 | 0.142 | B | 10.6 | 0.254 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | F | 68.8 | 0.595 | E | 46.1 | 0.468 |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | B | 14.9 | 0.310 | B | 11.3 | 0.202 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2010 methodology for unsignalized intersections
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



TABLE 4b
2020 SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -
EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (scconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C |
| Asheville Highway at Brakebill Road |  | Eastbound | B | 14.7 |  | C | 20.5 |  |
|  |  | Westbound | B | 14.1 |  | B | 12.4 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | D | 36.7 |  | C | 33.6 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | D | 40.2 |  | D | 42.0 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 16.3 | 0.650 | B | 18.7 | 0.610 |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On / Off Ramp (north side) |  | Westbound | C | 29.8 |  | C | 30.4 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | A | 7.1 |  | B | 11.8 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | B | 11.6 |  | B | 10.6 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 13.5 | 0.590 | B | 14.3 | 0.830 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology for signalized intersections
${ }^{\wedge}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume to Capacity Ratio


## - OPENING Year Traffic Conditions (without project):

Opening year traffic volumes represent the future condition the proposed study area is potentially subject to even without the proposed project being developed (no-build option). As previously stated, the build-out and full occupancy for this proposed new residential development is assumed to occur in the year 2025. This horizon year corresponds to five years for the development to reach full capacity and occupancy.

Vehicular traffic on Brakebill Road has shown marginal growth over the past few years, according to the TDOT count station. To ensure a reasonable traffic growth estimate for this study and to account for potential traffic growth in the study area, an average annual growth rate of $2 \%$ was used to calculate future growth up to
 the year 2025 for the studied intersections. This is based on the historical TDOT data for Brakebill Road shown in Appendix A. From 2010 to 2018, the TDOT count location has shown an average annual growth rate of $+1.6 \%$. The results of this growth rate to the calculated existing 2020 traffic volumes from Figure 4b are shown in Figure 5. Figure 5 shows the projected opening year traffic volumes at the studied intersections in 2025 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Capacity analyses were conducted for the future projected conditions at the studied intersections in the year 2025 without the project being developed. The intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road was calculated to operate exceptionally poor during the AM and PM peak hours for eastbound left-turning traffic in the year 2025. The other intersections and intersection approaches are shown to operate at an adequate level during the AM and PM peak hours for vehicular traffic in the year 2025. It should be noted that the signalized intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side) is calculated to have an overall v/c ratio of 0.970 in the PM peak hour in the year 2025. This result indicates that the projected volumes at this intersection will be near capacity based on the existing number of traffic lanes. The results from the 2025 projected opening year traffic conditions (without project) can be seen in Tables 5a
and 5 b for the intersections. Table 5 a contains the results for the two existing unsignalized intersections, and Table 5 b includes the results of the two existing signalized intersections. Appendix G contains the LOS capacity worksheets for the opening year conditions (without project) in the year 2025. It is important to point out that these projected calculated LOS designations for the intersections could potentially exist in the future, even without the proposed residential project being constructed and developed.


TABLE 5a
2025 UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS OPENING YEAR (WITHOUT PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | V/C |
| Hammer Road at |  | Northbound Left | A | 7.6 | 0.010 | A | 7.8 | 0.014 |
| Brakebill Road |  | Eastbound Left/Right | B | 10.7 | 0.072 | B | 11.4 | 0.077 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road |  | Northbound Left | B | 12.5 | 0.174 | B | 11.3 | 0.300 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | F | 128.5 | 0.838 | F | 71.2 | 0.631 |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | C | 16.7 | 0.369 | B | 11.8 | 0.233 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2010 methodology for unsignalized intersections
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



TABLE 5b
2025 SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS OPENING YEAR (WITHOUT PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (scconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C |
| Asheville Highway at Brakebill Road | $8 \begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 霛 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Eastbound | B | 16.5 |  | C | 20.7 |  |
|  |  | Westbound | B | 17.8 |  | B | 12.6 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | C | 35.0 |  | D | 37.1 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | D | 40.6 |  | D | 45.8 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 19.0 | 0.710 | B | 19.3 | 0.650 |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On / Off Ramp (north side) |  | Westbound | C | 30.0 |  | C | 31.0 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | B | 10.3 |  | C | 24.4 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | B | 12.4 |  | B | 11.1 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 14.9 | 0.710 | B | 21.4 | 0.970 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology for signalized intersections
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
${ }^{h}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume to Capacity Ratio


## - TRIP GENERATION:

The estimated amount of traffic that will be generated by the 227 single-family detached houses was calculated based upon rates and equations for peak hour trips provided by Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, a publication of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The 95 single-family attached houses trip rates were based upon equations for peak hour trips provided by Knoxville-Knox County Planning. These equations were developed from local studies to estimate apartment trip generation in the surrounding area and published in December 1999.

A generated trip is a single or one-direction vehicle movement that is either entering or exiting the study site. The Trip Generation Manual is the traditional and most popular resource for determining trip generation rates when traffic impact studies are produced. The Manual lists and includes data for a variety of land uses and correlates trips generated based on different variables such as dwelling units, square footage, etc. The data from ITE and the local study for the proposed land uses are shown in Appendix H. A summary of this information is presented in the following table:

TABLE 6
TRIP GENERATION FOR BRAKEBILL ROAD SUBDIVISION
227 Single-Family Detached Houses and 95 Single-Family Attached Houses

| ITE LAND USE CODE | LAND USEDESCRIPTION | UNITS | GENERATED DAILY TRAFEIC | GENERATED TRAFFIC AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | GENERATED <br> TRAFFIC <br> PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#210 | Single-Family <br> Detached Housing | 227 Houses | 2,211 | 25\% | 75\% |  | 63\% | 37\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41 | 125 | 166 | 141 | 83 | 224 |
| Local Trip Rate | Single-Family | 95 Houses | 912 | 22\% | 78\% |  | 55\% | 45\% |  |
|  | Attached Housing |  |  | 11 | 40 | 51 | 41 | 33 | 74 |
| Total New Volume Site Trips |  |  | 3,123 | 52 | 165 | 217 | 182 | 116 | 298 |

ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition and Local Trip Rates
Trips calculated by using Fitted Curve Equation

For the Brakebill Road Subdivision, with 227 single-family detached houses and 95 single-family attached houses, it is estimated that 52 vehicles will enter, and 165 will exit, for a total of 217 generated trips during the AM Peak Hour in the year 2025. Similarly, it is estimated that 182
vehicles will enter, and 116 will exit, for a total of 298 generated trips during the PM Peak Hour in the year 2025. The calculated trips generated for an average weekday could be expected to be approximately 3,123 vehicles for the proposed development in the year 2025. No trip reductions were included in the analysis.

## - TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT:

Figures 6 a and 6 b show the projected distribution for traffic entering and for traffic exiting, respectively, for the proposed development during the future AM and PM peak hours at the existing studied intersections and the new proposed intersections on Hammer Road and Brakebill Road. The percentages shown in the figures only pertain to the new trips generated by the proposed single-family detached residential lots that were calculated from the ITE and local trip generation rates.

There are a variety of destinations that will potentially "attract" the projected traffic to and from the new development. These destinations will be accessed by utilizing Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) to the north and Strawberry Plains Pike to the south and east via Brakebill Road. In addition to employment centers and commercial development, traffic will travel to and from a variety of public and private elementary, middle, and high schools. This proposed development is zoned for Sunnyview Primary School, Carter Middle School, and Carter High School. These schools are located to the north of the proposed residential development. This would suggest there will be residential traffic to and from the north on Brakebill Road for those who do not utilize public school bus transportation.

To help estimate the projected trip distribution, and as discussed earlier, an additional traffic count was conducted just to the north of the project site at the intersection of Kilbridge Drive and Brakebill Road during the AM and PM peak hours. This intersection serves as an access point on Brakebill Road to an existing residential subdivision named Stonehaven. Stonehaven has several dozen single-family detached residential homes and has been filled out and is an established neighborhood. The results of this count are shown in Figure 4c and Appendix E. This count was conducted to gain a better understanding of the existing traffic patterns of a nearby residential development during the peak hours of traffic. This data was then correlated to the proposed traffic for the Brakebill Road Subdivision. Since this was an existing similar land use near this study development, the turning movement counts from Kilbridge Drive were assumed to be a reasonable estimate for the Brakebill Road Subdivision travel patterns and were used to help allocate the future traffic distribution. Based on this traffic count, an overall $60 / 40$ split was assumed for travel to and from the north and south via Brakebill Road.

The traffic distributions shown and portioned at the new proposed intersections on Hammer Road and Brakebill Road for the residential development were based on assumed internal travel
times/distances and the layout within the development and the overall assumed 60/40 split. Specifically, with two entrances, it was assumed that $70 \%$ of generated traffic would enter and exit the intersection of Brakebill Road at Road "A". A smaller portion of $30 \%$ was assumed to enter and exit at the intersection of Hammer Road at Road "B". Also, it was assumed that none of the development traffic would enter or exit from and to the western side of Hammer Road. It is expected that only a minimal amount of traffic will come from or travel in this direction. A spreadsheet was developed to facilitate these trip distribution calculations, and the results are shown in Appendix I.

Figures 7a and 7b show the Traffic Assignment of the computed generated trips for traffic entering and for traffic exiting, respectively, at the new development during the future AM and PM peak hours. This assignment is based on the assumed distribution of trips shown in Figures 6a and 6b, and the total trips generated shown in Table 6.





## - OPENING YEAR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (WITH PROJECT):

Overall, several additive steps were taken to estimate the total opening year projected traffic volumes at the studied intersections when the Brakebill Road Subdivision is fully constructed and occupied by the year 2025. The steps are illustrated below for clarity:


To calculate the total future projected traffic volumes at the studied intersections, the calculated peak hour traffic (from ITE Trip Generation and local trip rates) generated by the new Brakebill Road Subdivision were added to the 2025 opening year traffic (shown in Figure 5) by following the predicted directional distributions and assignments (shown in Figures 6a \& 6b and 7a \& 7b). This procedure was necessary to obtain the total projected traffic volumes at the time the development is fully built-out and occupied in the year 2025. Figure 8 shows the projected AM and PM peak hour volumes at the studied intersection for the year 2025 with the development traffic.


Capacity analyses were conducted to determine the projected Level of Service for vehicles at the existing and proposed intersections for the year 2025 with the development traffic. Appendix $G$ includes the worksheets for these capacity analyses.

The results of the capacity calculations of the projected 2025 peak hour vehicular traffic at the studied intersections can be seen in Tables 7a, 7b, and 7c for the AM and PM peak hours. Table 7 a contains the results for the two existing unsignalized intersections. Table 7 b contains the results for the two proposed unsignalized intersections (subdivision entrances). Table 7c contains the results of the two existing signalized intersections. As can be seen in Table 7a, the eastbound left-turn movements at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road (previously calculated to operate poorly) will suffer intolerable delays in the projected conditions. This movement was shown to have a v/c ratio of 1.344 in the AM peak hour and 1.176 in the PM peak hour.

The new proposed intersections on Hammer Road and Brakebill Road are shown to operate very well with respect to level of service under unsignalized conditions in the future projected conditions, as shown in Table 7b. As shown in Table 7c, the existing intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate $40 \mathrm{On} / \mathrm{Off}$-Ramps (north side) is projected to operate with an overall $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.00 during the PM peak hour which means the projected vehicle volumes are just at capacity. A v/c ratio will result in unstable traffic conditions and excessive vehicle queues.

Following Tables 7a thru 7c, summaries of the intersection analysis results are presented in Tables 8 a thru 8 d . These tables provide a side by side summary of each intersection for the existing conditions, the projected conditions in the year 2025 without the project, and the projected conditions in the year 2025 with the project.

TABLE 7a
2025 UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -
OPENING YEAR (WITH PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | V/C |
| Hammer Road at |  | Northbound Left | A | 7.7 | 0.018 | A | 8.1 | 0.053 |
| Brakebill Road |  | Eastbound Left/Right | B | 12.4 | 0.209 | C | 15.9 | 0.251 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road |  | Northbound Left | B | 12.9 | 0.210 | C | 16.4 | 0.551 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | F | 297.5 | 1.344 | F | 242.0 | 1.176 |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | C | 21.1 | 0.547 | B | 12.6 | 0.293 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2010 methodology for unsignalized intersections
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



TABLE 7b
2025 NEW UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS OPENING YEAR (WITH PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{array}$ | V/C |
| Brakebill Road at Road "A" |  | Northbound Left | A | 7.7 | 0.012 | A | 7.9 | 0.064 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left/Right | B | 10.8 | 0.172 | B | 13.8 | 0.180 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hammer Road at Road "B" |  | Northbound Left/Right | A | 8.6 | 0.053 | A | 8.6 | 0.037 |
|  |  | Westbound Left | A | 7.3 | 0.010 | A | 7.3 | 0.038 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2010 methodology for unsignalized intersections
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio


TABLE 7c
2025 SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS OPENING YEAR (WITH PROJECT)

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | DELAY <br> (seconds) <br> (seconds) | V/C | LOS | DELAY <br> (seconds) | V/C |
| Asheville Highway at Brakebill Road |  | Eastbound | B | 18.5 |  | C | 22.8 |  |
|  |  | Westbound | C | 19.6 |  | B | 14.6 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | C | 35.5 |  | D | 41.3 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | D | 41.7 |  | D | 50.1 |  |
|  |  | Summary | C | 21.3 | 0.740 | C | 22.2 | 0.710 |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On / Off Ramp (north side) |  | Westbound | C | 30.0 |  | C | 31.4 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | B | 13.2 |  | C | 27.2 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | B | 13.1 |  | B | 11.1 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 16.0 | 0.750 | C | 23.0 | 1.000 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology for signalized intersections
${ }^{2}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio



TABLE 8a
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY
HAMMER ROAD AT BRAKEBILL ROAD

| LOCATION / PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT | 2020 EXISTING |  |  | 2025 WITHOUT PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH PROJECT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ |
| Hammer Road at Brakebill Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left | A | 7.6 | 0.008 | A | 7.6 | 0.010 | A | 7.7 | 0.018 |
| Eastbound Left/Right | B | 10.4 | 0.065 | B | 10.7 | 0.072 | B | 12.4 | 0.209 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left | A | 7.7 | 0.012 | A | 7.8 | 0.014 | A | 8.1 | 0.053 |
| Eastbound Left/Right | B | 10.9 | 0.062 | B | 11.4 | 0.077 | C | 15.9 | 0.251 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2010 methodology for unsignalized intersections
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio


AJAX

TABLE 8b
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AT BRAKEBILL ROAD

| IOCATION / PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT | 2020 ExISTING |  |  | 2025 WITHOUT PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH PROJECT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Los $^{*}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | Los $^{*}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $v / c^{6}$ |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road |  | STOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left | B | 11.5 | 0.142 | B | 12.5 | 0.174 | B | 12.9 | 0.210 |
| Eastbound Left | F | 68.8 | 0.595 | F | 128.5 | 0.838 | F | 297.5 | 1.344 |
| Eastbound Right | B | 14.9 | 0.310 | C | 16.7 | 0.369 | C | 21.1 | 0.547 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left | B | 10.6 | 0.254 | B | 11.3 | 0.300 | c | 16.4 | 0.551 |
| Eastbound Left | E | 46.1 | 0.468 | F | 71.2 | 0.631 | F | 242.0 | 1.176 |
| Eastbound Right | B | 11.3 | 0.202 | B | 11.8 | 0.233 | B | 12.6 | 0.293 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2010 methodology for unsignalized intersections
${ }^{2}$ Level of Service
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {' }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio


TABLE 8c
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY ASHEVILLE HIGHWAY AT BRAKEBILL ROAD

| LOCATION / PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT | 2020 EXISTING |  |  | 2025 WITHOUT PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH PROJECT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Los* | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | Los $^{*}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | Los $^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | v/c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Asheville Highway at Brakebill Road |  |  |  | B | 16.5 |  | B | 18.5 |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | B | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.740 |
| Westbound | B | 14.1 |  | B | 17.8 |  | C | 19.6 |  |
| Northbound | D | 36.7 |  | C | 35.0 |  | C | 35.5 |  |
| Southbound | D | 40.2 |  | D | 40.6 |  | D | 41.7 |  |
| Summary | B | 16.3 | 0.650 | B | 19.0 | 0.710 | C | 21.3 |  |
| PM Peak |  |  |  | C | 20.7 |  | C | 22.8 |  |
| Eastbound | C | 20.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound | B | 12.4 |  | B | 12.6 |  | B | 14.6 |  |
| Northbound | C | 33.6 |  | D | 37.1 |  | D | 41.3 |  |
| Southbound | D | 42.0 |  | D | 45.8 |  | D | 50.1 |  |
| Summary | B | 18.7 | 0.610 | B | 19.3 | 0.650 | C | 22.2 | 0.710 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology for signalized intersections
${ }^{4}$ Level of Service
${ }^{6}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Volume-to Capacity Ratio


TABLE 8d
INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY
STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AT INTERSTATE 40 ON / OFF RAMP (NORTH SIDE)

| LOCATION / PEAK HOUR MOVEMENT | 2020 EXISTING |  |  | 2025 WITHOUT PROJECT |  |  | 2025 WITH PROJECT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10{ }^{*}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | v/c | LOS* | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $v / c^{\circ}$ | Los* | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}^{6}$ |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On / Off Ramp (North Side) |  |  |  | $8$ | 30.0 |  | C | 30.0 |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound | c | 29.8 |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound | A | 7.1 |  | B | 10.3 |  | B | 13.2 |  |
| Southbound | B | 11.6 |  | B | 12.4 |  | B | 13.1 | 0.750 |
| Summary | B | 13.5 | 0.590 | B | 14.9 | 0.710 | B | 16.0 |  |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound | C | 30.4 |  | c | 31.0 |  | c | 31.4 |  |
| Northbound | B | 11.8 |  | C | 24.4 |  | C | 27.2 |  |
| Southbound | B | 10.6 |  | B | 11.1 |  | B | 11.1 |  |
| Summary | B | 14.3 | 0.830 | B | 21.4 | 0.970 | C | 23.0 | 1.000 |

Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology for signalized intersections
${ }^{1}$ Level of Service
${ }^{6}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume to Capacity Ratio


## - POTENTIAL SAFETY ISSUES:

The study area was investigated for potential existing and future safety issues. A couple of features of the adjacent transportation system are discussed in the following pages.

## - Spot Speed Study

A spot speed study was conducted in March of 2018 (during the previous unrelated study) on the northbound and southbound approaches of Brakebill Road at two locations to determine freeflow speeds. The equipment used for the speed study was a Bushnell Speedster III Radar Speed Gun. The results of the study indicate that most of the traffic along Brakebill Road adjacent to the proposed development travels at a higher speed than the posted speed limit of 30 mph . The results of the spot speed study indicated that the observed 85th percentile speed was 40 mph for traffic on Brakebill Road near the proposed Road "A" intersection. The results of the spot speed study also indicated that the observed 85th percentile speed was 45 mph for traffic on Brakebill Road near the Hammer Road intersection. The spot speed field observations are provided in Appendix J.

## - Evaluation of Turn Lane Thresholds

The proposed entrance intersections were evaluated for the need for separate turn lanes for entering vehicles into the development in the year 2025. The design policy that was used for these turn lane evaluations is based on "Knox County's Access Control and Driveway Design Policy". This design policy by Knox County relates vehicle volume thresholds based on prevailing speeds for two-lane and four-lane roadways. This Knox County policy is based on TDOT and nationally accepted guidelines for unsignalized intersections. A determination was made whether turn lanes are warranted using these criteria.

Based on the projected 2025 traffic volumes at the proposed Brakebill Road at Road "A" intersection and according to "Knox County's Access Control and Driveway Design Policy", a separate northbound left-turn lane on Brakebill Road will be just slightly below the warrant for entering vehicles and a separate southbound right-turn lane is not warranted. Even though the threshold for the northbound left-turn lane is not fully met, it is nonetheless recommended that this lane be provided. Separate left and right-turn lanes are not warranted at the intersection of Hammer Road at Brakebill Road or the proposed Hammer Road at Road "B" intersection. The

Knox County turn lane policy worksheets are in Appendix K.

The speed classification that was chosen for this evaluation was based on the spot speed study on Brakebill Road that showed the 85th percentile speeds of 40 mph and 45 mph . Therefore, this study evaluation used the Knox County classification for speeds of 36 to 45 mph with the calculated projected volumes for the intersections on Brakebill Road. For the intersection of Hammer Road at Road "B", the intersection evaluation was based on the posted speed limit of 30 mph . Therefore, this intersection evaluation used the Knox County classification for speeds of 35 mph or less with the calculated projected volumes.

## = Evaluation of Sight Distance

For evaluating intersections, sight distance evaluations can be categorized into two categories: Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) and Intersection Sight Distance (ISD).

## Methodology:

SSD is the distance required for a motorist to perceive, react, and for their vehicle to come to a complete stop before colliding with an object in the road. For evaluating intersections, this object would be another vehicle entering the intersection from a minor street. SSD can be considered the minimum visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection.

ISD is based on the time required to perceive, react, and complete the desired traffic maneuver once a motorist on a minor street decides to perform a traffic maneuver. Three traffic maneuvers are available for vehicles stopped on a minor street at a 4-way intersection: left-turn from the minor road, right-turn from the minor road, and a crossing maneuver from the minor road across the major road. For turns from the minor street, ISD is needed to allow a stopped motorist on a minor street to turn onto a major street without being overtaken by an approaching vehicle. The most critical (longest) ISD is for left-turns from the minor street. The ISD for this maneuver includes the time to turn left and to

clear half of the intersection without conflicting with the oncoming traffic from the left and to accelerate to the operating speed of the road without causing the approaching vehicles from the right to reduce their speed substantially. SSD can be considered the desirable visibility distance standard for evaluating the safety of an intersection. In general, SSD is generally more critical than ISD; however, the ISD must be at least the same distance or greater than SSD to provide safe operations at an intersection.

Based on an observed and calculated $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 40 mph on Brakebill Road near the proposed Road "A" intersection; the required intersection sight distance would be 400 feet looking each direction at the intersection of Brakebill Road at Road "A" based on Knox County policy of requiring 10 feet of sight distance per 1 mph of speed. Based on an existing grade of $5 \%$ on Brakebill Road at the proposed Road "A" intersection, the SSD is calculated to be 330 feet for northbound vehicles ( $-5 \%$ ) and 285 feet for southbound vehicles ( $+5 \%$ ).

Based on a posted speed limit of 30 mph on Hammer Road, the required intersection sight distance would be 300 feet looking each direction at the intersection of Hammer Road at Road "B" based on Knox County policy of requiring 10 feet of sight distance per 1 mph of speed. Based on an existing grade of $8 \%$ on Hammer Road at the proposed Road " B " intersection and a speed of 30 mph , the SSD is calculated to be 225 feet for eastbound vehicles ( $-8 \%$ ) and 185 feet for westbound vehicles ( $+8 \%$ ).

A cursory examination of the sight distances at the proposed intersection locations on Brakebill Road and Hammer Road was undertaken. Using a Nikon Laser Rangefinder at the intersection of Brakebill Road at Road "A", the sight distance was estimated to be approximately +550 feet to the north and +380 feet to the south looking from the proposed location of Road " A ". The visual estimate of 380 feet to the south is less than the ISD requirement of 400 feet. A more accurate measurement will need to be undertaken by a licensed land surveyor.

Using a Nikon Laser Rangefinder at the intersection of Hammer Road at Road "B", the sight distance was estimated to be approximately +350 feet to the west, +375 feet to the east looking from the proposed location of Road " $B$ ", and appears to meet the ISD and SSD requirements. A more accurate measurement will need to be undertaken by a licensed land surveyor.


View of Sight Distance on Hammer Road at Proposed Road "B" Intersection (Looking West from Road "B" Location)


View of Sight Distance on Brakebill Road at Proposed Road "A" Intersection (Looking North from Road " $A$ " Location)


View of Sight Distance on Hammer Road at Proposed Road "B" Intersection (Looking East from Road "B" Location)


View of Sight Distance on Brakebill Road at
Proposed Road "A" Intersection
(Looking South from Road " A " Location)

## - DISCUSSION OF VEHICLE CRASHES ON BRAKEBILL ROAD

There are several issues related to the safety and efficiency of vehicle traffic within the study area. These issues include high vehicle delays/high vehicle volumes, sight distances, vehicle crashes, and vehicle speeds. As part of the study process, the primary access road for this proposed development, Brakebill Road, was investigated further regarding vehicle crash history.

The Knoxville/Knox County Planning Department provided traffic crash data for Brakebill Road from the past three years. This data was obtained from the TDOT E-TRIMS (Enhanced Tennessee Roadway Information Management System) database. The crash data in the E-TRIMS system is from the statewide TITAN (Tennessee Integrated Traffic Analysis Network) database. The TITAN database includes all reportable vehicle crash data from Tennessee law enforcement agencies.

The crash data included the master record number, date and time, crash type, log mile location, number of injuries, weather conditions, light conditions, and number of vehicles involved. During the past three years (June 2017 to June 2020), the data showed 23 vehicle crashes occurring along Brakebill Road. A total of 10 crashes occurred on Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road. The total number of traffic crashes during the past three years is summarized in the following and further detailed in Figure 9:

Crash Data from June 2017 to June 2020:
o Brakebill Road - 23 Total Vehicle Crashes
21 Vehicle Crashes with no injuries (property damage only)
1 Vehicle Crash with two suspected minor injuries
1 Vehicle Crash with one other injury
o Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road - 9 Total Vehicle Crashes
9 Vehicle Crashes with no injuries (property damage only)

A total of 17 out of 23 individual traffic crash reports that occurred on Brakebill Road were obtained from the Knox County Sheriff's Department. These crashes occurred on the Knox County portion of Brakebill Road. These individual crash reports provided more details into the specifics of each crash. Based on evaluating the obtained individual traffic crash reports that occurred on Brakebill Road, 10 of the 17 crashes indicated that the narrowness of Brakebill Road could have been a contributable factor. Of those ten crashes, six were opposite direction
sideswipe crashes in which vehicles suffered damage due to the vehicles swiping each other in the center of the road (many incidents were side-view mirrors being clipped). Two of the ten crashes involved striking off-road objects because the drivers perceived that an opposing vehicle was in their lane of traffic. These vehicles departed the roadway when their wheels left the pavement, and the driver lost control of their vehicles. Based on a review of the 23 crashes on Brakebill Road, wet pavement, weather, and time of day did not appear to be a causative factor. Only one vehicle crash occurred during wet weather (hydroplaned).

Based on statewide vehicle crash data, TDOT has compiled and calculated statewide crash rates for various types of intersections, road sections, and road spots in Tennessee based on rolling data from the past three years of current data. (TDOT defines a spot location as a section of roadway less than or equal to 0.10 mile.) This data is categorized by urban and rural areas, route type (major collector, local, etc.), type of roadway facility (number of lanes, etc.), and location type (intersection, section, or spot). The latest statewide crash rate tables for intersections, sections, and spots from TDOT was obtained for this study and is provided in Appendix L. The crash rates on Brakebill Road were investigated at two intersections and two sections. To calculate the section crash rates, Brakebill Road was broken into two sections: Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) to Hammer Road and Hammer Road to Strawberry Plains Pike. The intersection of Hammer Road at Brakebill Road and the intersection of Brakebill Road at Strawberry Plains Pike were calculated for an intersection crash rate. Other intersections on Brakebill Road (Crosswood Boulevard, Kilbridge Drive) did not experience enough crashes to consider analyzing.


TDOT has also developed a crash analysis computer file that compares the actual crash rates at roadway intersections, sections, and spots versus the state averages and is shown in Appendix L. Based on the number of crashes reported at the two intersections and the two road sections for the past three years; it does not appear that the calculated crash rates are considered high enough to obtain TDOT safety funding. To receive TDOT safety funding, the ratio of the actual crash rate to the critical crash rate (A/C ratio) would need to be 3.5 or higher. Appendix L includes the crash rate calculations for the two intersections and two road sections. The calculations show the actual crash rate vs. the statewide average crash rate ( $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ) and the ratio of the actual crash rate vs. the critical crash rate (A/C). The critical crash rate (A/C) gives more weight to specific crash severities while the statewide average comparison ( $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ) only considers total numbers. The calculated ratios are shown in the following table for the two intersections and two road sections, respectively:

Table 9
TDOT Vehicle Crash Rate Calculations

| Strawberry Plains Pike, | Knox County |  |  | LM 8.803 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road) |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Crashes | - 2017-2020 | Actual | - 0.828 | Acc/MVM |
| State Average | - 0.119 Acc/MVM | Critical | - 0.409 | Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=6.96$ | A/C Ratio | $=2.03$ |  |
| 0 Fatal Crash | 0 Incap. Injury Crash |  | 0 Other Injury Crash |  |


| Brakebill Road, | Knox | ounty |  |  | LM 0.629 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Hammer Road at Brakebill Road) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Crashes | - 2017 - | 2020 | Actual | - 0.446 | Acc/MVM |
| State Average | - 0.118 | Acc/MVM | Critical | - 0.607 | Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=3.78$ |  | A/C Ratio | $=0.73$ |  |
| 0 Fatal Crash | 0 Incap. Injury Crash |  |  | 2 Other Injury Crash |  |


| Brakebill Road, | Knox C | County |  |  | LM 0.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 1 (Asheville Highway - Hammer Road) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Crashes | - 2017 - 2 | 2019 | Actual | - 5.664 | Acc/MVM |
| State Average | - 2.002 | Acc/MVM | Critical | - 4.214 | Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=2.83$ |  | A/C Ratio | $=1.34$ |  |
| 0 Fatal Crash | 0 Incap. Injury Crash |  |  | 3 Other Injury Crash |  |


| Brakebill Road, | Knox County |  |  | LM 0.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 2 (Hammer Road - Strawberry Plains Pike) |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Crashes | - 2017-2019 | Actual | - 3.417 | Acc/MVM |
| State Average | - 2.002 Acc/MVM | Critical | - 4.098 | Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=1.71$ | A/C Ratio | $=0.83$ |  |
| 0 Fatal Crash | 0 Incap. Injury Crash |  | 2 Other Injury Crash |  |

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is an overview of recommendations to minimize the traffic impacts of the proposed development on the adjacent road system while attempting to achieve an acceptable level of traffic flow and safety. An overview of the recommendations for the external roads and intersections is shown at the end of this report section in Figure 10.

Asheville Highway (US 25W/Hwy 11E) at Brakebill Road: This intersection was calculated to operate adequately with respect to the level of service during the existing conditions and during the projected conditions when the Brakebill Road Subdivision is completed and fully occupied in the year 2025. Some minor signal timing changes might be required in the future at the intersection to optimize the level of service and reduce queue lengths.

Hammer Road at Brakebill Road: The intersection at Hammer Road and Brakebill Road was calculated to operate very well with respect to level of service under unsignalized conditions in the year 2025.

2a) A separate left-turn lane or right-turn lane on Brakebill Road onto Hammer Road is not required based on the projected 2025 traffic volumes.

2b) The intersection of Hammer Road at Brakebill Road currently operates as a twoway stop-controlled T-intersection. At this intersection, Hammer Road operates under a stop condition but does not currently have a white stop bar installed. It is recommended that a 24 " white stop bar be installed to increase the visibility of the stop condition at this approach.

2c) Vegetation in the southwest corner needs to be better controlled and maintained in the future to improve sight distance at this intersection.

Hammer Road at Road "B": The intersection of Hammer Road at Road "B" was calculated to operate very well with respect to level of service under unsignalized conditions in the year 2025. The capacity analysis shows that only a single exiting lane for left and right exiting vehicles is required at the Road " $B$ " entrance.

3a) A separate left-turn lane or right-turn lane on Hammer Road onto Road " B " is not required based on the projected 2025 traffic volumes.

3b) It is recommended that a Stop Sign (R1-1) and a 24 " white stop bar be applied to the pavement of the Road "B" approach at Hammer Road. The stop bar should be applied at a minimum of 4 feet away from the edge of Hammer Road and should be placed at the desired stopping point that provides the maximum sight distance.

3c) Intersection sight distance at Road " $B$ " must not be impacted by future landscaping or signage. A licensed land surveyor must verify the available sight distance at this proposed location. Based on a grade of $8 \%$ on Hammer Road and a posted speed limit of 30 mph , the required ISD is 300 feet looking towards the north and south, and the SSD is calculated to be 225 feet for eastbound vehicles ($8 \%$ ) and 185 feet for westbound vehicles ( $+8 \%$ ).

3d) Due to the narrowness of Hammer Road, it is recommended that a larger curb radius be designed and constructed that would facilitate right-turns off and on to Hammer Road at the Road " B " intersection. A larger curb radius would allow school buses and larger maintenance and delivery vehicles the opportunity to turn freely without overlapping into opposing traffic lanes.

Brakebill Road at Clubhouse Driveway: The intersection of Brakebill Road at the Clubhouse Driveway was not analyzed with respect to level of service. Only minor amounts of traffic will utilize this driveway. It is expected that this intersection will operate very well, but sight distance must be provided for safe operations. A licensed land surveyor must verify the available sight distance at this proposed location. Based on a grade of $5 \%$ on Brakebill Road and an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 40 mph , the required ISD is 400 feet looking towards the north and south, and the SSD is calculated to be 330 feet for northbound vehicles ( $-5 \%$ ) and 285 feet for southbound vehicles ( $+5 \%$ ).

Brakebill Road at Road "A": The intersection of Brakebill Road at Road "A" was calculated to operate very well with respect to level of service under unsignalized conditions in the year 2025. The capacity analysis shows that only a single exiting lane for left and right exiting vehicles is required at the Road " A " entrance.

5a) A separate southbound right-turn lane on Brakebill Road onto Road " A " is not required based on the projected 2025 traffic volumes. Even though the threshold for the northbound left-turn lane is not fully met, it is nonetheless recommended that this lane be provided.

To estimate the required northbound left-turn storage length on Brakebill Road at Road "A", SimTraffic (Version 8) software was utilized, which performs microsimulation and animation of vehicular traffic and calculates various vehicle parameters such as intersection vehicle queue lengths. Based on the software results from the projected volumes, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue distance was calculated. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is the recognized measurement in the traffic engineering profession as the design standard used when considering queue distances. A $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue means that there is a $95 \%$ certainty the vehicle queue will not extend beyond that point. The calculated queue results were based on averaging the outcome obtained during ten traffic simulations. The vehicle queue results from the SimTraffic software are in Appendix M. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for northbound left-turns on Brakebill Road at Road "A" was calculated to be 21 feet during the projected AM peak hour and 49 feet during the projected PM peak hour. Based on these results, the proposed storage length should have a minimum length of 75 feet, which is the Knox County standard minimum length for left-turn storage lanes.

5b) It is recommended that a Stop Sign (R1-1) and a 24 " white stop bar be applied to the pavement of the Road "A" approach. The stop bar should be applied at a minimum of 4 feet away from the edge of Brakebill Road and should be placed at the desired stopping point that provides the maximum sight distance.

5c) Intersection sight distance at Road "A" must not be impacted by future landscaping or signage. A licensed land surveyor must verify the available sight distance at this proposed location. Based on a grade of $5 \%$ on Brakebill Road and an observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 40 mph , the required ISD is 400 feet looking towards the north and south, and the SSD is calculated to be 330 feet for northbound vehicles ( $-5 \%$ ) and 285 feet for southbound vehicles ( $+5 \%$ ).

5d) Due to the narrowness of Brakebill Road, it is recommended that a larger curb radius be designed and constructed that would facilitate right-turns off and on to Brakebill Road at the Road "A" intersection. This would allow school buses and larger maintenance and delivery vehicles the opportunity to turn freely without overlapping into opposing traffic lanes. See the following exhibit that shows the proposed left-turn lane on Brakebill Road at Road "A". Urban Engineering, Inc. designed this layout.


Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side): This intersection was calculated to operate adequately with respect to the level of service during the existing conditions and during the projected conditions when the Brakebill Road Subdivision is completed and fully occupied in the year 2025. However, the v/c ratio of the intersection in the year 2025 without the project generated trips included in the analysis was calculated to be 0.970 during the PM peak hour. A v/c ratio of 1 would indicate that the traffic volumes are at the roadway capacity. This high v/c ratio at this intersection is primarily due to the projected amount of northbound left-turn vehicles.

The projected northbound left-turn lane volume in the PM peak hour was calculated to be 360 vehicles in 2025. Single left-turn lanes that are experiencing more than 300 vehicles/hour are many times recommended to be increased to dual left-turn lanes. In the future, if dual left-turn lanes for the northbound approach are constructed, the physical space for adding an additional northbound left-turn should be available by building a second lane in the existing 30 -foot-wide grass median. The stormwater drainage system will need to be re-configured to construct an additional lane in the grass median. An additional lane would also need to be built for the westbound Interstate 40 On-Ramp. Options for constructing an additional lane on the westbound Interstate 40 On-Ramp could include merging the lanes downstream of the intersection and before the entrance to Interstate 40 or continuing the On-Ramp dual lanes to the entrance of Interstate 40 and merging the lanes further downstream on Interstate 40. Merging further downstream might be a better alternative due to a large amount of truck traffic.

Nonetheless, adding a second northbound left-turn lane would significantly reduce the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio at this intersection and increase the level of service. This additional lane could be expected to be needed soon based on the projected growth. A recommendation for extending the double westbound left-turn lanes of the Interstate 40 Off-Ramp by 25 feet is discussed in the following section. Both modifications at this intersection are projected needs due to overall traffic growth in the area, but not directly due to the proposed residential subdivision.

Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road: This intersection was calculated to be currently operating poorly with respect to the level of service for eastbound left-turns and operate extremely poor in the year 2025 without the project, or with the project generated traffic. While there are not excessive amounts of motorists attempting this turning movement, the number of conflicting volumes causes extreme delays for the eastbound left-turns trying to turn towards northbound Strawberry Plains Pike. Many times, eastbound left-turn drivers require the median space on Strawberry Plains Pike to provide a temporary haven before completing the left-turn entering the flow of northbound traffic.
Drivers using the median as a haven potentially obstruct and conflict with the northbound left-turning vehicles. Competition for sight distance and physical space within the median occurs between northbound leftturns and eastbound leftturns when the eastbound left-turn movement uses the median as a mid-way haven.


7a) In 2010, the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road was selected by TDOT to undergo a Road Safety Audit Review (RSAR). This intersection was identified by the TDOT safety needs planning process and was evaluated since the crash ratio at the time of the study in 2010 met the threshold for safety improvements. As part of the review, traffic counts were obtained, and the intersection was determined as meeting MUTCD (Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices) Warrants for traffic signalization. However, traffic signalization was deemed "undesirable" due to the short distance (approximately 270 feet) between this intersection and the signalized intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side). The TDOT RSAR report for this intersection in 2010 is in Appendix N. An overview of the 2010 TDOT recommended upgrades and changes at the intersection included the following:
i. Re-striping and installation of pavement markings and raised markings
ii. Replacement and installation of new traffic signage
iii. Vegetation removal
iv. Relocation of an existing stormwater culvert
v. Construction of a new northbound left-turn lane at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road
vi. Construction of a separate eastbound left-turn lane at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road


From the field review for this current traffic study, it appears that these recommendations were installed and constructed. However, some items such as pavement markings, vegetation removal, and retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings need to be refreshed and re-installed. However, most importantly, the construction of the recommended left-turn lane at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road was completed as prescribed.

7b) As an investigation into potential remediation for this intersection, and as a follow up to the TDOT review that indicated this intersection met warrants for traffic signalization in 2010; this intersection was re-examined with the $2020(+2 \%$ adjusted 2018 volumes) traffic volumes with respect to traffic signal warrants. The traffic counts at this intersection were conducted from 7-9 am, $11 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{pm}$, and 26 pm for a total of 8 hours.

The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices - 2009 Edition (MUTCD) presents nine different warrants that have been developed by the traffic engineering profession to determine whether a traffic signal is warranted. These warrants cover a broad range of minimum elements required to indicate whether a traffic signal is justified for any particular location. These elements consist of traffic volumes, pedestrian volumes, crash history, and other factors. The MUTCD explicitly states that a traffic control signal should not be installed unless one or more of the signal warrants in the manual are met. However, the satisfaction of a warrant does not entirely in itself justify the need for a traffic signal. Sometimes further engineering studies and judgments also need to be applied before justifying the need for a traffic signal to be installed. These additional studies are a particularly important step in ensuring that the installation of a traffic signal will not bring about degradations in safety and efficiencies.

The MUTCD defines nine different warrants, two of which are potentially applicable for this intersection at this time and are explained below:

Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume:

Warrant 1 is comprised of 2 conditions - A and B. The Minimum Vehicular Volume, Condition A, is intended for application where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for consideration of signal installation. The Interruption of Continuous Traffic, Condition B, is intended for use at locations where Condition A is not satisfied and where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on a minor intersecting street suffers excessive delay or conflict in entering or crossing the major street.

Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume:

The Four-Hour Vehicular Volume signal warrant conditions are intended to be applied where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.

The intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road was evaluated for justification for a traffic signal based on the MUTCD Warrants listed above and
the 2020 ( $+2 \%$ adjusted 2018 volumes) traffic count volumes. Brakebill Road was used as the minor side street for the warrant analysis, and Strawberry Plains Pike was the major street. According to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the traffic signal warrants are intentionally written in a manner that provides a large amount of flexibility to engineers in terms of how they determine the number of moving lanes and the volume of approaching traffic used in the analysis. The decisions as to which approach lanes on the major and minor streets and the corresponding traffic volumes are determined by the engineering judgment of the engineer conducting the study or by the methods established by local and state agencies. Ultimately, the decision of the reviewing agency to determine whether right-turn volumes from the minor street should be included.

For the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road, when the analysis includes right-turn volumes from Brakebill Road (the minor street approach), this intersection currently meets traffic signal warrants. The intersection meets Warrant \#1, Condition B, and Warrant 2 based on the 2020 (+2\% adjusted 2018 volumes) existing volumes collected for this study. However, if the right-turn volumes from Brakebill Road are not included, the intersection does not meet signal warrants. Nonetheless, justification could be made for a traffic signal at this location currently since it does meet a traffic signal warrant when including rightturns from the minor street approach. The results of the traffic signal warrant assessment at this intersection for the existing volumes of 2020 (+2\% adjusted 2018 volumes) are in Appendix O, and Table 10 presents the results.

TABLE 10
TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| INTERSECTION | VOLUME WARRANT (REQUIRED NUMBER OF HOURS SATISFIED) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WARRANT 1 |  |  | WARRANT 2 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CONDIIION }=1 \mathrm{~A} \\ \text { (8 hours) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\qquad$ | CONDIIION 1A \& 1B - COMBINATION (8 hours) | (4 hours) |
| 2020 (2\% Adjusted 2018) - Existing Volumes <br> Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road <br> (100\% of Right Turns Included on Brakebill Road) | Not Satisfied | Satisfied | Satisfied | Satisfied |
| 2020 (2\% Adjusted 2018) - Existing Volumes <br> Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road (0\% of Right Turns Included on Brakebill Road) | Not Satisfied | Not Satisfied | Not Satisfied | Not Satisfied |

7c) With the results of the traffic signal warrant analysis indicating that this intersection could be justified to have a traffic signal installed, Synchro Traffic Software (Version 8) was used to design a preliminary plan for traffic signalization. This preliminary design included coordinating the existing traffic signal at Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side) with the proposed traffic signal at Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road. Based on an 80 -second actuated-coordinated cycle, the preliminary design resulted in a much-improved level of service for eastbound left-turns on Brakebill Road at Strawberry Plains Pike. The level of service results of this initial design for the two intersections are shown in Table 11, and Appendix G includes the worksheets for these capacity analyses. The results shown in Table 11 consists of the recommended addition of a northbound left-turn lane at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (north side). Also, the results of the calculated vehicle queue lengths based on the preliminary traffic signal design are shown in Table 12.

TABLE 11
2025 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -
OPENING YEAR (WITH PROJECT) WITH PRELIMINARY NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL DESIGN

| INTERSECTION | TRAFFIC CONTROL | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (seconds) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | V/C | LOS | DELAY (seconds) | V/C |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road |  | Eastbound | D | 35.6 |  | C | 32.5 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | A | 3.8 |  | A | 4.6 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 6.0 |  | A | 3.5 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 10.5 | 0.550 | A | 7.8 | 0.700 |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On / Off Ramp (north side) |  | Westbound | C | 32.6 |  | C | 33.9 |  |
|  |  | Northbound | A | 5.4 |  | A | 5.7 |  |
|  |  | Southbound | A | 6.8 |  | B | 11.3 |  |
|  |  | Summary | B | 10.8 | 0.540 | B | 11.7 | 0.530 |

[^0]Note: All analyses were calculated in Synchro 8 software and reported with HCM 2000 methodology for signalized intersections



TABLE 12
TURN LANE STORAGE \& VEHICLE QUEUE SUMMARY 2025 PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES WITH PRELIMINARY NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL DESIGN

| INTERSECTION | APPROACH/ MOVEMENT | EXISTING STORAGE (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPOSED } \\ & \text { STORAGE (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | SIMTRAFFIC $95^{\text {th }}$ PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at | Eastbound Left | 120 | 120 | 90 | 83 |
| Brakebill Road | Nortbound Left/U-Turn | 150 | 200 | 97 | 175 |
| Strawberry Plains Pike at | Westbound Left \#1 | 200 | 225 | 156 | 196 |
| I-40 On/Off Ramps | Westbound Left \#2 | 200 | 225 | 214 | 243 |
|  | Northbound Left \#1 | 190 | 190 | 126 | 131 |
|  | Northbound Left \#2 | - | 190 | 199 | 202 |

Note: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues were calculated in SimTraffic 8 software

The results from SimTraffic of the queue analysis shown in Table 12 indicate that some of the turn lane lengths will need to be increased based on the projected volumes and the outcome of the preliminary signal timing design. The left northbound lane at Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road was calculated to have a $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length of 175 feet with an existing storage length of 150 feet in the PM peak hour. Meeting this storage would require this turn lane to be lengthened to its maximum length available in between the two intersections. An additional 50 feet is potentially possible but will require careful consideration since this additional length will encroach the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate $40 \mathrm{On} / \mathrm{Off}-\mathrm{Ramps}$ (north side). The existing eastbound left-turn lane on Brakebill Road with 120 feet of storage is projected to be adequate operating with a traffic signal. See the following exhibit for clarification. Additionally, the channelized I-40 Westbound Off-Ramp right-turn lane will most likely need to be realigned to facilitate motorists making right-turns from the I-40 Off-Ramp when the northbound left-turn lane at the Strawberry Plains Parkway at Brakebill Road is extended.



Double Left-Turn on Interstate 40 Off-Ramp at Strawberry Plains Pike (Looking West)

Based on the 2025 projected volumes, other turn lane lengths will also need to be increased, and this includes the turn lanes at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/OffRamps (north side). The results indicated that the existing Interstate 40 Off-Ramp westbound dual left-turn lane storage lengths could be exceeded by what is currently available. In the projected PM Peak Hour, the vehicle queues for the westbound double left-turn lanes will exceed the existing storage length available. Distributing the projected queue lengths of 196 feet and 243 feet across both lanes results in a total queue length of 219.5 feet in both lanes ( 196 feet +243 feet $/ 2$ lanes $=219.5$ feet). Adding 25 feet to both left-turn lanes to a total of 225 feet would provide enough storage based on the projected volumes.


Single Left-Turn on Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40 On/Off-Ramps (Looking North)

As discussed earlier, the addition of a second northbound left-turn lane at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at the Interstate 40 On/OffRamps (north side) with a similar storage lane length of 190 feet should be sufficient to handle the projected volumes. The software results indicated that the northbound left-turn lanes would have a $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of 131 feet and 202 feet in the PM Peak Hour. In actuality, the expected queue lengths could be more evenly distributed between the two lanes, which would result in a required length of 180 feet in both lanes ( 131 feet +202 feet $/ 2$ lanes $=166.5$ feet). Thus, adding an additional northbound left-turn lane with a similar length as the existing storage length of 190 feet should be sufficient. See the following exhibits that show the proposed modifications to the turn lanes.



Further analysis of the coordinated signal system at these two intersections should be optimized based on the actual future volumes instead of the projected volumes. Using the actual future volumes versus the projected volumes from this study could reduce the projected peak queue lengths and the potential turn lane storage extensions required.

7d) It is recommended that this intersection be signalized. Signalization is recommended even though in 2010, TDOT deemed signalization as "undesirable" while meeting signal warrants.

This intersection currently meets warrants for traffic signalization, and it is projected to continue to meet signalization warrants in the future. It is recommended that this intersection be signalized before the Brakebill Road Subdivision is opened to residents. If this intersection is not signalized and experiences the potential increased traffic volumes, excessive vehicle delays will occur. Without remediation, this intersection could experience increased vehicle crashes due to impatient drivers. Possible issues to consider related to installing a
traffic signal at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road include the following:
a. Shorter traffic signal cycle lengths are recommended since queue lengths tend to be shorter for short cycle lengths and will be necessary due to the short distance between the two intersections.
b. The traffic signals on Strawberry Plains Pike at both intersections in the northbound and southbound approaches need to be carefully designed with respect to placement and visibility. The signal heads on these approaches will need to be installed with louvers or optically programmed signals to restrict signal visibility to these traffic lanes. Screening will be required to eliminate drivers from driving thru or not recognizing the first set of signal heads in the progression thru the two sets of signalized intersections.
c. Advance traffic warning signage will be necessary for the approach of Brakebill Road at Strawberry Plains Pike due to the horizontal curvature of Brakebill Road. To highlight this need, it was observed during the field review that the current Stop Ahead Sign (W3-1) on


Sign Obscured by Vegetation on Brakebill Road Approach the Brakebill Road approach was obscured by vegetation.

Brakebill Road: From the results discussed earlier in this report, it was shown that the calculated crash rates on Brakebill Road were not high enough to receive consideration for TDOT safety funding. Nonetheless, the narrowness of the roadway, the shoulder drop-offs, and the lack of a clear zone outside the roadway are potential factors in the road crashes. Based on evaluating the obtained individual traffic crash reports from Brakebill Road over the past three years, 10 of the 17 crashes indicated that the narrowness of Brakebill Road could have been a contributable factor. These ten crashes were either opposite direction sideswipes or road departures. As one can easily conclude, research has indicated that narrow roads have a significant influence on these types of crashes. Pictures showing the various pavement drop-offs and roadside hazards on Brakebill Road are shown below:


The most logical recommendation would include widening Brakebill Road. Brakebill Road is a major collector and an essential link between Asheville Highway (US 25E/Hwy 11E) and Strawberry Plains Pike at Interstate 40. Improving Brakebill Road with appropriate horizontal and vertical alignments, lane widths, shoulders, and clear zones would potentially significantly decrease the number of vehicle crashes. It is expected that
this road in the future will need to be widened and improved. In the interim, and to accommodate traffic growth and development in the area, several strategies should be employed to reduce the number of opposite direction sideswipes, and roadway departure crashes.

To determine appropriate strategies to potentially reduce traffic crashes on Brakebill Road, resources from the FHWA were reviewed. The following measures are recommended to be implemented on Brakebill Road:
a. Identify and remove or re-locate roadside hazards (ditches, utility poles, and trees): Research has indicated that increasing the clear zone prevents crashes. Most of the road departure crashes on Brakebill Road involved striking trees and utility poles. The next most common object struck was roadside ditches. According to research, $80 \%$ of all fatal crashes at curves are roadway departure crashes. (Source: Fatality Analysis Reporting System). Roadside hazards that have been identified and documented along Brakebill Road are shown in a picture summary located at the end of this section.
b. Advance Warning Signs: Warning signs call attention to unexpected conditions on or next to the roadway. It is recommended that Advance Warning Signs be installed on Brakebill Road in advance of two of the
 horizontal curves where evidence of crash clusters have occurred. Advance Warning Curve Signs should be placed before the horizontal curve in both directions, just to the north of 524 Brakebill Road. Advisory Speed Plaques (W131 P ) may be used to supplement the warning signs if a subsequent engineering study supports it. The other location where an Advance Warning Curve Sign (W1-2R) should be installed is before the horizontal curve on Brakebill Road heading southbound near the intersection of Brakebill Road at Palmer


Deteriorated Curve Sign for NB Traffic on Brakebill Road near Kilbridge Drive

Lane. An Advance Warning Curve Sign (W1-2L) is already posted for the northbound direction on Brakebill Road but should be replaced due to its deteriorated nature and lack of reflectivity.


Horizontal Curve on Brakebill Road near Palmer Lane (Looking South)

Another advance warning sign on Brakebill Road that needs correction is the existing Advance Turn Sign (W1-1L) near 604 Brakebill Road for southbound traffic. It is currently leaning and needs to be reset and stabilized.

c. Installation of Rumble Strips (along the edgeway and the center of the road): According to the FHWA, edgeway and centerline rumble strips are an effective countermeasure to reduce vehicle departure crashes, head-on collisions, and opposite direction sideswipe crashes. A table from NCHRP Report 641, Guidance for the Design and Application of Shoulder and Centerline Rumble Strips, is shown below, which shows the reduction in crash history based on before and after research studies on urban and rural two-lane roads.

| Center line Rumble Strip - Reduction in crash frequency from before to after rumble strip implementation for head-on and opposite direction sideswipe fatal and injury collisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent reduction in crash frequency from before to after rumble strip implementation | Standard Error |
| Rural two-lane roads | 45\% | 6\% |
| Urban two-lane roads | 64\% | 27\% |
| Excerpt from Table 67 of NCHRP Report 641. |  |  |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip - Reduction in crash frequency from before to after rumble strip implementation for single-vehicle run-off-road fatal and injury crashes |  |  |
|  | Percent reduction in crash frequency from before to after rumble strip implementation | Standard Error |
| Rural two-lane roads | 36\% | 10\% |
| Rural freeways | 17\% | 7\% |
| Excerpt from Table 28 of NCHRP Report 641. |  |  |

The results from the NCHRP (National Cooperative Highway Research Program) report show significant reductions in head-on, opposite direction sideswipes, and roadway departure crashes after installation of rumble strips on two-lane roadways. It is recommended both centerline and edge line rumble strips are installed on Brakebill Road at a minimum at the two horizontal curves identified above where Advance Curve Signs are recommended. In the recent past, clusters of crashes have occurred at these horizontal curves and could be reduced in the future with the installation of rumble strips. Other horizontal curves on Brakebill Road should be considered as well or the entire length of Brakebill Road. TDOT provides a standard installation detail (T-M-16) for asphalt shoulder rumble stripe for non-access-controlled routes.

Some potential issues to consider related to installing rumble strips involve the following:
i. Pavement: The asphalt pavement of the roadway needs to be of sufficient thickness and quality to install rumble strips.
ii. Bicyclists: Rumble strips can be detrimental to bicycle travel and hazardous to bicyclists. However, currently, there is little evidence of regular bicycle travel on Brakebill Road.
iii. Noise: Rumble strips can be a nuisance with respect to the noise generated from vehicles traveling over the strips. The sound is beneficial to the driver inside the vehicle to give a warning but can be a nuisance to those who live nearby. Brakebill Road is not a densely populated area, but there are residences adjacent to the two horizontal curves where rumble strips are recommended. There are options to reduce noise by reducing rumble strip widths, installing sinusoidal-shaped rumble strips which do not produce as much noise, and by discontinuing rumble strips near intersections and major driveways.

These potential issues are not expected to be a severe impediment to installing rumble strips on Brakebill Road. These measures should be beneficial to reducing the number of opposite direction sideswipes, and departure crashes on Brakebill Road. A picture summary of the identified roadside hazards along Brakebill Road is listed in the following pages. These identified roadside
hazards are comprised of vegetation obstructions, drainage ditches, utility poles, trees, and road shoulder drop-offs.



d. Pavement Markings: The existing pavement markings along Brakebill Road are faded and are recommended to be refreshed. The pavement markings on Brakebill Road within the City limits are notably diminished and need the markings to be re-applied.


Deteriorated Pavement Markings on Brakebill
Road within City Limits

Brakebill Road Subdivision Internal Roads: The current concept plan shows six new streets being constructed within the development, as shown in Figure 3.

9a) It is recommended that $25-\mathrm{mph}$ Speed Limit Signs (R2-1) be posted near the front of both new streets, Road "A" and Road "B", off Brakebill Road and Hammer Road, respectively.

9b) Stop Signs (R1-1) with $24^{\prime \prime}$ white stop bars and the other traffic signage should be installed at the locations as shown below:


9c) Sight distance at the new intersections in the subdivision must not be impacted by new signage or future landscaping. For a posted speed limit of $25-\mathrm{mph}$ in the subdivision, the intersection sight distance requirement is 250 feet. The stopping
sight distance required is 155 feet for a level road grade. The road layout designer should ensure that these sight distance lengths are met, and they should be labeled on the plans.

9d) All drainage grates and covers for the residential development need to be pedestrian and bicycle safe.

9e) The internal sidewalks that are proposed for the development should have appropriate ADA compliant curbed ramps at intersection corners, and the sidewalks are recommended to be 5 feet minimum in width.

9f) The United States Postal Service (USPS) has recently implemented changes to its guidelines for delivery in new residential subdivisions. If directed by the local post office, the designer should include an area within the development with a parking area for a centralized mail delivery center.


9g) Traffic calming measures might be needed for this development. Sections of the horizontal alignment for proposed Road "A", "C", and "D" within the development have long and straight road segments. The possible need for traffic calming measures inside the development will need to be coordinated with Knox County Engineering and Public Works during the detailed design phase.

9h) All road grade and intersection elements internally and externally should be designed to AASHTO, TDOT, and Knox County specifications and guidelines to ensure proper operation.

Brakebill Road Widths (Addendum): As requested in the TIS Comment Response Document for Brakebill Road Subdivision dated August 19, 2020, road width information was collected on Brakebill Road in between Hammer Road and the 90-degree curve at the intersection with Crosswood Boulevard.

The information shown on the following pages lists the pavement width measurements that were made and shows photographs of these road width measurements locations. These road measurements are not the absolute minimum and maximum widths but are a representative sample of the roads. They were taken at driveways and other locations that are readily identifiable on Brakebill Road.
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## APPENDIX A

Historical Traffic Count Data

## Historical Traffic Counts

Organization: TDOT
Station ID \#: 000472
Location: Brakebill Road (North of Strawberry Plains Pike)


2010-2018 Growth Rate =
13.6\%

Average Annual Growth Rate $=$
1.6\%


## Historical Traffic Counts

Organization: MPC
Station ID \#: 093C327
Location: Strawberry Plains Pike (South of I-40)

| YEAR | ADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 20,335 |  |
| 2007 |  |  |
| 2008 | 18,595 |  |
| 2009 |  |  |
| 2010 |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| 2011 |  | चु |
| 2012 | 18,170 | \% |
| 2013 |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |
| 2015 |  |  |
| 2016 | 17,650 | $\downarrow$ |



2006-2016 Growth Rate = -13.2\%
Average Annual Growth Rate $=-1.4 \%$


Strawberry Plains Pk - N of l-40E Ex (Station ID: 093C327)


APPENDIX B

WALK Score

## WALKSCORE

(from walkscore.com)



| Walk Score | Transit Score | Bike Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score Details | What is Walk Score |  |

The Walk Score for 521 Brakebill Road is based on the following categories.


## Scores for 521 Brakebill Road



| Walk Score | Transit Score | Bike Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transit Score measures how well a location is served by public transit |  |  |
| based on the distance and type of nearby transit lines. |  |  |$\quad$| $\mathbf{9 0 - 1 0 0}$ | Rider's Paradise <br> World-class public transportation |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 0 - 8 9}$ | Excellent Transit <br> Transit is convenient for most trips |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | Good Transit <br> Many nearby public transportation options |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 9}$ | Some Transit <br> A few nearby public transportation options <br> Minimal Transit |
| It is possible to get on a bus |  |



| Walk Score | Transit Score | Bike Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bike Score measures whether an area is good for biking based on bike |  |  |
| lanes and trails, hills, road connectivity, and destinations. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9 0 - 1 0 0}$ | Biker's Paradise <br> Daily errands can be accomplished on a bike <br> $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | Very Bikeable <br> Biking is convenient for most trips <br> Bikeable <br> Some bike infrastructure <br> Somewhat Bikeable <br> Minimal bike infrastructure |



## APPENDIX C

Knoxville Area Transit Map and Information

$\qquad$

* Burlington Branch Library
* Chilhowee Park
${ }^{7}$ Holston Drive
- Knoxville Station/Downtown

Kroger
PellissippiState,
Magnolia Ave. Campus

| Going away from Downtown |  |  |  |  | Going toward Downtown |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Transfer to: |  |  | Rts. 33 \& 34 |  |  | Rts. 33 \& 34 |  |  |  |
| Knoxville <br> Station- <br> Platform F | Magnolia at Jessamine | Magnolia at Chestnut | Kirkwood St. Superstop (Arrives) (Leaves) | Burns Rd at Asheville Hwy | Chilhowee <br> at Holston | Kirkwood St. Superstop (Arrives) (Leaves) | Magnolia at Chestnut | Magnolia at Jessamine | Knoxville Station |


| WEEKDAY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.M. | - | - | - | - | - | 5:38 | 5:43 | 5:51 | 5:53 | 5:59 | 6:04 | 6:10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5:53 | 5:58 | 6:06 | 6:08 | 6:14 | 6:19 | 6:25 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 6:08 | 6:13 | 6:21 | 6:23 | 6:29 | 6:34 | 6:40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6:23 | 6:28 | 6:36 | 6:38 | 6:44 | 6:49 | 6:55 |
|  | 6:15 | 6:19 | 6:25 | 6:30 | 6:33 | 6:38 | 6:43 | 6:51 | 6:53 | 6:59 | 7:04 | 7:10 |
|  | 6:30 | 6:34 | 6:40 | 6:45 | 6:48 | 6:53 | 6:58 | 7:06 | 7:08 | 7:14 | 7:19 | 7:25 |
|  | 6:45 | 6:49 | 6:55 | 7:00 | 7:03 | 7:08 | 7:13 | 7:21 | 7:23 | 7:29 | 7:34 | 7:40 |
|  | 7:00 | 7:04 | 7:10 | 7:15 | 7:18 | 7:23 | 7:28 | 7:36 | 7:38 | 7:44 | 7:49 | 7:55 |
|  | 7:15 | 7:19 | 7:25 | 7:30 | 7:33 | 7:38 | 7:43 | 7:51 | 7:53 | 7:59 | 8:04 | 8:10 |
|  | 7:30 | 7:34 | 7:40 | 7:45 | 7:48 | 7:53 | 7:58 | 8:06 | 8:08 | 8:14 | 8:19 | 8:25 |
|  | 7:45 | 7:49 | 7:55 | 8:00 | 8:03 | 8:08 | 8:13 | 8:21 | 8:23 | 8:29 | 8:34 | 8:40 |
|  | 8:00 | 8:04 | 8:10 | 8:15 | 8:18 | 8:23 | 8:28 | 8:36 | 8:38 | 8:44 | 8:49 | 8:55 |
|  | 8:15 | 8:19 | 8:25 | 8:30 | 8:33 | 8:38 | 8:43 | 8:51 | 8:53 | 8:59 | 9:04 | 9:10 |
|  | 8:30 | 8:34 | 8:40 | 8:45 | 8:48 | 8:53 | 8:58 | 9:06 | 9:08 | 9:14 | 9:19 | 9:25 |
|  | 8:45 | 8:49 | 8:55 | 9:00 | 9:03 | 9:08 | 9:13 | 9:21 | 9:23 | 9:29 | 9:34 | 9:40 |
|  | 9:00 | 9:04 | 9:10 | 9:15 | 9:18 | 9:23 | 9:28 | 9:36 | 9:38 | 9:44 | 9:49 | 9:55 |
|  | 9:15 | 9:19 | 9:25 | 9:30 | 9:33 | 9:38 | 9:43 | 9:51 | 9:53 | 9:59 | 10:04 | 10:10 |
|  | 9:45 | 9:49 | 9:55 | 10:00 | 10:03 | 10:08 | 10:13 | 10:21 | 10:23 | 10:29 | 10:34 | 10:40 |
|  | 10:15 | 10:19 | 10:25 | 10:30 | 10:33 | 10:38 | 10:43 | 10:51 | 10:53 | 10:59 | 11:04 | 11:10 |
|  | 10:45 | 10:49 | 10:55 | 11:00 | 11:03 | 11:08 | 11:13 | 11:21 | 11:23 | 11:29 | 11:34 | 11:40 |
|  | 11:15 | 11:19 | 11:25 | 11:30 | 11:33 | 11:38 | 11:43 | 11:51 | 11:53 | 11:59 | 12:04 | 12:10 |
|  | 11:45 | 11:49 | 11:55 | 12:00 | 12:03 | 12:08 | 12:13 | 12:21 | 12:23 | 12:29 | 12:34 | 12:40 |
| P.M. | 12:15 | 12:19 | 12:25 | 12:30 | 12:33 | 12:38 | 12:43 | 12:51 | 12:53 | 12:59 | 1:04 | 1:10 |
|  | 12:45 | 12:49 | 12:55 | 1:00 | 1:03 | 1:08 | 1:13 | 1:21 | 1:23 | 1:29 | 1:34 | 1:40 |
|  | 1:15 | 1:19 | 1:25 | 1:30 | 1:33 | 1:38 | 1:43 | 1:51 | 1:53 | 1:59 | 2:04 | 2:10 |
|  | 1:45 | 1:49 | 1:55 | 2:00 | 2:03 | 2:08 | 2:13 | 2:21 | 2:23 | 2:29 | 2:34 | 2:40 |
|  | 2:15 | 2:19 | 2:25 | 2:30 | 2:33 | 2:38 | 2:43 | 2:51 | 2:53 | 2:59 | 3:04 | 3:10 |
|  | 2:45 | 2:49 | 2:55 | 3:00 | 3:03 | 3:08 | 3:13 | 3:21 | 3:23 | 3:29 | 3:34 | 3:40 |
|  | 3:15 | 3:19 | 3:25 | 3:30 | 3:33 | 3:38 | 3:43 | 3:51 | 3:53 | 3:59 | 4:04 | 4:10 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 3:53 | 3:58 | 4:06 | 4:08 | 4:14 | 4:19 | 4:25 |
|  | 3:45 | 3:49 | 3:55 | 4:00 | 4:03 | 4:08 | 4:13 | 4:21 | 4:23 | 4:29 | 4:34 | 4:40 |
|  | 4:00 | 4:04 | 4:10 | 4:15 | 4:18 | 4:23 | 4:28 | 4:36 | 4:38 | 4:44 | 4:49 | 4:55 |
|  | 4:15 | 4:19 | 4:25 | 4:30 | 4:33 | 4:38 | 4:43 | 4:51 | 4:53 | 4:59 | 5:04 | 5:10 |
|  | 4:30 | 4:34 | 4:40 | 4:45 | 4:48 | 4:53 | 4:58 | 5:06 | 5:08 | 5:14 | 5:19 | 5:25 |
|  | 4:45 | 4:49 | 4:55 | 5:00 | 5:03 | 5:08 | 5:13 | 5:21 | 5:23 | 5:29 | 5:34 | 5:40 |
|  | 5:00 | 5:04 | 5:10 | 5:15 | 5:18 | 5:23 | 5:28 | 5:36 | 5:38 | 5:44 | 5:49 | 5:55 |
|  | 5:15 | 5:19 | 5:25 | 5:30 | 5:33 | 5:38 | 5:43 | 5:51 | 5:53 | 5:59 | 6:04 | 6:10 |
|  | 5:30 | 5:34 | 5:40 | 5:45 | 5:48 | 5:53 | 5:58 | 6:06 | 6:08 | 6:14 | 6:19 | 6:25 |
|  | 5:45 | 5:49 | 5:55 | 6:00 | 6:03 | 6:08 | 6:13 | 6:21 | 6:23 | 6:29 | 6:34 | 6:40 |
|  | 6:00 | 6:04 | 6:10 | 6:15 | 6:18 | 6:23 | 6:28 | 6:36 | 6:38 | 6:44 | 6:49 | 6:55 |
|  | 6:15 | 6:19 | 6:25 | 6:30 | 6:33 | 6:38 | 6:43 | 6:51 | 6:53 | 6:59 | 7:04 | 7:10 |
|  | 6:45 | 6:49 | 6:55 | 7:00 | 7:03 | 7:08 | 7:13 | 7:21 | 7:23 | 7:29 | 7:34 | 7:40 |
|  | 7:15 | 7:19 | 7:25 | 7:30 | 7:33 | 7:38 | 7:43 | 7:51 | 7:53 | 7:59 | 8:04 | 8:10 |
|  | 7:45 | 7:49 | 7:55 | 8:00 | 8:03 | 8:08 | 8:13 | 8:21 | 8:23 | 8:29 | 8:34 | 8:40 |
|  | 8:15 | 8:19 | 8:25 | 8:30 | 8:33 | 8:38 | 8:43 | 8:51 | 8:53 | 8:59 | 9:04 | 9:10 |
|  | 8:45 | 8:49 | 8:55 | 9:00 | 9:03 | 9:08 | 9:13 | 9:21 | 9:23 | 9:29 | 9:34 | 9:40 |
|  | 9:15 | 9:19 | 9:25 | 9:30 | 9:33 | 9:38 | 9:43 | 9:51 | 9:53 | 9:59 | 10:04 | 10:10 |
|  | 9:45 | 9:49 | 9:55 | 10:00 | 10:03 | 10:08 | 10:13 | 10:21 | 10:23 | 10:29 | 10:34 | To Garage |
|  | 10:15 | 10:19 | 10:25 | 10:30 | 10:33 | 10:38 | 10:43 | 10:51 | 10:53 | 10:59 | 11:04 | 11:10 |
|  | 11:15 | 11:19 | 11:25 | 11:30 | 11:33 | 11:38 | 11:43 | 11:51 | 11:53 | 11:59 | 12:04 | To Garage |

Need help reading this schedule?
Need other general information on how to ride?
Click here to Download the General Schedule Information pdf available from katbus.com

Saturday-Sunday Schedule Route 31: Magnolia

|  | Going away from Downtown |  |  |  |  |  | Going toward Downtown |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (T) Transferto: |  |  | Rts. 33 \& 34 |  | Rts. 33 \& 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Knoxville StationPlatform F | Magnolia at Jessamine | Magnolia at Chestnut | Kirkwood (Arrives) | Superstop <br> (Leaves) | Burns Rd at Asheville Hwy | Chilhowee at Holston | Kirkwood <br> (Arrives) | uperstop <br> (Leaves) | Magnolia at Chestnut | Magnolia at Jessamine | Knoxville Station |
| SATURDAY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.M. | - | - | - | - | - | 6:38 | 6:43 | 6:51 | 6:53 | 6:59 | 7:04 | 7:10 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 7:08 | 7:13 | 7:21 | 7:23 | 7:29 | 7:34 | 7:40 |
|  | 7:15 | 7:19 | 7:25 | 7:30 | 7:33 | 7:38 | 7:43 | 7:51 | 7:53 | 7:59 | 8:04 | 8:10 |
|  | 7:45 | 7:49 | 7:55 | 8:00 | 8:03 | 8:08 | 8:13 | 8:21 | 8:23 | 8:29 | 8:34 | 8:40 |
|  | 8:15 | 8:19 | 8:25 | 8:30 | 8:33 | 8:38 | 8:43 | 8:51 | 8:53 | 8:59 | 9:04 | 9:10 |
|  | 8:45 | 8:49 | 8:55 | 9:00 | 9:03 | 9:08 | 9:13 | 9:21 | 9:23 | 9:29 | 9:34 | 9:40 |
|  | 9:15 | 9:19 | 9:25 | 9:30 | 9:33 | 9:38 | 9:43 | 9:51 | 9:53 | 9:59 | 10:04 | 10:10 |
|  | 9:45 | 9:49 | 9:55 | 10:00 | 10:03 | 10:08 | 10:13 | 10:21 | 10:23 | 10:29 | 10:34 | 10:40 |
|  | 10:15 | 10:19 | 10:25 | 10:30 | 10:33 | 10:38 | 10:43 | 10:51 | 10:53 | 10:59 | 11:04 | 11:10 |
|  | 10:45 | 10:49 | 10:55 | 11:00 | 11:03 | 11:08 | 11:13 | 11:21 | 11:23 | 11:29 | 11:34 | 11:40 |
|  | 11:15 | 11:19 | 11:25 | 11:30 | 11:33 | 11:38 | 11:43 | 11:51 | 11:53 | 11:59 | 12:04 | 12:10 |
|  | 11:45 | 11:49 | 11:55 | 12:00 | 12:03 | 12:08 | 12:13 | 12:21 | 12:23 | 12:29 | 12:34 | 12:40 |
| P.M. | 12:15 | 12:19 | 12:25 | 12:30 | 12:33 | 12:38 | 12:43 | 12:51 | 12:53 | 12:59 | 1:04 | 1:10 |
|  | 12:45 | 12:49 | 12:55 | 1:00 | 1:03 | 1:08 | 1:13 | 1:21 | 1:23 | 1:29 | 1:34 | 1:40 |
|  | 1:15 | 1:19 | 1:25 | 1:30 | 1:33 | 1:38 | 1:43 | 1:51 | 1:53 | 1:59 | 2:04 | 2:10 |
|  | 1:45 | 1:49 | 1:55 | 2:00 | 2:03 | 2:08 | 2:13 | 2:21 | 2:23 | 2:29 | 2:34 | 2:40 |
|  | 2:15 | 2:19 | 2:25 | 2:30 | 2:33 | 2:38 | 2:43 | 2:51 | 2:53 | 2:59 | 3:04 | 3:10 |
|  | 2:45 | 2:49 | 2:55 | 3:00 | 3:03 | 3:08 | 3:13 | 3:21 | 3:23 | 3:29 | 3:34 | 3:40 |
|  | 3:15 | 3:19 | 3:25 | 3:30 | 3:33 | 3:38 | 3:43 | 3:51 | 3:53 | 3:59 | 4:04 | 4:10 |
|  | 3:45 | 3:49 | 3:55 | 4:00 | 4:03 | 4:08 | 4:13 | 4:21 | 4:23 | 4:29 | 4:34 | 4:40 |
|  | 4:15 | 4:19 | 4:25 | 4:30 | 4:33 | 4:38 | 4:43 | 4:51 | 4:53 | 4:59 | 5:04 | 5:10 |
|  | 4:45 | 4:49 | 4:55 | 5:00 | 5:03 | 5:08 | 5:13 | 5:21 | 5:23 | 5:29 | 5:34 | 5:40 |
|  | 5:15 | 5:19 | 5:25 | 5:30 | 5:33 | 5:38 | 5:43 | 5:51 | 5:53 | 5:59 | 6:04 | 6:10 |
|  | 5:45 | 5:49 | 5:55 | 6:00 | 6:03 | 6:08 | 6:13 | 6:21 | 6:23 | 6:29 | 6:34 | 6:40 |
|  | 6:15 | 6:19 | 6:25 | 6:30 | 6:33 | 6:38 | 6:43 | 6:51 | 6:53 | 6:59 | 7:04 | 7:10 |
|  | 6:45 | 6:49 | 6:55 | 7:00 | 7:03 | 7:08 | 7:13 | 7:21 | 7:23 | 7:29 | 7:34 | 7:40 |
|  | 7:15 | 7:19 | 7:25 | 7:30 | 7:33 | 7:38 | 7:43 | 7:51 | 7:53 | 7:59 | 8:04 | 8:10 |
|  | 7:45 | 7:49 | 7:55 | 8:00 | 8:03 | 8:08 | 8:13 | 8:21 | 8:23 | 8:29 | 8:34 | 8:40 |
|  | 8:15 | 8:19 | 8:25 | 8:30 | 8:33 | 8:38 | 8:43 | 8:51 | 8:53 | 8:59 | 9:04 | 9:10 |
|  | 8:45 | 8:49 | 8:55 | 9:00 | 9:03 | 9:08 | 9:13 | 9:21 | 9:23 | 9:29 | 9:34 | 9:40 |
|  | 9:15 | 9:19 | 9:25 | 9:30 | 9:33 | 9:38 | 9:43 | 9:51 | 9:53 | 9:59 | 10:04 | 10:10 |
|  | 9:45 | 9:49 | 9:55 | 10:00 | 10:03 | 10:08 | 10:13 | 10:21 | 10:23 | 10:29 | 10:34 | To Garage |
|  | 10:15 | 10:19 | 10:25 | 10:30 | 10:33 | 10:38 | 10:43 | 10:51 | 10:53 | 10:59 | 11:04 | 11:10 |
|  | 11:15 | 11:19 | 11:25 | 11:30 | 11:33 | 11:38 | 11:43 | 11:51 | 11:53 | 11:59 | 12:04 | To Garage |
| SUNDAY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.M. | 8:15 | 8:19 | 8:25 | 8:30 | 8:33 | 8:38 | 8:43 | 8:51 | 8:53 | 8:59 | 9:04 | 9:10 |
|  | 9:15 | 9:19 | 9:25 | 9:30 | 9:33 | 9:38 | 9:43 | 9:51 | 9:53 | 9:59 | 10:04 | 10:10 |
|  | 10:15 | 10:19 | 10:25 | 10:30 | 10:33 | 10:38 | 10:43 | 10:51 | 10:53 | 10:59 | 11:04 | 11:10 |
|  | 11:15 | 11:19 | 11:25 | 11:30 | 11:33 | 11:38 | 11:43 | 11:51 | 11:53 | 11:59 | 12:04 | 12:10 |
| P.M. | 12:15 | 12:19 | 12:25 | 12:30 | 12:33 | 12:38 | 12:43 | 12:51 | 12:53 | 12:59 | 1:04 | 1:10 |
|  | 1:15 | 1:19 | 1:25 | 1:30 | 1:33 | 1:38 | 1:43 | 1:51 | 1:53 | 1:59 | 2:04 | 2:10 |
|  | 2:15 | 2:19 | 2:25 | 2:30 | 2:33 | 2:38 | 2:43 | 2:51 | 2:53 | 2:59 | 3:04 | 3:10 |
|  | 3:15 | 3:19 | 3:25 | 3:30 | 3:33 | 3:38 | 3:43 | 3:51 | 3:53 | 3:59 | 4:04 | 4:10 |
|  | 4:15 | 4:19 | 4:25 | 4:30 | 4:33 | 4:38 | 4:43 | 4:51 | 4:53 | 4:59 | 5:04 | 5:10 |
|  | 5:15 | 5:19 | 5:25 | 5:30 | 5:33 | 5:38 | 5:43 | 5:51 | 5:53 | 5:59 | 6:04 | 6:10 |
|  | 6:15 | 6:19 | 6:25 | 6:30 | 6:33 | 6:38 | 6:43 | 6:51 | 6:53 | 6:59 | 7:04 | 7:10 |
|  | 7:15 | 7:19 | 7:25 | 7:30 | 7:33 | 7:38 | 7:43 | 7:51 | 7:53 | 7:59 | 8:04 | 8:10 |
|  | 8:15 | 8:19 | 8:25 | 8:30 | 8:33 | 8:38 | 8:43 | 8:51 | 8:53 | 8:59 | 9:05 | To Garage |

Need help reading this schedule?
Need other general information on how to ride?
Click here to Download the General Schedule Information pdf available from katbus.com

## APPENDIX D

Zoning MAP


## APPENDIX E

## Manual Traffic Count Data












Major Street: Brakebill Road (NB - SB)
Minor Street: Kilbridge Drive (EB)
Traffic Control: Stop Control on Kilbridge Drive

3/20/2018 (Tuesday)
Cloudy/Windy
Conducted by: Ajax Engineering

|  | Brakebill Road |  | Brakebill Road |  | Kilbridge Drive |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME BEGIN | SOUTHBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  | VEHICLE TOTAL | PEAK HOUR |
|  | THRU | RIGHT | LT | THRU | LT | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 20 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 37 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 21 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 47 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 20 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 8 | 12 | 59 | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| 7:45 AM | 28 | 4 | 2 | 21 | 5 | 10 | 70 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 26 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 72 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 24 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 59 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 20 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 42 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 16 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 36 |  |
| TOTAL | 175 | 18 | 10 | 118 | 45 | 56 | 422 |  |
| Peak \% Exit | - | - | - | - | 46\% | 54\% |  |  |
| Peak \% Enter | - | 78\% | 22\% | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 28 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 4 | 59 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 29 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 63 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 27 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 54 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 32 | 5 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 72 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 39 | 3 | 1 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 70 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 30 | 5 | 3 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 65 |  |
| 3:30 PM | 35 | 4 | 3 | 32 | 2 | 5 | 81 |  |
| 3:45 PM | 39 | 4 | 10 | 34 | 2 | 5 | 94 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 36 | 6 | 3 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 78 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 44 | 7 | 4 | 36 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 4:15 PM - 5:15 PM |
| 4:30 PM | 26 | 9 | 5 | 46 | 2 | 6 | 94 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 28 | 1 | 8 | 34 | 3 | 2 | 76 |  |
| 5:00 PM | 38 | 8 | 9 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 100 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 35 | 6 | 5 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 83 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 35 | 7 | 7 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 85 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 43 | 9 | 5 | 30 | 1 | 4 | 92 |  |
| TOTAL | 285 | 53 | 46 | 273 | 29 | 22 | 708 |  |
| Peak \% Exit | - | - | - | - | 59\% | 41\% |  |  |
| Peak \% Enter | - | 49\% | 51\% | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2018 AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

|  | Brakebill Road |  | Brakebill Road |  | Kilbridge Drive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  |
|  | BEGIN | THRU | RIGHT | LT | THRU | LT |
| RT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30 AM | 20 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 8 | 12 |
| 7:45 AM | 28 | 4 | 2 | 21 | 5 | 10 |
| 8:00 AM | 26 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 12 | 10 |
| 8:15 AM | 24 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 98 | 14 | 4 | 75 | 32 | 37 |
| PHF | 0.88 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 0.67 | 0.77 |

## 2018 PM Peak Hou

4:15 PM - 5:15 PM

|  | Brakebill Road |  | Brakebill Road |  | Kilbridge Drive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | SOUTHBOUND |  | NORTHBOUND |  | EASTBOUND |  |
|  | BEGIN | THRU | RIGHT | LT | THRU | LT |
| R | RT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:15 PM | 44 | 7 | 4 | 36 | 7 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 26 | 9 | 5 | 46 | 2 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 28 | 1 | 8 | 34 | 3 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 38 | 8 | 9 | 40 | 4 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 136 | 25 | 26 | 156 | 16 | 11 |
| PHF | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.57 | 0.46 |

## APPENDIX F

## Existing Traffic Signal Timing Information

Database Printout of $1880 E L$ Local
Page: 1
Filename: DATAIINT\#4807.EL


Startup Data:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  Start Phases 2 6 <br> UCF EntryPhases 4 8  <br> UCF ExitPhases 2 6  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Start Overlaps Yellow at Power-up? | NO |
| Start in All Red at Power-up? | NO |
| Zone I D: | 0 |
| Controller I D: | 0 |
| Hold 2 sec. Mi ni mum Red Revert? | YES |
| Override Holds if |  |
| Uniform Code Flash Active? | Y ES |
| Dual Entry 1256? | YES |
| Dual Entry 3478? | YES |
| Passage Interval Sequential? | YES |
| Si multaneous Gap? | NO |
| Conditional Service set by Input? | NO |
| Conditional Service 1256? | NO |
| Conditional Service 3478? | NO |

Timing Data:

| Interval | Time by Phase (sec.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Initial | 5 | $\overline{1} 5$ | 0 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 |
| Passage | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Yellow | 4.0 | 5. 0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Red Clear | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Max 1 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 25 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 25 |
| Max 2 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 25 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 25 |
| Wal k | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cl ear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max 3 Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Adjust (sec.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Limit (sec.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Set (max outs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CIr (gap outs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Functions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max. Recall | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | $N$ |
| Ped. Recall | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Det. Non-lock | Y | N | $N$ | Y | Y | $N$ | $N$ | Y |
| CNA I Active | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | N |
| Database Printout of 1880EL Local Page: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filename: DATAlINT\#4807.EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNA \\| Active FI ashing Walks Phase Omitted Ped Omitted Soft Recall | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
|  | N | N | $N$ | N | N | N | N | $N$ |
|  | N | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | $N$ |
|  | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
|  | Page 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Asheville Hwy and BRAKE. TXT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ped Cl | I thru Red | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | $N$ |
| Begin | Daylight | Savi | in w | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End | Daylight | Savi | in w | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ti me of Day Changepoints: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week | Plan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |  |
| Plan: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plan: | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Week PIan Implementation:

| Week | 1 : | 0 | Week | 14: | 0 | Week | 27: | 0 | Week | 40: | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | 2 : | 0 | Week | 15: | 0 | Week | 28: | 0 | Week | 41 : | 0 |
| Week | 3 : | 0 | Week | 16: | 0 | Week | 29: | 0 | Week | 42 : | 0 |
| Week | 4 | 0 | Week | 17: | 0 | Week | 30: | 0 | Week | 43 : | 0 |
| Week | 5 : | 0 | Week | 18: | 0 | Week | $31:$ | 0 | Week | 44 : | 0 |
| Week | 6 : | 0 | Week | 19: | 0 | Week | 32 : | 0 | Week | 45 : | 0 |
| Week | 7 : | 0 | Week | 20: | 0 | Week | 33 : | 0 | Week | 46 : | 0 |
| Week | 8 | 0 | Week | 21: | 0 | Week | 34: | 0 | Week | 47: | 0 |
| Week | $9:$ | 0 | Week | 22 : | 0 | Week | $35:$ | 0 | Week | 48: | 0 |
| Week | 10: | 0 | Week | 23: | 0 | Week | 36 : | 0 | Week | 49 : | 0 |
| Week | 11: | 0 | Week | 24: | 0 | Week | 37: | 0 | Week | 50 : | 0 |
| Week | 12: | 0 | Week | 25: | 0 | Week | 38: | 0 | Week | 51 : | 0 |
| Week | 13: | 0 | Week | 26: | 0 | Week | 39: | 0 | Week | 52 : | 0 |

Special Day PIan Implementation (PIan-Week-Day):


Coordination Operating Modes:


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sh |  | Hwy | and | BRAK | TXT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Split | 3 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 30 |
| Split | 4 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 30 |
| Split | 5 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 30 |
| Split | 6 | 50 | 36 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 30 |
| Split | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Split Matrix:
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Fil ename: DATAIINT\#4807.EL
Intersecton BRAKEBIL
Offset Times:


Cycle Times:

| Cycle |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 80 | sec. |
| 2 | 95 | sec. |
| 3 |  | 120 |
| sec. |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | sec. |
| 5 | 0 | sec. |
| 6 | 0 | sec. |

Closed Loop Options:
TOD FI ash/Aux? NO
Free w/ Ckt 0? YES
Report Channel Failures to Central
Conflict Flash
(3) Occurence and Resume Normal

Manual/Auto FIash
(3) Occurence and Resume Normal

MCE
(3) Occurence and Resume Normal

Preempt
(0) Auto-log only

Channel \# 5
(0) Auto-log only

Page 4

```
Channel # 6
Channel # 7
Channel # 8
Door Open
                                    Asheville Hwy and BRAKE.TXT
                                    (0) Auto-log only
    (0) Auto-log only
    (0) Auto-log only
    (3) Occurence and Resume Normal
Main Street Phs for Out of Step Test
    Ring 1 - 2
Speed Trap Sensor Pairs
        1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8
        NO NO NO NO
Standard Overlaps:
O
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```



```
Internal Overlap Program? YES
    Phase
Program 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
Ov| A . . . . . . . .
Ov| B . . . . . . . .
OvI C
Ov| D
ᄋ
```

Database Printout of 1880 EL Local
Page: 1
Fil ename: DATAl। NT\#9103.EL
Intersection: I-40, WB RAMP $\quad$ Thu Mar 29, 14:59:41. 2018

Startup Data:
$\begin{array}{llll} & \text { Ring } & 1 & - \\ \text { Start Phases } & 2 & 0 \\ \text { UCF EntryPhases } & 4 & 0 \\ \text { UCF Exit Phases } & 2 & 0\end{array}$
Start Overlaps Yellow at Power-up? NO
Start in All Red at Power-up? NO
Zone | D:
Controller ID:
Hold 2 sec. Minimum Red Revert? NO
Override Holds if
Uniform Code FIash Active? YES
Dual Entry 1256? NO
Dual Entry 3478?
Passage Interval Sequential?
Red Revert Time: 0.0 sec.

Si mult aneous Gap?
NO
Conditional Service set by Input?
Conditi anal Service 1256 ?
NO
Conditional Service 1256 ? NO
Conditional Service 3478 ? NO
Timing Data:

| Interval | Time by Phase (sec.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Initial | 6 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Passage | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Yellow | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Red Clear | 1. 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Max 1 | 14 | 45 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max 2 | 14 | 45 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wal k | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Max 3 Parameters
Adjust (sec.)


Set (max outs)
Clr (gap outs)
Functions:
Min. Recall
Max. Recall
Ped. Recall
Det. Non-lock
CNA I Active


Database Printout of 1880 EL Local
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CNA II Active N
FI ashing Walks
Phase Omitted
Ped Omitted
Soft Recall
$N$
$N$
$N$
N
N

| $N$ | $N$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $N$ | $N$ |
| $N$ | $Y$ |
| $N$ | $Y$ |
| $N$ | $N$ |

$N$
$N$
$N$
$Y$
Y
Page
$N$
$N$
$N$
$Y$
$Y$
$N$
$\begin{array}{lll}N & N & N \\ N & N & N \\ Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & Y \\ N & N & N\end{array}$


Week PIan Implementation:
Week 1: 0 Week 14: 0 Week 27: 0 Week 40: 0
아
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Special Day PIan Implementation (PIan-Week-Day):


Phase Relationships:



Split Plans:

|  | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Perc} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ent } \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { per } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Phase } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 8 | Begin |  | missi Begi |  | Begin | End |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Split 1 | 25 | 52 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 15 |  |
| Split 2 | 21 | 55 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 15 |  |
| Split 3 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 15 |  |
| Split 4 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Split 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Split 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Split 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Split 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 우 |
| Database | Pri | nto | $t$ of | 188 | 0 EL | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page: |
| Fil ename: |  | TAl | NT \# | 103. | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1-40 | WB WB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAMP } \\ & \text { TIII } \end{aligned}$ | íiíi |  |  | Thu Ma Ma | $\text { C1 } 29$ |  | ílí í | 2018 | Í í í | IIIIi í |


| Split | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Split | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Split Matrix:


Offset Times:


Cycle Times:

```
Cycle
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
& 70 & sec. \\
1 & 75 & sec. \\
2 & 80 & sec. \\
3 & 90 & sec. \\
4 & 100 & sec. \\
5 & 100 & sec.
\end{tabular}
Closed Loop Options:
TOD FI ash/Aux? YES
Free w/ Ckt 0? YES
Report Channel Failures to Central
Conflict Flash (3) Occurence and Resume Normal
&
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```
Manual/Auto Flash (3) Occurence and Resume Normal
Manual/Auto Flash (3) Occurence and Resume Normal
Preempt
Channel# 5 (3) Occurence and Resume Normal
Channel # 6
Channel# 7
Channel# 8
Channel# 8
Manual/Auto Flash (3) Occurence and Resume Normal
(3) Occurence and Resume Normal
(3) Occurence and Resume Normal
(3) Occurence and Resume Normal
Main Street Phs for Out of Step Test
    Ring 1 - 2
Speed Trap Sensor Pairs
        1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8
        NO NO NO NO
St andard Overlaps:
Internal OverIap Program? YES
Program 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8
OvI A X X . . . . . .
Ov| B . . . . . . . .
Ov| C . . . . . . . .
Ov| D . . . . . . . .
우
```


## Strawberry Plains Pk \& I-40 WB Ramps

Strawberry Plains Pk


$$
\text { OLA } 01+02
$$

Strawberry Plains Pk

## APPENDIX G

Capacity Analyses - HCM Worksheets (Synchro 8)

## Existing Traffic Conditions

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Brakebill Road/Neals Landing Road \& Asheville Highway




| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.4 | 0.9 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1420 | -710 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | -0.065 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0 | 10.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 31.9 | 1.9 | 0.5 |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 642 | -126 | 524 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.142 | -0.595 | 0.31 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.5 | -68.8 | 14.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | F | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.5 | - | 3 | 1.3 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | 41 |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  |  | 虫 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 121 | 190 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 490 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -1\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  |  |  | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.91 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |  |  | 2937 |  | 1591 | 1437 | 3326 |  |  | 3130 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.19 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |  |  | 2937 |  | 1591 | 292 | 3326 |  |  | 3130 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.77 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 146 | 200 | 402 | 0 | 0 | 441 | 636 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 21 | 200 | 402 | 0 | 0 | 782 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 100\% | 3\% | 25\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Prot |  | Prot | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  |  |  | 10.5 |  | 10.5 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  |  |  | 10.5 |  | 10.5 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.54 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  |  |  | 413 |  | 224 | 330 | 2410 |  |  | 1680 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | c0.07 |  | 0.01 | c0.07 | 0.12 |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0.32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  | 0.53 |  | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.47 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  |  |  | 29.7 |  | 27.9 | 6.6 | 3.2 |  |  | 10.7 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  |  |  | 1.2 |  | 0.2 | 8.0 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.9 |  |
| Delay (s) |  |  |  | 30.9 |  | 28.0 | 14.7 | 3.4 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Level of Service |  |  |  | C |  | C | B | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 29.8 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 13.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.59 |  | 15.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 74.5 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $54.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Brakebill Road/Neals Landing Road \& Asheville Highway


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 11 | 11 | 12 | 174 | 135 | 18 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 3 | - | - | 3 | -2 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 55 | 55 | 75 | 91 | 77 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 9 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 20 | 20 | 16 | 191 | 175 | 21 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 409 | 186 | 197 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 186 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 223 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.09 | 6.68 | 4.18 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.09 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.09 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.581 | 3.462 | 2.272 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 547 | 804 | 1341 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 804 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 769 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 540 | 804 | 1341 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 540 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 804 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 759 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.9 | 0.6 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1341 | -646 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.012 | -0.062 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 10.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 23.1 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 865 | -159 | 717 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.254 | -0.468 | 0.202 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.6 | -46.1 | 11.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | E | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 1 | - | 2.2 | 0.8 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | - | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | ${ }^{4} 1$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 130 | 326 | 861 | 0 | 0 | 375 | 244 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -1\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  |  |  | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |  |  | 2539 |  | 1490 | 1618 | 3522 |  |  | 3250 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |  |  | 2539 |  | 1490 | 560 | 3522 |  |  | 3250 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.83 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 159 | 435 | 897 | 0 | 0 | 452 | 284 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 24 | 435 | 897 | 0 | 0 | 611 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 50\% | 10\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Prot |  | Prot | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  |  |  | 11.1 |  | 11.1 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  |  |  | 11.1 |  | 11.1 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.53 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  |  |  | 375 |  | 220 | 492 | 2532 |  |  | 1731 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | c0.09 |  | 0.02 | c0.11 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.19 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  | 0.58 |  | 0.11 | 0.88 | 0.35 |  |  | 0.35 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  |  |  | 29.8 |  | 27.7 | 7.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  |  |  | 2.3 |  | 0.2 | 20.1 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.6 |  |
| Delay (s) |  |  |  | 32.2 |  | 27.9 | 27.1 | 4.4 |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Level of Service |  |  |  | C |  | C | C | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 30.4 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 14.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | 15.0 |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.83 |  | A |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 75.1 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $52.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

Opening Year Traffic Conditions (Without Project)

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Brakebill Road/Neals Landing Road \& Asheville Highway



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 18 | 10 | 8 | 72 | 145 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 3 | - | - | 3 | -2 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 75 | 58 | 72 | 85 | 56 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 36 | 13 | 14 | 100 | 171 | 18 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 308 | 180 | 188 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 180 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 128 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.06 | 6.5 | 4.1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.06 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.06 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.554 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 642 | 855 | 1398 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 817 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 869 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 635 | 855 | 1398 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 635 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 817 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 859 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.7 | 0.9 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1398 | - | 682 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.01 | - | -0.072 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0 | 10.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 51.8 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 584 | - | 98 | 486 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Brakebill Road/Neals Landing Road \& Asheville Highway


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 13 | 13 | 14 | 192 | 149 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 3 | - | - | 3 | -2 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 55 | 55 | 75 | 91 | 77 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 9 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 24 | 24 | 19 | 211 | 194 | 24 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 453 | 205 | 217 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 205 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 248 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.09 | 6.68 | 4.18 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.09 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.09 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.581 | 3.462 | 2.272 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 512 | 783 | 1318 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 786 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 746 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 504 | 783 | 1318 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 504 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 786 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 734 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.4 | 0.6 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1318 | -613 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.014 | -0.077 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 0 | 11.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 32 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 811 | -130 | 686 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.3 | -0.631 | 0.233 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.3 | -71.2 | 11.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | F | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 1.3 | - | 3.3 | 0.9 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp


Opening Year Traffic Conditions (With Project)

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Brakebill Road/Neals Landing Road \& Asheville Highway



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 38 | 40 | 14 | 118 | 167 | 19 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 3 | - | - | 3 | -2 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 75 | 58 | 72 | 85 | 56 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 76 | 53 | 24 | 164 | 196 | 34 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 425 | 213 | 230 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 213 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 212 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.06 | 6.5 | 4.1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.06 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.06 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.554 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 539 | 817 | 1350 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 785 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 786 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 528 | 817 | 1350 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 528 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 785 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 770 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.4 | 1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1350 | -618 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.018 | -0.209 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 12.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | 0.8 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 46 | 69 | 15 | 86 | 185 | 22 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 51 | 77 | 17 | 96 | 206 | 24 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 347 | 218 | 230 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 218 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 129 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 654 | 827 | 1350 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 823 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 902 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 645 | 827 | 1350 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 645 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 823 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 890 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 | 1.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1350 | - | 743 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.012 | - | -.172 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 10.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.6 | - |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 107.4 | 2.5 | 0.5 |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 578 | - | 89 | 482 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.21 | - | 1.344 | 0.547 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.9 | -297.5 | 21.1 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | F | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.8 | - | 8.9 | 3.2 | - | - |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 28 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 0 | 50 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 0 | 56 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 84 | 31 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 31 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 53 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1595 | - | 923 | 1049 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 997 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 975 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1595 | - | 913 | 1049 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 913 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 997 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 964 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 3.3 | 8.6 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | A |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1049 | - | -1595 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.053 | - | - | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | - | - | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14：Strawberry Plains Pike \＆Interstate 40 On Ramp／Interstate 40 Off Ramp


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | \％${ }^{1}$ |  | 「 | \％ | 个4 |  |  | 性 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 136 | 210 | 405 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 584 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | －3\％ |  |  | 1\％ |  |  | －1\％ |  |


| Total Lost time（s） |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Util．Factor |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  |  | 2937 |  |  | 1591 | 1437 | 3326 | 3130 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  |  | 2937 |  |  | 1591 | 196 | 3326 | 3130 |  |  |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.77 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 164 | 221 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 758 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 24 | 221 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 956 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 21\％ | 100\％ | 3\％ | 25\％ | 8\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Prot |  | Prot | pm＋pt | NA |  |  | NA |  |


| Permitted Phases | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 10.9 | 10.9 | 49.0 | 54.0 | 40.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） |  | 10.9 | 10.9 | 49.0 | 54.0 | 40.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 0.53 |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 427 | 231 | 277 | 2397 | 1671 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | c0．08 | 0.01 | c0．10 | 0.14 | 0.31 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0．42 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.80 | 0.19 | 0.57 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 29.8 | 27.8 | 12.1 | 3.4 | 11.7 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 1.6 | 0.2 | 20.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Delay（s） |  | 31.4 | 28.0 | 33.0 | 3.6 | 13.1 |
| Level of Service |  | C | C | C | A | B |
| Approach Delay（s） | 0.0 |  |  |  | 13.2 | 13.1 |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  | B | B |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 16.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.75 |  | 15.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 74.9 | Sum of lost time（s） | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $61.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Brakebill Road/Neals Landing Road \& Asheville Highway
8/23/2020


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 34 | 27 | 48 | 241 | 200 | 41 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 3 | - | - | 3 | -2 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 55 | 55 | 75 | 91 | 77 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 9 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 62 | 49 | 64 | 265 | 260 | 48 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 677 | 284 | 308 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 284 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 393 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.09 | 6.68 | 4.18 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.09 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.09 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.581 | 3.462 | 2.272 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 364 | 702 | 1219 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 714 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 625 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 341 | 702 | 1219 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 341 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 714 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 586 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.9 | 1.6 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1219 | - | 441 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.053 | - | 0.251 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | 0 | 15.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | 1 | - | - |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.8 | 1.9 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1325 | -501 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.064 | - | 0.18 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | 0 | 13.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | 0.6 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | SBU | SBT | SBR |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 78 | 174 | 16 | 271 | 874 | 11 | 530 | 78 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 120 | 0 | - | 150 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | -3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | -2 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 89 | 32 | 82 | 92 | 63 | 94 | 59 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 100 | 196 | 50 | 330 | 950 | 17 | 564 | 132 |  |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  |  | ajor2 |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1901 | 348 | 892 | 696 | 0 | 694 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 665 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 1236 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.2 | 6.64 | 6.4 | 4.22 | - | 6.4 | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.32 | 2.5 | 2.26 | - | 2.5 | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 85$ | 667 | 396 | 870 | - | 528 | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 534 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 296 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 85$ | 667 | 691 | 691 | - | 528 | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | ~ 85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 534 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 296 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 90.2 | 4.7 | 0.3 |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 691 | - | 85 | 667 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.551 | - | 1.176 | 0.293 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 16.4 | - | 242 | 12.6 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | F | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 3.4 | - | 7.1 | 1.2 | - | - |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 4.5 | 8.6 |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1052 | - | -1597 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.037 | - | -0.038 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | - | - | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14：Strawberry Plains Pike \＆Interstate 40 On Ramp／Interstate 40 Off Ramp

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | ${ }^{17}$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 155 | 360 | 1026 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 283 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | －3\％ |  |  | 1\％ |  |  | －1\％ |  |
| Total Lost time（s） |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  |  |  | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  |  |  | 2539 |  | 1490 | 1618 | 3522 |  |  | 3250 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  |  |  | 2539 |  | 1490 | 471 | 3522 |  |  | 3250 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.83 | 0.86 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 189 | 480 | 1069 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 329 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 64 | 480 | 1069 | 0 | 0 | 725 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 40\％ | 50\％ | 10\％ | 11\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 4\％ | 7\％ |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Prot |  | Prot | pm＋pt | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  |  |  | 11.4 |  | 11.4 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  |  |  | 11.4 |  | 11.4 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.53 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  |  |  | 383 |  | 225 | 442 | 2522 |  |  | 1724 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | c0．09 |  | 0.04 | c0．13 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |  |  | 0.63 |  | 0.29 | 1.09 | 0.42 |  |  | 0.42 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  |  |  | 30.0 |  | 28.4 | 8.9 | 4.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  |  |  | 3.2 |  | 0.7 | 68.0 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.8 |  |
| Delay（s） |  |  |  | 33.2 |  | 29.1 | 76.8 | 4.9 |  |  | 11.1 |  |
| Level of Service |  |  |  | C |  | C | E | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  | 11.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 23.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.00 |  | 15.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 75.4 | Sum of lost time（s） | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $57.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

# Opening Year Traffic Conditions (With Project) with Preliminary New 

Traffic Signal Design

|  | * |  | 4 |  | 4 | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBU | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | \# | 中4 |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 67 | 245 | 80 | 461 | 55 | 833 | 49 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Grade (\%) | -3\% |  |  | 1\% |  | -2\% |  |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1832 | 1546 | 1648 | 3357 |  | 3387 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 1.00 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1832 | 1546 | 365 | 3357 |  | 3050 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.56 | 0.93 | 0.66 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.79 | 0.69 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 120 | 263 | 121 | 507 | 60 | 1054 | 71 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 120 | 149 | 121 | 507 | 0 | 1180 | 0 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 9\% | 7\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 12.2 | 12.2 | 57.8 | 57.8 |  | 57.8 |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 12.2 | 12.2 | 57.8 | 57.8 |  | 57.8 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.72 |  | 0.72 |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 279 | 235 | 263 | 2425 |  | 2203 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.07 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | c0.10 | 0.33 |  |  | c0.39 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 0.21 |  | 0.54 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 30.7 | 31.8 | 4.6 | 3.6 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.60 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 0.2 |  | 0.9 |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 31.8 | 37.4 | 9.6 | 2.4 |  | 6.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service | C | D | A | A |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 35.6 |  |  | 3.8 |  | 6.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 10.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |  |  | B |
|  |  |  | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 80.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) |  | 10.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 66.6\% |  | CU Level o | Service |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | - | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | $4{ }^{1 / 1}$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 44 |  |  | 中 $\psi^{\circ}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 136 | 210 | 405 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 584 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -1\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  |  |  | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.91 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |  |  | 2937 |  | 1591 | 2787 | 3326 |  |  | 3130 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.13 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |  |  | 2937 |  | 1591 | 380 | 3326 |  |  | 3130 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.77 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 164 | 221 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 758 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 23 | 221 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 958 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 100\% | 3\% | 25\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Prot |  | Prot | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  |  |  | 11.2 |  | 11.2 | 53.8 | 58.8 |  |  | 43.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  |  |  | 11.2 |  | 11.2 | 53.8 | 58.8 |  |  | 43.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.67 | 0.73 |  |  | 0.54 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  |  |  | 411 |  | 222 | 580 | 2444 |  |  | 1682 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | c0.08 |  | 0.01 | c0.05 | 0.14 |  |  | c0.31 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  | 0.58 |  | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.19 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  |  |  | 32.2 |  | 30.0 | 7.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  |  |  | 2.1 |  | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Delay (s) |  |  |  | 34.3 |  | 30.2 | 9.4 | 3.4 |  |  | 6.8 |  |
| Level of Service |  |  |  | C |  | C | A | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 32.6 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  | 6.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 10.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.54 |  | 15.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 80.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $55.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |



| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 7.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.70 |  | 10.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 80.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $64.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14：Strawberry Plains Pike \＆Interstate 40 On Ramp／Interstate 40 Off Ramp

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | 4 |  | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 中4 |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 155 | 360 | 1026 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 283 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | －3\％ |  |  | 1\％ |  |  | －1\％ |  |
| Total Lost time（s） |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  |  |  | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  |  |  | 2539 |  | 1490 | 3139 | 3522 |  |  | 3250 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.26 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  |  |  | 2539 |  | 1490 | 871 | 3522 |  |  | 3250 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.83 | 0.86 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 189 | 480 | 1069 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 329 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 60 | 480 | 1069 | 0 | 0 | 727 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 40\％ | 50\％ | 10\％ | 11\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 4\％ | 7\％ |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Prot |  | Prot | pm＋pt | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  |  |  | 11.7 |  | 11.7 | 53.3 | 58.3 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  |  |  | 11.7 |  | 11.7 | 53.3 | 58.3 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.67 | 0.73 |  |  | 0.50 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  |  |  | 371 |  | 217 | 957 | 2566 |  |  | 1625 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | c0．09 |  | 0.04 | c0．08 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |  |  | 0.65 |  | 0.28 | 0.50 | 0.42 |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  |  |  | 32.2 |  | 30.4 | 6.0 | 4.2 |  |  | 12.9 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.81 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  |  |  | 3.9 |  | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.9 |  |
| Delay（s） |  |  |  | 36.1 |  | 31.1 | 7.9 | 4.7 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Level of Service |  |  |  | D |  | C | A | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 33.9 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 11.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.53 |  | 15.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 80.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $48.1 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

APPENDIX H ITE Trip Generation Rates

# Land Use: 210 Single-Family Detached Housing 

## Description

Single-family detached housing includes all single-family detached homes on individual lots. A typical site surveyed is a suburban subdivision.

## Additional Data

The number of vehicles and residents had a high correlation with average weekday vehicle trip ends. The use of these variables was limited, however, because the number of vehicles and residents was often difficult to obtain or predict. The number of dwelling units was generally used as the independent variable of choice because it was usually readily available, easy to project, and had a high correlation with average weekday vehicle trip ends.

This land use included data from a wide variety of units with different sizes, price ranges, locations, and ages. Consequently, there was a wide variation in trips generated within this category. Other factors, such as geographic location and type of adjacent and nearby development, may also have had an effect on the site trip generation.

Single-family detached units had the highest trip generation rate per dwelling unit of all residential uses because they were the largest units in size and had more residents and more vehicles per unit than other residential land uses; they were generally located farther away from shopping centers, employment areas, and other trip attractors than other residential land uses; and they generally had fewer alternative modes of transportation available because they were typically not as concentrated as other residential land uses.

Time-of-day distribution data for this land use are presented in Appendix A. For the six general urban/suburban sites with data, the overall highest vehicle volumes during the AM and PM on a weekday were counted between 7:15 and 8:15 a.m. and 4:00 and 5:00 p.m., respectively. For the two sites with Saturday data, the overall highest vehicle volume was counted between 3:00 and 4:00 p.m. For the one site with Sunday data, the overall highest vehicle volume was counted between 10:15 and 11:15 a.m.

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Montana, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, and Virginia.

## Source Numbers

$100,105,114,126,157,167,177,197,207,211,217,267,275,293,300,319,320,356,357,367$, $384,387,407,435,522,550,552,579,598,601,603,614,637,711,716,720,728,735,868,903$, 925, 936

## Single-Family Detached Housing

(210)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> Ona: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:
159
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 264
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit
Average Rate
9.44
Range of Rates
Standard Deviation
2.10

Data Plot and Equation


## Single-Family Detached Housing

 (210)Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>Ona: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.<br>Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 173<br>Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 219<br>Directional Distribution: $25 \%$ entering, $75 \%$ exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate
0.74

Range of Rates
$0.33 \cdot 2.27$

Standard Deviation
0.27

Data Plot and Equation


# Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210) 

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 190
Avg. Num of Dwelling Units: 242
Directional Distribution: $63 \%$ entering, $37 \%$ exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.99 | $0.44-2.98$ | 0.31 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Local Apartment Trip Generation Study 

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 13
Average Number of Dwelling Units: 193
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Trip Generation Per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Ranges of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.03 | $6.59-17.41$ | 2.47 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Local Apartment Trip Generation Study

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.<br>Number of Studies: 13<br>Average Number of Dwelling Units: 193<br>Directional Distribution: $\quad 22 \%$ entering, $78 \%$ exiting

Trip Generation Per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Ranges of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.55 | $0.14-0.78$ | 0.18 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Local Apartment Trip Generation Study

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.<br>Number of Studies:<br>Average Number of Dwelling Units:<br>193<br>Directional Distribution:<br>$55 \%$ entering, $45 \%$ exiting

Trip Generation Per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Ranges of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.72 | $0.32-1.66$ | 0.25 |

Data Plot and Equation


## TRIP GENERATION FOR BRAKEBILL ROAD SUBDIVISION

227 Single-Family Detached Houses and 95 Single-Family Attached Houses

| ITE LAND USE CODE | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAND USE } \\ \text { DESCRIPTION } \end{gathered}$ | UNITS | GENERATED DAILY TRAFFIC | GENERATED <br> TRAFFIC <br> AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | GENERATED <br> TRAFFIC <br> PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| \#210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 227 Houses | 2,211 | 25\% | 75\% |  | 63\% | 37\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41 | 125 | 166 | 141 | 83 | 224 |
| Local Trip <br> Rate | Single-Family Attached Housing | 95 Houses | 912 | 22\% | 78\% |  | 55\% | 45\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 11 | 40 | 51 | 41 | 33 | 74 |
| Total New Volume Site Trips |  |  | 3,123 | 52 | 165 | 217 | 182 | 116 | 298 |

ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition and Local Trip Rates
Trips calculated by using Fitted Curve Equation

## TRIP GENERATION FOR BRAKEBILL ROAD SUBDIVISION

## 227 Single-Family Detached Houses

$$
227 \text { Residential Houses = X }
$$

## Weekday:

Fitted Curve Equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ln}(T) & =0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.71 \\
\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{~T}) & =0.92 \quad * 5.42 \quad+2.71 \\
\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{~T}) & =7.70 \\
\mathrm{~T} & =2,211 \text { trips }
\end{aligned}
$$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Traffic between 7 and 9 am:

Fitted Curve Equation: $\quad T=0.71(X)+4.80$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{T}= & 0.71 * 227 & +4.80 \\
\mathrm{~T}= & \mathbf{1 6 6} \text { trips }
\end{array}
$$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Traffic between 4 and 6 pm:

Fitted Curve Equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ln}(T)= & 0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.2 \\
\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{~T}) & =00.96 * 5.42+0.20 \\
\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{~T}) & =0.41 \\
\mathrm{~T} & =224 \text { trips }
\end{aligned}
$$

## TRIP GENERATION FOR BRAKEBILL ROAD SUBDIVISION

## 95 Single-Family Attached Houses

$$
95 \text { Residential Houses = X }
$$

## Weekday:

Fitted Curve Equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}=15.193(\mathrm{X})^{0.899} \\
& \mathrm{~T}= \\
& \mathrm{T}= \\
& \mathrm{T}= \\
& \hline \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Traffic between 7 and 9 am:

Fitted Curve Equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}=0.758(\mathrm{X})^{0.924} \\
& \mathrm{~T}= \\
& \mathrm{T}= \\
& \mathrm{T}= \\
& \hline \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Traffic between 4 and $6 \mathrm{pm}:$

Fitted Curve Equation: $\quad \mathrm{T}=0.669(\mathrm{X})+10.069$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{T}= & 0.669 * & 95 \quad+10.07 \\
\mathrm{~T}= & 74 \text { trips }
\end{array}
$$

## APPENDIX I

Trip Distribution Spreadsheet Calculations

|  | TOTAL DEVELOPMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Enter | EXIT |
| \# OF TOTAL TRIPS | 52 | 165 |

ENTER \% BY DIRECTION
$40 \%$ NB
$60 \%$ s
$60 \%$ SB $\qquad$ EXIT \% BY DIRECTION $40 \%$ NB $\qquad$ $60 \%$ SB $\qquad$

|  | \% SPLIT BY ENTRANCE (BASED ON LOT DISTRIBUTION) |  |  |  |  | \% SPLIT BY ENTRANCE (BASED ON LOT DISTRIBUTION) |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70\% at Road "A" |  | $30 \%$ at Road "B" |  | TOTAL | 70\% at Road "A" |  | 30\% at Road "B" |  |  |
|  | NB | SB | WB | EB |  | NB | SB | WB | EB |  |
|  | 28\% | 42\% | 30\% | 0\% | 100\% | 28\% | 42\% | 30\% | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | Brakebill Rd/Road A | Brakebill Rd/Road A | ENTER <br> Hammer Rd/Road B | Hammer Rd/Road B | TOTAL | Brakebill Rd/Road A | Brakebill Rd/Road A | $\underset{\text { Exit }}{\text { Hammer Rd/Road B }}$ | Hammer Rd/Road B | TOTAL |
|  | NB LEFT | SB RIGHT | WB LEFT | EB RIGHT |  | EB LEFT | EB RIGHT | NB LEFT | NB RIGHT |  |
| VoL's | 15 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 46 | 69 | 0 | 50 | 165 |
| \%'s | 28\% | 42\% | 30\% | 0\% | 100\% | 28\% | 42\% | 0\% | 30\% | 100\% |


| THRU MOVEMENTS AT BRAKEBILL ROAD AT ROAD "A" |  |  | enter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BRAKEBILL NB THRU at ROAD "A" |  |
|  | vol's | 6 |  |
|  | \% | 12\% |  |
|  |  | BRAKEBILL SB THRU | BRAKEBIIL SB THRU |
|  |  | AT ROAD "A" | AT HAMMER (ENTERING AT ROAD "A") |
|  | vol's | 0 | 22 |
|  | \% | 0\% | 42\% |



| AM | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROJECTED } \\ \text { \%'s } \end{gathered}$ |  | PROJECTED TRIPS |  | PROJECTED MOVEMENT \%'s | AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENTER | EXIT | ENTER | Exit |  |  |
| TOTAL TRIPS |  |  | 52 | 165 |  |  |
| TO/FROM ASHEVILLE HIGHWAY | 60\% | 40\% | 31 | 66 |  |  |
| to/From strawberry plains | 40\% | 60\% | 21 | 99 |  |  |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 52 | 165 |  |  |
|  | EXISTING <br> TURNING \%'s |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASHEVILLE/BRAKEBILL INTERSECTION: |  |  |  |  |  | HAMMER/BRAKEBILL INTERSECTION: |
| ENTER FROM ASHEVILLE HWY Wb LT | 70.0\%$30.0 \%$ |  | 22 |  | 42\% | enter from brakebill nb lt |
| ENTER FROM ASHEVILLE HWY EB RT |  |  | 9 |  | 18\% | enter from brakebill sb rt |
| EXIT TO ASHEVILLE HWY NB LT EXIT TO ASHEVILLE HWY NB RT | $55.0 \%$ |  |  | 36 | 22\% | EXIT TO BRAKEBILL EB LT |
|  |  |  |  | 30 | 18\% |  |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 31 | 66 | 100\% |  |
| STRAW. PLAINS/BRAKEBILL INTERSECTION: |  |  |  |  |  | STRAW. PLAINS/I-40 RAMPS INTERSECTION: |
| enter from straw. plains nb lt ENTER FROM STRAW. PLAINS SB RT | 61.5\% |  | 13 |  | 25\% | ENTER FROM I-40 WB RT Enter from straw. plains nb thru |
|  | 38.5\% |  | 8 |  | 15\% |  |
| EXIT TO STRAW. PLAINS EB LT |  |  |  | 21 | 13\% | EXIT To Straw. Plains sb thru |
| EXIT TO STRAW. PLAINS EB RT | 21.5\%$78.5 \%$ |  |  | 78 | 47\% |  |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 21 | 99 | 100\% |  |
|  |  |  | 52 | 165 |  |  |


| ENTER | EXIT | PROJECTEDTRIPS |  | PROJECTED MOVEMENT \%'s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ENTER | ExIT |  |
| EXISTING TURNING \%'s |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45.0 \% \\ & 55.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.5 \% \\ & 36.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 6 |  | 12\% |
|  |  | 9 |  | 18\% |
|  |  |  | 20 | 12\% |
|  |  |  | 30 | 18\% |
| 100\% 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \% \\ & 75.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0 \% \\ & 45.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  | 6\% |
|  |  | 10 |  | 19\% |
|  |  |  | 43 | 26\% |
|  |  |  | 35 | 21\% |
| 100\% 100\% |  |  |  |  |


|  | TOTAL DeVELOPMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PM |  |
| \# OF TOTAL TRIPS |  |  |
|  | ENTER $^{\text {EXIT }}$ | 182 |
|  | 116 |  |

ENTER \% BY DIRECTION
0\% NB $\qquad$
$40 \%$ SB $\qquad$ EXIT \% BY DIRECTION 0\% NB $40 \%$ SB


## APPENDIX J

## Spot Speed Study

SPOT SPEED STUDY

Location: Brakebill Road at Hammer Road Posted Speed Limit: $\quad 30 \mathrm{mph}$

Equipment: Bushnell Speedster III Radar Speed Gun
Direction: Northbound and Southbound

## Date: 3/20/18

Weather: Overcast/Windy
Time: 4:00 PM
Pavement Conditions: Dry

| Vehicle \# | Speed <br> (mph) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 39 |
| 2 | 44 |
| 3 | 43 |
| 4 | 46 |
| 5 | 46 |
| 6 | 45 |
| 7 | 51 |
| 8 | 45 |
| 9 | 39 |
| 10 | 40 |
| 11 | 42 |
| 12 | 42 |
| 13 | 38 |
| 14 | 42 |
| 15 | 34 |
| 16 | 44 |
| 17 | 39 |
| 18 | 42 |
| 19 | 41 |
| 20 | 43 |
| 21 | 39 |
| 22 | 40 |
| 23 | 37 |
| 24 | 41 |
| 25 | 38 |

Average speed $=$ 50th percentile speed $=$
85th percentile speed $=$

| Vehicle \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 40 |
| 27 | 44 |
| 28 | 40 |
| 29 | 49 |
| 30 | 37 |
| 31 | 46 |
| 32 | 46 |
| 33 | 40 |
| 34 | 41 |
| 35 | 37 |
| 36 | 42 |
| 37 | 44 |
| 38 | 38 |
| 39 | 45 |
| 40 | 40 |
| 41 | 42 |
| 42 | 41 |
| 43 | 36 |
| 44 | 42 |
| 45 | 42 |
| 46 | 44 |
| 47 | 39 |
| 48 | 42 |
| 49 | 46 |
| 50 | 41 |

41.7 mph
42.0 mph
45.0 mph

| Sample Size Re$\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{S} * \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{E})^{2}$ | airements (ITE Manual of Transportation Engineering Studies) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N  <br> S $=$ minimum number of measured speeds <br> K $=$ estimated sample standard deviation (mph) <br> E $=$ permitted error in the average speed estimate (mph) <br> $\mathrm{S}=$ 5 mph (Table 3-2, page 38) <br> $\mathrm{K}=$ 2.58 (Confidence level of 99\% - Table 3-3, page 38) <br> $\mathrm{E}=$ 2 mph assumed error range <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ $42 \quad$ observations needed |  |  |
| where: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Therefore, |  |  |  |



SPOT SPEED STUDY

Location: Brakebill Road at Proposed Entrance (adjacent to Hindu temple)
Posted Speed Limit: $\quad 30 \mathrm{mph}$
Equipment: Bushnell Speedster III Radar Speed Gun
Direction: Northbound and Southbound

## Date: 3/20/18

Weather: Overcast/Windy
Time: 4:30 PM
Pavement Conditions: D
36.2 mph
36.0 mph
40.0 mph

| Sample Size Requirements (ITE Manual of Transportation Engineering Studies) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{S} * \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{E})^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| where: | N | mini | $m$ number of measur |
|  |  | stim | d sample standard d |
|  |  | ons | t corresponding to de |
|  |  | perm | ed error in the average |
|  | $\mathrm{S}=$ |  | mph (Table 3-2, page |
|  | $\mathrm{K}=$ |  | (Confidence level of |
|  | $\mathrm{E}=$ |  | mph assumed error ra |
| Therefore, | $\mathrm{N}=$ | 42 | observations needed |



| Vehicle \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 39 |
| 27 | 36 |
| 28 | 40 |
| 29 | 44 |
| 30 | 43 |
| 31 | 40 |
| 32 | 30 |
| 33 | 34 |
| 34 | 34 |
| 35 | 37 |
| 36 | 35 |
| 37 | 35 |
| 38 | 37 |
| 39 | 39 |
| 40 | 32 |
| 41 | 26 |
| 42 | 31 |
| 43 | 37 |
| 44 | 29 |
| 45 | 36 |
| 46 | 35 |
| 47 | 44 |
| 48 | 38 |
| 49 | 39 |
| 50 | 39 |

Average speed $=$
Average speed $=$
50th percentile speed $=$
85th percentile speed $=$

| Vehicle \# | Speed <br> (mph) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 43 |
| 2 | 35 |
| 3 | 35 |
| 4 | 38 |
| 5 | 38 |
| 6 | 39 |
| 7 | 31 |
| 8 | 29 |
| 9 | 32 |
| 10 | 33 |
| 11 | 31 |
| 12 | 33 |
| 13 | 31 |
| 14 | 43 |
| 15 | 38 |
| 16 | 36 |
| 17 | 44 |
| 18 | 37 |
| 19 | 36 |
| 20 | 35 |
| 21 | 36 |
| 22 | 34 |
| 23 | 37 |
| 24 | 43 |
| 25 | 36 |

## APPENDIX K

Knox County Turn Lane Volume Threshold Worksheets

TABLE 5A
LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)


| $\begin{gathered} \text { OPPOSING } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-599 | $=f>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 400-449 \\ 450-499 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 600-649 \\ 650-699 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| $\begin{gathered} \text { RIGHT-TURN } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ \hline 25.49 \\ 50.99 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathbf{H}^{2}$ | oad at <br> Road |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 200-249 \\ 250-299 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | AM $\mathrm{ns}=19$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  | Right | NOT | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes | $\underbrace{}_{\text {Yes }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+I>600$ |
| Fewer Than 25 $\begin{aligned} & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  | * |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes * | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

TABLE 5A
LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

| OPPOSING | THI | VOLUM | S RIG | TURN | OLUME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOLUME $-41+200=241$ | 100-149 | 150-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| 100-149 | 250 | 180 | 140 | 110 | 80 | 70 |
| 150-199 | 200 | 140 | 145 | 90 | 70 | 60 |
| 200-249 | 160 | 115 | 85 | 75 | 65 | 55 |
| 250-299 | 130 | 100 | 75 | 65 | 60 | 50 |
|  | $110$ |  |  | 60 | 55 | 45 |
| $350-399$ | $100$ |  |  | 55 | 50 | 40 |
| 400-449 | 90 | \} 2025 Projected PM $\left\{\begin{array}{l}60 \\ 55\end{array}\right.$ |  | 50 | 45 | 35 |
| 450-499 | 80 | $2025 \text { Projected PM }\{55$ |  | 45 | 40 | 30 |
| 500-549 | 70 | \} NB Left Turns $=48$ |  | 35 | 35 | 25 |
| 550-599 | 65 | Left Turn Lane NOT |  | 35 | 30 | 25 |
| 600-649 | 60 | $\text { Warranted }\left\{\begin{array}{l} 35 \\ 35 \end{array}\right.$ |  | 30 | 25 | 25 |
| 650-699 | 55 |  |  | 30 | 25 | 20 |
| 700-749 | 50 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 |
| 750 or More | 45 | 35 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 |


| OPPOSING <br> VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $350-399$ | $400-49$ | $450-499$ | $500-549$ | $550-599$ | $=f>600$ |
| $100-149$ | 70 | 60 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 |
| $150-199$ | 60 | 55 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 |
| $200-249$ | 55 | 50 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 30 |
| $250-299$ | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| $300-349$ | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 |
| $350-399$ | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 |
| $400-449$ | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 |
| $450-499$ | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| $500-549$ | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 |
| $550-599$ | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 |
| $600-649$ | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 |
| $650-699$ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 |
| $700-749$ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 750 or More | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

TABLE 5B
RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ \hline 25.49 \\ 50.99 \end{array}$ |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | Hammer Road at Brakebill Road <br> 2025 Projected PM SB Right Turns $=41$ <br> Right Turn Lane NOT Warranted |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+I>600$ |
| Fewer Than 25 $\begin{aligned} & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  | * |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes * | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

TABLE 5A
LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)


| $\begin{gathered} \text { OPPOSING } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-599 | $=f>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 600-649 \\ 650-699 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH

| $\begin{gathered} \text { RIGHT-TURN } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<100$ | 100-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| Fewer Than 25 <br> $25-49$ <br> $50-99$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Road | akebill |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 200-249 \\ 250-299 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\} \begin{gathered} 202 \\ \\ \\ \hline B B R \end{gathered}$ | AM $\mathrm{ns}=22$ |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ |  | \% Rig | NOT | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes | $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {Yes }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+I>600$ |
| Fewer Than 25 $\begin{aligned} & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  | * |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes * | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.


## TABLE 5A

LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH
(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)


| $\begin{gathered} \text { OPPOSING } \\ \text { VOLUME } \end{gathered}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-599 | $=f>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-649 \\ & 650-659 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists


## TABLE 5B

RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 36 TO 45 MPH


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400-449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+I>600$ |
| Fewer Than 25 $\begin{aligned} & 25-49 \\ & 50-99 \end{aligned}$ |  | * |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yes * | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Yes |

* Or through volume only if a left-turn lane exists.

TABLE 4A

## LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAMLING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

(If the Ieft-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPPOSING } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 100-149 | 150-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| 100-149 | 300 | 235 | 185 | 145 | 120 | 100 |
| 150-199 | 245 | 200 | 160 | 130 | 110 | 90 |
| 200-249 | 205 |  |  | 115 | 100 | 80 |
| 250-299 | 175 |  |  | 105 | 90 | 70 |
| 300-349 | 155 | Hammer Road |  | 95 | So | 65 |
| 350-399 | 135 | 2025 Projected AM |  | 85 | 70 | 60 |
| 400-449 | 120 | WB Left Turns $=15$ |  | 75 | 65 | 55 |
| 450-499 | 105 |  |  | 70 | 60 | 50 |
| 500-549 | 95 | Left Turn Lane NOTWarrantedN |  | 65 | 55 | 50 |
| 550-5ツ) | 85 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 45 |
| 6(0) - 649 | 75 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 45 | 40 |
| 650-699 | 70 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 40 | 35 |
| 700-749 | 65 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 |
| 750 or More | 60 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPPOSING } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | THROUGI VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | $4(0)-449$ | 450-499 | 510-549 | 550-599 | $=1>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -460 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-649 \\ & 650-609 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 30 30 |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

TABLE 4B
RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400.449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+1>600$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 309-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y'es } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only ir a left-turn lane exists.

TABLE 4A

## LEFT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAMLING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS

(If the left-turn volume exceeds the table value a left -turn lane is needed)

| OPPOSING VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V 100-149 | 150-199 | 200-249 | 250-299 | 300-349 | 350-399 |
| 100-149 | 300 | 235 | 185 | 145 | 120 | 100 |
| 150-199 | 245 | 200 | 160 | 130 | 110 | 90 |
| 200-249 | 205 |  |  | 115 | 100 | 80 |
| 250-299 | 175 |  |  | 105 | 90 | 70 |
| 300-349 | 155 | Hammer Road |  | 95 | So | 65 |
| 350-399 | 135 | 2025 Projected PM |  | 85 | 70 | 60 |
| 400-449 | 120 | WB Left Turns $=55$ |  | 75 | 65 | 55 |
| 450-499 | 105 |  |  | 70 | 60 | 50 |
| 500) - 549 | 95 | Left Turn Lane NOT Warranted |  | 65 | 55 | 50 |
| 550-5ツ) | 85 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 45 |
| 6(0) - 649 | 75 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 45 | 40 |
| 650-699 | 70 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 40 | 35 |
| 700-749 | 65 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 |
| 750 or More | 60 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPPOSING } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | THROUGI VOLUME PLUS RIGHT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | $4(0)-449$ | 450-499 | 510-549 | 550-599 | $=1>600$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -460 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 300-349 \\ & 350-399 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 600-649 \\ & 650-609 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 700-749 \\ 750 \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 30 30 |

* Or through volume only if a right-turn lane exists.

TABLE 4B
RIGHT-TURN LANE VOLUME THRESHOLDS
FOR TWO-LANE ROADWAYS WITH A PREVAILING SPEED OF 35 MPH OR LESS


| RIGHT-TURN VOLUME | THROUGH VOLUME PLUS LEFT-TURN VOLUME * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350-399 | 400.449 | 450-499 | 500-549 | 550-600 | $+1>600$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fewer Than } 25 \\ \begin{array}{l} 25-49 \\ 50-99 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100-149 \\ & 150-199 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200-249 \\ & 250-209 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 309-349 \\ & 350-399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y'es } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400-449 \\ & 450-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500-549 \\ & 550-599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 or More | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

* Or through volume only ir a left-turn lane exists.


## APPENDIX L

TDOT Statewide Crash Rates \& Spreadsheet Calculations

## Tennessee Department of Transportation <br> Statewide Average Crash Rates for Sections and Spots

Study: OFFICIAL HSIP STUDY 2017-2019
Begin Date: 1/1/2017 End Date: 12/31/2019

| Route Type | Rural / <br> Urban | Location Type | Highway Type | Fatal Rate | Incap. Rate | Other Inj. Rate | Pd. <br> Rate | Total Rate | Severe Crash Rate | Total Veh. Miles (in millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstates and State Routes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.024 | 0.098 | 0.365 | 1.101 | 1.588 | 0.122 | 23,617 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.010 | 0.057 | 0.356 | 1.464 | 1.888 | 0.068 | 679 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.025 | 0.065 | 0.284 | 1.201 | 1.576 | 0.090 | 444 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.014 | 0.038 | 0.159 | 0.489 | 0.701 | 0.052 | 8,016 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.012 | 0.040 | 0.185 | 0.609 | 0.846 | 0.052 | 2,916 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Section | FREEWAY | 0.006 | 0.023 | 0.109 | 0.478 | 0.616 | 0.029 | 26,587 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.007 | 0.028 | 0.104 | 0.314 | 0.453 | 0.034 | 85,207 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.065 | 0.271 | 0.348 | 0.012 | 3,895 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.050 | 0.215 | 0.281 | 0.017 | 2,709 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.042 | 0.132 | 0.187 | 0.014 | 31,111 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.044 | 0.147 | 0.203 | 0.013 | 12,532 |
| IS \& SR | Rural | Spot | FREEWAY | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.017 | 0.073 | 0.094 | 0.004 | 175,586 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Section | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.014 | 0.070 | 0.525 | 1.900 | 2.507 | 0.083 | 10,910 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Section | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.009 | 0.059 | 0.504 | 2.115 | 2.688 | 0.068 | 1,840 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Section | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.016 | 0.074 | 0.770 | 3.125 | 3.985 | 0.090 | 3,114 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Section | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.009 | 0.039 | 0.383 | 1.545 | 1.975 | 0.048 | 18,301 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Section | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.013 | 0.060 | 0.667 | 2.483 | 3.223 | 0.073 | 14,936 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Section | FREEWAY | 0.005 | 0.020 | 0.246 | 0.951 | 1.222 | 0.025 | 54,658 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.002 | 0.011 | 0.081 | 0.306 | 0.399 | 0.013 | 78,605 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.068 | 0.286 | 0.363 | 0.009 | 14,669 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Spot | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.087 | 0.369 | 0.465 | 0.009 | 40,684 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Spot | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.055 | 0.224 | 0.286 | 0.007 | 142,804 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Spot | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.079 | 0.295 | 0.382 | 0.008 | 133,134 |
| IS \& SR | Urban | Spot | FREEWAY | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.112 | 0.143 | 0.003 | 468,886 |

Note: Section rates are crashes per million vehicle miles.
Note: Spot rates are crashes per million vehicles. Spots are sections of roadway less than or equal to .10 mile.
Note: Severe crash rates are the sum of rates for fatal and incapacitating injury crashes.

## Tennessee Department of Transportation <br> Statewide Average Crash Rates for Sections and Spots

Study: OFFICIAL HSIP STUDY 2017-2019
Begin Date: 1/1/2017 End Date: 12/31/2019

| Route Type | Rural / <br> Urban | Location Type | Highway Type | Fatal Rate | Incap. Rate | Other Inj. Rate | Pd. Rate | Total Rate | Severe Crash Rate | Total Veh. Miles (in millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Functionally Classified Local Roads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.031 | 0.129 | 0.566 | 1.831 | 2.556 | 0.160 | 7,535 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.000 | 0.048 | 0.145 | 1.402 | 1.596 | 0.048 | 41 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.000 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 1.880 | 2.417 | 0.269 | 4 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Section | FREEWAY | 0.000 | 1.224 | 0.000 | 7.345 | 8.569 | 1.224 | 1 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.014 | 0.060 | 0.261 | 0.846 | 1.180 | 0.074 | 16,401 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.029 | 0.303 | 0.340 | 0.008 | 241 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.000 | 0.045 | 0.134 | 0.448 | 0.627 | 0.045 | 22 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.268 | 1.268 | 0.000 | 2 |
| FUNCT. | Rural | Spot | FREEWAY | 0.000 | 0.089 | 0.000 | 0.716 | 0.805 | 0.089 | 11 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Section | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.012 | 0.070 | 0.697 | 2.568 | 3.346 | 0.082 | 16,913 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Section | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.006 | 0.050 | 0.695 | 2.711 | 3.461 | 0.056 | 1,798 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Section | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.014 | 0.057 | 0.831 | 3.066 | 3.968 | 0.071 | 3,109 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Section | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.009 | 0.037 | 0.527 | 2.426 | 3.000 | 0.046 | 4,146 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Section | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.689 | 2.565 | 3.314 | 0.060 | 5,225 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Section | FREEWAY | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.325 | 1.328 | 1.681 | 0.028 | 530 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.123 | 0.466 | 0.603 | 0.014 | 102,332 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.093 | 0.374 | 0.475 | 0.008 | 14,001 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Spot | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.102 | 0.401 | 0.511 | 0.009 | 29,839 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Spot | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.073 | 0.337 | 0.417 | 0.006 | 35,459 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Spot | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.085 | 0.322 | 0.414 | 0.007 | 44,753 |
| FUNCT. | Urban | Spot | FREEWAY | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.045 | 0.185 | 0.234 | 0.003 | 4,706 |

## Tennessee Department of Transportation <br> Statewide Average Crash Rates for Sections and Spots

Study: OFFICIAL HSIP STUDY 2017-2019
Begin Date: 1/1/2017 End Date: 12/31/2019

| Route Type | Rural / <br> Urban | Location Type | Highway Type | Fatal <br> Rate | Incap. Rate | Other Inj. Rate | Pd. <br> Rate | Total Rate | Severe Crash Rate | Total Veh. Miles (in millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Risk Rural Roads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.026 | 0.119 | 0.461 | 1.396 | 2.002 | 0.144 | 8,226 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.008 | 0.078 | 0.227 | 1.851 | 2.163 | 0.086 | 128 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.471 | 1.547 | 2.018 | 0.000 | 15 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.000 | 0.148 | 0.702 | 1.663 | 2.514 | 0.148 | 27 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.000 | 0.104 | 0.225 | 1.106 | 1.435 | 0.104 | 58 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Section | FREEWAY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.235 | 0.471 | 0.706 | 0.000 | 4 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.009 | 0.042 | 0.162 | 0.491 | 0.703 | 0.050 | 23,673 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.046 | 0.362 | 0.423 | 0.016 | 697 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.066 | 0.283 | 0.358 | 0.009 | 106 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.132 | 0.427 | 0.583 | 0.025 | 159 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.039 | 0.214 | 0.273 | 0.021 | 336 |
| MAJOR COL. | Rural | Spot | FREEWAY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.082 | 0.164 | 0.247 | 0.000 | 12 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.032 | 0.130 | 0.551 | 1.811 | 2.524 | 0.162 | 6,815 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Section | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.140 | 1.228 | 1.396 | 0.028 | 36 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.000 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 1.880 | 2.417 | 0.269 | 4 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Section | 4 OR MORE W TL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Section | FREEWAY | 0.000 | 2.536 | 0.000 | 10.144 | 12.680 | 2.536 | 0 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN | 0.016 | 0.065 | 0.274 | 0.903 | 1.258 | 0.081 | 13,735 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Spot | 2 OR 3 LN W/TL | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.028 | 0.272 | 0.305 | 0.005 | 213 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE UNDIV | 0.000 | 0.045 | 0.134 | 0.448 | 0.627 | 0.045 | 22 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Spot | 4 OR MORE DIV | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.268 | 1.268 | 0.000 | 2 |
| MIN COL. | Rural | Spot | FREEWAY | 0.000 | 0.175 | 0.000 | 1.048 | 1.222 | 0.175 | 6 |

## Tennessee Department of Transportation <br> Statewide Intersection Crash Rates

Study: OFFICIAL HSIP STUDY 2017-2019
Begin Date: 1/1/2017 End Date: 12/31/2019

| Rural |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Multi-Lane |  |  |
|  | 2 Lane $\quad \mathbf{L n} \mathbf{w} /$ Uurn |  |  |
| Univided | Divided Turn Lane |  |  |


| Urban |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Multi-Lane |  |  |
| 2 Lane | $\mathbf{2}$ Ln w/Turn | Univided | Divided | Turn Lane |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.562 | 0.483 | 0.611 | 0.555 | 0.479 |
| 0.145 | 0.116 | 0.171 | 0.162 | 0.150 |
| 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4 0}$ |

Full Stop Intersections

| Non-injury | 0.330 | 0.000 | 0.185 | 0.671 | 1.734 | 0.468 | 0.710 | 0.105 | 0.574 | 0.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injury | 0.086 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.268 | 0.447 | 0.115 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 0.000 |
| Incap Inj | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.000 |
| Fatal | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-injury | 0.081 | 0.078 | 0.085 | 0.050 | 0.044 | 0.118 | 0.096 | 0.133 | 0.090 | 0.083 |
| Injury | 0.030 | 0.018 | 0.031 | 0.026 | 0.016 | 0.033 | 0.025 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.025 |
| Incap Inj | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| Fatal | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 0}$ |

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


* Severe Crashes are the sum of fatal and incapacitating injury crashes

| Strawberry Intersection | Knox County Plains Pike at B | kebill Ro | LM 8.803 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 Crashes | 2017-2020 | Actual | - 0.828 Acc/MVM |
| State Average A/S Ratio | - 0.119 Acc/MVM $=6.96$ | Critical A/C Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & -0.409 \mathrm{Acc} / \mathrm{MVM} \\ & =2.03 \end{aligned}$ |

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


* Severe Crashes are the sum of fatal and incapacitating injury crashes

| Brakebill Road, Knox County <br> Intersection (Hammer Road at Brakebill Road) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2017-2020 | Actu | - 0.446 Acc/MVM |
| State Average | - 0.118 Acc/MVM | Critical | - 0.607 Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=3.78$ | A/C Ratio | $=0.73$ |

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


Brakebill Road, Knox County LM 0.000
Section 1 (Asheville Highway - Hammer Road)

| 15 Crashes | $-2017-2019$ | Actual | -5.664 Acc/MVM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Average | -2.002 Acc/MVM | Critical | -4.214 Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=2.83$ | A/C Ratio | $=1.34$ |

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


Brakebill Road, Knox County $\quad$ LM 0.000
Section 2 (Hammer Road - Strawberry Plains Pike)

| 10 Crashes | $-2017-2019$ | Actual | -3.417 Acc/MVM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Average | -2.002 Acc/MVM | Critical | -4.098 Acc/MVM |
| A/S Ratio | $=1.71$ | A/C Ratio | $=0.83$ |

APPENDIX M

SimTraffic Queuing and Blocking Reports

Intersection: 21: Brakebill Road \& Road "A"

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 70 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 37 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 60 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 217 | 356 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Brakebill Road \& Road "A"

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 33 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 49 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 217 | 356 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Brakebill Road

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | UL | T | T | UT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 103 | 124 | 179 | 230 | 220 | 155 | 164 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 53 | 109 | 62 | 48 | 46 | 47 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 83 | 96 | 175 | 194 | 151 | 110 | 112 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 248 |  | 180 | 180 | 461 | 461 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 15 | 9 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 120 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | L | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 223 | 260 | 128 | 187 | 243 | 252 | 178 | 172 | 187 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 64 | 149 | 8 | 34 | 118 | 87 | 56 | 92 | 118 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 196 | 243 | 75 | 131 | 202 | 181 | 126 | 151 | 188 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 358 |  |  | 451 | 451 | 180 | 180 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 | 225 |  | 190 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 52

Intersection: 10: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Brakebill Road

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | UL | T | T | UT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 155 | 240 | 109 | 94 | 93 | 208 | 306 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 33 | 108 | 48 | 11 | 24 | 79 | 110 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 90 | 204 | 97 | 56 | 66 | 161 | 234 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 248 |  | 180 | 180 | 461 | 461 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 120 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 14: Strawberry Plains Pike \& Interstate 40 On Ramp/Interstate 40 Off Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | L | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 203 | 254 | 65 | 188 | 222 | 138 | 87 | 184 | 191 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 129 | 3 | 30 | 116 | 43 | 23 | 99 | 149 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 156 | 214 | 51 | 126 | 199 | 102 | 61 | 172 | 215 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 358 |  |  | 451 | 451 | 180 | 180 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 19 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 | 225 |  | 190 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 29

## APPENDIX N

TDOT Road Safety Audit Review

# STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PLANNING DIVISION SUITE 1000, JAMES K. POLK BUILDING <br> 505 DEADERICK STREET <br> NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0334 

## MEMORANDUM

## To: Mr. Paul Degges, Chief Engineer

From: Steve Allen, Director<br>Project Planning Division

Date: March 16, 2010

## Subject: RSAR INTERSECTION OF STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AND BRAKEBILL ROAD, KNOX COUNTY

The Road Safety Audit Review for the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road, Knox County, has been completed and the report is attached. This project was identified through the TDOT safety needs planning process. Strawberry Plains Pike is a divided four lane urban collector roadway. The intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road appears on the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and qualifies for Hazard Elimination Safety Program (HESP) funds because the crash ratio (actual crash rate divided by the critical crash rate) was 4.10 , which is greater than 3.5 (the minimum threshold).

The following guidance was provided:

- Figure 1

1. Restripe all edgelines along the I-40 entrance and exit ramps extending approximately 500 feet from the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and the I-40 ramps.
2. Install a ( $36^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ ) Stop Ahead (W3-1) warning sign approximately but not less than 400 feet in advance of the intersection on Brakebill Road. A " 400 FEET" ( 30 " $\times 18^{\prime \prime}$ ) supplemental plate (W16-2a) should be mounted underneath this sign.
3. Construct separate left and right turn lanes (within the existing right-of-way) on the Brakebill Road approach. The left turn lane should have at least 100 feet of storage.
4. Install a $24^{\prime \prime}$ thermoplastic stop bar and left and tight turn lane arrows on the Brakebill Road approach.
5. Replace existing Stop signs with ( 36 " $\times 36$ ") Stop (RI-I) signs on the Brakebill approach.
6. Install a "Brakebill Rd." Street Name (D3-1) sign above the Stop sign in the southwest corner of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
7. Remove the vegetation (within the existing right-of-way) in the southwest corner of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
8. Relocate the culvert on the north side of Brakebill Road and install a standard end treatment and backfill (see inset on Figure 1).
9. Install yellow-red retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings spaced at 20 feet center-to-center on the inside edge lines outside the median opening area so that the red lens is only visible to wrong-way traffic.
10. Restripe all edge and centerlines along Strawberry Plains Pike extending 100 feet north and 200 feet south of Brakebill Road.
11. Place 12 " diagonal chevron channelization pavement markings spaced at 10 feet apart between the northbound left turn lane and northbound through lanes along Strawberry Plains Pike.
12. Install a thermoplastic left turn lane arrow in the northbound left turn lane.
13. Construct a 12 foot wide northbound left turn lane having 75 feet of storage in the median of Strawberry Plains Pike.
14. Install yellow-yellow retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings spaced at 10 feet center-to-center on the edge lines inside the median opening area.
15. Relocate "WRONG WAY" sign approximately 45 feet north of existing location within median.
16. Install ( 30 " $\times 30$ ") "DO NOT ENTER" (R5-1) signs north of Brakebill Road on both sides of southbound Strawberry Plains Pike.
17. Install a ( 30 "x30") Intersection Ahead (W2-2) warning sign approximately but not less than 400 feet in advance of the intersection on Strawberry Plains Pike. A ( 24 "x12") " 400 FEET" supplemental plate (W16-2a) should be mounted underneath this sign.
18. Install "Rear Access to McDonalds" ( 30 " $\times 54$ ") sign facing south-westbound traffic on the northeast side of the median opening located approximately 475 feet northeast of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.

The estimated cost of improvements listed in this report is $\$ 181,200$. This includes $\$ 13,600$ of $100 \%$ federally funded items, $\$ 150,800$ of $90 \%$ federally funded items, and $\$ 16,800$ of $10 \%$ locally funded items. The local match to be provided by the City of Knoxville is $\$ 16,800$. These proposed improvements will be let to contract.

If you should need any further information, please contact me at (615) 741-2208.

Allachment
CC: Ed Cole, Gary Ogletree, Mike Tugwell, Steve Borden, Amanda Snowden, Jim Moore, FILE

# ROAD SAFETY AUDIT REPORT <br> Intersection of Strawberry plains pike and brakebill ROAD 

L.M. 8.80<br>KNOX COUNTY<br>PIN 113344.00



PREPARED BY
RPM TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, LLC
FOR THE
TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
PROJECT PLANNING DIVISION

| Approved by: | Signature | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTOR <br> Project Planning Division |  | $3-16-10$ |

This document is covered by 23 USC § 409 and its production pursuant to fulfilling public planning requirements does not waive the provisions of § 409.


## Project Location Map

 (Not to Scale)

Project Area Map
(Not to Scale)

## Road Safety Audit Review

## Description of Project and Background

This project was identified through the TDOT safety needs planning process. The intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road appears on the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and qualifies for Hazard Elimination Safety Program (HESP) funds because the crash ratio (actual crash rate divided by the critical crash rate) was 4.10, which is greater than 3.5 (the minimum threshold).

## RSAR Team Members

A RSAR team was assembled to evaluate the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road in Knoxville, Knox County to determine appropriate safety measures.

## Team Members

| Name | Organization | Phone | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paul Lane | TDOT - Project Planning | 615-253-2432 | paul.lane@tn.gov |
| Glenda Tyus | TDOT - Project Planning | 615-741-1816 | glenda.tyus@tn.gov |
| Randy Plummer | TDOT- Region 1 Design | 865-594-2400 | randy.plummer@tn.gov |
| Jay Morgan | TDOT- Region 1 Design | 865-594-2400 | jay.morgan@tn.gov |
| Henry Reid | TDOT- Region 1 Design | 865-594-2400 | henry.reid@tn.gov |
| Stephen Millsaps | City of Knoxville | 865-215-6100 | smillsaps@cityofknoxville.org |
| Bill Cole | City of Knoxville | 865-215-6100 | bcole@cityofknoxville.org |
| Jim Pointer | TDOT- District 15 Highway Maintenance | 865-594-2718 | N/A |
| John Sexton | Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works | 865-215-5860 | john.sexton@knoxcounty.org |
| Amanda Snowden | TDOT- Region 1 Traffic | 865-594-2400 | amanda.snowden@tn.gov |
| Andy Padgett | TDOT- Region 1 Traffic | 865-594-2456 | andrew.padgett@tn.gov |
| Nathan Benditz | Knoxville TPO | 865-215-2826 | nathan.benditz@knoxtrans.org |
| Eric Jackson | TDOT- Traffic | 615-741-0802 | eric.jackson@tn.gov |
| Jeff <br> Hammond | RPM <br> Transportation | 615-370-8410 | jeffhammond@rpmtraffic.net |
| Blake Turner | RPM <br> Transportation | 615-370-8410 | blaketurner@rpmtraffic.net |

## Information used in the Review

- City of Knoxville street map
- TRIMS Route Feature Description Listing
- TRIMS Highway Log Report
- TRIMS Geometric Report
- TRIMS Traffic Report
- TRIMS Road Segment Report
- ADAM historical traffic report
- TDOT Signal Warrant Study
- Hourly turning movements counts (included in appendix)
- Aerial photography
- Crash rate summary (included in appendix)
- Crash reports: 50090192, 50064350, 50064365, 50131679, 50075792, 50113006, 9858299, 50053558, 9275906, 9856871, 8115074, 9228217, 9229551, 8112521, 8551111, 9741760, 9737013


## Pre-Briefing Summary

This project was identified through the TDOT safety needs planning process. The intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road appears on the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and qualifies for Hazard Elimination Safety Program (HESP) funds because the crash ratio (actual crash rate divided by the critical crash rate) was 4.10, which is greater than 3.5 (the minimum threshold).

A pre-brief meeting was held at 1:00 PM on Monday, October 12 at TDOT headquarters in Nashville. The following aspects of the intersection were discussed:

- The l-40 interchange location results in significant volumes of truck and tourism traffic.
- No turn lanes exist on Strawberry Plains Pike at this intersection.
- A study performed by TDOT Region I showed that MUTCD warrants for signalization are met.
- The intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road is located approximately 280 feet north of the signalized intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and westbound I-40 ramps. This spacing would be undesirable for two signalized intersections.
- The intersection of Region Lane and Strawberry Plains Pike is approximately 480 feet south of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and the eastbound I-40 ramps. These intersections are both signalized and operate acceptably.
- A slight downgrade exists on southbound Strawberry Plains Pike approaching the Brakebill Road intersection.

This intersection has experienced seventeen (17) crashes over the past three (3) years. The seventeen (17) crashes included fifteen (15) property damage, one (1) incapacitating injury crash, and one (1) non-incapacitating injury crash. Ten (10) (59\%) of these were right angle crashes, and six (6) (35\%) were rear end crashes, involving both northbound and southbound traffic along Strawberry Plains Pike. It was discussed that a northbound left turn lane and signalization would likely mitigate the rear end and right angle crashes, but that the signal spacing was undesirable.

## Observations

An onsite field review was held at 2:00 PM ET on Monday, October 19, 2009. The following observations are provided concerning the location:

- Due to the lack of a northbound turn lane on Strawberry Plains Pike, vehicles queue inside the median opening in order to make a left turn onto Brakebill Road.
- A single eastbound lane on Brakebill Road serves both left and right turning traffic.
- The culvert opening north of Brakebill Road does not have a standard end treatment.
- A previous study called the Huckleberry Springs Loop Study had identified a possible connection from Huckleberry Springs Road to Brakebill Road.
- The unsignalized intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road is located 280 feet north of the signalized intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and the westbound I-40 ramps.
- The "Brakebill Road" street name signs appeared to be undersized.
- Stop signs are located on both sides of Brakebill Road at its approach to Strawberry Plains Pike; both Brakebill Road stop signs appeared to be undersized.
- The edgelines and centerlines along Brakebill Road had limited visibility.
- No advance intersection warning sign was present north of Brakebill Road for southbound Strawberry Plains Pike traffic.
- A "Stop Ahead" sign was not present west of Strawberry Plains Pike on Brakebill Road.
- A high number of southbound to northbound U-turns on Strawberry Plains Pike were noted at the Brakebill Road median opening, particularly during the AM peak period. It is likely that these vehicles are accessing the McDonald's restaurant.


## Guidance

The following recommendations were developed by the RSAR team during the field review:

- Figure 1

1. Restripe all edgelines along the l-40 entrance and exit ramps extending approximately 500 feet from the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and the l -40 ramps.
2. Install a ( 36 "x $\times 36$ ") Stop Ahead (W3-1) warning sign approximately but not less than 400 feet in advance of the intersection on Brakebill Road. A "400 FEET" ( 30 "x18") supplemental plate (W16-2a) should be mounted underneath this sign.
3. Construct separate left and right turn lanes (within the existing right-of-way) on the Brakebill Road approach. The left turn lane should have at least 100 feet of storage.
4. Install a 24" thermoplastic stop bar and left and right turn lane arrows on the Brakebill Road approach.
5. Replace existing Stop signs with ( 36 "x 36 ") Stop (R1-1) signs on the Brakebill approach.
6. Install a "Brakebill Rd." Street Name (D3-1) sign above the Stop sign in the southwest corner of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
7. Remove the vegetation (within the existing right-of-way) in the southwest corner of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
8. Relocate the culvert on the north side of Brakebill Road and install a standard end treatment and backfill (see inset on Figure 1).
9. Install yellow-red retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings spaced at 20 feet center-to-center on the inside edge lines outside the median opening area so that the red lens is only visible to wrong-way traffic.
10. Restripe all edge and centerlines along Strawberry Plains Pike extending 100 feet north and 200 feet south of Brakebill Road.
11. Place 12 " diagonal chevron channelization pavement markings spaced at 10 feet apart between the northbound left turn lane and northbound through lanes along Strawberry Plains Pike.
12. Install a thermoplastic left turn lane arrow in the northbound left turn lane.
13. Construct a 12 foot wide northbound left turn lane having 75 feet of storage in the median of Strawberry Plains Pike.
14. Install yellow-yellow retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings spaced at 10 feet center-to-center on the edge lines inside the median opening area.
15. Relocate "WRONG WAY" sign approximately 45 feet north of existing location within median.
16. Install (30"x30") "DO NOT ENTER" (R5-1) signs north of Brakebill Road on both sides of southbound Strawberry Plains Pike.
17. Install a (30"x30") Intersection Ahead (W2-2) warning sign approximately but not less than 400 feet in advance of the intersection on Strawberry Plains Pike. A (24"x12") "400 FEET" supplemental plate (W16-2a) should be mounted underneath this sign.
18. Install "Rear Access to McDonalds" ( 30 "x54") sign facing south-westbound traffic on the northeast side of the median opening located approximately 475 feet northeast of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.

The estimated cost of improvements listed in this report is $\$ 181,200$. This includes $\$ 13,600$ of $100 \%$ federally funded items, $\$ 150,800$ of $90 \%$ federally funded items, and $\$ 16,800$ of $10 \%$ locally funded items. The local match to be provided by the City of Knoxville is $\$ 16,800$. These proposed improvements will be let to contract. All items removed as part of this project shall be returned to the City of Knoxville.

## COST DATA SHEET

TOTAL PROJECT COST

Route: LOCAL ROUTE 1124
Description: INTERSECTION OF STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AND BRAKEBILL ROAD KNOX INTERSECTION 1/25/2010

RIGHT-OF-WAY
UTILITY RELOCATION
CLEAR AND GRUBBING
EARTHWORK
PAVEMENT REMOVAL
DRAINAGE
STRUCTURES
RAILROAD CROSSING OR SEPARATION
PAVING
RETAINING WALLS
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC
TOPSOIL
SEEDING
SODDING
SIGNING AND STRIPING
LIGHTING
SIGNALIZATION
FENCE
GUARDRAIL
RIP RAP OR SLOPE PROTECTION OTHER CONST. ITEMS (15\%)
MOBILIZATION
CONSTRUCTION COST 10\% ENG. \& CONT.
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST 10\% PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING TOTAL COST *


[^1]
## COST DATA SHEET <br> 100\% Federally Funded Items

Route: LOCAL ROUTE 1124
Description: INTERSECTION OF STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AND BRAKEBILL ROAD
County:
KNOX
INTERSECTION
1/25/2010

RIGHT-OF-WAY
UTILITY RELOCATION
CLEAR AND GRUBBING
EARTHWORK
PAVEMENT REMOVAL
DRAINAGE
STRUCTURES
RAILROAD CROSSING OR SEPARATION
PAVING
RETAINING WALLS
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC
TOPSOIL
SEEDING
SODDING
SIGNING AND STRIPING
LIGHTING
SIGNALIZATION
FENCE
GUARDRAIL
RIP RAP OR SLOPE PROTECTION
OTHER CONST. ITEMS (15\%)
MOBILIZATION
CONSTRUCTION COST 10\% ENG. \& CONT.
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST 10\% PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING TOTAL COST *


[^2] the date of this estimate.

## COST DATA SHEET <br> 90\% Federally Funded

| Route: Description: | LOCAL ROUTE 1124 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INTERSECTION OF STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AND |  |  |
|  | BRAKEBILL ROAD |  |  |
| County: | KNOX |  |  |
| Length: | INTERSECTION |  |  |
| Date: | 1/25/2010 |  |  |
| RIGHT-OF-WAY |  | \$ | 9000 |
| UTILITY RELOCATION |  | \$ | 21600 |
| CLEAR AND GRUBBING |  | \$ | 900 |
| EARTHWORK |  | \$ | 15,300 |
| PAVEMENT REMOVAL |  | \$ | 900 |
| DRAINAGE |  | \$ | 13,500 |
| STRUCTURES |  | \$ | 0 |
| RAILROAD CROSSING OR SEPARATION |  | \$ | 0 |
| PAVING |  | \$ | 45,900 |
| RETAINING WALLS |  | \$ | 0 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC |  | \$ | 2,700 |
| TOPSOIL |  | \$ | 900 |
| SEEDING |  | \$ | 0 |
| SODDING |  | \$ | 2,700 |
| SIGNING AND STRIPING |  | \$ | 0 |
| LIGHTING |  | \$ | 0 |
| SIGNALIZATION |  | \$ | 0 |
| FENCE |  | \$ | 0 |
| GUARDRAIL |  | \$ | 0 |
| RIP RAP OR SLOPE PROTECTION |  | \$ | 0 |
| OTHER CONST. ITEMS (15\%) |  | \$ | 12,420 |
| MOBILIZATION |  | \$ | 4,860 |
|  | CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ | 100,080 |
|  | 10\% ENG. \& CONT. | \$ | 10,080 |
|  | TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ | 110,160 |
|  | 10\% PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING | \$ | 10,080 |
|  | TOTAL COST * | \$ | 150,800 |

[^3]
## COST DATA SHEET

10\% Locally Funded

| Route: Description: | LOCAL ROUTE 1124 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INTERSECTION OF STRAWBERRY PLAINS PIKE AND |  |  |
|  | BRAKEBILL ROAD |  |  |
| County: | KNOX |  |  |
| Length: | INTERSECTION |  |  |
| Date: | 1/25/2010 |  |  |
| RIGHT-OF-WAY |  | \$ | 1000 |
| UTILITY RELOCATION |  | \$ | 2400 |
| CLEAR AND GRUBBING |  | \$ | 100 |
| EARTHWORK |  | \$ | 1,700 |
| PAVEMENT REMOVAL |  | \$ | 100 |
| DRAINAGE |  | \$ | 1,500 |
| STRUCTURES |  | \$ | 0 |
| RAILROAD CROSSING OR SEPARATION |  | \$ | 0 |
| PAVING |  | \$ | 5,100 |
| RETAINING WALLS |  | \$ | 0 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC |  | \$ | 300 |
| TOPSOIL |  | \$ | 100 |
| SEEDING |  | \$ | 0 |
| SODDING |  | \$ | 300 |
| SIGNING AND STRIPING |  | \$ | 0 |
| LIGHTING |  | \$ | 0 |
| SIGNALIZATION |  | \$ | 0 |
| FENCE |  | \$ | 0 |
| GUARDRAIL |  | \$ | 0 |
| RIP RAP OR SLOPE PROTECTION |  | \$ | 0 |
| OTHER CONST. ITEMS (15\%) |  | \$ | 1,380 |
| MOBILIZATION |  | \$ | 540 |
|  | CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ | 11,120 |
|  | 10\% ENG. \& CONT. | \$ | 1,120 |
|  | TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ | 12,240 |
|  | 10\% PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING | \$ | 1,120 |
|  | TOTAL COST * | \$ | 16,800 |

[^4]



10/19/09: Looking east onto Strawberry Plains Pk. from Brakebill Rd.


10/19/09: Looking at the northern culvert opening at Brakebill Rd.

## GUIDANCE

1. Restripe all edgelines along the I-40 entrance and exit ramps extending approximately 500 feet from the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and the I-40 ramps.
2. Install a (36"x36") Stop Ahead (W3-1) warning sign approximately but not less than 400 feet in advance of the intersection on Brakebill Road. A "400 FEET" (30"x18") supplemental plate (W16-2a) should be mounted underneath his sign.
3. Construct separate left and right turn lanes (within the existing right-of-way) on the Brakebill Road approach. The left turn lane should have at least 100 feet of storage.
4. Install a 24" thermoplastic stop bar and left and right turn lane arrows on the Brakebill Road approach. 5. Replace existing Stop signs with ( 36 "x36") Stop (R1-1) signs on the Brakebill approach.
5. Install a "Brakebill Rd." Street Name (D3-1) sign above the Stop sign in the southwest corner of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
6. Remove the vegetation (within the existing right-of-way) in the southwest comer of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
7. Relocate the culvert on the north side of Brakebill Road and install a standard end treatment and backfill (see inset).

8. Construct a 12 foot wide northbound left turn lane having 75 feet of storage in the median of Strawberry Plains Pike.
9. Install yellow-yellow retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings spaced at 10 feet center-to-center on the edge lines inside the median opening area.
10. Relocate "WRONG WAY" sign approximately 45 feet north of existing location within median.
11. Install (30"x30") "DO NOT ENTER" (R5-1) signs north of Brakebill Road on both sides of southbound Strawberry Plains Pike.
12. Install a ( 30 "x30") Intersection Ahead (W2-2) warning sign approximately but not less than 400 feet in advance of the intersection on Strawberry Plains Pike. A ( 24 "x12") " 400 FEET" supplemental plate (W16-2a) should be mounted underneath this sign.
13. Install "Rear Access to McDonalds" (30"x54") sign facing south-westbound traffic on the northeast side of the median opening located approximately 475 feet northeast of the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Road.
14. Install yellow-red retroreflective bi-directional raised pavement markings spaced at 20 feet center-to-center on the inside edge lines outside the median opening area so that the red lens is only visible to wrong-way traffic 10. Restripe all edge and centerlines along Strawberry Plains Pike extending 100 feet north and 200 feet south of Brakebill Road.
15. Place 12" diagonal chevron channelization pavement markings spaced at 10 feet apart between the northbound left turn lane and northbound through lanes along Strawberry Plains Pike. 12. Install a thermoplastic left turn lane arrow in the northbound left turn lane.


## APPENDIX

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Counted By: RH \& RN
Weather:
Major: 4L div
Minor: 2L

Region 1 Traffic Offlce
File Name: Strawberry Plains@Brakebill
Site Code $: 00000047$
Start Date $: 2 / 10 / 2009$
Page No $: 1$

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | STRAWBERRY PLAINS Southbound |  |  |  |  | BRAKEBILL Westbound |  |  |  |  | STRAWBERRY PLAINS Northbound |  |  |  |  | BRAKEBILL Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-turns | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | ADP Tetal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 7 | 133 | 8 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 32 | 248 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 144 | 19 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 35 | 268 |
| 07:30 AM | 15 | 187 | 5 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 12 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 342 |
| 07:45 AM | 14 | 156 | 5 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 12 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 51 | 322 |
| Total | 40 | 620 | 37 | 0 | 697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 39 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 168 | 1180 |
| 08:00 AM | 15 | 136 | 8 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 12 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 32 | 306 |
| 08:15 AM | 8 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 28 | 246 |
| 08:30 AM | 9 | 98 | 8 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 9 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 59 | 272 |
| 08:45 AM | 5 | 101 | 9 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 11 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 42 | 232 |
| Total | 37 | 458 | 29 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 371 | 40 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 161 | 1056 |


| 11:00 AM | 2 | 83 | 17 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 224 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:15 AM | 4 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 39 | 227 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 223 |
| 11:45 AM | 4 | 74 | 15 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 219 |
| Total | 10 | 308 | 52 | 0 | 370 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 84 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 39 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 122 | 893 |
| 12:00 PM | 9 | 70 | 13 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 34 | 239 |
| 12:15 PM | 8 | 106 | 6 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 15 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 45 | 275 |
| 12:30 PM | 7 | 92 | 14 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 17 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 38 | 255 |
| 12:45 PM | 3 | 71 | 10 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 41 | 246 |
| Total | 27 | 339 | 43 | 1 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 67 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 158 | 1015 |


| 02:00 PM | 5 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 46 | 238 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 90 | 12 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 41 | 284 |
| 02:30 PM | 3 | 104 | 14 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 11 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 39 | 290 |
| 02:45 PM | 4 | 77 | 11 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 31 | 252 |
| Total | 13 | 346 | 47 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 426 | 0 | 0 | 501 | 52 | 0 | 104 | 1 | 157 | 1064 |
| 03:00 PM | 6 | 72 | 9 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 23 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 59 | 293 |
| 03:15 PM | 5 | 82 | 7 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 10 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 32 | 299 |
| 03:30 PM | 3 | 100 | 10 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 318 |
| 03:45 PM | 5 | 116 | 19 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 50 | 366 |
| Total | 19 | 370 | 45 | 0 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 658 | 64 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 184 | 1276 |
| 04:00 PM | 3 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 47 | 314 |
| 04:15 PM | 3 | 90 | 9 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 37 | 344 |
| 04:30 PM | 5 | 94 | 18 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 365 |
| 04:45 PM | 4 | 111 | 18 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 14. | 0 | 22 | 0 | 36 | 423 |
| Total | 15 | 387 | 53 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 701 | 0 | 0 | 828 | 62 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 163 | 1446 |
| 05:00 PM | 4 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 16 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 48 | 384 |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 96 | 7 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 45 | 372 |
| 05:30 PM | 3 | 101 | 9 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 45 | 390 |
| 05:45 PM | 4 | 112 | 11 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 20 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 52 | 388 |
| Total | 14 | 409 | 42 | 0 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 714 | 0 | 0 | 879 | 79 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 190 | 1534 |
| Grand Total | 175 | 3237 | 348 | 1 | 3761 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 743 | 3656 | 0 | 0 | 4399 | 442 | 0 | 860 | 1 | 1303 | 9464 |
| Apprch \% | 4.7 | 86.1 | 9.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 16.9 | 83.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 33.9 | 0 | 66 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.8 | 34.2 | 3.7 | 0 | 39.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.9 | 38.6 | 0 | 0 | 46.5 | 4.7 | 0 | 9.1 | 0 | 13.8 |  |

## Tennessee Department of Transportation

Region 1 Traffic Office File Name : Strawberry Plains@Brakebill
Site Code : 00000047
Start Date : 2/10/2009
Page No : 2



Intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike and Brakebill Rd. Crash Summary 2005-2007

| Collision Type | Cause | Number of Crashes | Total | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rear End | Stopped Quickly | 5 | 6 | 35\% |
|  | Distracted | 1 |  |  |
|  | Hydroplane | 0 |  |  |
| Right Angle | Pulled in Front | 8 | 10 | 59\% |
|  | Distracted | 1 |  |  |
|  | Wreckless | 1 |  |  |
| Head on | Wreckless | 1 | 1 | 6\% |
|  | Total Crashes | 17 |  |  |


| Damage | Number of Crashes | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Damage | 15 | $88 \%$ |
| Injury | 1 | $6 \%$ |
| Incapacitating Injury | 1 | $6 \%$ |
| Fatality | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 17 |  |
|  |  |  |


| Time of Day | Number of Crashes | Percentage of Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | 12 | $71 \%$ |  |
| Night | 5 | $29 \%$ |  |
| Total | 17 |  |  |


| Conditions | Number of Crashes | Percentage of Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/D | 16 | $94 \%$ |  |
| R/W | 1 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Fog | 0 | $0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 17 |  |  |


| State Wide Average Crash Rating | Ra | 0.19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Actual Accident Rate | R | 2.6 |
| Intersection Critical Accident Rate | Rc | 0.63 |
| Severity Index | SI | 0.21 |
| Ratio | $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{Rc}$ | 4.1 |



## APPENDIX O

Traffic Signalization Warrants

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project Name | Brakebill Road Development |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 2006 |
| Scenario | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ - Existing Traffic Volumes |


| Intersection Information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Major Street Name | Strawberry Plains Pike |
| North/South or East/West | N/S |
| Speed Limit > 40 mph | No |
| \# of Approach Lanes | 2 or more |
| \% of Right Turn Traffic to Include | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Minor Street Name | Brakebill Road |
| \# of Approach Lanes | 1 |
| \% of Right Turn Traffic to Include | $100 \%$ |
| Isolated Community < 10,000 pop | No |

Additional Warrants to Consider

| Warrant 3, Peak Hour (A - Volume and Delay) | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| All-Way Stop Warrant | No |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

## Strawberry Plains Pike (Major Street) Volume

| Northbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left + U Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| 12-1 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 AM | 58 | 384 |  |  |
| 8-9 AM | 58 | 337 |  |  |
| 9-10 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 PM | 74 | 423 |  |  |
| 12-1 PM | 95 | 467 |  |  |
| 1-2 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 PM | 86 | 484 |  |  |
| 3-4 PM | 107 | 602 |  |  |
| 4-5 PM | 146 | 733 |  |  |
| 5-6 PM | 158 | 749 |  |  |
| 6-7 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 AM |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Vehicles (unadju | ed) | 4,961 | 0 |


| Southbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | U Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| 12-1 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 AM | 40 | 746 | 37 |  |
| 8-9 AM | 35 | 537 | 30 |  |
| 9-10 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 PM | 17 | 417 | 40 |  |
| 12-1 PM | 30 | 449 | 54 |  |
| 1-2 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 PM | 10 | 386 | 50 |  |
| 3-4PM | 27 | 462 | 56 |  |
| 4-5 PM | 17 | 457 | 59 |  |
| 5-6 PM | 11 | 458 | 46 |  |
| 6-7 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 AM |  |  |  |  |
| Total | hicles (una | sted) | 4,471 | 0 |

Brakebill Road (Minor Street) Volume

| Eastbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| 12-1 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 AM | 38 |  | 146 |  |
| 8-9 AM | 26 |  | 116 |  |
| 9-10 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 PM | 45 |  | 108 |  |
| 12-1 PM | 60 |  | 127 |  |
| 1-2 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 PM | 63 |  | 105 |  |
| 3-4 PM | 70 |  | 112 |  |
| 4-5 PM | 60 |  | 113 |  |
| 5-6 PM | 70 |  | 129 |  |
| 6-7 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 AM |  |  |  |  |
| Total | hicles (unad | ted) | 1,388 | 0 |


| Westbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | Left Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| $12-1$ AM |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2-3 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3-4 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $4-5 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $5-6 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $6-7 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $8-9 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $9-10 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $11-12 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $12-1 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2-3 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3-4 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $4-5 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $5-6 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $6-7 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $8-9 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $9-10 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $11-12 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)

| Project Name | Brakebill Road Development |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 2006 |
| Scenario | 2020 - Existing Traffic Volumes |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Major Street (N/S Road) | Strawberry Plains Pike | Minor Street (E/W Road) | Brakebill Road |
| Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes | Analyzed with | 1 Approach Lane |
| Total Approach Volume | 9432 vehicles | Total Approach Volume | 1388 vehicles |
| Total Ped/Bike Volume | 0 crossings | Total Ped/Bike Volume | 0 crossings |
| Right turn reduction of | 0 percent applied | Right turn reduction of | 0 percent applied |

No speed or isolated community reduction applied to the warrant thresholds

| Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condition A | Condition B | Condition A+B* |  |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not satisfied | Satisfied | Satisfied |  |
| Required values reached for | 7 hours | 8 hours | 8 (Cond. A) \& 8 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) | 600 | 900 | 480 (Cond. A) \& 720 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) | 150 | 75 | 120 (Cond. A) \& 60 (Cond. B) |  |

* Should be applied only after an adequate trail of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.

| Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Condition Satisfied? | Satisfied |  |
| Required values reached for | 7 hours |  |
| Criteria | See Figure Below |  |


| Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume |  | Condition A |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not Examined | Condition B |
| Required values reached for |  | Satisfied |
| Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) |  | 2 hours |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) |  | See Figure Below |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) |  |  |

Figure 4C-1 (Warrant 2) \& Figure 4C-3 (Warrant 3)


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project Name | Brakebill Road Development |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 2006 |
| Scenario | 2020 - Existing Traffic Volumes |


| Intersection Information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Major Street Name | Strawberry Plains Pike |
| North/South or East/West | N/S |
| Speed Limit > 40 mph | No |
| \# of Approach Lanes | 2 or more |
| \% of Right Turn Traffic to Include | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Minor Street Name | Brakebill Road |
| \# of Approach Lanes | 1 |
| \% of Right Turn Traffic to Include | $0 \%$ |
| Isolated Community < 10,000 pop | No |

Additional Warrants to Consider

| Warrant 3, Peak Hour (A - Volume and Delay) | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| All-Way Stop Warrant | No |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

## Strawberry Plains Pike (Major Street) Volume

| Northbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left + U Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| 12-1 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 AM | 58 | 384 |  |  |
| 8-9 AM | 58 | 337 |  |  |
| 9-10 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 PM | 74 | 423 |  |  |
| 12-1 PM | 95 | 467 |  |  |
| 1-2 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 PM | 86 | 484 |  |  |
| 3-4 PM | 107 | 602 |  |  |
| 4-5 PM | 146 | 733 |  |  |
| 5-6 PM | 158 | 749 |  |  |
| 6-7 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 AM |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Vehicles (unadju | ed) | 4,961 | 0 |


| Southbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | U Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| 12-1 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 AM | 40 | 746 | 37 |  |
| 8-9 AM | 35 | 537 | 30 |  |
| 9-10 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 PM | 17 | 417 | 40 |  |
| 12-1 PM | 30 | 449 | 54 |  |
| 1-2 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 PM | 10 | 386 | 50 |  |
| 3-4PM | 27 | 462 | 56 |  |
| 4-5 PM | 17 | 457 | 59 |  |
| 5-6 PM | 11 | 458 | 46 |  |
| 6-7 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 AM |  |  |  |  |
| Total | hicles (una | sted) | 4,471 | 0 |

Brakebill Road (Minor Street) Volume

| Eastbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| 12-1 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 AM | 38 |  | 146 |  |
| 8-9 AM | 26 |  | 116 |  |
| 9-10 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 PM | 45 |  | 108 |  |
| 12-1 PM | 60 |  | 127 |  |
| 1-2 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 PM | 63 |  | 105 |  |
| 3-4 PM | 70 |  | 112 |  |
| 4-5 PM | 60 |  | 113 |  |
| 5-6 PM | 70 |  | 129 |  |
| 6-7 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 PM |  |  |  |  |
| 11-12 AM |  |  |  |  |
| Tota | hicles (unad | ed) | 1,388 | 0 |


| Westbound Volume by Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | Left Turns | Through | Right Turns | Peds/Bikes |
| $12-1$ AM |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2-3 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3-4 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $4-5 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $5-6 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $6-7 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $8-9 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $9-10 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $11-12 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $12-1 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2-3 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3-4 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $4-5 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $5-6 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $6-7 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $8-9 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $9-10 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $11-12 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)

| Project Name | Brakebill Road Development |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project/File \# | 2006 |
| Scenario | 2020 - Existing Traffic Volumes |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Major Street (N/S Road) | Strawberry Plains Pike | Minor Street (E/W Road) | Brakebill Road |
| Analyzed with | 2 or more approach lanes | Analyzed with | 1 Approach Lane |
| Total Approach Volume | 9432 vehicles | Total Approach Volume | 1388 vehicles |
| Total Ped/Bike Volume | 0 crossings | Total Ped/Bike Volume | 0 crossings |
| Right turn reduction of | 0 percent applied | Right turn reduction of | 1 percent applied |

No speed or isolated community reduction applied to the warrant thresholds

| Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condition A | Condition B | Condition A+B* |  |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not satisfied | Not satisfied | Not satisfied |  |
| Required values reached for | 0 hours | 0 hours | 0 (Cond. A) \& 5 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) | 600 | 900 | 480 (Cond. A) \& 720 (Cond. B) |  |
| Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) | 150 | 75 | 120 (Cond. A) \& 60 (Cond. B) |  |

* Should be applied only after an adequate trail of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.

| Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not satisfied |  |
| Required values reached for | 0 hours |  |
| Criteria | See Figure Below |  |


| Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume |  | Condition A |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Condition Satisfied? | Not Examined | Condition B |
| Required values reached for |  | Not Satisfied |
| Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) |  | 0 hours |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) |  | See Figure Below |
| Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) |  |  |

Figure 4C-1 (Warrant 2) \& Figure 4C-3 (Warrant 3)


## APPENDIX P

## Response Letter to Address Review Comments

11812 Black Road
Knoxville, Tennessee 37932
Phone (865) 556-0042
ajaxengineering@gmail.com
August 24, 2020
PROJECT NAME: Brakebill Road Subdivision TIS
TO: Knoxville-Knox County Planning
SUBJECT: TIS Comment Response Document for Brakebill Road Subdivision (9-SB-20-C/9-D-20-UR)
Review Comments dated August 19, 2020

Dear Knoxville-Knox County Planning Staff:

The following comment response document is submitted to address comments dated August 19, 2020.

1. Please provide figures showing all recommended improvements including possible dimensions.

Response: Four exhibits were added to the report that highlights the major recommendations. These are located on page $68,77,78$, and 79.
2. On page 1, please correct "the Knoxville-Knox County Planning Department" to "Knoxville-Knox County Planning".

Response: On page 1, first paragraph, the "Knoxville-Knox County Planning Department" was changed to "Knoxville-Knox County Planning". This wording was also changed on Page 5 in the first paragraph.
3. On pages $1,5,19, \& 39$, the current site plan consists of 329 total dwelling units with 229 single-family detached units and 100 single-family attached units. However, the TIA has assumed 400 single-family detached units with an explanation that the methodology results in a more conservative approach by modeling a higher number of generated trips. The chosen methodology to assume a different number of units also assumes a site plan other than the one provided, and that raises a question of the
directional distribution of site trips. This becomes a concern regarding the intersection of Brakebill Road at Hammer Road. The projected volume of northbound left-turns during the PM Peak is getting very close to meeting the warrant for a separate left-turn lane. We recommend that the study consider only the number and type of units shown in the current site plan, and the study should be revised to reflect those changes. For the single-family attached units, please use the Knoxville-Knox County Planning "Local Apartment" rate / worksheet for the trip generation.

Response: This change was made in several places and resulted in changes throughout the document. Specifically, the study was revised to reflect that the latest site plan shows 227 detached single-family houses and 95 attached single-family houses. The revised report reflects that the detached houses would be calculated using ITE's trip rates for Land Use Code \#210, and the trips for the attached houses would be calculated using the local trip rate. The discussion of the generated trips was changed on pages 1 and 39-40.
4. On page 43 and subsequent figures, the leader to the intersection of Hammer Road at Road B should be adjusted to point to that intersection for clarity.

Response: $\quad$ The leader to the intersection of Hammer Road at Road " B " was adjusted to point to the intersection. This change was made in Figures 6a, 6b, 7a, 7 b , and 8 on pages 43 thru 48 .
5. On page 66 last paragraph, the existing road should be Brakebill Road instead of Hammer Road. Also change in Table of Contents.

Response: On page 66, the last paragraph, the existing road was changed to Brakebill Road instead of Hammer Road. This was also changed in the Table of Contents.
6. In Appendix K (turn lane warrant worksheets), the right-turn warrant evaluations for Road B at Hammer Road, the road is called Brakebill Road.

Response: In Appendix K, the right-turn warrant evaluation for Road " B " at Hammer Road was correctly labeled.
7. Please include an evaluation of Brakebill Road width for safety concerns from Hammer Road to Strawberry Plains Pike.

Response: An additional section was added on page 91, titled "Brakebill Road Widths (Addendum)". This section contains photographs and road width measurements on Brakebill Road as requested.

In addition to the requested revisions, other changes in the report include the following:

- Updated Title Page including owner name
- Updated Table of Contents
- Updated page footers
- Made minor grammatical changes to improve readability
- On page 1 changed company name from RP Homes to Maverick Development Group, LLC
- On page 3 and Figure 10, added recommendation to refresh pavement markings and clear vegetation at the intersection of Strawberry Plains Pike at Brakebill Road
- On page 4 and Figure 10, added recommendation to refresh pavement markings on Brakebill Road
- Updated number of housing units on page 1,5 , and 19
- Deleted recommendation for eastbound left-turn lane storage extension on Brakebill Road at Strawberry Plains Pike on page 3, 76, and Figure 10
- Revised Proposed Plan Layout, Figure 3 on page 20
- Revised Table 6 (Trip Generation) on page 39
- Updated trip generation tables in Figures 7 a and 7 b on pages 45 and 46
- Updated projected traffic volumes in Figures 7a, 7b, and 8 on pages 45,46 , and 48
- Updated $v / \mathrm{c}$ ratios in discussions on pages 49 and 68.
- Updated capacity analysis results in Tables 7a-7c, 8a-8c, and 11
- Added sight distance requirements to images on page 60
- Updated and revised Figure 10 on the last page
- Updated traffic volumes and capacity analysis results in Appendix G
- Added local trip rates to Appendix H and updated calculations
- The revised traffic movement volumes were updated in Appendix K. Also, the estimated vehicle queue lengths discussions were updated at the end of page 57, and on pages $67,76,77$, and 78 .
- Vehicle queue length results were updated on page 67, in Table 12, and Appendix M
- Added Appendix P to include this response letter

If you have any questions or further comments, please feel free to contact me at any time. I look forward to your review and approval.

Sincerely,
Ajax Engineering, LLC Robert W. Jacks, P.E.


Ajax Engineering, LLC
11812 Black Road
Knoxville, TN 37932
ajaxengineering@gmail.com
© 2020 Ajax Engineering, LLC


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Level of Service
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Delay (sec/vehicle)
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

[^1]:    * For estimating future project costs, a compounded inflation rate of $10 \%$ per year will be applied from the date of this estimate.

[^2]:    * For estimating future project costs, a compounded inflation rate of $10 \%$ per year will be applied from

[^3]:    * For estimating future project costs, a compounded inflation rate of $10 \%$ per year will be applied from the date of this estimate.

[^4]:    * For estimating future project costs, a compounded inflation rate of $10 \%$ per year will be applied from the date of this estimate.

